

## Business Case – Capital Expenditure

# CP Replacement

Business Case Number 244

## 1 Project Approvals

TABLE 1: BUSINESS CASE – PROJECT APPROVALS

<b>Prepared By</b>	Anthony Jones, <i>Pipeline and Asset Management Engineer, APA Group</i>
<b>Reviewed By</b>	Alan Bryson, <i>Integrity Manager East Coast Grid Engineering, APA Group</i>
<b>Approved By</b>	Craig Bonar, <i>Manager East Coast Grid Engineering, APA Group</i>

## 2 Project Overview

TABLE 2: BUSINESS CASE – PROJECT OVERVIEW

<b>Description of Issue/Project</b>	The cathodic protection system comprises of many components. Over time these components fail, deplete or require augmentation. This business case is the supporting evidence for the capex investment required to maintain the integrity of the 2,200km of buried transmission pipeline.
<b>Options Considered</b>	The following options have been considered: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Option 1: Do Nothing Option</li> <li>Option 2: No alternative identified</li> <li>Option 3: Replace and upgrade the cathodic protection system</li> </ol>
<b>Estimated Cost</b>	
<b>Consistency with the National Gas Rules (NGR)</b>	The replacement of these assets complies with the new capital expenditure criteria in Rule 79 of the NGR because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>it is necessary to maintain and improve the safety of services and maintain the integrity of services (Rules 79(2)(c)(i) and (ii)); and</li> <li>it is such as would be incurred by a prudent service provider acting efficiently, in accordance with accepted good industry practice, to achieve the lowest sustainable cost of providing services (Rule 79(1)(a)).</li> </ul>
<b>Stakeholder Engagement</b>	The stakeholders directly affected by this program are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Energy Safe Victoria via the Electrolysis Committee</li> <li>Landowners</li> <li>Other owners of underground structures</li> </ul>

## 3 Background

All of the 2,200 km of pipeline that is the Victorian Transmission System (VTS) are made of steel, coated and buried. All of these pipelines are protected from corrosion using coating system and cathodic protection. The cathodic protection (CP) system can be monitored and tested to indicate performance of the system.

In simple terms, the CP system is designed to create an electrical circuit with a pipeline and an anodic material to ensure that the anode corrodes rather than the pipeline. The result is a pipeline with corrosion induced metal loss minimized.

The following table shows the main CP asset classes and their expected life and thus replacement rate.

**TABLE 3: EXPECTED LIFE OF COMPONENTS**

	Quantity	Expected Life	Replacement Rate per year
CP/TR/Impressed Current Units	63	15-20 years	3
Anode Bed	63	25-30 years	On failure, typically 2 per year
Test Point	2,000	50 years	On failure, typically 5 per year

A license condition to operate pipelines in Victoria is the adherence to Australian Standard 2832.1 Cathodic Protection of Metals: Pipes and Cables and 2885.3 Pipelines: Gas and Liquid Petroleum Operations and Maintenance. To enable compliance with these standards the CP system must be designed by competent personnel and operated with a high level of reliability. Overtime the CP equipment fails and it must be replaced to enable compliance with these standards.

The CP equipment that is electrified by 240V power must also be in compliance with AS3000: Electrical Installations. Where an individual component fails and must be replaced then the current standard applies to the entire installation. Older installations were constructed to the standard in place at that time and are no longer compliant with the current standard. This can require replacement of existing equipment when individual components fail as, instead of simply repairing the component, the entire installation must be upgraded to comply with AS3000 requirements.

## 4 Risk Assessment

**TABLE 4: RISK RATING**

Risk Area	Risk Level
Health and Safety	Low
Environment	Low
Operational	Medium
Customers	Low
Reputation	Medium
Compliance	Medium
Financial	Low
<b>Final Untreated Risk Rating</b>	<b>Medium</b>

The purpose of the CP system is to prevent corrosion from occurring on the exterior surface of the pipe. Without adequate replacement and upgrade of the CP system, corrosion induced metal loss is highly likely.

The result of extensive corrosion induced metal loss is defects, potentially widespread, that will require remediation and substantially more cost than the cost of CP augmentation and replacement.

## 5 Options Considered

### 5.1 Option 1 – Do Nothing

The Do Nothing option is to permit the CP system to fail. This will result in anode beds depleting to nothing, CP units not delivering sufficient power and the eventual pipeline corrosion.

#### 5.1.1 Cost/Benefit Analysis

The benefit of the Do Nothing option is delayed capital expenditure. The cost of the Do Nothing option is enormously greater than the proposed solution and is not technically acceptable, nor permitted within the Pipeline License.

### 5.2 Summary of Cost/Benefit Analysis

TABLE 5: SUMMARY OF COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Option	Benefits (Risk Reduction)	Costs
Option 1	Do Nothing	Loss of pipeline life, loss of pipeline license to operate
Option 2	No other alternative identified	
Option 3	Replace and upgrade CP system as required	

### 5.3 Proposed Solution

#### 5.3.1 Replacement and upgrade CP system

The expected life of each CP component is shown in Table 3 with their expected replacement rates are also shown. A defective or failed component is identified during periodic inspections. These inspections include a monthly or bimonthly inspection and a six monthly potential survey which can trigger the installation of new CP units, new test points, replacement of anode beds or the upgrade of a unit from low to higher power setting. This strategy has been implemented for many years.

If the Remote CP system is installed as proposed in the business case #241, there will still be a requirement for anode bed replacement, test point replacement, unit power upgrades and general replacement and upgrade of remote units as they fail.

#### 5.3.2 Consistency with the National Gas Rules

Consistent with the requirements of Rule 79 of the National Gas Rules, APA considers that the capital expenditure is:

- Prudent – The expenditure is necessary in order to maintain and improve the safety of services and maintain the integrity of services to customers and is of a nature that a prudent service provider would incur.
- Efficient – The field work will be carried out by the external contractor that has been used to date, who has demonstrated specific expertise in completing the installation of the facilities in a safe and cost effective manner. The expenditure can therefore be considered consistent with the expenditure that a prudent service provider acting efficiently would incur
- Consistent with accepted and good industry practice – Addressing the risks associated with the cathodic protection system failure and replacing assets that have reached the end of their useful life is accepted as good industry practice. In addition, the reduction of risk to as low as reasonably practicable in a manner that balances cost and risk is consistent with Australian Standard AS2885.

CP REPLACEMENT

- To achieve the lowest sustainable cost of delivering pipeline services – The sustainable delivery of services includes reducing risks to as low as reasonably practicable and maintaining reliability of supply.

**5.3.3 Forecast Cost Breakdown**

Assumption that unit rate per CP Unit replacement is similar despite geographic location disparity.

The quantity of anode beds and CP Units and their expected life leads to a replacement rate as shown in Table 3.

**TABLE 6: PROJECT COST ESTIMATE,**

	<b>Total</b>
Internal Labour	\$254,258
Materials	\$605,454
Contracted Labour	\$302,610
Other Costs	\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,162,322</b>