

Final decision

CitiPower electricity distribution determination
1 July 2026 – 30 June 2031

Attachment 16 – Connection Policy

April 2026

© Commonwealth of Australia 2026

This work is copyright. In addition to any use permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968* all material contained within this work is provided under a Creative Commons Attributions 4.0 Australia licence with the exception of:

- the Commonwealth Coat of Arms
- the ACCC and AER logos
- any illustration diagram, photograph or graphic over which the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission does not hold copyright but which may be part of or contained within this publication.

The details of the relevant licence conditions are available on the Creative Commons website as is the full legal code for the CC BY 4.0 AU licence.

Important notice

The information in this publication is for general guidance only. It does not constitute legal or other professional advice. You should seek legal advice or other professional advice in relation to your particular circumstances.

The AER has made every reasonable effort to provide current and accurate information, but it does not warrant or make any guarantees about the accuracy, currency or completeness of information in this publication.

Parties who wish to re-publish or otherwise use the information in this publication should check the information for currency and accuracy prior to publication.

Inquiries about this publication should be addressed to:

Australian Energy Regulator
GPO Box 3131
Canberra ACT 2601
Email: aerinquiry@aer.gov.au
Tel: 1300 585 165

AER reference: AER23008247

Amendment record

Version	Date	Pages
1	30 April 2026	10

Contents

16 Connection policy	1
16.1 Final decision	2
16.2 CitiPower’s revised proposal	2
16.3 Assessment approach.....	2
16.4 Reasons for decision.....	2
Shortened forms	7

16 Connection policy

We are required to make a decision on the connection policy that is to apply to CitiPower for the 2026-31 regulatory control period (period). This may be the connection policy prepared by the distribution network service provider (DNSP), some variant of it, or a policy substituted by us under the National Electricity Rules (NER).¹

We must approve the policy if we are satisfied that it adequately complies with the requirements of Part DA of Chapter 6 of the NER.²

A connection policy sets out the nature of connection services offered by a DNSP when connection charges may be payable by retail customers. It also sets out how those charges are calculated.

Specifically, as per the requirements set out in Part DA of Chapter 6 of the NER, the connection policy must:³

- set out the circumstances in which CitiPower may:
 - require a retail customer or real estate developer to pay a connection charge, for the provision of a connection service under Chapter 5A
 - specify a static zero export limit in a connection offer for a retail customer
- be consistent with:
 - the connection charge principles set out in Chapter 5A of the NER⁴
 - our Connection charge guidelines published under Chapter 5A of the NER,⁵ and
- specify:
 - the categories of persons that may be required to pay a connection charge and the circumstances in which such a requirement may be imposed
 - the aspects of a connection service for which a connection charge may be made – the basis on which connection charges are determined
 - the manner in which connection charges are to be paid (or equivalent consideration is to be given)
 - a threshold (based on capacity or any other measure identified in the Connection charge guideline) below which a retail customer (not being a non-registered embedded generator, a real estate developer, a Registered Participant or an Intending Participant) will not be liable for a connection charge for an augmentation other than an extension.

¹ NER, cl 6.12.1(21).

² NER, cl 6.12.3(i).

³ NER, cl. 6.7A.1(b).

⁴ NER, cl. 5A.E.1.

⁵ AER, *Connection charge guidelines*, October 2024.

16.1 Final decision

We have approved CitiPower’s revised connection policy because it now:

- meets the connection policy requirements set out in part DA of Chapter 6 of the NER
- is consistent with the connection charges principles set out in Chapter 5A of the NER, and
- is consistent with our Connection charge guidelines published under Chapter 5A of the NER.

Our final decision is to apply a variant of CitiPower’s proposed connection policy with respect to the upfront recovery of tax associated with type 1 capital contributions.

This variation that we have required CitiPower to make to its proposed connection policy is explained in the following sections.

16.2 CitiPower’s revised proposal

As part of its revised proposal, CitiPower:

- removed the exclusion of service pits from its pioneer scheme, and
- proposed to recover tax associated with type 1 capital contributions upfront from all customers connecting at either their high voltage (HV) or sub transmission (ST) tariff classes.

16.3 Assessment approach

We examined CitiPower’s proposed connection policy against the requirements of Part DA of Chapter 6 of the NER set out above. We assessed whether it:

- is consistent with the connection charge principles set out in chapter 5A of the NER, and our connection charge guidelines
- contains all the information for new customers as prescribed by the NER.

16.4 Reasons for decision

In reviewing CitiPower’s original connection policy for the 2026–31 period, we sought:

- enhancements to requirements for micro-embedded generation and storage connections to support the introduction of emergency backstop requirements
- language changes for accuracy, clarity and consistency
- better alignment with the AER Export limits guidance note, and
- fairness relating to the service pit exclusion from CitiPower’s Pioneer scheme.

