

DRAFT DECISION ElectraNet transmission determination 2018 to 2023

Attachment 12 – Pricing methodology

October 2017



© Commonwealth of Australia 2017

This work is copyright. In addition to any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, all material contained within this work is provided under a Creative Commons Attributions 3.0 Australia licence, with the exception of:

- the Commonwealth Coat of Arms
- the ACCC and AER logos
- any illustration, diagram, photograph or graphic over which the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission does not hold copyright, but which may be part of or contained within this publication. The details of the relevant licence conditions are available on the Creative Commons website, as is the full legal code for the CC BY 3.0 AU licence.

Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the:

Director, Corporate Communications Australian Competition and Consumer Commission GPO Box 4141, Canberra ACT 2601

or publishing.unit@accc.gov.au.

Inquiries about this publication should be addressed to:

Australian Energy Regulator GPO Box 520 Melbourne Vic 3001

Tel: (03) 9290 1444 Fax: (03) 9290 1457

Email: <u>AERInguiry@aer.gov.au</u>

Note

This attachment forms part of the AER's draft decision on ElectraNet's transmission determination for 2018–23. It should be read with all other parts of the draft decision.

The draft decision includes the following documents:

Overview

- Attachment 1 Maximum allowed revenue
- Attachment 2 Regulatory asset base

Attachment 3 - Rate of return

- Attachment 4 Value of imputation credits
- Attachment 5 Regulatory depreciation
- Attachment 6 Capital expenditure
- Attachment 7 Operating expenditure
- Attachment 8 Corporate income tax
- Attachment 9 Efficiency benefit sharing scheme
- Attachment 10 Capital expenditure sharing scheme
- Attachment 11 Service target performance incentive scheme
- Attachment 12 Pricing methodology
- Attachment 13 Pass through events
- Attachment 14 Negotiated services

Contents

No	te		.12-2
Со	ntents .		.12-3
Sh	ortened	l forms	.12-4
12	Pricing	g methodology	.12-6
	12.1	Draft decision	.12-6
	12.2	ElectraNet's proposal	.12-6
	12.3	Assessment approach	.12-7
	12.4	Reasons for draft decision	.12-7
		4.1 Assessment against the pricing principles for prescribed nsmission services	. 12-8
		4.2 Assessment against the pricing methodology guidelines for escribed transmission services	12-10

Shortened forms

Shortened form	Extended form
AARR	aggregate annual revenue requirement
AEMC	Australian Energy Market Commission
AEMO	Australian Energy Market Operator
AER	Australian Energy Regulator
ASRR	annual service revenue requirement
augex	augmentation expenditure
capex	capital expenditure
ССР	Consumer Challenge Panel
CESS	capital expenditure sharing scheme
СРІ	consumer price index
DMIA	demand management innovation allowance
DRP	debt risk premium
EBSS	efficiency benefit sharing scheme
ERP	equity risk premium
MAR	maximum allowed revenue
MRP	market risk premium
NEL	national electricity law
NEM	national electricity market
NEO	national electricity objective
NER	national electricity rules
NSP	network service provider
NTSC	negotiated transmission service criteria
opex	operating expenditure
PPI	partial performance indicators
PTRM	post-tax revenue model
RAB	regulatory asset base
RBA	Reserve Bank of Australia
repex	replacement expenditure
RFM	roll forward model
RIN	regulatory information notice

Shortened form	Extended form
RPP	revenue and pricing principles
SLCAPM	Sharpe-Lintner capital asset pricing model
STPIS	service target performance incentive scheme
TNSP	transmission network service provider
TUoS	transmission use of system
WACC	weighted average cost of capital

12 Pricing methodology

This attachment sets out our draft determination on ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology for the 2018–23 regulatory control period.

