

Summary

1 Workshop process

- Clare Savage opened the workshop with a brief address from the perspective of Chair of the leadership group.
- Executive Central Group started the workshop by discussing the role of the design group and seeking endorsement of the Ethical Statement (which was developed by the facilitators).

Key outcome: The design group proposed minor amendments to the Ethical Statement, which were made before signing.

- The facilitators explained the design thinking process in the context of the game changer.
- The AER introduced customer personas based on its lived experience research.
- The design group worked within their home teams (see **3** below) to break down the problem statement into ‘How might we ...’ statements.
- Each home team prioritised 4–5 of their strongest ‘How might we ...’ statements.
- The design group collectively voted on the ‘How might we ...’ statements to further prioritise six statements.
- Each home team generated and grouped ideas for solutions in response to the six prioritised ‘How might we ...’ statements.
- Each home team nominated two ‘How might we ...’ statements for further exploration.

2 Workshop outputs

- Signed Ethical Statements.
- Six prioritised ‘How might we...’ statements, allocated across home teams:
 1. How might we develop a future-proof support system and ensure all Australians benefit from the energy transition? (**Team 1**)
 2. How might we make energy affordable and accessible for all consumers? (**Team 1**)
 3. How might we ensure that people have the right supports at the right time? (**Team 2**)
 4. How might we enable consumers experiencing vulnerability to confidently access energy that is affordable for their needs? (**Team 2**)
 5. How might we ensure shared responsibility across the supply chain, other sectors, and other stakeholders? (**Team 3**)
 6. How might we ensure energy is affordable for all Australians? (**Team 3**)
- Diverse set of ideas for solutions responding to the above ‘How might we ...’ statements, for further development by home teams in preparation for Workshop 2.

3 Formation and composition of home teams

- Members were allocated into home teams by the game changer secretariat and facilitators, to ensure balanced representation of expertise, skills and perspectives across groups.

Key outcome: Team composition was discussed at the workshop, with no changes made.

4 Post-workshop feedback

- The facilitator sought feedback on the workshop and input into future workshops via an online survey.
- There was broad agreement among survey respondents that the workshop offered attendees the ability to engage in conversation and make their views heard.
- Members of the design group also expressed strong engagement with the process of designing a game changer solution, citing specifically that they found the other members' experience and insight engaging.
- Respondents expressed moderate confidence in the ability to deliver a game changer solution.
- The design group has mixed preferences regarding whether future workshops should be conducted face-to-face or online. However, we received feedback that online workshops are more inclusive in allowing participation across the group.

Key outcome: Based on survey feedback, Workshop 2 has been confirmed to take place fully online at 10 am – 12 pm (AEDT) on Tuesday, 29 November 2022. Details for Workshop 3 (to take place in February 2023) are still being confirmed with reference to survey feedback.

Actions

Section	Action	Owner
1	Submit signed Ethical Statement to game changer secretariat (if outstanding)	Members
1	Provide home team resources and support for online collaboration platform (Miro)	AER

Attachments

- A. Final **Ethical Statement for Game Changer Design Group** (developed by the facilitators and incorporating amendments made during the workshop)