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1. Shortened forms

| Shortened form | Extended form |
| --- | --- |
| AEMC | Australian Energy Market Commission |
| AEMO | Australian Energy Market Operator |
| AER | Australian Energy Regulator |
| augex | augmentation expenditure |
| capex | capital expenditure |
| CCP | Consumer Challenge Panel |
| CESS | capital expenditure sharing scheme |
| CPI | consumer price index |
| DRP | debt risk premium |
| DMIA | demand management innovation allowance |
| DMIS | demand management incentive scheme |
| distributor | distribution network service provider |
| DUoS | distribution use of system |
| EBSS | efficiency benefit sharing scheme |
| ERP | equity risk premium |
| Expenditure Assessment Guideline | Expenditure Forecast Assessment Guideline for electricity distribution |
| F&A | framework and approach |
| MRP | market risk premium |
| NEL | national electricity law |
| NEM | national electricity market |
| NEO | national electricity objective |
| NER | national electricity rules |
| NSP | network service provider |
| opex | operating expenditure |
| PPI | partial performance indicators |
| PTRM | post-tax revenue model |
| RAB | regulatory asset base |
| RBA | Reserve Bank of Australia |
| repex | replacement expenditure |
| RFM | roll forward model |
| RIN | regulatory information notice |
| RPP | revenue and pricing principles |
| SAIDI | system average interruption duration index |
| SAIFI | system average interruption frequency index |
| SLCAPM | Sharpe-Lintner capital asset pricing model |
| STPIS | service target performance incentive scheme |
| WACC | weighted average cost of capital |

#  Capital expenditure sharing scheme

1. The capital expenditure sharing scheme (CESS) provides financial rewards for network service providers whose capex becomes more efficient and financial penalties for those that become less efficient. Consumers benefit from improved efficiency through lower regulated prices. This attachment sets out how we will apply the CESS to Energex in the 2015–20 regulatory control period.
2. As part of the Better Regulation program we consulted on and published version 1 of the Capital Expenditure Incentive Guideline (Capex Incentive Guideline), which sets out the CESS. The CESS approximates efficiency gains and efficiency losses by calculating the difference between forecast and actual capex. It shares these gains or losses between service providers and consumers.
3. The CESS works as follows:
* We calculate the cumulative underspend or overspend for the current regulatory control period in net present value terms.
* We apply the sharing ratio of 30 per cent to the cumulative underspend or overspend to work out what the service provider's share of the underspend or overspend should be.
* We calculate the CESS payments taking into account the financing benefit or cost to the service provider of the underspends or overspends. We can also make further adjustments to account for deferral of capex and ex post exclusions of capex from the RAB.
* The CESS payments will be added or subtracted to the service provider's regulated revenue as a separate building block in the next regulatory control period.
1. Under the CESS a service provider retains 30 per cent of an underspend or overspend, while consumers retain 70 per cent of the underspend or overspend. This means that for a one dollar saving in capex the service provider keeps 30 cents of the benefit while consumers keep 70 cents of the benefit.

##  Final decision

1. We will apply the CESS as set out in version 1 of the Capex Incentive Guideline to Energex in the 2015–20 regulatory control period.[[1]](#footnote-1)

##  Energex’s revised proposal

Energex accepted our preliminary decision to apply the CESS as set out in the Guideline for the 2015–20 regulatory control period.[[2]](#footnote-2)

##  AER’s assessment approach

1. In deciding whether to apply a CESS to a network service provider, and the nature and details of any CESS to apply to a service provider, we must:[[3]](#footnote-3)
* make that decision in a manner that contributes to the capex incentive objective[[4]](#footnote-4)
* take into account the CESS principles,[[5]](#footnote-5) the interaction of the CESS with any other incentives that the service provider may have to undertake efficient opex or capex, the capex objectives,[[6]](#footnote-6) and, if relevant, the opex objectives, as they apply to the particular service provider, as well as the circumstances of the service provider.
1. Broadly, the capex incentive objective is to ensure that only capex that meets the capex criteria enters the RAB used to set prices. Therefore, consumers only fund capex that is efficient and prudent.