This attachment specifies the pricing methodology that the transmission network service provider (TNSP) is to apply in determining prices for the recovery of regulated transmission revenues.¹ The National Electricity Rules (NER) set out that the service provider must provide a 'methodology, formula, process or approach'² that, when applied:

- allocates the aggregate annual revenue requirement to the categories of prescribed transmission services that a transmission business provides³
- provides for the manner and sequence of adjustments to the annual service revenue requirement⁴ and allocates that revenue requirement to transmission connection points⁵
- determines the structure and recovery of prices that a transmission business may charge for each category of prescribed transmission services.⁶

A pricing methodology relates to prescribed transmission services only. For negotiated services, ElectraNet must comply with other requirements, which are discussed in attachment 14 (negotiated services) of this draft decision.

12.1 Draft decision

We approve ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology for the 2018–23 regulatory control period.

12.2 ElectraNet's proposal

ElectraNet submitted its proposed pricing methodology on 28 March 2017.⁷ ElectraNet stated that its current methodology remains appropriate and it is not proposing any material changes to its methodology for the forthcoming regulatory control period.⁸

ElectraNet, however, stated that it has proposed minor amendments:9

· to improve clarity and use of defined terms, and

- ³ NER, cl. 6A.24.1(b)(1) and (b)(3).
- ⁴ NER, cl. 6A.24.1(b)(2).
- ⁵ NER, cl. 6A.24.1(b)(3).
- ⁶ NER, cl. 6A.24.1(b)(4).

¹ NER, cl. 6A.2.2(4).

² NER, cl. 6A.24.1(b).

⁷ ElectraNet, *Revenue Proposal 2019-23 Attachment 12 Pricing Methodology*, 28 March 2017.

⁸ ElectraNet, *Revenue Proposal Overview 2019-2023*, 28 March 2017, p. 43.

⁹ ElectraNet, Revenue Proposal 2019-23 Attachment 12 Pricing Methodology, 28 March 2017, p. 5.

• to clarify provisions for grandfathering of existing connections.

12.3 Assessment approach

We must approve a proposed pricing methodology if we are satisfied that it:

- gives effect to, and complies with, the pricing principles for prescribed transmission services
- complies with the requirements of, and contains or is accompanied by information required by, the pricing methodology guidelines.¹⁰

Our assessment approach was guided by these requirements.

We also considered the pricing methodology ElectraNet employed, and which we approved, during the 2013-18 regulatory control period.

12.3.1 Inter-relationships

The South Australian region has two TNSPs – ElectraNet and the Murraylink interconnector. ElectraNet is the Co-ordinating Network Service Provider for the South Australian region. ElectraNet applies its pricing methodology to determine the transmission prices to be charged in South Australia to recover the regulated transmission revenues of ElectraNet and Murraylink in line with the NER.¹¹

12.4 Reasons for draft decision

We consider that ElectraNet's proposed methodology gives effect to the pricing principles for prescribed transmission services in the NER and complies with the requirements of the AER's pricing methodology guidelines.¹²

ElectraNet's proposed methodology is the same methodology we approved for ElectraNet's 2013–18 regulatory control period, except for changes to improve clarity of terms and of provisions for grandfathering existing connections.

In ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology, we observed the following changes:

- Section 6.2 Co-ordinating Network Service Provider to further clarify the responsibilities of the Co-ordinating Network Service Provider in accordance with the NER
- Section 6.11.2 Prescribed TUOS services locational component prices and charges – to clarify the treatment of existing connections (agreements that are based on agreed maximum demand)¹³

¹⁰ NER, cl. 6A.24.1(c).

¹¹ NER, cl. 6A.29; ElectraNet, Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023, 28 March 2017, section 6.2, p. 11.

¹² NER, cl. 6A.24.1(c).

• Appendix F Inter-regional Transmission Charging – to address procedures for the modified load export charge (MLEC) which took effect on February 2015.

We considered these changes and decided to accept them.

12.4.1 Assessment against the pricing principles for prescribed transmission services

We consider that ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology meets the requirements of the pricing principles in the NER. The pricing principles are intended to provide scope for transmission businesses to develop pricing arrangements that address the circumstances in which they operate their network.¹⁴ This limits our review to a high-level assessment.

