

December 2025

Powerlink 2027-32 Revenue Proposal

Asset Planning Criteria Framework





Powerlink – Asset Planning Criteria – Framework

| | | |
|----------------------|---|--|
| Policy stream | Asset Management | |
| Authored by | Manager Network Planning | |
| Reviewed by | General Manager Energy Markets and Planning | |
| Approved by | General Manager Energy Markets and Planning | |

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Current version: 19/12/2025 | INTERNAL USE | Page 1 of 23 |
| Next revision due: 19/12/2028 | HARDCOPY IS UNCONTROLLED | © Powerlink Queensland |

Version history

| Version | Date | Section(s) | Summary of amendment |
|---------|------------|------------|--|
| 1.0 | 29/03/2006 | All | Original version |
| 1.1 | 22/06/2010 | All | Review and update of document |
| 2.0 | 10/02/2015 | Initial | Update document to reflect amended Transmission Authority No T01/98 - Amended 30 June 2014 (Queensland Government) |
| 2.1 | 26/08/2015 | All | Review and update of document |
| 3.0 | 13/10/2015 | All | Review and updated to level 2 framework format |
| 4.0 | 20/01/2021 | All | Review and update of document |
| 5.0 | 11/09/2024 | 8.3.1 | Update transmission line ratings to capture the application of dynamic line ratings within planning analysis |
| 6.0 | 3/12/2025 | All | Review and update of document including addition of minimum load criterion |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Table of contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <i>Version history</i> | 2 |
| 1. Introduction | 4 |
| 1.1 Purpose | 4 |
| 1.2 Scope | 4 |
| 1.3 References | 4 |
| 1.4 Defined terms | 6 |
| 1.5 Roles and responsibilities | 6 |
| 2. Powerlink’s Statutory Obligations | 7 |
| 3. Variation to Powerlink’s Asset Planning Reliability Standard | 9 |
| 4. Powerlink’s Transmission Authority – 30 June 2014 | 10 |
| 5. Asset Planning Criteria Framework | 11 |
| 5.1 Load at Risk | 11 |
| 5.2 Satisfactory Operating State..... | 12 |
| 5.3 AEMO’s Obligation to return the Power System to a Secure State..... | 12 |
| 6. Regulatory Investment Test for Transmission | 14 |
| 7. Integrated planning of the shared network | 15 |
| 7.1 Joint Planning | 15 |
| 7.2 Reinvestment strategy..... | 15 |
| 8. Technical Standards | 17 |
| 8.1 Load Forecast..... | 17 |
| 8.1.1 Load Power Factor | 17 |
| 8.2 Generation..... | 17 |
| 8.2.1 Variation of renewable energy generation..... | 18 |
| 8.2.2 Generator Outages | 18 |
| 8.2.3 Generator Reactive Power Capability | 18 |
| 8.3 Rating of Transmission Equipment..... | 19 |
| 8.3.1 Transmission Lines | 19 |
| 8.3.2 Transformers..... | 19 |
| 8.4 Power System Stability..... | 20 |
| 8.4.1 Transient Stability..... | 20 |
| 8.4.2 Oscillatory Stability..... | 20 |
| 8.4.3 Voltage Stability..... | 20 |

| | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| 8.5 | Fault Levels..... | 21 |
| 9. | Distribution list..... | 22 |
| Appendix A. | Powerlink Transmission Grid | 23 |

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to provide an overview of the asset planning criteria framework adopted by Powerlink Queensland (Powerlink).

Powerlink is a Transmission Network Service Provider (TNSP) in the Australian National Electricity Market (NEM) that owns, develops, operates and maintains Queensland's high voltage electricity transmission network.

As a TNSP, Powerlink has specific mandatory obligations under its Transmission Authority, the National Electricity Rules (NER) and the Electricity Act 1994 (Qld) (the Act). In addition, Powerlink is committed to delivering electricity transmission services that are valued by our shareholders, consumers, customers and the market.

The Queensland Government has appointed Powerlink as the Jurisdictional Planning Body (JPB) to assess the capability of the State's transmission network to meet forecast electricity demand, in accordance with the reliability standards for electricity transmission. In order to effectively discharge these obligations, Powerlink has implemented the asset planning criteria framework to align development and re-investment decisions in the high voltage network assets in accordance with its obligations.

1.2 Scope

The legislative and NER obligations must be met for forecast demand and as Powerlink reinvests to manage assets reaching the end of their technical service life. Powerlink integrates these demand and condition based limitations to deliver cost effective solutions.

The asset planning criteria framework is to be applied consistently for all Powerlink's network development and re-investment decisions. This ensures that decisions are aligned with obligations as a TNSP, consistent with the Queensland Government's expectations and have regard for projects specified in the Australian energy Market Operator's (AEMO) Integrated System Plan (ISP).