### Interrelationships

1. The CESS relates to other incentives Energex faces to incur efficient opex, conduct demand management, and maintain or improve service levels.[[7]](#footnote-7) We aim to incentivise network service providers to make efficient decisions on when and what type of expenditure to incur, and to balance expenditure efficiencies with service quality. We discuss these interrelationships where relevant as part of our reasons below and in our capex attachment (attachment 6).

##  Reasons for final decision

1. We are satisfied with Energex's proposal to apply the CESS as set out in the Capex Incentive Guideline.
2. For capex, the sharing of underspends and overspends happens at the end of each regulatory control period when we update a network service provider's RAB to include new capex. If a network service provider spends less than its approved forecast during a period, it will benefit within that period. Consumers benefit at the end of that period when the RAB is updated to include less capex compared to if the service provider had spent the full amount of the capex forecast.
3. Without a CESS the incentive for a service provider to spend less than its forecast capex declines throughout the period. This is because, as the end of the regulatory control period approaches, the time available for the service provider to retain any savings gets shorter. So the earlier a service provider incurs a capex underspend in the regulatory period, the greater its reward will be. As a result, the incentive for a service provider to spend less than its capex forecast declines throughout the period. Because of this, a service provider may choose to spend capex earlier than necessary, spend on capex when it may otherwise have spent on opex, or spend less on capex at the expense of service quality—even if it may not be efficient to do so.
4. In developing the CESS we took into account the capex incentive objective, capex criteria, capex objectives, and the CESS principles. With the CESS, Energex will face the same reward and penalty in each year of a regulatory control period for capex underspends or overspends. The CESS will provide Energex with an ex ante incentive to spend only efficient capex. Energex will be rewarded through the CESS for making capex efficiency gains. Conversely, Energex will be penalised through the CESS for making capex efficiency losses. In this way, Energex will be more likely to incur only efficient capex when subject to a CESS, so any capex included in the RAB is more likely to reflect the capex criteria. In particular, if Energex is subject to the CESS, its capex is more likely to be efficient and to reflect the costs of a prudent service provider.
5. The Total Environment Centre disagreed with a reliance on incentive schemes to drive demand management activity. It considered there was strong evidence that the regulatory framework has failed to incentivise demand management to date, and exhibits an unreasonable level of faith in newly introduced incentives that remain untested.[[8]](#footnote-8)
6. We addressed these issues in our explanatory statements to the Capex Incentive Guideline.[[9]](#footnote-9) Expenditure on demand management generally takes the form of opex rather than capex. Successful demand management should result in the network service provider spending less on capex than it otherwise would have. Both the CESS and EBSS will apply to Energex in the subsequent regulatory control period. As a result Energex has an incentive to implement a demand management solution if the increase in opex is less than the corresponding decrease in capex. In this way, it will receive a net reward for implementing demand management.[[10]](#footnote-10) This is because the rewards and penalties under the EBSS and CESS are balanced and symmetric. In the past where the EBSS operated without a CESS, we excluded expenditure on demand management when calculating rewards and penalties under the scheme. This was because service providers may otherwise receive a penalty for increasing opex without a corresponding reward for decreasing capex.[[11]](#footnote-11)

Additionally, the Australian Energy Market Commission finalised its demand management rule change in August 2015. Under the new rules we must develop and publish a demand management incentive scheme and innovation allowance by December 2016. The new incentive scheme and innovation allowance can be applied from the next round of regulatory determinations, preparation for which commences in early 2017. The AEMC did not consider it was appropriate to provide for the application of the new incentive scheme or innovation allowance midway through a regulatory control period.[[12]](#footnote-12)

We will apply the CESS as set out in the capex incentives guideline and develop and implement other demand management incentives in accordance with the new rules.
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