Calculation and allocation of the aggregate annual revenue requirement

We assessed ElectraNet's method for calculating and allocating its aggregate annual revenue requirement. We consider that this aspect of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology meets the NER requirements.

The aggregate annual revenue requirement (AARR) is the 'maximum allowed revenue' adjusted:

- in accordance with clause 6A.3.2 of the NER, for a number of factors such as cost pass throughs, service target performance incentive scheme outcomes, and contingent projects, and
- by subtracting the operating and maintenance costs expected to be incurred in the provision of prescribed common transmission services.

Table 12.1 summarises our review of how ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology calculates and allocates the business' aggregate annual revenue requirement.

Table 12.1ElectraNet's proposed calculation and allocation of the AARRand the NER requirements

NER requirements	AER assessment
Requirement for the AARR to be calculated as defined in the NER—clause 6A.22.1	Section 6.3 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.

¹³ We note that the Essential Services Commission of South Australia (ESCOSA) finalised a review of the SA Electricity Transmission Code in September 2016, which ElectraNet has taken into account in its 2018–23 regulatory proposal. The code sets transmission reliability standards. See <u>http://www.escosa.sa.gov.au/projects-and-publications/projects/electricity/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulatory-period/electricity-transmission-code-review-2018-2023-regulat</u>

¹⁴ AEMC, Rule Determination: National Electricity Amendment (Pricing of Prescribed Transmission Services) Rule 2006 No 22, 21 December 2006, pp. 27–28.

Requirement for the AARR to be allocated to each category of prescribed transmission services in accordance with attributable cost share for each such category of services—clause 6A.23.2(a)

Requirement for every portion of the AARR to be allocated and for the same portion of AARR not to be allocated more than once—clause 6A.23.2(c) Section 6.7 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.

Appendices B and E of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology comply with this requirement.

Subject to clause 11.6.11 of the NER, requirement for adjusting attributable cost share and priority ordering approach to asset costs that would otherwise be attributed to the provision of more than one category of prescribed transmission services—clause 6A.23.2(d)

Appendices B and E of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology comply with this requirement.

Allocation of the ASRR to transmission network connection points

We assessed ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology for allocating the ASRR. We consider this aspect of ElectraNet's proposal meets the NER requirements. Table 12.2 summarises our assessment.

Table 12.2ElectraNet's proposed allocation of the ASRR and the NERrequirements

NER requirements	AER assessment
Requirement for whole ASRR for prescribed entry services to be allocated to transmission network connection points in accordance with the attributable connection point cost share for prescribed entry services that are provided by the TNSP at that connection point— clause 6A.23.3(i)	Sections 6.8 and 6.9 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology comply with this requirement.
Requirement for the whole ASRR prescribed exit services to be allocated to transmission network connection points in accordance with the attributable connection point cost share for prescribed exit services that are provided by the TNSP at that connection point—clause 6A.23.3(j)	Sections 6.8 and 6.9 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology comply with this requirement.
 Requirement for the allocation of the ASRR for: prescribed TUOS services locational components pre-adjusted non-locational components —clause 6A.23.3(a) to (g) 	Section 6.9.3 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
Requirement for adjusting attributable cost share and priority ordering approach to asset costs that would otherwise be attributed to the provision of more than one category of prescribed transmission services—clause 6A.23.2(d)	Appendix E of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
Requirement for the recovery of the ASRR for prescribed common transmission services and the operating and maintenance costs incurred in the provision of those services to be recovered through prices charged to transmission customers and connection points set in accordance with price structure principles set out in clause	Sections 6.8 and 6.9 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology comply with this requirement.

Development of price structure

We assessed ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology and process for developing different prices for recovering the ASRR. We consider this aspect of ElectraNet's proposal meets the NER requirements. Table 12.3 summarises our assessment.