As the jurisdictional planning body for Queensland, Powerlink is also responsible for procuring system strength and inertia services to meet any respective needs identified by AEMO. The methodology and process to be followed is defined in the NER¹. The asset planning criteria framework does not describe this methodology. However, these additional obligations should also be integrated into the planning considerations when developing holistic cost effective solutions.

1.3 References

| Document code | Document title |
|---|---|
| TA N0 T01/98 - Amended 30 June 2014 | Transmission Authority No T01/98 - Amended 30 June 2014 (Queensland Government) |

² Time-domain analysis would need to be done to determine whether automatic tripping of load can be fast enough to arrest the transient instability.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Current version: 19/12/2025 | INTERNAL USE | Page 4 of 23 |
| Next revision due: 19/12/2028 | HARDCOPY IS UNCONTROLLED | © Powerlink Queensland |



AM-FRA-A2352970

Version: 6.0

Powerlink – Asset Planning Criteria – Framework

| Document code | Document title |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| AM-FRA-A2338088 | Powerlink – Joint Planning Framework |

Current version: 19/12/2025

INTERNAL USE

Page 5 of 23

Next revision due: 19/12/2028

HARDCOPY IS UNCONTROLLED

© Powerlink Queensland

1.4 Defined terms

| Terms | Definition |
|-------|---|
| NER | National Electricity Rules |
| RIT-T | Regulatory Investment Test for Transmission |
| RIT-D | Regulatory Investment Test for Distribution |
| TAPR | Transmission Annual Planning Report |
| DAPR | Distribution Annual Planning Report |
| TNSP | Transmission Network Service Provider |
| DNSP | Distribution Network Service Provider |
| NSP | Network Service Provider |
| AER | Australian Energy Regulator |
| AEMC | Australian Energy Market Commission |
| AEMO | Australian Energy Market Operator |
| NEM | National Electricity Market |
| ISP | Integrated System Plan |
| PSCR | Project Specification Consultation Report |
| PADR | Project Assessment Draft Report |
| PACR | Project Assessment Conclusion Report |
| JPB | Jurisdictional Planning Body |
| SSSP | System Strength Service Provider |

1.5 Roles and responsibilities

| Who | What |
|--|--|
| Manager Network Planning | Ensuring that the Asset Planning Criteria - Framework is aligned with Powerlink's Transmission Authority, the National Electricity Rules and the Electricity Act 1994 (Qld). |
| Team Leader Main Grid Planning Team Leader Regional Grid Planning and Data Support Principal Engineer Network Planning Principal Engineer Renewable Energy Planning | Ensure the Asset Planning Criteria is applied consistently to all of Powerlink's network developments. |

2. Powerlink’s Statutory Obligations

Powerlink Queensland (Powerlink) is a transmission entity in Queensland and holds a Transmission Authority which authorises it under the Electricity Act 1994 (Qld) (the Act), to operate the high voltage transmission grid in the east coast of Queensland (refer Appendix A). Powerlink is also a registered Transmission Network Service Provider (TNSP) in the NEM, and must comply with the NER.

Under Queensland legislation, Powerlink has the responsibility to plan for the future Queensland transmission needs, including the interconnection with other networks. These planning obligations are prescribed by the Act, the NER and Powerlink’s Transmission Authority, issued by the Queensland Government

Section 34 (2) of the Act, provides that Powerlink has a responsibility to:

“...ensure, as far as technically and economically practicable, that the transmission grid is operated with enough capacity (and, if necessary, augmented or extended to provide enough capacity) to provide network services to persons authorised to connect to the grid or take electricity from the grid....”

As a TNSP, Powerlink must also comply with the NER relating to technical performance standards during intact and contingency conditions. The NER sets out minimum performance requirements of the network and connections and requires that reliability standards at each connection point be included in the relevant connection agreement. Schedule S5.1.2.1 of the NER specifies that Powerlink:

“... must plan, design, maintain and operate the transmission network to allow the transfer of power from generating units to Customers with all facilities or equipment associated with the power system in service and may be required by a Registered Participant under a connection agreement to continue to allow the transfer of power with certain facilities or plant associated with the power system out of service, whether or not accompanied by the occurrence of certain faults (called “credible contingency events”).

The Transmission Authority requires that Powerlink plans and develops the transmission grid in accordance with good electricity practice, having regard to the value that end users of electricity place on the quality and reliability of electricity services.

These legislative and NER obligations must be met for forecast demand and as Powerlink invests and reinvests in its network to manage the condition of existing assets. Powerlink must integrate asset condition and demand based limitations to deliver cost effective solutions that manage both reliability of supply obligations and assets reaching the end of their technical service life.

The NER sets out the planning process and requires Powerlink apply the Regulatory Investment Test for Transmission (RIT-T) promulgated by the Australian Energy Regulator (AER) to transmission investment proposals.

The Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) and Powerlink are responsible for the planning and delivery of power system security services in Queensland. AEMO’s [System Security Reports](#) consider the need for services in Queensland, and other regions of the NEM, over a five to ten year horizon. The reports assess system strength requirements, inertia shortfalls and Network Support and Control Ancillary Services (NSCAS) needs. Where AEMO declares a gap/shortfall for a power system security service(s) in Queensland, Powerlink is obliged to make services available within the timeframe stipulated by AEMO.

In October 2021, the Australian Energy Market Commission (AEMC) introduced the Efficient Management of System Strength on the Power System Rule (System Strength Rule). The System Strength Rule established Powerlink as the System Strength Service Provider (SSSP) for Queensland. From December 2025, Powerlink as the SSSP for Queensland, is required to plan and make services available to meet minimum and efficient levels of system strength. AEMO’s System Strength Report sets out the minimum pre- and post-contingent fault levels that Powerlink is required to maintain at each fault level node. The report also defines the 10-year forecast of inverter-based resources (IBR) to be used by Powerlink for the purposes of meeting the efficient level of system strength.

Similarly, Powerlink is also the Inertial Service Provider for Queensland. As such, Powerlink is required to address an inertia shortfall, by providing inertia network services to the secure operating level of inertia as defined by AEMO.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Current version: 19/12/2025 | INTERNAL USE | Page 7 of 23 |
| Next revision due: 19/12/2028 | HARDCOPY IS UNCONTROLLED | © Powerlink Queensland |



Any proposed investments made to provide system strength and inertia network services are subject to the RIT-T. These additional obligations are also integrated into the planning considerations when developing holistic cost effective solutions.

3. Variation to Powerlink's Asset Planning Reliability Standard

On 30 June 2014, the Queensland Government updated Powerlink's electricity network reliability standards to allow for increased flexibility. The revised standard removed the obligation to deliver an N-1 planning standard where the network must be able to meet the forecast maximum demand during an outage of the most critical single network element.

The revised standard offers of a more flexible planning approach and Powerlink's Transmission Authority was amended accordingly (reference 1).

This revised approach officially came into effect on 1 July 2014 and is referred to within this document as the Flexible Planning Standard.

4. Powerlink’s Transmission Authority – 30 June 2014

On the 30 June 2014, the Queensland State Government reissued the “Transmission Authority – No. T01/98”. Clause 6.2 of the Transmission Authority requires that Powerlink:

“...plan and develop its transmission grid in accordance with good electricity practice, having regard to the value that end users of electricity place on the quality and reliability of electricity services, such that:

- (a) If the power quality standards specify different obligations during normal and other operating conditions – the power quality standards will be met by the transmission entity;*
- (b) If the power quality standards do not specify different obligations during normal and other operating conditions – the power quality standards will also be met by the transmission entity even during the most critical single network element outage; and*
- (c) The power transfer available through the power system will be such that the forecast of electricity that is not able to be supplied during the most critical single network element outage will not exceed:*
 - I. 50 megawatts at any one time; or*
 - II. 600 megawatt-hours in aggregate.*

6.3 The obligations imposed on the transmission entity by clause 6.2 will apply unless otherwise varied by

- (a) a connection or other agreement made by the transmission entity with a person who receives or wishes to receive transmission services, in relation to those services; or*
- (b) agreement with the Regulator.”*

Clause 6.2 of the Transmission Authority requires Powerlink to plan and develop its transmission grid in accordance with good electricity practice. The requirement to apply “good electricity practice” requires a range of supporting technical standards to be defined.

Whilst the components of the flexible planning standard are not specifically defined by the NER or in the State Government legislation, the flexible planning standard must be defined for the required statutory outcomes to be achieved. This framework documents the elements of Powerlink’s Flexible Planning Standard.

5. Asset Planning Criteria Framework

The Asset Planning Criteria applies when assessing the need for investment in new network or non-network services due to changes in the demand forecast and for the potential removal from service of an asset at the end of its technical service life.

The planning standard permits load to be interrupted following a *credible contingency event* or *protected event*. However, limits have been placed on the maximum load and energy that may be at risk of not being supplied following a *credible contingency* or *protected event*. The maximum MW interrupted must not exceed 50MW and during one contingency event the energy interrupted must not exceed 600MWh.

For convenience the planning standard will be referred to as “N-1-50MW”.

Credible contingency events that are to be taken into account when assessing whether the N-1-50MW criterion is met are defined in Schedule 5.1.2.1 of the NER.

Credible contingency events include the disconnection of any single generating unit (or large individual customer load), transmission circuit or transmission plant (e.g. transformer, reactive power device, but not limited to) with or without the application of a single circuit two-phase-to-ground solid fault at 110kV or above.

When considering the application of a fault, Schedule 5.1.2.1 of the NER allows the fault to be cleared in primary protection time by the faster of the duplicate protection schemes with installed intertrips available.

Satisfying the “N-1-50MW” criterion means that following the credible contingency event, the power system settles to a “*satisfactory*” operating state without requiring more than 50MW of non-interruptible load (and 600MWh) to be shed immediately following the contingency.

