

FINAL DECISION United Energy distribution determination 2016 to 2020

Attachment 15 – Pass through events

May 2016



and an address

© Commonwealth of Australia 2016

This work is copyright. In addition to any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, all material contained within this work is provided under a Creative Commons Attributions 3.0 Australia licence, with the exception of:

- the Commonwealth Coat of Arms
- the ACCC and AER logos
- any illustration, diagram, photograph or graphic over which the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission does not hold copyright, but which may be part of or contained within this publication. The details of the relevant licence conditions are available on the Creative Commons website, as is the full legal code for the CC BY 3.0 AU licence.

Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the:

Director, Corporate Communications Australian Competition and Consumer Commission GPO Box 4141, Canberra ACT 2601

or publishing.unit@accc.gov.au.

Inquiries about this publication should be addressed to:

Australian Energy Regulator GPO Box 520 Melbourne Vic 3001

Tel: (03) 9290 1444 Fax: (03) 9290 1457

Email: <u>AERInguiry@aer.gov.au</u>

Note

This attachment forms part of the AER's final decision on United Energy's distribution determination for 2016–20. It should be read with all other parts of the final decision.

The final decision includes the following documents:

Overview

- Attachment 1 Annual revenue requirement
- Attachment 2 Regulatory asset base

Attachment 3 - Rate of return

- Attachment 4 Value of imputation credits
- Attachment 5 Regulatory depreciation
- Attachment 6 Capital expenditure
- Attachment 7 Operating expenditure
- Attachment 8 Corporate income tax
- Attachment 9 Efficiency benefit sharing scheme
- Attachment 10 Capital expenditure sharing scheme
- Attachment 11 Service target performance incentive scheme
- Attachment 12 Demand management incentive scheme
- Attachment 13 Classification of services
- Attachment 14 Control mechanisms
- Attachment 15 Pass through events
- Attachment 16 Alternative control services
- Attachment 17 Negotiated services framework and criteria
- Attachment 18 f-factor scheme

Contents

| | Note | | | |
|------------------------|------|-------|---|--|
| Contents | | | | |
| Shortened forms15 | | | | |
| 15 Pass through events | | | igh events15-6 | |
| | 15.1 | Fin | al decision15-6 | |
| | 15.2 | Uni | ited Energy's revised proposal15-9 | |
| | 15.3 | Ass | sessment approach15-10 | |
| | 15 | 5.3.1 | Assessment of pass through applications 15-12 | |
| | 15 | 5.3.2 | Interrelationships15-14 | |
| | 15.4 | Rea | asons for final decision15-15 | |
| | 15 | 5.4.1 | Insurance cap event and insurer credit risk event 15-15 | |
| | 15 | 5.4.2 | Natural disaster event 15-16 | |
| | 15 | 5.4.3 | Terrorism event | |
| | 15 | 5.4.4 | Retailer insolvency event 15-19 | |

Shortened forms

| Shortened form | Extended form |
|----------------------------------|--|
| AEMC | Australian Energy Market Commission |
| AEMO | Australian Energy Market Operator |
| AER | Australian Energy Regulator |
| АМІ | Advanced metering infrastructure |
| augex | augmentation expenditure |
| capex | capital expenditure |
| ССР | Consumer Challenge Panel |
| CESS | capital expenditure sharing scheme |
| CPI | consumer price index |
| DRP | debt risk premium |
| DMIA | demand management innovation allowance |
| DMIS | demand management incentive scheme |
| distributor | distribution network service provider |
| DUoS | distribution use of system |
| EBSS | efficiency benefit sharing scheme |
| ERP | equity risk premium |
| Expenditure Assessment Guideline | Expenditure Forecast Assessment Guideline for Electricity Distribution |
| F&A | framework and approach |
| MRP | market risk premium |
| NEL | national electricity law |
| NEM | national electricity market |
| NEO | national electricity objective |
| NER | national electricity rules |
| NSP | network service provider |
| opex | operating expenditure |
| PPI | partial performance indicators |
| PTRM | post-tax revenue model |
| RAB | regulatory asset base |
| RBA | Reserve Bank of Australia |

| Shortened form | Extended form |
|----------------|---|
| repex | replacement expenditure |
| RFM | roll forward model |
| RIN | regulatory information notice |
| RPP | revenue and pricing principles |
| SAIDI | system average interruption duration index |
| SAIFI | system average interruption frequency index |
| SLCAPM | Sharpe-Lintner capital asset pricing model |
| STPIS | service target performance incentive scheme |
| WACC | weighted average cost of capital |

15Pass through events

During the regulatory control period, a service provider can apply to us to pass material changes in its costs arising from pre-defined exogenous events through to customers, in the form of higher or lower network charges. These events are called cost pass through events. Positive pass throughs exist in the rules as a mechanism to allow service providers to recover their efficient costs incurred as a result of events that could not be forecast as part of their proposal that otherwise would have a significant financial effect on the ability of networks to invest in and operate their networks.¹

The NER include the following pass through events for all distribution determinations:²

a regulatory change event

a service standard event

a tax change event

a retailer insolvency event³.

