From: To: Cc:

Subject: RE: Request for input - AER"s classification of the Atlas to Reedy Creek Pipeline [SEC=OFFICIAL] [ACCC-

ACCCANDAER.FID4207363]

**Date:** Monday, 7 April 2025 4:06:29 PM

Attachments: image001.jpg image002.png

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

**OFFICIAL** 

Hi

The Atlas to Reedy Creek Pipeline (ARCP) was granted to ARC Pipeline Pty Ltd, a subsidiary of Senex Energy Limited, by the Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Manufacturing and Regional and Rural Development (the department), under the authority of the *Petroleum and Gas (Production and Safety) Act 2004 (P&G Act)*. The ARCP is a point to point pipeline designed to transport gas produced from Senex's Atlas Gas Project to the Reedy Creek Wallumbilla Pipeline, which is operated by APA Reedy Creek Wallumbilla Pty Limited.

1. Whether the AER should classify the ARCP (or any part(s) of it) as a transmission or distribution pipeline? Please provide reasons.

The department believes the AER should classify the ARCP as a transmission pipeline as this aligns with its function outlined in Question 2 below. This classification further aligns with the fact that the ARCP is authorised by a PPL granted and administered under the Petroleum and Gas (Production and Safety) Act 2004 (P&G Act), which does not provide for granting distribution pipelines.

2. The primary function of the ARCP – is it to reticulate gas within a market or to convey gas to a market? Please provide reasons.

The primary function of the ARCP is to transport processed gas from Senex's Atlas Gas Project to the market. It is designed to facilitate the commercialization of the gas produced by Senex by conveying it to the Reedy Creek Wallumbilla Pipeline.

3. Any factors that you are aware of, that are not raised in ARC Pipeline Pty Ltd's application (attached), that would impact the AER's classification decision?

The department is not aware of any additional factors that would affect the AER's classification decision.

4. Any potential immediate or longer-term flow-on effects of classifying the ARCP

### (or any part(s) of it) as a transmission or distribution pipeline?

The department does not foresee any immediate or longer term flow on effects resulting from the classification of the ARCP as a transmission pipeline.

# 5. Any other comments that you would like the AER to have regard to when making its classification decision?

No additional comments.

Please feel free to contact me via any of the channels listed below if you have any additional questions about this e-mail.

#### Thanks & Regards



## A/Principal Registrar

#### Petroleum Assessment Hub | Georesources

Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Manufacturing and Regional and Rural Development

P: E:

**A:** Level 4, 1 William Street, Brisbane QLD 4000 | PO Box 15216 City East QLD 4002

W: www.resources.qld.gov.au