The network should have sufficient capacity to accommodate AEMO’s operating obligations which include the re-dispatch of generation and ancillary services following a first contingency, such that within 30 minutes, the power system can again be returned to a “*secure*” state (NER 4.2.6(b)(1)). *Satisfactory* and *secure* operating states are defined in sections 5.2 and 5.3 respectively.

5.1 Load at Risk

The maximum load that can be interrupted following any one *credible contingency event* or *protected event* must not exceed 50MW and 600MWh. These risk limits are “planning” standards. That is, the limits are assessed relative to the forecast maximum demand and energy (refer to Section 8.1).

By their very nature forecasts are uncertain, as too are the daily load profiles that aggregate to the energy forecast. As such, the load and energy at risk are quantified by scaling load (within the relevant area, zone or connection point) until the *satisfactory* and *secure* operating states are achieved.

It is acknowledged that there may be differences between the “planning level” load at risk and the actual load at risk once operational constraints are taken into account (i.e. the discrete load blocks that are shed at the TNSP and DNSP substations). However, extra complexity could be considered if the load blocks to shed are very coarse and the risk would exceed the 50MW limit. This could be the case when the nature of the network limitation requires automated load shedding but the controllable load that can be disconnected is disproportionate to the violation of the limitation. As a consequence, exceptions to the “scaling” approach can be considered.

However, it should also be noted that the Transmission Authority allows for a flexible approach and the risk may be varied by:

- (a) a connection or other agreement made by the transmission entity with a person who receives or wishes to receive transmission services, in relation to those services; or
- (b) agreement with the Regulator.

In all cases Powerlink will implement contingency plans, manual procedures and automatic schemes as required to ensure that the reliability of supply to customers is maximised.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Current version: 19/12/2025 | INTERNAL USE | Page 11 of 23 |
| Next revision due: 19/12/2028 | HARDCOPY IS UNCONTROLLED | © Powerlink Queensland |

5.2 Satisfactory Operating State

A satisfactory operating state is described in Chapter 4.2.2 of the NER.

“The power system is defined as being in a satisfactory operating state when:

- (a) the frequency at all energised busbars of the power system is within the normal operating frequency band, except for brief excursions outside the normal operating frequency band but within the normal operating frequency excursion band;*
- (b) the voltage magnitudes at all energised busbars at any switchyard or substation of the power system are within the relevant limits set by the relevant Network Service Providers in accordance with clause S5.1.4 of schedule 5.1;*
- (c) the current flows on all transmission lines of the power system are within the ratings (accounting for time dependency in the case of emergency ratings) as defined by the relevant Network Service Providers in accordance with schedule 5.1;*
- (d) all other plant forming part of or impacting on the power system is being operated within the relevant operating ratings (accounting for time dependency in the case of emergency ratings) as defined by the relevant Network Service Providers in accordance with schedule 5.1;*
- (e) the configuration of the power system is such that the severity of any potential fault is within the capability of circuit breakers to disconnect the faulted circuit or equipment; and*
- (f) the conditions of the power system are stable in accordance with requirements designated in or under clause S5.1.8 of schedule 5.1”.*

Schedule S5.1.8 of the NER requires:

- (a) the power system to remain in synchronism*
- (b) power system oscillations to be adequately damped, and*
- (c) voltage stability criteria to be satisfied*

following any credible contingency event.

These power system stability criteria are further defined in Section 8.4.

5.3 AEMO's Obligation to return the Power System to a Secure State

Section 4.2.4(a) of the NER defines a secure operating state as:

“The power system is defined to be in a secure operating state if, in AEMO's reasonable opinion, taking into consideration the appropriate power system security principles described in clause 4.2.6:

- (1) the power system is in a satisfactory operating state; and*
- (2) the power system will return to a satisfactory operating state following the occurrence of a single credible contingency event or protected event in accordance with the power system security standards.”*

Section 4.2.6 of the NER (General principles for maintaining power system security) defines AEMO's obligations with regard to operating the interconnected power system in a secure state.

“The power system security principles are as follows:

- (a) To the extent practicable, the power system should be operated such that it is and will remain in a secure operating state.*
- (b) Following a contingency event (whether or not a credible contingency event) or a significant change in power system conditions, AEMO should take all reasonable actions:*
 - (1) to adjust, wherever possible, the operating conditions with a view to returning the power system to a secure operating state as soon as it is practical to do so, and, in any event, within thirty minutes;”*

Actions considered reasonable by AEMO to return the power system to a *secure* operating state include:

- re-rate transmission plant as agreed with the asset owner
- implement agreed network switching solution
- re-dispatch generation and dispatchable load
- re-distribute ancillary services, and
- where there is no other alternative, shed load.