In addition to these prescribed events, other (nominated) pass through events may be specified in a determination for a regulatory control period.⁴

This attachment sets out our decision on the nominated pass through events that will apply to United Energy for the 2016–20 regulatory control period.

15.1 Final decision

Our final decision is that the nominated pass through events set out in Table 15.1 will apply to direct control services provided by United Energy for the 2016–20 regulatory control period.

| Event | Definition |
|---------------------|--|
| | An insurance cap event occurs if: |
| Insurance cap event | (a) United Energy makes a claim or claims and receives the benefit of a payment or payments under a relevant insurance policy; |

Table 15.1 Approved nominated pass through events

¹ AEMC, Cost pass through arrangements for Network Service Providers, Rule Determination, 2 August 2012, p. 2.

² NER, cll. 6A.7.3(a1)(1)–(4) / 6.6.1(a1)(1)–(4). Each of these prescribed events is defined in Chapter 10 (Glossary) of the NER.

³ The retailer insolvency event is not available to Victorian DNSPs until the commencement of the National Energy Retail Law in Victoria.

⁴ NER, cll. 6A.7.3(a1)(5) / 6.6.1(a1)(5).

| Event | Definition |
|---------------------------|--|
| | (b) United Energy incurs costs beyond the policy limit; and |
| | (c) the costs beyond the policy limit increase the costs to United Energy in providing direct control services. |
| | For this Insurance Cap Event: |
| | (d) a relevant insurance policy is an insurance policy held during the 2016-20 regulatory control period or a previous regulatory control period in which United Energy was regulated; and |
| | (e) United Energy will be deemed to have made a claim on a relevant insurance policy if the claim is made by a related party of United Energy in relation to any aspect of the Network or United Energy's business. |
| | Note in making a determination on an Insurance Cap Event, the AER will have regard to, amongst other things: |
| | (i) the insurance policy for the event |
| | (ii) the level of insurance that an efficient and prudent NSP would obtain in respect of the event, and |
| | (iii) any assessment by the AER of United Energy's insurance in making its distribution determination for the relevant period. |
| | An insurer credit risk event occurs if: |
| | An insurer of United Energy becomes insolvent, and as a result, in respect of an existing or potential insurance claim for a risk that was insured by the insolvent insurer, United Energy: |
| | (a) is subject to a higher or lower claim limit or a higher or lower deductible than would have otherwise applied under the insolvent insurer's policy; or |
| Insurer credit risk event | (b) incurs additional costs associated with funding an insurance claim, which would otherwise have been covered by the insolvent insurer. |
| | Note: In assessing an insurer's credit risk event pass through application, the AER will have regard to, amongst other things, |
| | (i) United Energy's attempts to mitigate and prevent the event from occurring by reviewing and considering the insurer's track record, size, credit rating and reputation |
| | (ii) In the event that a claim would have been made after the insurance provider became insolvent, whether United Energy had reasonable opportunity to insure the risk with a different provider. |

| Event | Definition |
|---------------------------|---|
| | Natural disaster event means any natural disaster including but not limited to fire, flood or earthquake that occurs during the 2016-20 regulatory control period that increases the costs to United Energy in providing direct control services, provided the fire, flood or other event was not a consequence of the acts or omissions of the service provider. |
| Natural disaster event | Note: In assessing a natural disaster event pass through application, the AER will have regard to, amongst other things: |
| | (i) whether United Energy has insurance against the event, and |
| | (ii) the level of insurance that an efficient and prudent NSP would obtain in respect of the event. |
| | Terrorism event means an act (including, but not limited to, the use of force or violence or the threat of force or violence) of any person or group of persons (whether acting alone or on behalf of or in connection with any organisation or government), which: |
| | (a) from its nature or context is done for, or in connection with, political, religious, ideological, ethnic or similar purposes or reasons (including the intention to influence or intimidate any government and/or put the public, or any section of the public, in fear), and |
| Terrorism event | (b) increases the costs to United Energy in providing direct control services. |
| | Note: In assessing a terrorism event pass through application, the AER will have regard to, amongst other things: |
| | (i) whether United Energy has insurance against the event |
| | (ii) the level of insurance that an efficient and prudent NSP would obtain in respect of the event, and |
| | (iii) whether a declaration has been made by a relevant government authority that an act of terrorism has occurred. |
| Retailer insolvency event | Until such time as the National Energy Retail Law set out in the Schedule to the National Energy Retail Law (South Australia) Act 2011 of South Australia is applied as a law of Victoria, retailer insolvency event has the meaning set out in the NER as in force from time to time, except that: |
| | (a) where used in the definition of 'retailer insolvency event' in the NER, the term 'retailer' means the holder of a licence to sell electricity under the Electricity Industry Act 2000 (Vic); |

