

# Basis of Preparation

2017/18 Response to Category Analysis RIN dated 7 March 2014  
October 2018



# Purpose

The RIN requires Ausgrid to prepare a Basis of Preparation. By this, the AER means that for every variable in the Templates, Ausgrid must explain the basis upon which we prepared information to populate the input cells. The Basis of Preparation must be a separate document (or documents) that Ausgrid submits with its completed Templates. The AER will publish Ausgrid's Basis of Preparation along with the Templates.

## AER's instructions

The AER requires the Basis of Preparation to follow a logical structure that enables auditors, assurance practitioners and the AER to clearly understand how Ausgrid has complied with the requirements of the Notice.

The AER has set out what must be in the Basis of Preparation. This is set out below:

	Requirements of Basis of Preparation
1	Demonstrate how the information provided is consistent with the requirements of the Notice
2	Explain the source from which Ausgrid obtained the information provided
3	Explain the methodology Ausgrid applied to provide the required information, including any assumptions Ausgrid made
4	In circumstances where Ausgrid cannot provide input for a Variable using Actual Information, and therefore must use an estimate, explain: (i) why an estimate was required, including why it was not possible for Ausgrid to use Actual Information; (ii) the basis for the estimate, including the approach used, assumptions made and reasons why the estimate is Ausgrid's best estimate, given the information sought in the Notice.
5	For Variables that contain Financial Information (Actual or Estimated) the relevant Basis of Preparation must explain if accounting policies adopted by Ausgrid have Materially changed during any of the Regulatory Years covered by the Notice: (i) the nature of the change; and (ii) the impact of the change on the information provided in response to the Notice.  Ausgrid may provide additional detail beyond the minimum requirements if Ausgrid considers it may assist a user to gain an understanding of the information presented in the Templates. In relation to providing an audit opinion, or making an attestation report on the Templates presented by Ausgrid, an auditor or assurance practitioner shall provide an opinion or attest by reference to Ausgrid's Basis of Preparation.

## Structure of this document

The document is structured as follows:

- We outline our general approach to developing our response to the RIN.
- We set out our response to worksheets 3.1 to 3.7, in accordance with the AER's instructions.

# General approach

In this section, we identify our general approach to collecting and preparing information.

## Systems used to provide data

Where data has been sourced directly from Ausgrid's financial and other information systems this system has been identified. Similarly, where estimated data is based on data sourced from Ausgrid's systems those systems are identified.

## Process used to determine if information is actual or estimated

Where Actual Information is not able to be derived from Ausgrid's financial and information systems, then information has been estimated on the basis which Ausgrid considers provides the best available estimate. In circumstances where the AER has recommended an approach for estimating, that approach has been followed as far as practicable and reasons for variations have been identified and explained.

