

Determination

2013–14 Queensland solar bonus scheme pass through for Ergon Energy

December 2014



© Commonwealth of Australia 2014

This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted by the Copyright Act 1968, no part may be reproduced without permission of the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Director Publishing, Australian Competition and Consumer Commission, GPO Box 3131, Canberra ACT 2601.

Inquiries about these guidelines should be addressed to:

Australian Energy Regulator GPO Box 520 Melbourne Vic 3001 Tel: (03) 9290 1444

Fax: (03) 9290 1444

Email: AERInquiry@aer.gov.au

AER reference: D14/192018

Contents

C	onten	ts	iii
S	horte	ned forms	iv
0	vervie	ew	v
1	De	termination	7
2	Erg	on Energy's pass through application	8
3	As	sessment approach	9
	3.1	Relevant regulatory requirements	9
	3.2	Assessment approach	10
	3.3	What we considered in making this determination	10
4	Rea	asons for determination	11
	4.1	Occurrence of a pass through event	11
	4.1	1 - 7	
	4.1	.2 Materiality	11
	4.1	.3 Timing of Ergon Energy's application	12
	4.2	Assessment of the pass through amount	12

Shortened forms

Shortened form	Full title
AER	Australian Energy Regulator
ACT	Australian Competition Tribunal
CPI	consumer price index
DNSP	distribution network service provider
Ergon Energy	Ergon Energy Limited
FiT	feed-in tariff
NEL	National Electricity Law
rules, NER	National Electricity Rules
QCA	Queensland Competition Authority
RIN	regulatory information notice
SBS	Queensland Solar Bonus Scheme
WACC	weighted average cost of capital

Overview

On 31October 2014, Ergon Energy Corporation Limited (Ergon Energy) submitted a pass through application to us, the Australian Energy Regulator (AER), in respect of feed-in tariff (FiT) payments incurred under the Queensland (Qld) Solar Bonus Scheme (SBS) in 2013–14. In its application, Ergon Energy proposed a positive pass through amount of \$135 031 238 (\$2015–16).

The pass through regime allows distribution network service providers (DNSPs) to pass through certain costs to customers. Ergon Energy's pass through application represents the difference between the SBS costs estimated in our 2010 determination² and the actual costs it has incurred. Ergon Energy is entitled to submit a feed-in tariff pass through application to us for assessment. We must assess the application against the requirements in clause 6.6.1 of the National Electricity Rules (rules) and our 2010 determination. In particular, we must determine if a pass through event occurred, and if so, determine an approved pass through amount.

We consider Ergon Energy's pass through application establishes that a pass through event occurred. Ergon Energy is obliged under the *Electricity Act 1994* (Qld) to incur direct FiT payments. We are satisfied the direct FiT payments incurred by Ergon Energy in 2013–14 were paid through the operation of the *Electricity Act 1994* (Qld) and materially increased Ergon Energy's costs in providing direct control services.

The rules require us to determine the amount to be passed through in each remaining year of the regulatory control period. Based on our assessment of the relevant factors in clause 6.6.1(j) of the rules, we conclude the approved pass through amount for Ergon Energy is \$135 031 238 (\$2015–16).

This means that in 2015–16 Ergon Energy will increase its approved revenue by \$135 031 238. Ergon Energy can recover this amount from customers through higher network charges.

The increased FiT costs in Qld reflect the fact that consumer participation in the SBS (through the installation of photo-voltaic systems, or solar panels) has been significantly greater than was forecast. At that time, the SBS was a relatively new initiative and there was little historical data upon which to make forecasts. In fact, consumer participation in the SBS has been substantial. Participation in the scheme also increased rapidly following the Qld Government's announcement on 26 June 2012 to close the then existing scheme to new applications from midnight on 9 July 2012.

Queensland Solar Bonus Scheme

The SBS is a Qld Government initiative that came into effect on 1 July 2008. It provides eligible customers with credit for the surplus electricity, generated by solar photovoltaic (PV) panel systems, that is exported into the Qld electricity grid. It is designed to make solar power

Ergon Energy, Ergon Energy Corporation Limited 2013-14 feed-in tariff pass-through application, 31 October 2014

² AER, Final Decision: Queensland distribution determination 2010–11 to 2014–15, May 2010.

more affordable, stimulate the solar power industry and encourage energy efficiency.³ The SBS is available to small residential and business customers who consume less than 100MWh per year, with grid-connected PV panel systems not exceeding 5kW capacity.