Event

Definition

and

(b) other terms used in the definition of retailer insolvency event in the Rules as a consequence of amendments made to that definition from time to time, which would otherwise take their meaning by reference to provisions of the NER or National Energy Retail Law not in force in Victoria, take their ordinary meaning and natural meaning, or their technical meaning (as the case may be).

For the purposes of this definition, the terms 'eligible pass through amount' and 'positive change event' where they appear in the NER are modified in respect of this retailer insolvency event in the same manner as those terms are modified in respect of the retailer insolvency event prescribed in the NER from time to time.

Note: This retailer insolvency event will cease to apply as a nominated pass through event on commencement of the National Energy Retail Law in Victoria.

15.2 United Energy's revised proposal

Our preliminary decision accepted, with modified definitions, the following pass through events nominated by United Energy:

- insurance cap event
- insurers credit risk event
- natural disaster event
- terrorism event
- retailer insolvency event.

We also accepted United Energy's proposal that the pass through provisions apply to direct control services, including standard and alternative control services.

We did not accept United Energy's proposed National Energy Customer Framework event, which we considered was already covered by the prescribed regulatory change and service standard events.⁵

In its revised proposal, United Energy:⁶

⁵ AER, Preliminary Decision, United Energy Distribution Determination 2016 to 2020, Attachment 15 - Pass Through Events, October 2015.

⁶ United Energy, 2016–20 Revised Regulatory Proposal, Chapter 11 - Pass through events, January 2016, p. 94.

- modified the definitions of the insurance cap event, insurer credit risk event and natural disaster event in line with our preliminary decision
- proposed alternative definitions for the terrorism event and the retailer insolvency event
- accepted our preliminary decision to reject the National Energy Customer Framework event.

15.3 Assessment approach

The NER set out how we must assess nominated pass through events proposed by a service provider, and how we must assess an application from a service provider to pass through changes in costs where an event occurs.

In this decision, our task is to determine whether to accept the nominated pass through events. However, in doing so we also consider factors that may be relevant to our assessment of an application made in respect of an event, to test the workability of the event under the pass through mechanism.

Assessment of nominated pass through events

The NER include the following pass through events for all distribution determinations:⁷

- a regulatory change event
- a service standard event
- a tax change event
- a retailer insolvency event.

They also allow a service provider to propose other events be specified in a determination as a pass through event for that determination.⁸ Our final decision must include a decision on the additional (nominated) pass through events that are to apply for the regulatory control period.⁹

Our assessment approach is guided by the National Electricity Objective (NEO) and the Revenue and Pricing Principles. These provide that the service provider should be provided with a reasonable opportunity to recover at least the efficient costs incurred in providing services and complying with its obligations.¹⁰ They also provide incentives to promote economic efficiency.¹¹ Together, they promote a balance between the economic costs and risks of the potential for under and over investment by a service

⁷ NER, cll. 6A.7.3(a1)(1)–(4) / 6.6.1(a1)(1)–(4). Each of these prescribed events is defined in NER Chapter 10: Glossary

⁸ NER, cll. 6A.7.3(a1)(5) / 6.6.1(a1)(5).

⁹ NER, cll. 6A.14.1(9) / 6.12.1(14).

¹⁰ NEL, s. 7A(2).

¹¹ NEL, s. 7A(3).

provider, to promote efficient investment.¹² In the context of pass through events, we have particular regard to the impact on price, quality, reliability and security of supply that may arise as a result of any change in the efficient operation of, and ability and incentive of, a service provider to invest in its network.¹³

In determining whether we accept a nominated pass through event, we must take into account the nominated pass through event considerations:¹⁴

The nominated pass through event considerations are:

- (a) whether the event proposed is an event covered by a category of pass through event specified in clause 6.6.1(a1)(1) to (4) (in the case of a distribution determination) or clause 6A.7.3(a1)(1) to (4) (in the case of a transmission determination);
- (b) whether the nature or type of event can be clearly identified at the time the determination is made for the service provider;
- (c) whether a prudent service provider could reasonably prevent an event of that nature or type from occurring or substantially mitigate the cost impact of such an event;
- (d) whether the relevant service provider could insure against the event, having regard to:

(1) the availability (including the extent of availability in terms of liability limits) of insurance against the event on reasonable commercial terms; or

- (2) whether the event can be self-insured on the basis that:
 - (i) it is possible to calculate the self-insurance premium; and
 - (ii) the potential cost to the relevant service provider would not have a significant impact on the service provider's ability to provide network services; and.
- (e) any other matter the AER considers relevant and which the AER has notified Network Service Providers is a nominated pass through event consideration.

