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1. Note
2. This attachment forms part of the AER's final decision on the access arrangement for the Amadeus Gas Pipeline for 2016–21. It should be read with all other parts of the final decision.
3. The final decision includes the following documents:
4. Overview
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Attachment 4 - Value of imputation credits
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1. Shortened forms

| 1. Shortened form | | 1. Extended form |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. AA | Access Arrangement | |
| 1. AAI | Access Arrangement Information | |
| 1. APTNT | 1. APT Pipelines (NT) Pty Limited (APTNT) | |
| 1. AER | 1. Australian Energy Regulator | |
| 1. AGP | Amadeus Gas Pipeline | |
| 1. ATO | Australian Tax Office | |
| 1. capex | 1. capital expenditure | |
| 1. CAPM | 1. capital asset pricing model | |
| 1. CPI | 1. consumer price index | |
| 1. DRP | 1. debt risk premium | |
| 1. ECM | Efficiency carryover mechanism | |
| 1. ERP | 1. equity risk premium | |
| 1. Expenditure Guideline | Expenditure Forecast Assessment Guideline | |
| 1. gamma | value of imputation credits | |
| 1. GTA | Gas Transport Services Agreement | |
| 1. MRP | 1. market risk premium | |
| 1. NGP | 1. Northern Gas Pipeline (formerly North East Gas Interconnector/NEGI) | |
| 1. NGL | 1. National Gas Law | |
| 1. NGO | 1. National Gas Objective | |
| 1. NGR | 1. National Gas Rules | |
| 1. NPV | net present value | |
| 1. opex | 1. operating expenditure | |
| 1. PTRM | 1. post-tax revenue model | |
| 1. RBA | 1. Reserve Bank of Australia | |
| 1. RFM | 1. roll forward model | |
| 1. RIN | 1. regulatory information notice | |
| 1. RPP | 1. revenue and pricing principles | |
| 1. SLCAPM | 1. Sharpe-Lintner capital asset pricing model | |
| 1. TAB | tax asset base | |
| 1. UAFG | unaccounted for gas | |
| 1. WACC | 1. weighted average cost of capital | |
| 1. WPI | Wage Price Index | |

# Efficiency carryover mechanism

An efficiency carryover mechanism (ECM) provides an additional incentive for service providers to pursue efficiency improvements in operating expenditure (opex).

To encourage a service provider to become more efficient during the access arrangement period, it is allowed to keep any difference between its approved forecast and its actual opex during an access arrangement period. This is supplemented by the ECM, which allows the service provider to retain efficiency savings and efficiency losses for a longer period of time.

Together, these rewards and penalties work to provide a continuous incentive for a service provider to pursue efficiency gains over the access arrangement period. The ECM also discourages a service provider from incurring opex in the expected base year in order to receive a higher opex allowance in the following access arrangement period.

APTNT's current access arrangement (for 2011–16) does not include an ECM. APTNT did not propose to apply an ECM to its opex in the 2016–21 access arrangement period.

## Final decision

Our final decision is to apply an ECM to APTNT in the 2016–21 access arrangement period. The ECM we approve is the same mechanism in our draft decision, and is consistent with version two of the Efficiency Benefit Sharing Scheme (EBSS) which we published for electricity distributors in November 2013.

We have revised the access arrangement having regard to our reasons for refusing to approve APTNT's revised proposal and the further matters in rule 64(2) of the NGR. Our revisions are reflected in sections 1.4 and 8 of the Approved Access Arrangement for the Amadeus Gas Pipeline for 2016–21, which gives effect to this decision.

## APTNT’s revised proposal

APTNT did not include an ECM (which it refers to as an EBSS) in its revised access arrangement proposal. APTNT reiterated its argument that its existing commercial arrangements provide it with adequate incentives, and the application of the efficiency carryover mechanism introduces a regulatory burden without offsetting benefits. APTNT stated:[[1]](#footnote-1)

[it] already faces strong incentives to:

- improve its ongoing efficiency;

- defer or avoid capital expenditure;

- increase the utilisation of the pipeline.

It also reiterated that:[[2]](#footnote-2)

… its revenue under the long term contract is not linked to regulatory outcomes. The EBSS would not provide a cash incentive to the business to improve its efficiency … Similarly, an EBSS would also not share any efficiency gains with shippers.

