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1. Shortened forms

| Shortened form | Extended form |
| --- | --- |
| AEMC | Australian Energy Market Commission |
| AEMO | Australian Energy Market Operator |
| AER | Australian Energy Regulator |
| augex | augmentation expenditure |
| capex | capital expenditure |
| CCP | Consumer Challenge Panel |
| CESS | capital expenditure sharing scheme |
| CPI | consumer price index |
| DRP | debt risk premium |
| DMIA | demand management innovation allowance |
| DMIS | demand management incentive scheme |
| distributor | distribution network service provider |
| DUoS | distribution use of system |
| EBSS | efficiency benefit sharing scheme |
| ERP | equity risk premium |
| Expenditure Assessment Guideline | Expenditure Forecast Assessment Guideline for electricity distribution |
| F&A | framework and approach |
| MRP | market risk premium |
| NEL | national electricity law |
| NEM | national electricity market |
| NEO | national electricity objective |
| NER | national electricity rules |
| NSP | network service provider |
| opex | operating expenditure |
| PPI | partial performance indicators |
| PTRM | post-tax revenue model |
| RAB | regulatory asset base |
| RBA | Reserve Bank of Australia |
| repex | replacement expenditure |
| RFM | roll forward model |
| RIN | regulatory information notice |
| RPP | revenue and pricing principles |
| SAIDI | system average interruption duration index |
| SAIFI | system average interruption frequency index |
| SLCAPM | Sharpe-Lintner capital asset pricing model |
| STPIS | service target performance incentive scheme |
| WACC | weighted average cost of capital |

# Connection policy

1. We are required to approve a connection policy prepared by a distributor under the National Electricity Rules (NER).[[1]](#footnote-1)
2. A connection policy sets out the nature of connection services offered by a distributor, when connection charges may be payable by retail customers and how those charges are calculated. A connection policy:[[2]](#footnote-2)

* must be consistent with:
* the connection charge principles set out in chapter 5A of the NER
* the connection policy requirements set out in part DA of chapter 6 of the NER
* our connection charge guidelines published under chapter 5A[[3]](#footnote-3), and
* must detail:
* the categories of persons that may be required to pay a connection charge and the circumstances in which such a requirement may be imposed
* the aspects of a connection service for which a connection charge may be made
* the basis on which connection charges are determined
* the manner in which connection charges are to be paid (or equivalent consideration is to be given)
* a threshold (based on capacity or any other measure identified in the connection charge guidelines) below which a retail customer (not being non-registered embedded generator or a real estate developer) will not be liable for a connection charge for an augmentation other than an extension.

The AER's connection charge guidelines for electricity retail customers

1. A connection policy must be consistent with our connection charge guidelines for electricity retail customers to ensure that connection charges:

* are reasonable and take into account the efficient costs of providing the connection services arising from the new connection or connection alteration
* provide, without undue administrative cost, a user-pays signal to reflect the efficient costs of providing the connection services
* limit cross-subsidisation of connection costs between different classes (or subclasses) of retail customers
* are competitively neutral, if the connection services are contestable.

## Preliminary decision

1. We do not approve Ergon Energy’s connection policy because:
2. the upstream shared network asset augmentation charge rate[[4]](#footnote-4) is not consistent with our connection charge guideline; and
3. certain terms and conditions need refinement to improve clarity to new customers.

## Ergon Energy's proposal

1. Ergon's Energy's connection policy provides an outline of its connection services, when connection charges may be payable by its retail customers and how those charges are calculated.[[5]](#footnote-5)

## AER’s assessment approach

We examined the proposed connection policy against the requirements of Part DA of chapter 6 as stated above––whether it:

* is consistent with the connection charge principles set out in chapter 5A of the NER, and our connection charge guidelines
* contains all the information for new customers as prescribed by the NER.

In addition, we also examined whether:

* other connection related charges included in the connection policy, such as metering installation charges, are consistent with the service classification of this preliminary determination
* the connection policy contains terms that are not fair and reasonable.

## Reasons for preliminary decision

1. We have not approved the proposed connection policy because:

* While its proposed marginal cost per unit of network assets is reasonable, Ergon Energy's proposed shared network augmentation charge rate for customers above the threshold for paying upstream costs is based on the full marginal cost without taking into account the connection lives of new connections as required by our connection charge guideline.
* While the proposed terms and conditions of the connection policy largely met the minimum requirements of the rules, we consider that the policy should be more effective in explaining how the charge framework works, and by providing more relevant context on the charging framework so as to reduce unnecessary customer confusion.

1. These issues are explained below.

### Marginal cost for shared network augmentation

1. Ergon Energy proposed a marginal cost of $1,486.49 per kVA for 2015-16. This rate is indexed to CPI for each subsequent regulatory year. This rate was calculated based on its average capital cost of capacity, which is considered by Ergon Energy to be representative of the long run marginal cost of network augmentation.[[6]](#footnote-6)
2. In order to verify whether this charge rate is reasonable, we benchmarked the proposed marginal cost for shared network augmentation against a previous study by the Productivity Commission and Ergon Energy's historical cost.

Compared with Productivity Commission's findings on long run marginal cost of network augmentation

In its report published in 2013, the Productivity Commission found that the long run marginal cost (LRMC) of distribution infrastructure costs for an additional kW per year to be between $150 and $220.[[7]](#footnote-7)

1. The above rate is equivalent to about $1910-$2800 per kVA based on 5.85 per cent WACC and 50 years asset life and at unity (1.0) power factor.[[8]](#footnote-8),[[9]](#footnote-9)
2. Ergon Energy's proposed rate––at $1,486.49 per kVA––is less than what is predicted by the Productivity Commission's findings.