The AEMC described the purpose of the nominated pass through event considerations as:

 to incorporate and reflect the essential components of a cost pass through regime in the NER. It was intended that in order for appropriate incentives to be maintained, any nominated pass through event should only be accepted when event avoidance, mitigation, commercial insurance and self-insurance are unavailable. That is, a cost pass through event is the least efficient option for managing the risk of unforeseen events.¹⁵

¹² NEL, s. 7A(6).

¹³ NEL, s. 7; AEMC 2012, Cost pass through arrangements for Network Service Providers, Rule Determination, 2 August 2012, p. 6.

¹⁴ NER, cll. 6A.6.9(b) / 6.5.10(b); NER Chapter 10: Glossary, definition of 'nominated pass through event considerations'.

¹⁵ AEMC, Cost pass through arrangements for Network Service Providers, Rule Determination, 2 August 2012, p. 19.

that a pass through event should only be accepted when it is the least inefficient option and event avoidance, mitigation, commercial insurance and self-insurance are found to be inappropriate. That is, it is included after ascertaining the most efficient allocation of risks between a service provider and end customers.¹⁶

In turn, this protects the incentive regime under the NER by limiting the erosion of incentives on service providers to use market based mechanisms to mitigate the cost impacts that would arise.¹⁷ This promotes the efficient investment in, and efficient operation and use of, network services for the long term interests of consumers with respect to price.¹⁸

As a matter of good regulatory practice, one additional matter¹⁹ we take into account is consistency in our approach to assessing nominated pass through events across our electricity determinations and gas access arrangements.²⁰

Another additional matter we take into account²¹ is how an application to pass through costs after an event occurs will be assessed, and the workability of the nominated pass through event in the context of the NER cost pass through mechanism. This is discussed in section 15.3.1 below.

15.3.1 Assessment of pass through applications

A pass through event is triggered when a defined event occurs, and entails the service provider incurring materially higher (or lower) costs than it would have occurred but for that event.²² When the change in costs is positive (that is, costs increase), the service provider may seek our approval to pass through an increase in the costs that it has incurred and is likely to incur over the regulatory control period to its users.²³ When the change in costs decrease), the service provider must provide us with a statement of the amount to be passed through.²⁴

The pass through mechanism does not allow a service provider to pass through any change in its actual costs resulting from an event. As the AEMC has noted:²⁵

The natural incentive properties of cost pass throughs are very weak. There is no direct financial benefit to the [service provider] from out performing in relation to those events that are covered by cost pass throughs, unlike the incentive arrangements for operating expenditure captured in the building blocks.

¹⁶ AEMC, Cost pass through arrangements for Network Service Providers, Rule Determination, 2 August 2012, p. 20.

¹⁷ AEMC, Cost pass through arrangements for Network Service Providers, Rule Determination, 2 August 2012, p. 8.

¹⁸ AEMC, Cost pass through arrangements for Network Service Providers, Rule Determination, 2 August 2012, p. 8.

¹⁹ NER, Chapter 10: Glossary, definition of 'nominated pass through event considerations', cl. (e).

²⁰ AEMC, Cost pass through arrangements for Network Service Providers, Rule Determination, 2 August 2012, p. 18.

²¹ NER, Chapter 10: Glossary, definition of 'nominated pass through event considerations', cl. (e).

²² NER, Chapter 10: Glossary, definitions of 'positive change event' and 'negative change event'.

²³ NER, cl. 6.6.1(c).

²⁴ NER, cl. 6.6.1(f).

²⁵ AEMC, Cost pass through arrangements for Network Service Providers, Rule Determination, 2 August 2012, p. 3.

...the NER allow the AER to take into account the efficiency of the provider's decisions and actions in relation to the risk, as an attempt to impose some incentives to not overspend in relation to cost pass throughs. However, the incentives to find cost efficiencies on matters that can be claimed as cost pass through events are very poor.