At the end of each billing period, the customer's meter is read to determine the total amounts of surplus electricity exported to the grid. The DNSP provides this data to the customer's retailer, which then calculates the amount of the 'solar bonus' by multiplying the number of kWh exported by the rate of the feed-in tariff. The solar bonus amount is deducted from the customer's consumption charge for imported electricity. If the value of the customer's exports exceeds their consumption charge for imported electricity their retail account is credited.

Section 44A of the *Electricity Industry Act 1994* (Qld) imposes a condition on DNSPs to allow a credit, for electricity exported to the grid by small PV generators, at the prescribed amount. Accordingly, under the SBS the retailer recovers the cost of crediting customer accounts from the DNSPs. The DNSP then passes the cost onto customers more generally through its network pricing.

Changes to the SBS

Customers who lodged an application to participate in the SBS on or before 9 July 2012 receive a payment of 44c/kWh for surplus electricity fed into the grid. SBS participants who applied prior to midnight on 9 July 2012 continue to receive the 44c/kWh FiT as long as they continue to meet eligibility criteria. The 44c rate is closed to new applicants.

A replacement FiT of 8c/kWh is paid to customers who applied between 10 July 2012 and 1 July 2014.

Since 1 July 2014, customers in south east Qld (Energex customers) receive a FiT determined by the market. That is, as offered by electricity retailers.

In regional Qld, customers of Ergon Energy receive a rate determined by the Qld Competition Authority (QCA). Between 11 September 2014 and 30 June 2015, that rate is 6.53c/kWh.⁴

Determination document structure

This determination is structured as follows:

- Chapter 1 sets out our determination on Ergon Energy's pass through application
- Chapter 2 sets out Ergon Energy's pass through application
- Chapter 3 sets out our assessment approach
- Chapter 4 sets out our reasons for our determination.

6 AER Determination | Ergon Energy - Queensland solar bonus scheme

Qld Department of Energy and Water Supply Fact Sheet, 'Changes to the Solar Bonus Scheme', updated on 27 June 2012.

Qld Government Gazette, 11 August 2014.

1 Determination

We consider Ergon Energy's pass through application establishes that a pass through event occurred. We are satisfied the direct FiT payments incurred by Ergon Energy in 2013–14 were paid through the operation of the *Electricity Act 1994* (Qld) and materially increase Ergon Energy's costs in providing direct control services in the regulatory control period.

The rules require us to determine the amount that should be passed through in each remaining year of the regulatory control period. Based on our assessment of the factors in clause 6.6.1(j) of the rules, we conclude the approved pass through amount for Ergon Energy is \$135 031 238 (\$2015–16). In 2015–16 Ergon Energy will increase its approved revenue by this amount. The increase in revenue can be recovered from Ergon Energy customers through higher network charges.

2 Ergon Energy's pass through application

On 31 October 2014 we received a pass through application from Ergon Energy relating to payments for the SBS. Ergon Energy's application says it incurred \$121 519 241 (\$Jun 2014) of FiT payments in 2013–14, based upon 298,133,296 kWh in metered output. Ergon Energy's application noted that the forecast amount of 2013–14 FiT payments included in forecast operating expenditure was \$4.03 million (\$Jun 2014). Ergon Energy stated: 6

...Ergon Energy Corporation Limited (Ergon Energy) is applying to the AER to pass through to distribution network users the difference between the amount of feed-in tariff payments incurred for 2012/13 and the amount forecast in Ergon Energy's Distribution Determination for 2012/13, with respect to the Queensland Government's Solar Bonus Scheme.

Ergon Energy submitted that when this figure is adjusted for inflation and the time value of money the total amount is \$135 031 238 (\$2015–16). Ergon Energy applied to have this amount treated as a positive pass through amount under clause 6.6.1 of the rules.

Ergon Energy proposed the positive pass through amount be incorporated into network charges for the 2015–16 regulatory year.