Following any operational rating adjustment allowed by prevailing weather conditions and network switching, AEMO will redispatch available generation and/or dispatchable load to return the system to a new secure state. As such, the generation assumptions used to assess whether or not this can be achieved may be quite different from those assumptions used to assess the reliability standard for the initial single contingency event (N-1-50MW). The most notable difference may be the maximum dispatch of any available energy limited plant following the initial contingency. These generation assumptions are dealt with in more detail in Section 8.2.

If AEMO can only return the power system to a secure operating state following an initial contingency by shedding non-interruptible load in excess of 50MW, then the power system fails to meet the flexible planning standard.

However, if further tripping of non-interruptible load, even beyond the first 50MW, can be shed following the second contingency such that the system then falls to a satisfactory operating state, then the power system still satisfies the flexible planning standard.

For convenience, this requirement will be referred to as meeting the (N-1-50MW Secure) criterion.

The requirement to shed load either immediately following the first contingency or following the second contingency depends on the failure mode of the system when subjected to the second contingency. If the power system is dynamically unstable (transient or oscillatory) following the second contingency, then load may need to be shed pre-contingent². However, if the limitation is the thermal rating of plant, then the response to land the system at a satisfactory operating point may be post-contingent. If the failure mode is voltage instability then the required timeframe for the load-shed response must be carefully considered.

Before additional non-interruptible load is shed prior to the second contingency (therefore violating the flexible planning standard), consideration must be given to the technical and economic viability of implementing system integrity protection schemes (SIPS) to mitigate system security and plant overload risks. Successful implementation of SIPS may mitigate the need for more expensive investment options.

² Time-domain analysis would need to be done to determine whether automatic tripping of load can be fast enough to arrest the transient instability.


6. Regulatory Investment Test for Transmission

The NER sets out the planning process and requires Powerlink to apply the RIT-T, promulgated by the AER, to transmission investment proposals.

The RIT-T specifies that Powerlink must identify the commercially and technically feasible option that maximises the present value of the net economic benefit to all those who produce, consume and transport electricity in the market. This requires the market benefit of each credible option (network and/or non-network) to be considered and, if material, quantified.

The materiality threshold is if classes of market benefits impact the ranking and timing of credible network and/or non-network options. The RIT-T prescribes the use of “market dispatch modelling methodology” to quantify these market benefits, unless this analysis methodology is not proportional to the scale and likely impact on each credible option.

The classes of market benefits that may need to be considered are³:



In the case of network and/or non-network investments, whose primary focus is to improve the efficient operation of the NEM, it is essential that the relevant classes of market benefit are rigorously assessed. However, for reliability based investment and reinvestment decisions this requirement may be less onerous. The appropriate analysis methodology must be assessed on a case by case basis.

As the planning standard (N-1-50MW) permits some load to be interrupted following a credible *contingency event* or *protected event*, changes in the cost of losses and involuntary load shedding must be at least considered in assessing the timing and ranking of options for investment.

³ energynetworks.com.au/resources/rit-t-economic-assessment-handbook-may-2025/

7. Integrated planning of the shared network

Significant inputs to Powerlink's network planning process include:

- forecast of customer electricity demand, including demand side management (DSM), and its location
- location, capacity and arrangement of existing, new and retiring generation (including embedded generation)
- condition and performance of assets and an assessment of risks arising from ageing network assets remaining in-service
- assessment of future network capacity to meet the required planning criteria and efficient market outcomes, including system strength and the potential to facilitate future storage requirements to firm intermittent renewable generation and help address minimum demand.

Powerlink uses 10-year forecasts of electrical demand and energy across Queensland, together with forecast generation patterns, to determine potential flows on transmission network elements. The location and capacity of existing, retiring and committed generation in Queensland is sourced from AEMO, unless modified following advice from relevant market participants. Information about existing and committed embedded generation and demand management within distribution networks is provided by DNSPs and AEMO.

Powerlink examines the capability of its existing network and the future capability following any changes resulting from:

- committed network projects (for both augmentation and to address the risks arising from ageing network assets remaining in-service)
- the impact of generation retirements on transmission network power flows, system strength and reactive power capability
- existing and future generation developments
- variances in Powerlink's operating environment or changes in technical characteristics such as minimum demand, inertia and system strength as the power system continues to evolve.

7.1 Joint Planning

The integrated planning approach includes consultation with relevant DNSPs in situations where the performance of the transmission network may impact on, or be impacted by, the distribution network, such as where the two networks operate in parallel. Where potential flows could exceed network capability, Powerlink notifies market participants of these forecast emerging network limitations. If the capability violation exceeds the required reliability standard, joint planning investigations are carried out with DNSPs (or other TNSPs if relevant) in accordance with the NER. The objective of this joint planning is to identify the most cost-effective solution, network and/or non-network, regardless of asset boundaries, including potential non-network solutions.

Joint planning is mainly focused on the DNSPs, TransGrid and AEMO, but also includes consultation with Registered Participants, load aggregators and other interested parties.

The joint planning process results in integrated area and inter-regional strategies which optimise asset investment needs and decisions consistent with whole of life asset planning.