APTNT submitted that the inclusion of the ECM appeared to reflect AER policy, and did not appear to take into account existing incentive mechanisms.[[3]](#footnote-3)

APTNT requested that should the AER impose an ECM, that we address the impact of the trigger event occurring on the ECM. APTNT stated the ECM set out in our draft decision did not adequately deal with the circumstance of a constrained (less than 5 years) access arrangement period.[[4]](#footnote-4) This trigger is discussed in Attachment 12 to this final decision.

## AER’s assessment approach

Our assessment approach is the same as in the draft decision.[[5]](#footnote-5) In the draft decision we also discuss the interrelationships between the ECM, our forecasting approach and other incentive schemes.

## Reasons for final decision

Our decision is to apply an ECM to APTNT for the 2016–21 access arrangement period.[[6]](#footnote-6)

Table 7.1 in Attachment 7 sets out our final decision on the forecast opex against which we will calculate efficiency gains (or losses) under the ECM in the 2016–21 access arrangement period.

Commercial arrangements

APTNT did not agree with the AER's draft decision to apply an opex efficiency carryover mechanism to it on the grounds that the existing long term contract already imposed incentives on it to improve its ongoing efficiency.

While APTNT submitted its existing long term contract already imposes incentives upon it to improve its ongoing efficiency, it has not provided any evidence to support its assertion. Further, while APTNT has reduced its opex over the current access arrangement period, we have no ability to judge whether commercial arrangements will incentivise APTNT to continue to make efficiency gains over future access arrangement periods.

We have established APTNT's opex for the next access arrangement period using our top-down revealed cost methodology. The ECM is intrinsically linked to this methodology. This is because the ECM provides us with greater confidence that the base year is efficient and can be used to forecast opex for a future access arrangement period. It also provides confidence that a service provider has a continuous incentive to make efficiency gains.[[7]](#footnote-7) For these reasons we consider it is necessary to apply an ECM to APTNT in the 2016–21 period.

Regulatory burden

APTNT argued there were no benefits associated with the imposition of an ECM.[[8]](#footnote-8) However we consider that benefits will accrue from the application of an ECM in the long term.

In a regulatory framework that includes an ECM, cost shifting to inflate base year opex is penalised through negative carryover amounts. In such an environment, the efficiency of base year opex to be used for forecasting opex in future periods is a less intrusive top-down process, reducing regulatory burden in future years.

Further, the presence of an ECM increases transparency. It provides AGP users and future users with information which should assist the negotiation of future contracts with APTNT.

We are also confident that the costs likely to be incurred due to the application of an ECM will be negligible. This is because the information used to calculate efficiency carryover amounts is already captured by APTNT. We also note that APTNT has not provided any information on the expected costs arising from the imposition of an ECM.

Any marginal increase in the regulatory burden facing APTNT is likely to be offset by the savings arising from the use of a non-intrusive top-down assessment of opex by us and APTNT in future regulatory periods.

Early review trigger

The Northern Territory Government has commenced a process to enable gas from the Northern Territory to reach south eastern gas markets. The new pipeline, Northern Gas Pipeline (NGP, formerly NEGI), is expected to be completed in 2018.[[9]](#footnote-9) The interconnection of the AGP with south-eastern gas markets via the NGP has potential to impact on APTNT's opex requirements due to increased demand for gas through the AGP.

In our draft decision, to recognise the uncertainty around the timing and potential impact of the NGP on the AGP, we incorporated a trigger event to allow the access arrangement to be reviewed prior to the end of the 2016–21 access arrangement period should this become necessary.[[10]](#footnote-10) APTNT, while not supporting the application of the ECM, submitted that the ECM set out in our draft decision did not adequately address the impact of the trigger event occurring.

Should it become clear that the implications of the NGP for this access arrangement are substantial, the trigger event may be employed to require an early review of APTNT's access arrangement. If an early review does occur, one possible result is an access arrangement period that is less than the five years approved in this final decision. APTNT may also incur opex associated with interconnection to the NGP. Both of these factors potentially impact on the operation of the ECM.

The ECM approved in this final decision explicitly excludes opex not forecast using the single-year revealed cost method. Therefore, any opex associated with the new pipeline interconnection would be excluded from the operation of the ECM.

The ECM already provides flexibility for the equations used to determine carryover amounts to be amended to take into account changes in the length of the access arrangement period, where this differs from that expected at the time of our decision.[[11]](#footnote-11) As a result, we do not consider there is any need to amend the ECM to specifically address potential changes to the length of the access arrangement period that may result from the trigger event.

For these reasons we remain satisfied that the ECM set out in our draft decision is compatible with the trigger for early review.
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