Draft Decision on Electricity Transmission Determination for Basslink 2026 to 2030

(1 July 2026 to 30 June 2030)

Attachment 8 Negotiated services

September 2025



© Commonwealth of Australia 2025

This work is copyright. In addition to any use permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968* all material contained within this work is provided under a Creative Commons Attributions 4.0 Australia licence with the exception of:

- the Commonwealth Coat of Arms
- the ACCC and AER logos
- any illustration diagram, photograph or graphic over which the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission does not hold copyright but which may be part of or contained within this publication.

The details of the relevant licence conditions are available on the Creative Commons website as is the full legal code for the CC BY 4.0 AU licence.

Important notice

The information in this publication is for general guidance only. It does not constitute legal or other professional advice. You should seek legal advice or other professional advice in relation to your particular circumstances.

The AER has made every reasonable effort to provide current and accurate information, but it does not warrant or make any guarantees about the accuracy, currency or completeness of information in this publication.

Parties who wish to re-publish or otherwise use the information in this publication should check the information for currency and accuracy prior to publication.

Inquiries about this publication should be addressed to:

Australian Energy Regulator GPO Box 3131 Canberra ACT 2601

Email: aerinquiry@aer.gov.au

Tel: 1300 585 165

AER reference: AER23007165

Amendment record

| Version | Date | Pages |
|---------|-------------------|-------|
| 1 | 12 September 2025 | 10 |

Note

This attachment forms part of the Australian Energy Regulator's (AER's) draft decision on the transmission determination that will apply to Basslink for the 2026–30 period. It should be read with all other parts of the draft decision.

The draft decision includes the following attachments:

Overview

Attachment 1 – Opening regulatory asset base

Attachment 2 - Capital expenditure

Attachment 3 – Operating expenditure

Attachment 4 – Efficiency benefit sharing scheme

Attachment 5 - Capital expenditure sharing scheme

Attachment 6 – Service target performance incentive scheme

Attachment 7 – Pricing methodology

Attachment 8 – Negotiated services

Attachment 9 – Pass through events

Contents

| 8 Negotiated services | | ated services | .5 |
|-----------------------|-------|--|----|
| | 8.1 | Draft decision | |
| | 8.2 | Basslink's proposal | 6 |
| | 8.3 | Assessment approach | 6 |
| | 8.4 | Reasons for draft decision – Negotiating framework | 6 |
| | 8.5 | Negotiated transmission service criteria | 7 |
| Glo | ssary | | 10 |

8 Negotiated services

Our transmission determination imposes control over revenues that a transmission network service provider (TNSP) can recover from its provision of prescribed transmission services. But we do not determine the terms and conditions of negotiated transmission services. Under the National Electricity Rules (NER), negotiated services are provided under an agreement or as a result of a determination of a commercial arbitrator. In Victoria, these processes are facilitated by:

- a negotiating framework
- negotiated transmission service criteria (NTSC).

Basslink must prepare a negotiating framework that sets out procedures for negotiating the terms and conditions of access to a negotiated transmission service in Victoria.

The NTSC, which we develop in consultation with stakeholders, set out criteria that a TNSP must apply in negotiating those terms and conditions, including the prices and access charges for negotiated transmission services. They also contain the criteria that a commercial arbitrator must apply to resolve disputes about such terms and conditions and/or charges.

This attachment sets out our considerations and draft decision on Basslink's proposed negotiating framework and the NTSC.

These requirements apply only to Victoria due to its unique transmission arrangements. This is because Victoria is the only National Electricity Market (NEM) jurisdiction in which the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) is authorised to exercise declared network functions.² Where such arrangements apply, there is a separation of ownership of the declared transmission system from certain aspects of the operation and control of that system. The framework for connections to the transmission network in Victoria is therefore different to the rest of the NEM.

The NER previously required all TNSPs in the NEM to submit negotiating frameworks for AER approval as part of their revenue determination. In 2017, the Australian Energy Market Commission (AEMC) removed this requirement from the NER for all NEM jurisdictions, except for Victoria (2017 rule change).³ For other NEM jurisdictions, including Tasmania, the AEMC relocated the principles that will underpin negotiations between connecting parties and incumbent TNSPs to the NER.⁴

In Victoria, clause 11.98.8 provides that the amendments to chapter 6A of version 109 of the NER made by the 2017 rule change do not apply. This means that the provisions regarding negotiating frameworks and the NTSC contained in version 109 of Chapter 6A of the NER continue to apply in Victoria.⁵

_

For further information, see AER - Explanatory Statement - Transmission Charges for Energy Storage - 29 April 2022.