CitiPower amended its original proposed connection policy to address many of the issues noted above.

Many of these enhancements were made prior to our draft decision and overall, the document is now more accurate and compliant with better transparency and clarity to support customers connecting to the electricity grid.

However, CitiPower’s original draft connection policy was not ultimately approved due to CitiPower’s exclusion of service pits from its Pioneer scheme. The AER considers that Chapter 5A allows retail customers to have a Pioneer scheme created for assets they fund, regardless of the service classification of these connection services.

In its revised proposal, CitiPower amended its connection policy to include service pits and responded to engagement with the AER by reviewing its approach to the data centre tax cross subsidy.

16.4.1 Service pits

We approve CitiPower’s revision of its connection policy in response to our draft decision to seek inclusion of service pits in its Pioneer scheme.⁶ This change is a positive development and meets the requirements of chapter 5A of the NER.

Inclusion of service pits in the pioneer scheme will help the fast-growing numbers of customers installing service pits to receive a partial reimbursement (where eligible) for their connection costs.

16.4.2 Upfront recovery of tax associated with type 1 capital contributions

In our draft decision we recognised that the continued strong growth in data centre connections could lead to a growing cross subsidy of the tax costs associated with type 1 capital contributions⁷ from very large customers like data centres. We requested the Victorian DNSPs consider whether the net tax liability arising from type 1 capital contributions could be included as part of the upfront connection cost paid directly by the customer, rather than recovered from existing customers through standard control services (SCS) revenue, i.e., through distribution use of system (DUOS) charges.

In response to our draft decisions CitiPower (and the other four Victorian DNSPs Powercor, United Energy, AusNet Services and Jemena) proposed to recover tax associated with type 1 capital contributions upfront. All 5 DNSPs considered upfront recovery of tax associated with type 1 capital contributions should apply to all customers above a defined threshold and not just data centres.

CitiPower, Powercor and United Energy proposed the tax be recovered upfront from all customers connecting at either their high voltage (HV) or sub transmission (ST) tariff classes

⁶ A pioneer scheme is a cost sharing arrangement that allows an “original customer” who has fully funded the extension or augmentation of the network to receive a partial reimbursement from “new customers” who subsequently connect to and benefit from that same infrastructure. The scheme applies for a period of 7 years from the date of the original investment.

⁷ Under our current regulatory framework upfront capital contributions (for connection costs) are classified as ‘type 1 contributions’. Type 1 contributions are treated as income in the year received and subject to tax. This tax liability is included as part of the building block assessment using the post-tax revenue model (PTRM). This allows DNSPs to recover the estimated cost of tax during a regulatory control period in the standard control services (SCS) revenue requirement (i.e., through distribution use of system (DUoS) charges over the regulatory period). We note other contributions including gifted assets (in this context connection assets constructed for customers during new connections, which are subsequently transferred to DNSPs) are classified as ‘type 2 contributions’.

(which range from $\geq 1\text{kV}$ to 66kV). CitiPower's HV tariff class applies to all customers $\geq 1\text{kV}$ and $< 22\text{kV}$. Its ST tariff class applies to all customers $\geq 22\text{kV}$.

AusNet Services proposed all customers connecting at its ST tariff class ($\geq 66\text{kV}$) and Jemena proposed all customers connecting at its ST tariff class ($\geq 22\text{kV}$).

Our final decision is to apply a threshold:

- for load customers $\geq 22\text{kV}$ (i.e. load customers connecting to CitiPower's ST tariff class), and
- for embedded generators of $> 1.5\text{MW}$

instead of the threshold proposed by CitiPower for all customers connected at either its high voltage (HV) or sub transmission (ST) tariff class.

In making this final decision we considered CitiPower's revised proposal, our draft decision, stakeholder feedback, feedback from the 5 Victorian DNSPs in response to information requests and our April 2021 final decision on AusNet Services Connection policy.

In making our final decision we considered it important the same threshold above which tax is recovered upfront should apply to all Victorian DNSPs. Our decision supports neutrality and will help avoid potential distortions and inefficiencies that could arise with variable thresholds amongst different Victorian DNSPs.

A uniform threshold was supported by the Victorian DNSPs and stakeholders. AusNet for example considered it important to establish a consistent threshold across Victoria – a view also expressed by customers at its November Revised Proposal forum. AusNet stated that it was open to adopting a threshold of $\geq 22\text{ kV}$, as this would ensure alignment across Victorian DNSPs.⁸ We agree with this view.