A materiality threshold is first applied so that an application to pass through costs (whether positive or negative) can only be made where the total change in costs resulting from the event is more than one per cent of the annual revenue requirement for the NSP for the relevant regulatory year.²⁶

In making a determination on the amount to be passed through, we must then take into account:²⁷

- the information provided to us by the NSP
- the increase in the costs of providing direct control services that the service provider has incurred and is likely to incur (or the costs in the provision of prescribed transmission services the NSP has saved and is likely to save) until:
 - \circ $\,$ the end of the regulatory control period in which the event occurred; or
 - if the distribution determination for the regulatory control period following that in which the event occurred does not make any allowance for the recovery of that increase in costs (or the pass through of those cost savings) – the end of the regulatory control period following that in which the event occurred;
- for an increase in costs, the efficiency of the NSP's decisions and actions in relation to the risk of the event, including whether the service provider has failed to take any action that could reasonably be taken to reduce the magnitude of the eligible pass through amount in respect of that event and whether the NSP has taken or omitted to take any action where such action or omission has increased the magnitude of the amount in respect of that event;
- the time cost of money based on the allowed rate of return for the service provider for the regulatory control period in which the pass through event occurred;
- the need to ensure that the service provider only recovers any actual or likely
 increment in costs to the extent that such increment is solely as a consequence of
 a pass through event;
- in the case of the prescribed tax change event, any change in the way another tax is calculated, or the removal or imposition of another tax, which, in our opinion, is complementary to the tax change event concerned;
- whether the costs of the pass through event have already been factored into the calculation of the NSP's annual revenue requirement for the regulatory control period in which the pass through event occurred or will be factored into the

²⁶ NER, Chapter 10: Glossary, definition of 'materially'.

²⁷ NER, cl. 6.6.1(j) / 6A.7.3.

calculation of the provider's annual revenue requirement for a subsequent regulatory control period;

- the extent to which the costs that the service provider has incurred and is likely to incur are the subject of a previous determination we have made on a cost pass through application; and
- any other factors we consider relevant.

What other factors may be relevant to a particular pass through event must—to some extent—be determined on a case by case basis. However, for some events there may be additional factors that can be identified in advance. Where this is the case for nominated pass through events, we include these factors in the approved definitions of those events as part of our determination. This is good regulatory practice for two reasons:

- 1. It provides transparency and predictability to service providers and users, and allows service providers to address these factors directly in cost pass through applications.
- 2. It allows us, service providers and users to consider and engage on how a nominated pass through event will operate during the regulatory control period, and therefore to better take into account the nominated pass through event considerations when defining an approved event.

15.3.2 Interrelationships

The pass through mechanism is not the only way in which service providers can manage their risks under a distribution determination. It is interrelated with other parts of this decision, in particular with the forecast opex and capex and rate of return included in our building block determination. These interrelationships require us to balance the incentives in the various parts of our decision.

For systemic risks, service providers are compensated through the allowed rate of return. Service providers also face business-specific, or residual, risks. Service providers are compensated for the prudent and efficient management of these risks through the forecast opex and capex we include in our building block determination for strategies such as:

- prevention (avoiding the risk)
- mitigation (reducing the probability and impact of the risk)
- insurance (transferring the risk to another party)
- self-insurance (putting aside funds to manage the likely costs associated with a risk event).

An efficient business will manage its risk by employing the most cost effective combination of these strategies. In order to maintain appropriate incentives under our determinations, we only accept nominated pass through events where we are satisfied that event avoidance, mitigation, commercial insurance and self-insurance under

approved forecasts of prudent and efficient opex and capex are either unavailable or inappropriate.²⁸

In general, in respect of smaller projects a service provider should be using up its existing expenditure allowance, or reprioritising or substituting its projects, to avoid seeking cost recovery through the pass through mechanisms.²⁹ This is reflected in the materiality threshold that applies to applications for cost pass through determinations.³⁰

Cost pass through amounts approved in a regulatory control period are added to (or in the case of a negative pass through, deducted from) forecast opex and capex for the purpose of calculating efficiency carryover amounts under the EBSS and CESS.³¹

Cost pass through amounts that have already been recovered in a regulatory period cannot be recovered again in the roll-forward of the regulatory asset base (RAB) for the next regulatory period.³²

15.4 Reasons for final decision

In this section we set out the reasons for our final decisions on each of United Energy's proposed nominated pass through events.

15.4.1 Insurance cap event and insurer credit risk event

Our preliminary decision agreed that insurance cap and insurer credit risk events would be included in our distribution determination for United Energy, but modified the proposed definitions of those events.

United Energy incorporated our definition of the insurer credit risk event in its revised proposal. For the reasons in our draft decision³³ we accept this definition subject to a minor amendment to remove a redundant word.³⁴

United Energy also incorporated our definition of insurance cap event in its revised proposal. However, we subsequently consulted with United Energy on another modification which would simplify the definition. Both our preliminary decision and United Energy's revised proposal provide that the relevant policy limit for this event is the greater of (a) the regulated entity's actual policy level, and (b) the policy limit that is

²⁸ AEMC, Cost pass through arrangements for Network Service Providers, Rule Determination, 2 August 2012, pp. 19–20.