For more information regarding AEMO's declared network functions, see National Electricity Law, s. 50C.

³ NER, clause 11.98.8.

⁴ AEMC, Rule Determination: National Electricity Amendment (Transmission Connection and Planning Arrangements) Rule 2017, 23 May 2017, pp. 198–203.

See also AEMC, Rule Determination: National Electricity Amendment (Transmission Connection and Planning Arrangements) Rule 2017, 23 May 2017, p. 75.

8.1 Draft decision

Our draft decision is to approve Basslink's proposed negotiating framework (section 8.4 sets out the reasons for our draft decision).⁶

We will also apply the NTSC we published for consultation in November 2023⁷ to Basslink (section 8.5 includes the NTSC and sets out the reasons for our draft decision).

8.2 Basslink's proposal

Basslink's proposed negotiating framework is largely identical to the negotiating framework we approved for similar TNSPs, particularly Murraylink.⁸ Differences are minor only (such as references to different regulatory control periods) and do not alter the substance of the negotiating framework.

8.3 Assessment approach

In reaching our draft decision, we considered whether:

- Basslink's proposed negotiating framework specified the minimum requirements in clause 6A.9.5(c) of the NER.9
- the NTSC reflect the negotiated transmission service principles in clause 6A.9.1 of the NER.¹⁰

8.4 Reasons for draft decision – Negotiating framework

We approve Basslink's proposed negotiating framework because it specifies and meets the minimum NER requirements.¹¹ These include, among other things, a requirement for Basslink (and the service applicant) to negotiate in good faith, and that all disputes between the parties will be dealt with in accordance with the NER.¹²

Table 8–1 summarises our assessment of Basslink's proposed negotiating framework. It shows that each of the requirements under the NER for a negotiating framework is satisfactorily addressed.

Table 8–1 AER's assessment of Basslink's proposed negotiating framework

| NER requirements | AER assessment |
|---|--|
| Requirement for Basslink and the applicant for a negotiated transmission service to negotiate in good faith—clause 6A.9.5(c)(1) | Paragraph 2 of Basslink's proposed negotiating framework complies with this requirement. |

Basslink - Attachment 17 - Negotiating Framework - 20 October 2023.

⁷ AER - Proposed negotiated transmission service criteria for Basslink - November 2023

We consider Murraylink is the most appropriate comparator because, like Basslink, it is an interconnector connecting the Victorian transmission network with an adjacent region.

⁹ NER (version 109), cll. 6A.9.5(b)(2); 6A.14.3(f).

¹⁰ NER (version 109), cl.6A.9.4(b).

¹¹ NER (version 109), cl. 6A.9.5(c).

NER (version 109), Part K Commercial arbitration for disputes about terms and conditions of access for prescribed and negotiated transmission services, cll. 6A.30 to 6A.30.8.

| Requirement for Basslink to provide all such commercial information reasonably required to enable the applicant of a negotiated transmission service to engage in effective negotiations—clause 6A.9.5(c)(2) | Paragraph 6 of Basslink's proposed negotiating framework complies with this requirement. |
|--|--|
| Requirement for Basslink to identify and inform the negotiated transmission service applicant of the reasonable costs of providing the negotiated service, and to demonstrate that charges reflect costs—clause 6A.9.5(c)(3) | Paragraphs 6 and 10 of Basslink's proposed negotiating framework complies with this requirement. |
| Requirement for a negotiated transmission service applicant to provide all such commercial information reasonably required to enable Basslink to engage in effective negotiation—clause 6A.9.5(c)(4) | Paragraphs 4 and 5 of Basslink's proposed negotiating framework comply with this requirement. |
| Requirement to specify a reasonable period of time for commencing, progressing and finalising negotiations; and a requirement for each party to use their reasonable endeavours to adhere to those time periods during the negotiation—clause 6A.9.5(c)(5) | Paragraph 3 of Basslink's proposed negotiating framework complies with this requirement. |
| Requirement to specify a process for disputes to be dealt with in accordance with the relevant provisions for dispute resolution —clause 6A.9.5(c)(6) | Paragraph 9 of Basslink's proposed negotiating framework complies with this requirement. |
| Requirement to specify arrangements for the payment of Basslink's reasonable direct expenses incurred in processing the application to provide the negotiated transmission service—clause 6A.9.5(c)(7) | Paragraph 10 of Basslink's proposed negotiating framework complies with this requirement. |
| Requirement for Basslink to determine the potential impact of the provision of a negotiated transmission service on other network users—clause 6A.9.5(c)(8) | Paragraph 7 of Basslink's proposed negotiating framework complies with this requirement. |
| Requirement for Basslink to notify and consult with any affected network user and ensure the negotiated transmission service does not result in noncompliance with obligations in relation to other network users under the NER—clause 6A.9.5(c)(9) | Paragraph 7 of Basslink's proposed negotiating framework complies with this requirement. |