CitiPower engaged with the Customer Advisory Panel (CAP), Department of Energy, Environment and Climate Action (DEECA), Energy Users Association of Australia (EUAA) and Energy Consumers Australia (ECA) on its proposed approach. CitiPower provided that feedback to its stakeholder engagement was largely supportive, indicating that:

- most of its CAP members supported the recovery of tax costs associated with large customer connections and agreed it should include all large customer connections rather than specific industries, such as data centres.
- DEECA supported to charge tax costs directly to new HV and sub-transmission connections.
- the EUAA considered tax costs for newly connecting sub-transmission and high voltage connections should be paid by the connection proponent.
- the ECA did not have a firm position on who should pay, but there was no disagreement with CPU's position.⁹

⁸ AusNet Services, *Response to AER Information Request #063*, 9 January 2026.

⁹ CitiPower, *Revised Proposal 2026-31 Revenue and expenditure forecasts*, January 2026, p.18.

We acknowledge and agree with the view in extending the application to all large customer customers at the stated threshold.

Load customers

In deciding on a threshold above which tax associated with type 1 capital contributions from newly connecting load customers be recovered we considered the types of customers captured under different thresholds and on what basis the threshold should be defined. For example, by kilovolts (kV) or load (MW).

In response to information requests, we understand that the majority of data centres connect at 22kV or 66kV. CitiPower, Powercor and United Energy indicated that data centres are connected at 11kV, 22kV or 66kV. Jemena noted data centres connect at 22kV or 66kV and AusNet advised that data centres are typically connected at 66 kV but noted, there is potential for some to connect at the high-voltage level of 22 kV.

While data centres is a key focus, we note that the threshold set at $\geq 22\text{kV}$ will capture other large customers. We considered a threshold set at $\geq 22\text{kV}$ is equitable across all large customers and ensures the connection policy is fair through a classification based on connection or electricity usage characteristics, rather than the type of service or industry provided by a new connection.

We also considered that a threshold at $\geq 22\text{kV}$ will both reduce the recovery of tax costs from the broader customer base associated with data centre and large customer connections and will capture connections with the potential for the greatest cross-subsidisation of tax costs associated with type 1 capital contributions. This is consistent with a key principle of our Connection charge guidelines to limit cross subsidies and manage affordability for customers.

We found a voltage threshold to be preferable to setting a threshold based on load. Voltage thresholds are physical in nature and cannot be varied once connected. This means there is a high degree of certainty for all parties (connecting customers, shared customers and the DNSP) and less chance of cross subsidisation. Additionally, there is greater certainty for all stakeholders because the tax impacts are known up front using a voltage level threshold, and not dependent on forecasts (using a capacity assessment).

Our final decision for CitiPower is to set the threshold at $\geq 22\text{kV}$ above which load customers pay tax associated with type 1 capital contributions upfront. We note this is consistent with CitiPower's ST tariff class as set out in its tariff structure statement.

Embedded generators

An approach to recover upfront tax associated with capital contributions is not without precedent. The AER on 30 April 2021 approved AusNet Services' proposal to include the net tax liability arising from capital contributions from large, embedded generators $>1.5\text{MW}$ for the 2021–26 regulatory period.¹⁰

¹⁰ AER, *Final Decision AusNet Services Distribution Determination 2021-26 Attachment 18 Connection policy*, April 2021, pp.6-8.

In making our 2021-2026 decision for AusNet Services we considered this change would reduce the cross-subsidy paid by other customers to large, embedded generator connections and would align the connection cost structure with transmission connected generators¹¹.

In considering the threshold above which upfront recovery of tax should apply for connecting customers for the 2026-31 regulatory period we considered our previous 2021-26 decision on AusNet Services. To align with our 2021 decision for AusNet Services and for consistency across the Victorian DNSPs our decision is to set a threshold of >1.5 MW for the recovery upfront of tax associated with type 1 capital contributions for all new connecting large, embedded generators >1.5MW.

No double dipping

An important principle is that DNSPs do not ‘double-dip’ or recover this tax cost (or any other costs) through different revenue mechanisms. This is clear from the connection charge principle that a capital contribution may only be required if the provision for the costs has not already been made through the existing distribution use of system (DUoS) charges or an applicable tariff. In making our decision we have excluded the capital contribution from the post-tax revenue model to estimate the associated tax cost which would be charged to the broader customer base. This approach avoids double recovery of tax costs.

16.4.3 AER approved connection policy

The approved connection policy is appended to this attachment.

¹¹ AER, *Final Decision – AusNet Services distribution determination 2021-26 – Connection Policy*, April 2021, p.7.

Shortened forms

Term	Definition
AER	Australian Energy Regulator
DNSP	distribution network service provider
DUoS	distribution use of system
NER	National Electricity Rules
SCS	standard control services