²⁹ AEMC, Economic Regulation of Network Service Providers, and Price and Revenue Regulation of Gas Services, Final Position Paper, 29 November 2012, p. 186.

³⁰ NER, Chapter 10: Glossary, definition of 'materially'.

³¹ AER, *Efficiency Benefit Sharing Scheme for Electricity Network Service Providers*, November 2013, p. 9; AER, *Capital Expenditure Incentive Guideline for Electricity Network Service Providers*, November 2013, p. 18.

³² NER, cl. S6A.2.1(f)(1)(ii) / S6.2.1(e)(1)(ii).

³³ AER, Preliminary Decision United Energy distribution determination 2016 to 2020, Attachment 15 - Pass through events, October 2015.

³⁴ Paragraph (a) of our definition now commences 'an insurer of the service provider becomes insolvent' instead of 'a nominated insurer of the service provider becomes insolvent'. The word 'nominated' was redundant.

explicitly or implicitly commensurate with the allowance of insurance premiums that is included in the forecast operating expenditure allowance approved in the distribution determination. The second limb was included to avoid a perverse incentive for an NSP to reduce its expenditure on insurance below prudent efficient levels, thereby reducing its operating expenditure relative to the approved forecast by transferring insurable risks to its customers instead of through genuine efficiencies.

We maintain that the insurance cap event should operate so as to dissuade a regulated firm from reducing its cover below prudent and efficient levels. In considering submissions in concurrent regulatory processes,³⁵ we have accepted that a firm's approved operating expenditure allowance may not unambiguously imply a particular level of insurance cover and have removed this limb from the definition. However, it remains appropriate that we take into account, where relevant, any assessments and analysis we have undertaken in relation to insurance when approving the service provider's distribution determination. We have added a note to this effect stating that, when making a determination on a pass through application, we will have regard to:

(iii) any assessment by the AER of United Energy's insurance in approving the access arrangement for the relevant period.

We put the final form of the definition to United Energy and it did not comment on these modifications to insurance cap event. $^{\rm 36}$

15.4.2 Natural disaster event

Our preliminary decision agreed that a natural disaster event would be included in our distribution determination for United Energy, but set out an alternative definition for that event. In its revised proposal United Energy accepted our alternative definition and proposed no further changes.³⁷

While not an issue raised in United Energy's revised proposal, in our final decisions on concurrent proposals for other service providers, we have:

- removed the adjectives 'major' and 'materially' from the definition of the natural disaster event to avoid perceived confusion with the materiality threshold that applies to all pass through events under the NER.
- deleted reference to whether a government authority has made a natural disaster declaration, following submissions that this may not be an appropriate matter for us to consider in the context of a claim under the natural disaster pass through event.

³⁵ ActewAGL Distribution, Response to AER's draft determination, 2016-21 ACT, Queanbeyan and Palerang Gas Network Access Arrangement, January 2016, p. 125; CitiPower, Revised Regulatory Proposal 2016-2020, pp. 420–421; Powercor, Revised Regulatory Proposal 2016-2020, January 2016, pp. 412–413.

³⁶ United Energy, Response to information request 56 [email to AER], 30 March 2016.

³⁷ United Energy, 2016–20 Revised Regulatory Proposal, Chapter 11 - Pass through events, January 2016, p. 94.

We consulted United Energy on these changes and it chose not to comment.³⁸

15.4.3 Terrorism event

Our preliminary decision allowed a terrorism event but modified the definition to remove reference to cyber-terrorism and incorporate matters we would have regard to when considering an application. Our modified definition was:³⁹

A terrorism event occurs if:

An act (including, but not limited to, the use of force or violence or the threat of force or violence) of any person or group of persons (whether acting alone or on behalf of or in connection with any organisation or government), which from its nature or context is done for, or in connection with, political, religious, ideological, ethnic or similar purposes or reasons (including the intention to influence or intimidate any government and/or put the public, or any section of the public, in fear) and which materially increases the costs to United Energy in providing direct control services.

Note: In assessing a terrorism event pass through application, the AER will have regard to, amongst other things:

i. whether United Energy has insurance against the event,

ii. the level of insurance that an efficient and prudent NSP would obtain in respect of the event, and

iii. whether a declaration has been made by a relevant government authority that a terrorism event has occurred.