Energex and Ergon Energy were issued amended Distribution Authorities in July 2014. The service levels defined in their respective Distribution Authority differ to that of Powerlink's. Joint Planning accommodates these different planning standards by applying the planning standard consistent with the owner of the asset which places load at risk following a *credible contingency event*.

The joint planning framework adopted by Powerlink in relation to its interactions with other network service providers (NSPs) in accordance with the requirements set out in the NER is defined in Powerlink's – Joint Planning Framework document.

7.2 Reinvestment strategy

Powerlink maintains its network to manage risks associated with asset condition and performance. A program of asset condition assessments helps identify emerging risks.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Current version: 19/12/2025 | INTERNAL USE | Page 15 of 23 |
| Next revision due: 19/12/2028 | HARDCOPY IS UNCONTROLLED | © Powerlink Queensland |

As assets approach the end of their technical service life, Powerlink evaluates a range of reinvestment strategies, using a flexible, integrated approach. This considers network topography and capacity, current and future needs, including future generation developments, electrification and emerging industries.

Changing power system flows and patterns require ongoing reassessment of network capacity. Reinvestment decisions are made in context – not in isolation or on a like-for-like basis. Strategies reflect enduring need, the role of transmission in the energy transition and the interconnected nature of the high voltage (HV) system across regions or corridors. Non-network solutions are also considered as part of this integrated planning.

By combining asset condition, demand limitations and energy transition goals, Powerlink delivers cost-effective solutions that support reliability and address risks from aging infrastructure.

8. Technical Standards

The following section defines the technical standards under which Powerlink will meet its statutory obligations.

8.1 Load Forecast

The NER requires that investment decisions be based on a cost benefit analysis which includes an assessment of reasonable scenarios of future supply and demand growth. The load forecasts for the time of state-wide coincident peak are published in Powerlink's most recent Transmission Annual Planning Report (TAPR). When planning for a local supply area or zone adjustments will be made to the load forecast to reflect the coincident peak of that area or zone.

For each relevant future supply and demand scenario, planning of the main transmission system to meet the flexible planning standard is based on the 10% Probability of Exceedance (PoE) load forecast (zonal or multiple zone coincident peak). This standard is broadly adopted across the Electricity Supply Industry and is consistent with good industry practice. The main transmission system is defined as transmission lines, transformers and assets connected to Powerlink's high voltage interconnected network at 275kV or above. Therefore, this extends to the provision of adequate transformation capacity at Powerlink's major substations that supply bulk power to each zone (i.e. 275/132kV and 275/110kV).

Consistent with DNSPs, the 50% PoE load forecast is to be used to identify the limitations within the zones. Allowance is made to consider the most onerous of the 50% PoE zone or local peak forecast. However, Powerlink's transmission system must be capable of meeting the forecast 10% PoE peak load (zonal or multiple zones) with all network elements in service and the power system within a satisfactory and secure operating state.

To assess investment decisions related to minimum system load, the 90% PoE minimum load forecast (state-wide, zonal or multiple zone coincident) is to be applied.

8.1.1 Load Power Factor

The load power factor to be applied is obtained for the DNSPs and major customers connected to the Powerlink network during the load forecasting process. Powerlink prepares reactive forecasts in consultation with DNSPs and major customers. The resultant power factor is then used to determine the reactive load at other demand levels (e.g. 10% PoE) with adjustments as appropriate.

8.2 Generation

To meet the flexible planning standard, assumptions relating to generation availability, dispatch and capability are important. These assumptions take account of:

- number of generating units of influence in the area or zone
- age, technology and reliability
- variation of renewable energy (wind and solar) generation
- energy limitations of any plant
- external factors (e.g. water availability, fuel supply, environmental restrictions etc.) that may impact on the generation capacity (both short and long term) and availability, and
- linkages that may exist between different generation sources within a zone or region with respect to critical resource availability (e.g. water, fuel). Such linkages may mean that if one of the power stations is experiencing constraints, there is a high likelihood that several of the other power stations may also be constrained for similar reasons or be constrained as an operating consequence from the constraint on the first power station.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Current version: 19/12/2025 | INTERNAL USE | Page 17 of 23 |
| Next revision due: 19/12/2028 | HARDCOPY IS UNCONTROLLED | © Powerlink Queensland |

8.2.1 Variation of renewable energy generation

Both wind and solar generation varies depending on time of day and season of the year. To take account of this uncertainty different weather reference years are used to determine the time-sequential output of wind a solar generation. In assessment of market impacts these same reference years are used to inform the corresponding load traces.

8.2.2 Generator Outages

Generator outages are an inevitable occurrence. Notwithstanding good operating and maintenance practices, full availability of generation can never be guaranteed. In addition, hot weather places additional stress on plant and may increase the likelihood of failure.

As generators have significantly lower availabilities than transmission network elements, reliance on any individual generating unit cannot be made when considering network reliability obligations.