8.5 Negotiated transmission service criteria

Our draft decision is that the NTSC as reproduced in section 8.5.1 should apply to Basslink for the 2026–30 regulatory control period. This is because these adopt the negotiated transmission service principles as its criteria.¹³

This NTSC is identical to the NTSC that have applied in Victoria since July 2014 (including for AEMO, AusNet Services and Murraylink).

In November 2023, we published the NTSC in section 12.5.1 for stakeholder consultation.¹⁴ We received no submissions.

8.5.1 The NTSC

National Electricity Objective

1. The terms and conditions of access for a negotiated transmission service, including the price that is to be charged for the provision of that service and any access charges, should promote the achievement of the National Electricity Objective.

¹³ NER (version 109), cll. 6A.9.1 and 6A.9.4(b).

¹⁴ AER, <u>Proposed negotiated transmission service criteria for Basslink</u>, November 2023.

Criteria for terms and conditions of access

Terms and conditions of access

- 2. The terms and conditions of access for a negotiated transmission service must be fair, reasonable, and consistent with the safe and reliable operation of the power system in accordance with the NER.
- 3. The terms and conditions of access for negotiated transmission services, particularly any exclusions and limitations of liability and indemnities, must not be unreasonably onerous. Relevant considerations include the allocation of risk between the TNSP and the other party, the price for the negotiated transmission service and the cost to the TNSP of providing the negotiated service.
- 4. The terms and conditions of access for a negotiated transmission service must take into account the need for the service to be provided in a manner that does not adversely affect the safe and reliable operation of the power system in accordance with the NER.

Price of services

- 5. The price of a negotiated transmission service must reflect the cost that the TNSP has incurred or incurs in providing that service, and must be determined in accordance with the principles and policies set out in the Cost Allocation Methodology.
- 6. Subject to criteria 7 and 8, the price for a negotiated transmission service must be at least equal to the avoided cost of providing that service but no more than the cost of providing it on a stand-alone basis.
- 7. If the negotiated transmission service is a shared transmission service that:
 - a) exceeds any network performance requirements which it is required to meet under any relevant electricity legislation; or
 - b) exceeds the network performance requirements set out in schedule 5.1a and 5.1 of the NER

then the difference between the price for that service and the price for the shared transmission service which meets network performance requirements must reflect the TNSP's incremental cost of providing that service (as appropriate).

- 8. For shared transmission services, the difference in price between a negotiated transmission service that does not meet or exceed network performance requirements and a service that meets those requirements should reflect the TNSP's avoided costs. Schedule 5.1a and 5.1 of the NER or any relevant electricity legislation must be considered in determining whether any network service performance requirements have not been met or exceeded.
- 9. The price for a negotiated transmission service must be the same for all Transmission Network Users. The exception is if there is a material difference in the costs of providing the negotiated transmission service to different Transmission Network Users or classes of Transmission Network Users.
- 10. The price for a negotiated transmission service must be subject to adjustment over time to the extent that the assets used to provide that service are subsequently used to

- provide services to another person. In such cases the adjustment must reflect the extent to which the costs of that asset are being recovered through charges to that other person.
- 11. The price for a negotiated transmission service must be such as to enable the TNSP to recover the efficient costs of complying with all regulatory obligations associated with the provision of the negotiated transmission service.

Criteria for access charges

Access charges

12. Any access charges must be based on the costs reasonably incurred by the TNSP in providing Transmission Network User access. This includes the compensation for foregone revenue referred to in clause 5.4A(h) to (j) of the NER and the costs that are likely to be incurred by a person referred to in clause 5.4A(h) to (j) of the NER (as appropriate).

Glossary

| Term | Definition |
|------|--|
| AEMC | Australian Energy Market Commission |
| AEMO | Australian Energy Market Operator |
| AER | Australian Energy Regulator |
| NEL | National Electricity Law |
| NEM | National Electricity Market |
| NER | National Electricity Rules |
| NTSC | National Transmission Service Criteria |
| TNSP | Transmission network service provider |