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
2.2 Repex TABLE 2.2.1 - REPLACEMENT EXPENDITURE, VOLUMES AND ASSET FAILURES BY ASSET CATEGORY	Estimated	<p>The source for the majority of data for this section has been Ausgrid's Corporate Information System (SAP). This includes data in categories poles, transformers, switchgear, and other (excluding meters). Expenditure data was sourced from Standard financial reports (BI Capex by LOB Snapshot report) using the snapshot data captured on 13/7/2018.</p> <p>Asset Replacement volumes were generated from SAP for Replacement programs (i.e Capital programs with a replacement or compliance driver). This extract was obtained from Ausgrid's corporate reporting tools (Business Objects (BO)/Business Intelligence (BI)). Replacement volumes for the replacement/compliance driven major projects (Area Plans) are sourced from Ausgrid's planning system (BPC - Business Planning &amp; Consolidation).</p> <p>Please refer to RIN table 4.1 for expenditure and volume data associated with public lighting in 2017/18. Ausgrid believed that it is inappropriate to 'double count' this data as part of table 2.2 Repex as this table is meant to reconcile with the 'Replacement Expenditure' in table 2.1.1 which is for standard control services only.</p>	Not all data is not held at a sufficiently granular level required to populate the asset categories/asset metrics directly so it is necessary to estimate expenditures and volumes for some asset categories.	<p><u>Asset Replacements Expenditure and Volumes</u></p> <p>To provide the expenditure and volume of assets replaced in 2017/18, extracts were obtained from SAP detailing the replacement and compliance component of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Replacement Programs (e.g Replacement &amp; Duty of Care sub-programs)</li><li>Major projects (Area Plans).</li></ul> <p>This extract was then mapped from the relevant planning identifiers to the associated AER's RIN Replacement Expenditure (Repex) Asset Group and Asset Category as describe below.</p> <p><b>Replacement and Duty of Care Programs</b></p> <p>SAP reports provide the historical replacement volume and associated expenditure at Ausgrid's individual program level. Ausgrid has a detailed mapping table which is used to translate the reported replacement program expenditure and volumes to the relevant RIN Asset Categories. Where a program is unrelated to age and is being driven by other factors (e.g. decommissioning of assets due to redundancy), this expenditure and volumes are reported in the Other category since these expenditures and volumes cannot be benchmarked using the repex model.</p> <p><u>Volume Mapping Table</u></p> <p>A detailed mapping table is used to translate program volumes into the AERs RIN Table 2.2.1 Asset Categories. The mapping table has a one to many relationships, allowing program volumes to be reported against multiple asset categories. For example: Ausgrid Kiosk Replacement programs are mapped to 5 different asset categories (LV cable, 11kV Cable, Kiosk Transformer, 11kV Switch and LV Switchboard assets) that are retired when a kiosk is replaced.</p> <p><u>Expenditure Mapping Table</u></p> <p>A detailed mapping table is used to translate program expenditure into the AERs Table 2.2.1 Asset Categories. For each program, expenditure is allocated to the exact same asset categories as the volume mapping table. This enables the expenditure associated with programs that span multiple asset categories to be appropriately apportioned.</p> <p><b>Major Projects (Area Plan)</b></p> <p>For major replacement projects Ausgrid develops detailed project estimates of asset volumes retired and installed by asset type. Project expenditures are then allocated on the basis of the replacement cost of the assets decommissioned. Planning systems have been used to report the historical volume of assets retired by major projects.</p> <p>The Repex expenditure portions within the major projects are based on the 2017/18 actual expenditure data. The expenditure associated with each major project is then mapped to the Repex RIN 'Asset Group' and 'Asset Category' based on Ausgrid's detailed project models in BPC.</p> <p><b>Other Repex Expenditures</b></p> <p>The system capex replacement and compliance component of System property and support costs (i.e. GIS data capture and switching) have been allocated to the top 15 Asset Categories by expenditure.</p>	<p>The estimates and apportionment methods are set out below:</p> <p><b>Replacement Programs</b></p> <p><b>Poles:</b> Pole expenditures are allocated based on the distribution of Ausgrid's pole population. Staking of wooden pole is a direct mapping of a replacement program.</p> <p><b>Pole-top Structures:</b> Distribution pole cross arm replacements are apportioned according to the distribution of Ausgrid's distribution pole population.