In its revised proposal United Energy proposed the following modifications (underlined):

A terrorism event occurs if:

An act (including, but not limited to, the use of force or violence or the threat of force or violence, <u>attacks or other disruptive activities against</u>, or the deliberate introduction of harmful code or viruses to, computer systems, computer networks, data and/or communication systems, or the threat of such attacks or disruptive activities, or of the deliberate introduction of such harmful code or viruses) of any person or group of persons (whether acting alone or on behalf of or in connection with any organisation or government), which from its nature or context is done for, or in connection with, political, religious, ideological, ethnic or similar purposes or reasons (including the intention to influence or intimidate any government and/or put the public, or any section of the public, in

³⁸ United Energy, Response to information request 56 [email to AER], 30 March 2016.

³⁹ AER, Preliminary Decision, United Energy Distribution Determination 2016 to 2020, Attachment 15 - Pass Through Events, October 2015, p. 16.

fear) and which materially increases the costs to United Energy in providing direct control services.

Note: In assessing a terrorism event pass through application, the AER <u>will may</u> have regard to, amongst other things:

i. whether United Energy has insurance against the event,

ii. the level of insurance that an efficient and prudent NSP would obtain in respect of the event, and

iii. whether a declaration has been made by a relevant government authority that a terrorism event has occurred.

Our preliminary decision rejected United Energy's proposal to add cyber-terrorism to the examples of what might constitute an act of terrorism. This reflected our view that a prudent and efficient distributor may be able to take actions which reduce the vulnerability of the network to terrorist attacks and would obtain insurance in respect of terrorism events.⁴⁰

In its revised proposal, United Energy notes that we nonetheless accepted that cyberterrorism could be characterised within the event where appropriate.⁴¹ We agree that if a cyber-attack has the characteristics of an act of terrorism then United Energy could apply to have those costs passed through. However, we do not consider it necessary to include a definition of cyber-related attack as part of the definition of the terrorism event.

United Energy submitted that reference to 'materiality' of the increase in costs that would arise from a terrorism event was already covered by the NER for nominated pass through events and positive change amounts, and so was unnecessary.⁴² The language used in our preliminary decision reflects that used in the prescribed pass through events in the NER. We do not consider it makes the definition unclear, nor do we consider its inclusion is in any way inconsistent with the NER. However, we are satisfied that removing the word 'materially' will not impede the intended operation of this event or its compatibility with the nominated pass through event considerations; it is adequately captured by the materiality threshold that applies to all pass through events under the NER.⁴³ Our final decision therefore removes the word 'materially' from the definition of the terrorism event, but retains the requirement that the event increase the costs to United Energy of providing direct control services. This language is consistent with that in the natural disaster event, which United Energy has accepted.⁴⁴

⁴⁰ AER, Preliminary Decision United Energy Distribution Determination 2016 to 2020, Attachment 15 - Pass Through Events, October 2015, p. 15-17.

⁴¹ United Energy, 2016–20 Revised Regulatory Proposal, Chapter 11 - Pass through events, January 2016, p. 95.

⁴² United Energy, 2016–20 Revised Regulatory Proposal, Chapter 11 - Pass through events, January 2016, p. 95.

⁴³ NER, Chapter 10: Glossary, definitions of 'positive change event', 'negative change event' and 'materially'.

⁴⁴ United Energy, 2016–20 Revised Regulatory Proposal, Chapter 11 - Pass through events, January 2016, p. 94.

Lastly, United Energy proposed that we recast the list of matters to which we 'will' have regard in determining the approved pass through amount for a terrorism event to matters we 'may' have regard to. It submitted that a declaration by a government authority that a terrorism event has occurred should not be a pre-condition to a pass through under this event. In doing so, it noted in particular that such a declaration may be sensitive given the essential nature of electricity infrastructure, and that any notice may be given some time after the event had occurred.⁴⁵ In response, we note that a declaration or otherwise is not determinative of whether an occurrence is within the scope of the terrorism event. It may not be straightforward to distinguish between an act of terrorism and a bare crime, particularly since motivation and intent of the perpetrators are key elements. As such, we consider that we are justified in having regard to the deliberations of government authorities with responsibilities and expertise in this field in relation to the occurrence of an act of terrorism.

15.4.4 Retailer insolvency event

We accept the retailer insolvency pass through event nominated in United Energy's revised proposal, with a modification to the definition.

Retailer insolvency is a category of prescribed pass through event under the NER,⁴⁶ which defines it as:

The failure of a retailer during a regulatory control period, to pay a Distribution Network Service Provider an amount to which the service provider is entitled for the provision of direct control services, if:

(a) an insolvency official has been appointed in respect of that retailer; and

(b) the Distribution Network Service Provider is not entitled to payment of that amount in full under the terms of any credit support provided in respect of that retailer.⁴⁷

Our draft decision accepted the inclusion of a retailer insolvency event on the basis that Victorian distributors are unable to utilise the prescribed event above pending the adoption of the NECF in that state. However, we modified the definition because of uncertainty around the NER definition due to a rule change proposal being considered by the AEMC. Our preliminary decision definition was:

Prior to the commencement of the National Energy Customer Framework in Victoria, retailer insolvency event has the meaning set out in the NER as in force from time to time.