As a result, Powerlink plans and develops its transmission grid in accordance with good electricity practice such that power quality and the reliability standards, defined in the NER and in Powerlink's Transmission Authority, are met under a number of generation dispatch patterns including consideration of the most critical generation unit outage affecting the area or zone of study.

The risk limit of 50MW will be assessed with the most critical generating unit affecting the area or zone of study out of service.

However, for the energy risk limit (600MWh) the outage duration should be taken into account. For example, if the critical contingency is an outage of a transmission line, with a typical restoration time in the hours, then it is conceivable that there may be a coincident generator unit outage for the entire duration of the transmission line outage. However, if the critical contingency is a transformer, with a replacement time in weeks, then it may not be reasonable to assume that the critical generator unit is also out of service for the entire duration of the transformer outage. In assessing the energy at risk the following should be taken into account:

- major generator unit overhauls and planned outages. These are assumed not to coincide with peak load conditions when supply reliability limitations may emerge.
- unplanned/forced generator outages. Unplanned or forced generation outages could coincide with the most onerous period of network loading.
- constraints on generation. When the generating unit is available, load should not be placed at risk in lieu of constraining generation. If generation is an alternative to managing the network limitation then changes in the cost of fuel consumption need to be considered as a class of market benefits. This may require use of "market dispatch modelling methodology".

For investment decisions on the main transmission system, market benefit assessment will most likely be required. This assessment is carried out, not by assuming the outage of the most critical generating unit, but rather by considering planned and unplanned generation outages using monte carlo or equivalent methodology.

8.2.3 Generator Reactive Power Capability

Unless otherwise contracted, the reactive power capability of all generators is assumed to conform to their registered performance standards.

Additional reactive capability may be procured to maintain power system security, meet the required flexible reliability standard, and/or to maintain or increase the power transfer capability of the transmission network. In all cases the cost of procuring this service must be included in the RIT-T analysis.

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Current version: 19/12/2025 | INTERNAL USE | Page 18 of 23 |
| Next revision due: 19/12/2028 | HARDCOPY IS UNCONTROLLED | © Powerlink Queensland |

8.3 Rating of Transmission Equipment

The NER prescribes that the current flows on all transmission lines and plant of the power system are within the ratings (accounting for time dependency in the case of emergency ratings) defined by the relevant Network Service Providers in accordance with schedule 5.1.

8.3.1 Transmission Lines

For planning the network, Powerlink uses fixed ratings for both system normal operation and following a contingency event, which will prevent line conductors violating statutory clearances under a range of expected ambient conditions.

For system normal operation, the sustained flow on a transmission line must not exceed the normal continuous rating.

The maximum sustained flow on a transmission line following a contingency event is based on not exceeding the contingency rating. The contingency rating is based over a shorter time span than the normal continuous rating and therefore presents opportunity for a slightly higher rating whilst managing risk.

The transmission lines are normally loaded more heavily relative to their rating in summer compared to other times of the year. As a result, the line ratings coincident with hot summer day ambient conditions are usually most relevant when assessing supply reliability.

However, line ratings coincident with other periods of the day or year may be critical when:

- assessing the market benefits of options where time sequential analysis is required across the year,
- peak forecast demand occurs outside the normal summer daytime period, or
- long term network outages are required to implement project delivery options and these outages are taken during non-peak load periods.

For a number of critical feeders Powerlink has implemented Dynamic Lines ratings. These ratings take account of ambient temperature and wind speed, informed from relevant Bureau of Meteorology weather stations. These rating also allow a time of day rating to be applied in the planning analysis (reliability and/or market modelling assessment). This modelling will adopt the 5 percentile⁴ value for each relevant time interval based on historical data.

Where appropriate, consideration can also be given to loading a transmission line beyond its relevant contingency rating following a contingency event but prior to implementing network switching and/or load curtailment. In this instance the dynamic temperature response of the conductor must be modelled to determine the time delay before the conductor reaches its design temperature. This analysis requires consideration of the pre and post contingent loading and the applicable ambient conditions. If the temperature of the conductor is assessed to reach its design temperature within 10 minutes then network switching and/or load curtailment must be automated by implementing system integrity protection schemes (SIPS). SIPS should be graded with protection and other relevant control schemes (e.g. auto reclose) to maximise supply reliability but also manage the risk of overloading plant.

8.3.2 Transformers

For system operation, Powerlink has assigned normal cyclic, emergency cyclic, short term emergency (2-hour) and short term maximum ratings (10-minute) for each transformer. For planning the network, the same ratings are applied with the following guidelines:

⁴ The historic actual rating is less than the adopted value only 5% of the time.