AER assessment

Table 12.3 ElectraNet's proposed pricing structure and the NERrequirements

NER requirements	AER assessment
Requirement for separate prices for each category of prescribed transmission services—clause 6A.23.4(a)	Section 6.11 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
Requirement for fixed annual amount prices for prescribed entry services and prescribed exit services—clause 6A.23.4(g)	Section 6.11.1 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
Requirement for postage stamped prices for prescribed common transmission services—clause 6A.23.4(f)	Section 6.11.3 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
Requirement for prices for locational component of prescribed TUOS services to be based on demand at times of greatest utilisation of the transmission network and for which network investment is most likely to be contemplated—clause 6A.23.4(b)(1)	Section 6.11.2 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
Requirement for prices for the locational component of ASRR for prescribed TUOS services not to change by more than 2 per cent per year compared with the load weighted average prices for this component for the relevant region—clause 6A.23.4(b)(2)	Section 6.11.2 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
Requirement for prices for the adjusted non-locational component of prescribed TUOS services to be on a postage stamp basis—clause 6A.23.4(e)	Section 6.11.3 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
Setting of TUOS locational prices between annual price publications-clause 6A.23.4(b)	Section 6.14 of ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.

12.4.2 Assessment against the pricing methodology guidelines for prescribed transmission services

We are satisfied that ElectraNet's proposed pricing methodology complies with the information requirements of the pricing methodology guidelines.

Key features of the proposal include:

- acknowledging that there are multiple TNSPs in the South Australian region (the other being Murraylink) and that ElectraNet is the Co-ordinating Network Service Provider for the region¹⁵
- calculating the locational component of prescribed TUOS services costs using a cost reflective network pricing methodology¹⁶
- basing the locational prescribed TUOS services price on a contract agreed maximum demand or the average half-hourly maximum demand at a connection point¹⁷
- using a postage stamp pricing structure for the non-locational component of prescribed TUOS services and prescribed common transmission services¹⁸
- using the priority ordering approach under clause 6A.23.3(d) of the NER to implement priority ordering¹⁹
- describing how asset costs that may be attributable to both prescribed entry services and prescribed exit services will be allocated at a connection point²⁰
- describing billing arrangements as in clauses 6A.27, 6A.29A.4 and 6A.29A.5 of the NER²¹
- describing prudential requirements as in clause 6A.28 of the NER²²
- including hypothetical worked examples for:
 - calculation of attributable cost shares²³
 - allocation of ASRR to connection points²⁴
 - modified load export charge²⁵
- describing how ElectraNet intends to monitor and develop records of its compliance with its approved pricing methodology, the pricing principles and Part J of the NER.²⁶

¹⁵ ElectraNet, *Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023*, 28 March 2017, section 6.2, p. 11.

¹⁶ ElectraNet, Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023, 28 March 2017, section 6.11.2, p. 23; Appendix C p. 37.

¹⁷ ElectraNet, *Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023*, 28 March 2017, section 6.11.2, p. 23.

¹⁸ ElectraNet, *Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023*, 28 March 2017, section 6.11.3, p. 25.

¹⁹ ElectraNet, Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023, 28 March 2017, Appendix B, p. 35; Appendix E p. 40.

²⁰ ElectraNet, *Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023*, 28 March 2017, section 6.6.1, p. 14.

²¹ ElectraNet, *Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023*, 28 March 2017, section 7, p. 29.

²² ElectraNet, *Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023*, 28 March 2017, section 9, p. 18.

²³ ElectraNet, *Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023*, 28 March 2017, section 6.7, p. 15.

²⁴ ElectraNet, *Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023*, 28 March 2017, section 6.1, pp. 11-12.

²⁵ ElectraNet, Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023, 28 March 2017, Appendix F section 6, p. 57.

²⁶ ElectraNet, *Proposed Pricing Methodology 1 July 2018 - 30 June 2023, 28 March 2017, section 10, p. 31.*