Comparison with historical cost

1. We calculated that Ergon Energy's historical average shared network cost to be about $2450 per kVA based on its Benchmarking RIN report for 2013–14.[[10]](#footnote-10) This historical cost is also higher than Ergon Energy's proposed marginal cost for shared network augmentation. Table 18.1 provides the details of our calculation.

Table 18.1 assessment of Ergon Energy's historical cost

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Information from Benchmark RIN |  |
| Depreciated RAB of relevant portion of network asset ($000) (note a) | A= 8,499,647 |
| Non-coincident Summated Raw System Annual Maximum Demand (MW) | 3,083 |
| AER assumed utilisation factor (note b) | 60% |
| Optimal system capacity based on assumed utilisation factor based on the above utilisation factor (MW) | B= 5,139 |
| Average depreciated system capacity cost, $/kW (A/B) | 1,654 |
| Remaining asset life (note c) | 67% |
| Average undepreciated network capacity cost ($/kVA), adjusted for straight line depreciation of asset age, based on unity power factor | $2,461 |

Notes to table

(a) The reset RIN does not have all the info that we need (in particular, the average asset life). So, we used the benchmarking RINs for 2013–14 instead. Only the following network asset values are included in the calculation, all non-network asset values are not counted:

* overhead network assets less than 33kV
* underground network assets less than 33kV
* distribution substations and transformers
* overhead network assets 33kV and above
* underground network assets 33kV and above
* zone substations and transformers.

(b) Assumption on utilisation factor based on:

Darryl Somerville, Detailed Report of the Independent Panel for Electricity Distribution and Service Delivery for the 21st Century, [Queensland] – July 2004, p12 statement that: The Australian average [utilisation factor] is around 56% and the professional advice that the Panel obtained was that prudent practice dictates that utilisation should be around 60% to 65%.

(c) Based on the benchmarking RINs for 2013–14.

Conclusion

We conclude that Ergon Energy's proposed marginal cost for shared network augmentation is reasonable because the rate is less than the actual historical cost and consistent with Productivity Commission's findings on the LRMC for providing additional network capacity.

### Shared network augmentation charge rate

1. Ergon Energy proposed to apply the full marginal cost of $1,486.49 per kVA for 2015-16 as the share network augmentation charge rate.
2. We consider that such proposal to charge new customers the full marginal cost is not consistent with our Connection Charge Guideline, because the rate has not taken new customers' connection life into consideration.[[11]](#footnote-11) The need to take the connection life into consideration was explained in our Explanatory Statement for the Proposed Connection Charge Guidelines: under chapter 5A of National Electricity Rules for retail customers accessing the electricity distribution network. On pages 34-34 we explain as follows:

Where a customer will use an asset for less than its expected useful life, and where a DNSP would reasonably be expected to reuse some of this capacity once that customer leaves the network, each customer should only be charged for the period for which it is using the asset….To address this issue, the AER developed a calculation method under which, in total, the connection charges received by a DNSP would equal the marginal cost of reinforcement (MCR)-or the per unit rate charge. The AER considers DNSPs will need to either adopt a method similar to this, or an alternative method that achieves a similar outcome.

The rationale of our previously proposed MCR calculation method for CitiPower is that:

As upstream assets can be used by multiple customers and the same asset could be used by future new customers once the earlier customers stop using such assets at the end of their connection life, it is not equitable for the first new customer to fund the full cost of upstream augmentation…

The net present value of all connection charges received by CitiPower in respect to the augmentation of a particular asset should equal the MCR of that asset. A methodology that does not take this into account would result in CitiPower either over or under recovering its costs.

The charges paid (in respect of the augmentation of a given asset) by previous, current and future new customers should be equal in real terms. A methodology that does not take this into account would result in an intertemporal transfer of wealth and would be inequitable for some customers.

1. As Ergon Energy has not developed an alternative method to calculate the upstream charge rates based on the expected connection life, we consider that we should adopt the method previously published by us, as indicated in the Explanatory Note.
2. We calculated that an adjustment factor of 0.574 and 0.818 should be applied to the proposed full charge rates for business and residential customers respectively (representing a 43 and 18 per cent reduction respectively). These factors are based on the WACC of 5.85 per cent for Ergon Energy, and a connection life of 15 and 30 years for business and residential customers respectively.

### Ergon Energy's proposed terms and conditions of the connection policy

1. While the proposed terms and conditions of the connection policy largely met the minimum requirements of the rules, we consider that the policy should be improved to be more effective in explaining how the charge framework works and to provide more relevant context on the charging framework. Examples of these minor improvements include:

* The connection policy notes that, under standard control, network extension costs are recovered under DUoS. This is only fully clarified further down the document, under the how to calculate connection charge section, that the charge is the difference between the incremental cost and the net present value (NPV) of incremental DUoS revenue over the life of the connection. We consider that the connection policy should clarify that connection charges are subject to an efficiency test even under standard control, and new customers need to pay the difference between incremental cost and incremental revenue as per our guideline.
* Some of the references to the threshold levels where a shared network augmentation charge applies are not clear on whether the threshold demand level is the per phase current limit of up to 3-phased supply.

## AER approved connection policy

We have modified Ergon Energy’s proposed connection policy to reflect the above preliminary decision on this matter.[[12]](#footnote-12) This revised connection policy is appended to this chapter.

1. AER approved connection policy for Ergon Energy

Click image below to access the document.
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