</p> <p><b>Conductors:</b> For projects that replace a span of overhead conductor, the span length is assumed to be 50m long for conductors operating at less than 22kV and 100m for greater than 22kV. The replacement of pole mounted substations includes the replacement of 100m of 1kV &amp;</p> <p>Cables: Kiosk Substation replacements projects are assumed to replace 100m of 1kV &amp; 1kV &amp; 22 KV &amp; &lt; = 33 KV Underground Cable.</p> <p><b>Service Lines:</b> Service line replacement expenditure and volumes are are allocated based on the distribution of Ausgrid's service line population.</p> <p><b>Switchgear:</b> In general all direct costs were mapped directly to the relevant asset category.</p> <p><b>Other</b></p> <p>In accordance to the 'AER Guide to the Repex Model' and the 'AER Repex Tool Tutorial' as provided to Ausgrid by Nuttall Consulting and on the AER website, only 'Non-demand-driven replacement of an asset with its modern equivalent, where the timing of the need can be directly or implicitly linked to the age of the asset' is applicable to the Repex model. As a result, any Replacement or Duty of Care programs that are not age or condition driven is classified as 'Other' asset category (i.e. non-condition driven safety or non-condition driven environmental expenditures). Since these programs have a mixed unit of measure, it is inappropriate to provide summated volumes for these assets. Detailed individual program volume is available and can be provided upon request.</p> <p><b>Major Projects (Area Plans)</b></p> <p>Due to the scale and duration of these projects, asset volumes were aligned with expenditures on the basis of project cashflows rather than being reported on project completion. Since Ausgrid major projects span across multiple years and due to the long lead time of major projects, it is appropriate to partially recognise the asset volume based on the percentage of actual expenditure in 2017/18 against the expected total cost, multiplied by the total volume at project completion. This method ensures that expenditures and volumes for significant expenditures are correlated for Repex modelling.</p> <p>It is also appropriate to classify the Repex portions of buildings in the Area Plans as 'Other' asset category. This is because expenditures incurred for buildings in</p>	The information in this table is compliant in that actual values are used wherever possible, and best estimates are provided where actual data is not available.	N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																																																												
					<p>the Area Plans aren't driven by the age or conditions from these assets themselves.</p> <p><b><u>OTHER REPEX EXPENDITURES</u></b></p> <p>System property and support costs (i.e. GIS data capture and switching) associated with Repex is also included as 'Other' asset category.</p>																																																														
2.1 Expenditure Summary TABLE 2.1.1 - STANDARD CONTROL SERVICES CAPEX	Actual	<p>Source of information for template 2.1, table 2.1.1 Standard Control Services Capex</p> <p>1. Replacement expenditure is linked to table 2.2.1 'Replacement Expenditure, Volumes and Asset Failures by Asset Categories -</p> <p>2. Connections capex is linked to table 2.5.2 'Cost metrics by Connection Classification'</p> <p>3. Augmentation Expenditures is linked to table 2.3.4 'Augex data Total Expenditure'</p> <p>4. Non-network expenditure is linked to table 2.6.1 'Non-Network Expenditure'</p> <p>5. Capitalised network overhead cost is linked to tab 2.10(A) table 2.10.1 'Network Overheads Expenditure'</p> <p>6. Capitalised corporate overheads cost is linked to tab 2.10(A) table 2.10.2 'Network Overheads Expenditure'</p> <p>7. Capital contributions are sourced from the Ausgrid accounting system - SAP and allocated as per CAM to obtain the Standard Control Services portion. Capital contributions assets relate to standard control services distribution business.</p> <p>8. Corporate overhead cost is linked to tab 2.10(A), table 2.10(A).1 'Corporate Overheads'</p> <p>9. Metering opex is sourced from the Ausgrid accounting system - SAP and allocated as per CAM to obtain the Standard Control Services portion. This is metering opex relates to bulk supply meters which is allocated to standard control services.</p>	Not applicable	Total gross capital expenditure for the Standard Control Services reported in template 2.1.1 has been prepared in accordance with Ausgrid's CAM. The capital contribution is obtained using SAP and allocated using Ausgrid's CAM to calculate the Standard Control Services portion. It is noted that capital contributions do not represent expenditure incurred by Ausgrid and reflect assets gifted to Ausgrid. The value of capital contributions recognised is in accordance with the Jacob's Engineering Group report unit values for the specific gifted assets received.	