- Powerlink's transformer rating policy is based on not exceeding the emergency cyclic rating following a contingency event and any subsequent mitigating action to curtail the loading (e.g. load transfer between connection points, network switching, voluntary load shedding and/or involuntary load shedding within the planning standard).
- If the initial post contingent flow through the transformer exceeds the short term emergency (2-hour) rating, then any mitigating action to curtail the loading must be automated.
- The immediate post contingent loading of the transformer is not to exceed the short term maximum rating without agreement with Asset Strategies and appropriate high speed mitigation schemes.

8.4 Power System Stability

Schedule S5.1.8 of the NER requires the power system to be stable following any credible single contingency event. Instability can manifest itself as transient, oscillatory or voltage instability.

8.4.1 Transient Stability

Transient stability is assessed on the basis of rotor angle swings following credible contingency events. These contingencies can include the trip of a generating unit or customer load, or an outage of a transmission element. In accordance with the NER, if the critical contingency is an outage of a transmission element then this may be preceded by the application of a solid two phase-to-ground fault on one circuit at the most critical location. The fault is assumed to be cleared by the faster of the primary protection systems with the full availability of intertrips.

8.4.2 Oscillatory Stability

The requirements for controlling oscillatory stability are defined in schedule 5.1.8 of the NER. For planning purposes, oscillatory stability (or system damping) is considered to be adequate under any given operating condition if, after the most critical contingency event, predictions indicate that the halving time of the least damped electromechanical mode of oscillation is not more than 5 seconds. The prediction of the oscillatory stability performance of the system is to be undertaken using software and models that have been calibrated against system tests.

The oscillations of each generating system, against any other generating unit, must also be adequately damped as per S5.2.5.13 of the NER.

8.4.3 Voltage Stability

Schedule 5.1.8 of the NER defines the general requirement for voltage stability:

"The voltage control criterion is that stable voltage control must be maintained following the most severe credible contingency event or any protected event. This requires that an adequate reactive power margin must be maintained at every connection point in a network with respect to the voltage stability limit as determined from the voltage/reactive load characteristic at that connection point. Selection of the appropriate margin at each connection point must be at the discretion of the relevant Network Service Provider, subject only to the requirement that the margin (expressed as a capacitive reactive power (in MVar)) must not be less than one percent of the maximum fault level (in MVA) at the connection point."

The voltage stability limits for various parts of the transmission system are to be assessed by determining the maximum power transfer (or load level) above which the reactive power margins are no longer preserved at key buses following a critical *credible contingency event* or *protected event*.

The assessment methodology does not allow for the determination of the reactive power (MVar) margins at every connection point in the network. Rather, Powerlink recognises the existence of "coherent bus groups" and therefore selects key buses that will be reasonably representative of buses in the neighbourhood. In general, different MVar margin observation buses will be selected

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Current version: 19/12/2025 | INTERNAL USE | Page 20 of 23 |
| Next revision due: 19/12/2028 | HARDCOPY IS UNCONTROLLED | © Powerlink Queensland |

to determine different transmission limits for different contingencies. The appropriate MVAR margins of these key buses will be reassessed from time to time, but are not less than 1% of the maximum fault level at that bus.

In making this assessment Powerlink is also cognisant of the effect high levels of reactive power compensation have on the characteristics and operability of a heavily loaded network. Therefore, maintaining reactive power margins by adding increasing amounts of reactive power compensation is not sustainable. High levels of compensation erode key operational measures such that bus voltage is no longer a reliable indicator of system health or proximity to voltage instability.

The assessment of system performance must also comply with the power quality system standards as defined in schedule 5.1.4 to schedule 5.1.7 of the NER.

8.5 Fault Levels

The network must be operated within the fault breaking capability of circuit breakers to ensure that faulted plant can be removed from the system quickly and safely.

At no time should the contribution that the circuit breaker must interrupt of the total fault current, or the through current exceed the plant capability.

These short circuit currents are calculated assuming:

- All scheduled and significant embedded non-scheduled generators are in-service;
- Fault level contributions from significant embedded non-scheduled generators are included in the analysis;
- Generators are modelled as 110% of nominal voltage behind sub-transient reactance;
- Classical network model is used where all transformer taps set to nominal and system loads and all shunt admittances not represented;
- Maximum fault contribution from inter-regional interconnections; and
- Normally open connections are treated as open.

As the System Strength Service Provider of Queensland, Powerlink also has an obligation to maintain minimum 3-phase fault level at key transmission nodes as defined by AEMO.

9. Distribution list

| Divisional Distribution | Contact details |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Chief Executive | N/A |
| Delivery and Technical Solutions | N/A |
| Finance, Governance and Supply | N/A |
| Network Investment | General Manager Network Portfolio |
| Major Projects | N/A |
| Operations and Planning | General Manager Energy Markets and Planning General Manager Strategic Network Operations General Manager Real Time Network Operations |
| Field and Asset Management | N/A |
| Corporate Services | N/A |
| Group/Team Distribution | Contact details |
| N/A | N/A |
| External Distribution | Contact details |
| N/A | N/A |

Appendix A. Powerlink Transmission Grid