⁴⁵ United Energy, 2016–20 Revised Regulatory Proposal, Chapter 11 - Pass through events, January 2016, p. 95.

⁴⁶ NER cl. 6.6.1(a1)

⁴⁷ NER, Chapter 10: Glossary.

Note: This retailer insolvency event will cease to apply as a nominated pass through event on commencement of the National Energy Customer Framework in Victoria.

In response, United Energy proposed an alternative definition, which it considered would better achieve our intended outcome, and particularly that: ⁴⁸

- the nominated pass through event would reflect the NER's prescribed retailer insolvency event as in force from time to time, and
- Should the AEMC determine that no materiality threshold will apply to the prescribed event, no materiality threshold would apply to the nominated retailer insolvency event.

United Energy's proposed definition (with alternative text underlined) is:⁴⁹

Until such time as the National Energy Retail Law set out in the Schedule to the National Energy Retail Law (South Australia) Act 2011 of South Australia is applied as a law of Victoria, retailer insolvency event has the meaning set out in the NER as in force from time to time, except that:

(a) where used in the definition of 'retailer insolvency event' in the NER, the term 'retailer' means the holder of a licence to sell electricity under the Electricity Industry Act 2000 (Vic) or an exemption from the requirement to hold a licence to sell electricity under that Act; and

(b) other terms used in the definition of retailer insolvency event in the Rules as a consequence of amendments made to that definition from time to time, which would otherwise take their meaning by reference to provisions of the NER or National Energy Retail Law not in force in Victoria, take their ordinary meaning and natural meaning, or their technical meaning (as the case may be).

For the purposes of this definition, the terms 'eligible pass through amount' and 'positive change event' where they appear in the NER are modified in respect of this retailer insolvency event in the same manner as those terms are modified in respect of the retailer insolvency event prescribed in the NER from time to time.

Note: This retailer insolvency event will cease to apply as a nominated pass through event on commencement of the National Energy Customer Framework⁵⁰ in Victoria.

We accept each of these amendments except for the reference to exempt retailers in paragraph (a). The first and last of the amendments simply clarify our original intent,

⁴⁸ United Energy, 2016–20 Revised Regulatory Proposal, Chapter 11 - Pass through events, January 2016, p. 96.

⁴⁹ United Energy, 2016–20 Revised Regulatory Proposal, Chapter 11 - Pass through events, January 2016, pp. 96-97.

⁵⁰ In the Note to the definition, United Energy referred to the National Energy Customer Framework, which was referred to in the note to the draft decision definition. We have since amended this to 'National Energy Retail Law'.

while paragraph (b) deals with the possibility that future amendments to the NER prescribed event will introduce terms that are not defined in applicable (Victorian or national) energy law.

However, we consider including exempt retailers in paragraph (a) would not achieve the objective of aligning the nominated retailer insolvency event with the corresponding event prescribed in the NER.⁵¹ A 'retailer', for the purposes of the NER event "means a person who is the holder of a retailer authorisation issued in respect of the sale of electricity". It does not include a person who is exempt from the requirement to hold a retailer authorisation, as contemplated by proposed sub-clause (a). This narrower definition is also consistent with the definition of 'retailer' in Victoria's Electricity Industry Act:⁵²

retailer means the holder of a licence to sell electricity otherwise than through the wholesale electricity market;

We consulted United Energy on the final form of this definition. United Energy submitted that exempt sellers should be included in the definition as there may be instances where distribution service charges apply to exempt sellers. For the reasons in the foregoing paragraph we do not accept this submission. United Energy further stated:⁵³

Under the proposed AER wording it is not clear whether the level of credit support and the associated credit support process is as determined under the NER or whether the processes under the UOSA would apply. If the term credit support adopted a technical or natural meaning it is not clear how the adequacy of UE's credit support process should be assessed.

We are satisfied that the wording, which we note was first proposed by United Energy, is sufficiently clear to enable us to assess any pass through application that may be made under the retailer insolvency event. In relation to the specific matter raised by United Energy above, should we be required to assess the adequacy of the service provider's credit support process we would identify the relevant considerations in light of the application before us. However, it is likely that these would include us having regard to the credit support arrangements applying under Victorian law.

⁵¹ NER, cl. 6.6.1(a1)

⁵² Electricity Industry Act 2000 (Vic), s. 3.

⁵³ United Energy, Response to information request 56 [email to AER], 30 March 2016.