The value of Ergon Energy's SBS payments is subject to verification through the audit of its 2013–14 regulatory information notice (RIN). We rely on the audited RIN to verify the inputs to the calculation of the pass through amount.

AER, Final Decision: Queensland distribution determination 2010–11 to 2014–15, May 2010, p. 184.

Ergon Energy, Pass through application – Queensland Solar Bonus Scheme, 31 October 2014, p. 1.

3 Assessment approach

We are required to consider a pass through application in accordance with relevant provisions of the rules.

3.1 Relevant regulatory requirements

The clauses of the rules we had regard to when making our determination are outlined in appendix A. In particular, the relevant factors in clause 6.6.1(j) of the rules we must take into account when making a pass through determination are set out below:

Relevant factors

- (j) In making a determination under paragraph (d) or (g) in respect of a Distribution Network Service Provider, the AER must take into account:
 - (1) the matters and proposals set out in any statement given to the AER by the provider under paragraph (c) or (f); and
 - (2) in the case of a positive change event, the increase in costs in the provision of direct control services that, as a result of the positive change event, the provider has incurred and is likely to incur until:
 - (i) unless subparagraph (ii) applies the end of the regulatory control period in which the positive change event occurred; or
 - (ii) if the distribution determination for the regulatory control period following that in which the positive change event occurred does not make any allowance for the recovery of that increase in costs the end of the regulatory control period following that in which the positive change event occurred; and
 - (2A) in the case of a negative change event, the costs in the provision of direct control services that, as a result of the negative change event, the provider has saved and is likely to save until:

unless subparagraph(ii) applies – the end of the regulatory control period in which the negative change event occurred; or

if the distribution determination for the regulatory control period following that in which the negative change event occurred does not make any allowance for the pass through of those cost savings to Distribution Network Users – the end of the regulatory control period following that in which the negative change event occurred; and

- (3) in the case of a positive change event, the efficiency of the provider's decisions and actions in relation to the risk of the positive change event, including whether the provider has failed to take any action that could reasonably be taken to reduce the magnitude of the eligible pass through amount in respect of that positive change event and whether the provider has taken or omitted to take any action where such action or omission has increased the magnitude of the amount in respect of that positive change event; and
- (4) the time cost of money based on the weighted average cost of capital for the provider for the regulatory control period in which the pass through event occurred; and

- (5) the need to ensure that the provider only recovers any actual or likely increment in costs under this paragraph (j) to the extent that such increment is solely as a consequence of a pass through event; and
- (6) in the case of a tax change event, any change in the way another tax is calculated, or the removal or imposition of another tax, which, in the AER's opinion, is complementary to the tax change event concerned; and
- (7) whether the costs of the pass through event have already been factored into the calculation of the provider's annual revenue requirement for the regulatory control period in which the pass through event occurred or will be factored into the calculation of the provider's annual revenue requirement for a subsequent regulatory control period; and
- (7A) the extent to which the costs that the provider has incurred and is likely to incur are the subject of a previous determination made by the AER under this clause 6.6.1; and
- (8) any other factors that the AER considers relevant.

3.2 Assessment approach

When assessing Ergon Energy's positive pass through application, we must first determine whether a 'positive change event' occurred. We do this assessment with reference to the rules and our current determination for Ergon Energy (that defines the pass through events Ergon Energy can utilise during the regulatory control period). As part of this process, we also determine the materiality of the proposed pass through amount. Chapter 10 of the rules defines a positive change event for a DNSP as:

...a pass through event that materially increases the costs of providing direct control services.

Once we determine a positive change event occurred we must then determine:

- the approved pass through amount; and
- the amount of that approved pass through amount that should be passed through to distribution network users in each regulatory year during the regulatory control period.⁷

We make this determination taking into account those factors set out in clause 6.6.1(j) of the rules (quoted above).

3.3 What we considered in making this determination

We have made our determination in accordance with clause 6.6.1 of the rules. In forming our determination, we have:

- considered the application and supporting information we received from Ergon Energy
- undertaken our own analysis to verify the information provided by Ergon Energy.

⁷ NER, clause 6.6.1(d).