N/A	<p>The information reported in table 2.1.1 is derived from other worksheets in the CA RIN. The total gross capex in the table is in accordance with Ausgrid's Cost Allocation Methodology (CAM). The information reported also complies with Australian Accounting Standards and the Regulatory Information Requirements Guidelines for the NSW Electricity Distributors.</p> <p>The financial data provided in this submission is for the year ended 30 June 2018.</p>	<p>Note: The AER have requested that the RIN data for this table be split to show costs for dual assets and distribution. The RIN table does not provide for this split. The table below shows the required split and the RIN data with dual assets (transmission assets) excluded.</p> <table><tr><td>2.1.1.1</td><td>Replacement expenditure</td><td>43,434,335</td><td>306,472,387</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.1.2</td><td>Connections</td><td>30,092</td><td>9,030,165</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.1.3</td><td>Augmentation Expenditure</td><td>3,575,633</td><td>10,673,056</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.1.4</td><td>Non-Network</td><td>10,847,320</td><td>104,088,038</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.1.5</td><td>Capitalised Network Overheads</td><td>10,061,466</td><td>121,891,311</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.1.6</td><td>Capitalised Corporate Overheads</td><td>256,403</td><td>4,577,790</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.1.7</td><td>Metering</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>2.1.1.8</td><td>Public Lighting</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>2.1.1.9</td><td>Other Capcons</td><td></td><td>110,569,086</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.1.10</td><td>Balancing Item</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>2.1.1.11</td><td>Capcons (included in the above)</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>2.1.1.12</td><td>TOTAL GROSS CAPEX (including capcons)</td><td>\$68,214,249</td><td>\$667,301,833</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.2.1</td><td>Vegetation Management</td><td>2,481,506</td><td>46,071,700</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.2.2</td><td>Maintenance</td><td>1,857,506</td><td>34,486,503</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.2.3</td><td>Emergency</td><td>1,161,72</td><td>21,586,56</td></tr></table>	2.1.1.1	Replacement expenditure	43,434,335	306,472,387	2.1.1.2	Connections	30,092	9,030,165	2.1.1.3	Augmentation Expenditure	3,575,633	10,673,056	2.1.1.4	Non-Network	10,847,320	104,088,038	2.1.1.5	Capitalised Network Overheads	10,061,466	121,891,311	2.1.1.6	Capitalised Corporate Overheads	256,403	4,577,790	2.1.1.7	Metering			2.1.1.8	Public Lighting			2.1.1.9	Other Capcons		110,569,086	2.1.1.10	Balancing Item			2.1.1.11	Capcons (included in the above)			2.1.1.12	TOTAL GROSS CAPEX (including capcons)	\$68,214,249	\$667,301,833	2.1.2.1	Vegetation Management	2,481,506	46,071,700	2.1.2.2	Maintenance	1,857,506	34,486,503	2.1.2.3	Emergency	1,161,72	21,586,56
2.1.1.1	Replacement expenditure	43,434,335	306,472,387																																																																
2.1.1.2	Connections	30,092	9,030,165																																																																
2.1.1.3	Augmentation Expenditure	3,575,633	10,673,056																																																																
2.1.1.4	Non-Network	10,847,320	104,088,038																																																																
2.1.1.5	Capitalised Network Overheads	10,061,466	121,891,311																																																																
2.1.1.6	Capitalised Corporate Overheads	256,403	4,577,790																																																																
2.1.1.7	Metering																																																																		
2.1.1.8	Public Lighting																																																																		
2.1.1.9	Other Capcons		110,569,086																																																																
2.1.1.10	Balancing Item																																																																		
2.1.1.11	Capcons (included in the above)																																																																		
2.1.1.12	TOTAL GROSS CAPEX (including capcons)	\$68,214,249	\$667,301,833																																																																
2.1.2.1	Vegetation Management	2,481,506	46,071,700																																																																
2.1.2.2	Maintenance	1,857,506	34,486,503																																																																
2.1.2.3	Emergency	1,161,72	21,586,56																																																																

