

## **AusNet Services' 2026–31 Regulatory Proposal Submission Objection**

### **Exploitation of the Energy Transition at Consumers' Expense**

AusNet's 2026–31 Revenue Proposal is a masterclass in bureaucratic spin and corporate opportunism—wrapped in the misleading rhetoric of customer “engagement” and “resilience”—all designed to obscure what it truly is: a multi-billion-dollar greenwashed price hike scheme that punishes everyday Australians.

While AusNet attempts to frame its 72% surge in proposed investment as a noble step toward a “net-zero” future, this is nothing short of a strategic market grab that hides behind climate virtue-signalling. Consumers are being asked to bankroll an unreliable, weather-dependent power infrastructure riddled with systemic vulnerabilities. And why? Because globalist-controlled network operators like AusNet stand to profit enormously from this engineered volatility—replacing reliable, affordable baseload energy with intermittency dressed up as “innovation.”

The submission's endless references to “customer preferences” are disingenuous at best. No ordinary consumer has asked for skyrocketing bills, nor have they pleaded for more dependence on foreign-manufactured renewables tied to hostile regimes such as the Chinese Communist Party (CCP). It is reckless to dismantle a stable electricity grid only to replace it with fragile, ethically compromised technologies sourced from China—a regime openly working to dominate global energy markets while Australia cripples its own.

Clearly, this isn't a transition; it's a takedown. The destruction of coal-fired generation and the lack of genuine investment in HELE coal or a sovereign nuclear energy program reflects not the will of the people, but the will of a captured energy class beholden to international climate bureaucracies and foreign supply chains.

AusNet's justification—that this transformation somehow benefits consumers through “improved reliability” and “resilience”—is hollow. The outages cited as justification for this overhaul were the very result of inadequate investment in hardened traditional infrastructure—not a mandate for solar panels and EV chargers. This is a bait-and-switch tactic of the worst kind.

The so-called “affordability measures” cited—a paltry \$13 saving per household—are laughable when set against the immense costs families will absorb under this plan. Behind every line of AusNet's submission lies a chilling truth: they are not delivering energy security—they are delivering energy scarcity.

It is time we reject these manufactured energy crises and reassert our right to AUSTRALIAN POWER—from high-efficiency, low-emission (HELE) coal generation to a clean, responsible, future nuclear industry that puts Australia first. Unlike the predatory renewables industry—gutting our landscapes while enriching foreign suppliers—these technologies offer genuine energy sovereignty, economic stability, and environmental balance.

As Patricia Adams correctly observes in China's Energy Dream, “Carbon dioxide reduction only makes sense for those [China] wishes to harm and supplant.” Australia, under AusNet's vision, is lining up to be the perfect victim.

The future belongs to Australians—not to market-manipulating networks, foreign factories, or global climate financiers. AusNet's proposal should be seen for what it is: an audacious and calculated betrayal of the people it claims to serve.

Yours Sincerely,

Lynette LaBlack

Save Our Surroundings Riverina