4 Reasons for determination

We are satisfied that Ergon Energy's pass through application establishes that a pass through event occurred. We consider the direct FiT payments incurred by Ergon Energy in 2013–14 materially increase Ergon Energy's costs in providing direct control services in the current regulatory control period, as required under clause 6.6.1(j)(2) of the rules.

4.1 Occurrence of a pass through event

Chapter 15 of our *Final Decision: Queensland distribution determination 2010–11 to 2014–15*, deals with pass through arrangements. In our determination we regard 'Feed–in Tariff event' as a nominated pass through event. A 'Feed–in Tariff event' is defined as:⁸

'a change in the total amount of direct feed-in tariff payments paid by a Qld DNSP in respect of the Qld feed-in tariff scheme. For the purposes of this definition, the change in the amount of the direct tariff payments paid by the DNSP must be calculated as the difference between:

- a) the amount of direct tariff payments paid by the DNSP in each regulatory year of the next regulatory control period, derived from the metered output of generators subject to the scheme and the applicable feed—in tariff rate applying to the metered output; and
- b) the amount of scheme direct tariff payments which were forecast for the purposes of and included in the Qld distribution determination for each regulatory year of the regulatory control period.

Relevant direct tariff payments under this pass through mechanism are those paid through the operation of the Electricity Act 1994 (Qld), and any amendments to this act.'

The reference to 'the Qld feed-in tariff scheme' in the definition above is a reference to the SBS.

4.1.1 Direct feed-in tariff payments

We are satisfied that the direct payments incurred by Ergon Energy in 2013–14, as set out in its pass through application, arise out of the operation of the SBS. The SBS is established under the *Electricity Act 1994* (Qld). This legislation imposes a requirement on DNSPs to allow credit for electricity exported to the grid by small PV generators.⁹

4.1.2 Materiality

Chapter 10 of the rules defines a positive change event for a distribution network service provider as:

a pass through event that materially increases the costs of providing direct control services.

In our *Final Decision: Queensland distribution determination 2010–11 to 2014–15*, we stated that a pass through event will have a material impact if the costs associated with the event exceed one per cent of the annual smoothed revenue requirement.

However, in our draft determination we stated that a lower materiality threshold may be appropriate for specific nominated pass through events, such as the feed-in tariff pass through event, equivalent to the reasonable costs of assessing the pass through application.¹⁰ We did not vary our position in our final determination.

AER, Final Decision: Queensland distribution determination 2010–11 to 2014–15, May 2010, p. 311.

Section 44A of the *Electricity Act 1994* (Qld).
AER's Profit Outcoming distribution determination decision 201

AER's Draft Queensland distribution determination decision 2010–11 to 2014–15, November 2009, pp. 337, 339-40.

Consistent with our final determination, we applied a materiality threshold for a specific nominated event that reflects the administrative costs of assessing the application. That is, the proposed pass through is to be considered material if the pass through amount is greater than the cost of assessing the application. The positive pass through amount of \$135 031 238 (\$2015–16) proposed by Ergon Energy meets this threshold.

We consider the direct FiT payments incurred by Ergon Energy under the SBS increased the costs of providing direct control services. This is because the activities which Ergon Energy undertakes in order to comply with its obligations under the SBS relate to direct control services as defined in s. 2B of the National Electricity Law. For example, Ergon Energy undertakes network operation, monitoring and metering activities as part of the SBS.

4.1.3 Timing of Ergon Energy's application

Clause 6.6.1 of the rules requires DNSPs to submit a pass through application to us within 90 business days of the positive change event occurring.

We consider the feed-in tariff event occurred at the end of the 2013–14 regulatory year, i.e. 30 June 2014. This is the date when accounting journals are closed off, and an over or under recovery for the direct feed-in payment was realised. Ergon Energy submitted its positive pass through application to us on 31 October 2014, within the 90 business day period.

Also under clause 6.6.1 of the rules, we have 40 business days to consider Ergon Energy's pass through application.¹¹ If, within that time, we have neither extended the time limit nor made our determination, then the pass through would be considered to have been approved at the conclusion of the 40 day period. The amount of the pass through would be as proposed by Ergon Energy.

4.2 Assessment of the pass through amount

In considering Ergon Energy's pass through application, we took into account factors in clause 6.6.1(j) of the NER. We discuss each of these factors below.

Matters and proposals set out by Ergon Energy

We reviewed Ergon Energy's application and supporting information. We made our determination based on the matters and proposals set out by Ergon Energy.

The increase in costs incurred by Ergon Energy

We substantiated the actual SBS payment values used to calculate the positive pass through amount sought by Ergon Energy by checking the proposed pass through amount against the FiT payments reported in Ergon Energy's 2013–14 RIN.

Actions to reduce the magnitude of the pass through amount

The SBS is a Queensland Government initiative. It is facilitated through provisions contained in the *Electricity Act 1994* (Qld) including a section which imposes a requirement on DNSPs to allow credit for electricity produced by small PV generators. ¹² We consider that the legislative obligations imposed upon Ergon Energy mean it is unable to reduce the magnitude of the pass through amount. On this

¹¹ NER, cl. 6.6.1(e).

s. 44A of the *Electricity Act 1994* (Qld).

basis, we consider that the pass through event and its amount are not within the control of the company. Ergon Energy has no ability to prevent the feed-in tariff event from occurring or reducing the magnitude of the pass through amount.

Time value of money

Clause 6.6.1(j)(4) of the rules requires us to take into account the time value of money based on the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) for the provider. In calculating the pass through amount, we have made an allowance for the time cost of money.

We based the time cost of money upon the WACC for Ergon Energy, as set out in our *Final Decision: Queensland distribution determination 2010–11 to 2014–15.* This is a nominal vanilla WACC of 9.72%. We applied WACC for 1.5 years as revenue will be recouped, on average, halfway through the year when the adjusted prices apply (i.e. 31 December 2014).

Recovery of costs solely a consequence of the pass through event

We consider that Ergon Energy's proposed pass through amount only includes incremental costs that are solely attributed to the direct FiT payments it has incurred. Ergon Energy's direct FiT payments have been verified through its RIN for 2013–14.

Whether the costs have already been factored into Ergon Energy's annual revenue requirement

In our *Final Decision: Queensland distribution determination 2010–11 to 2014–15*, we determined that Ergon Energy's forecast SBS operating expenditure for 2013–14 was \$3.6 million (\$2009–10).¹⁴ This amount relates to the forecast feed-in tariffs for the SBS and does not include administration costs.

Ergon Energy's application identified the difference between the actual direct FiT payments it incurred in 2012–13 and those forecast in our final determination as reflecting the significantly higher than expected take up of the SBS since its inception.

We agree that we did not provide for the difference between the forecast and actual direct FiT in our *Final Decision: Queensland distribution determination 2010–11 to 2014–15.* In our *Draft Queensland distribution determination 2010–11 to 2014–15* we considered it appropriate for Queensland DNSPs to recover or return to users any difference between forecast and actual direct tariff payments through a nominated pass through event. This was on the basis that the DNSPs may have insufficient historical data to reliably forecast the payments that they will be required to make under the SBS, thereby making the cost impact difficult to forecast.¹⁵

Extent the costs are subject of a previous determination made under the rules

We consider Ergon Energy's proposed pass through amount is not part of a previous pass through determination by us under clause 6.6.1 of the rules. In this regard, we note Ergon Energy made pass through applications associated with the occurrence of a feed-in tariff event in 2011, 2012 and 2013:

■ In December 2011, we approved a positive pass through amount of \$4 754 512 to be incorporated into distribution charges for 2012–13.

AER, Final Decision: Queensland distribution determination 2010–11 to 2014–15, May 2010, p. 267.

¹⁴ AER, *Final Decision:* Queensland distribution determination 2010–11 to 2014–15, May 2010, p. 183.

⁵ AER's Draft Queensland distribution determination decision 2010–11 to 2014–15, November 2009, p. 339.

- In January 2013, we approved a positive pass through amount of \$27 808 270 to be incorporated into distribution charges for 2013–14.
- In January 2014, we approved a positive pass through amount of \$84 020 873 to be incorporated into distribution charges for 2014–15.

Any other factors we consider relevant

There are no other factors we consider relevant in making our determination on the pass through amount.