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																																				
							<table><tr><td></td><td>Response</td><td>2</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.2.4</td><td>Non-Network</td><td>6,487,360</td><td>120,444,475</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.2.5</td><td>Network Overhead s</td><td>6,344,580</td><td>115,802,928</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.2.6</td><td>Corporate Overhead s</td><td>5,499,461</td><td>101,174,809</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.2.7</td><td>Metering</td><td></td><td>1,990,674</td></tr><tr><td>2.1.2.8</td><td>Public Lighting</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>2.1.2.9</td><td>Balancing Item</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>2.1.2.10</td><td>TOTAL OPEX</td><td>\$23,782,135</td><td>\$441,539,654</td></tr></table>		Response	2	5	2.1.2.4	Non-Network	6,487,360	120,444,475	2.1.2.5	Network Overhead s	6,344,580	115,802,928	2.1.2.6	Corporate Overhead s	5,499,461	101,174,809	2.1.2.7	Metering		1,990,674	2.1.2.8	Public Lighting			2.1.2.9	Balancing Item			2.1.2.10	TOTAL OPEX	\$23,782,135	\$441,539,654	REF Standard Control Services Transmission (Dual Assets) Costs \$ RIN Distribution Costs excluding Transmission Costs \$			
	Response	2	5																																								
2.1.2.4	Non-Network	6,487,360	120,444,475																																								
2.1.2.5	Network Overhead s	6,344,580	115,802,928																																								
2.1.2.6	Corporate Overhead s	5,499,461	101,174,809																																								
2.1.2.7	Metering		1,990,674																																								
2.1.2.8	Public Lighting																																										
2.1.2.9	Balancing Item																																										
2.1.2.10	TOTAL OPEX	\$23,782,135	\$441,539,654																																								
2.1 Expenditure Summary TABLE 2.1.2 - STANDARD CONTROL SERVICES OPEX	Actual	Source of information for table 2.1.2 - Standard Control Services Opex  1. Vegetation management opex is linked to table 2.7.2 'Vegetation Management', Expenditure Metrics by Zone  2. Maintenance opex is linked to table 2.8.2 'Vegetation Management', Cost Metrics for routine and non-routine maintenance  3. Emergency response opex is linked to table 2.9.1 'Emergency Response Opex'  4. Non network opex is linked to table 2.6.1 'Non Network Expenditure Opex'  5. Network overhead cost is linked to tab 2.10(A), table 2.10(A).1 'Network Overheads'	All data reported is obtained from relevant worksheets. Please refer to the basis of preparation for these worksheets.	Total operating expenditure for Standard Control Services reported in worksheet 2.1.2 aligns with principles set out in Ausgrid's CAM. Total operating expenditure reported in table 2.1.2 also aligns to operating expenditure reported in the Economic Benchmarking RIN and the Annual Reporting RIN for 2017/18.	N/A	The information reported in table 2.1.2 is derived from other worksheets in 2017/18 CA RIN. The total operating expenditure in the table aligns with principles set out in Ausgrid's CAM.	N/A																																				
2.1 Expenditure Summary TABLE 2.1.3 - ALTERNATIVE CONTROL SERVICES CAPEX	Actual	Alternative Control Services capital expenditure is from SAP Business Intelligence system.  Source of information for table 2.1.3 - Alternative Control Capex  1. Capitalised network overhead cost is linked to tab 2.10(A) table 2.10.1 'Network Overheads Expenditure'  2. Capitalised corporate overhead cost is linked to tab 2.10(A) table 2.10.2 'Corporate Overheads Expenditure'  3. Metering capex is linked to table 4.2.2 'Cost Metrics'  4. Public lighting capex is linked to table 4.1.2 'Descriptor Metrics Annually'  5. Fee and quoted services capex is sourced from the Ausgrid accounting system - SAP Business Intelligence system. It is capex	N/A	Alternative Control Services capital expenditure has been prepared using principles set out in Ausgrid's CAM.	N/A	The information reported in the tables is derived from other worksheets. The total reported in the table aligns with principles set out in Ausgrid's CAM.	N/A																																				



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
		allocated to fee and quoted services according to Ausgrid's CAM.  6. Balancing item relates to capitalised network and corporate overheads.					
2.1 Expenditure Summary TABLE 2.1.4 - ALTERNATIVE CONTROL SERVICES OPEX	Actual	Source of information for table 2.1.3 - Alternative Control opex  1. Network overhead cost is linked to tab 2.10(A) table 2.10.1 'Network Overheads Expenditure'  2. Corporate overhead cost is linked to tab 2.10(A) table 2.10.2 'Corporate Overheads Expenditure'  3. Metering capex is linked to table 4.2.2 'Cost Metrics' and it is sum of metering business cost categories listed below <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meter testing</li> <li>Meter investigation</li> <li>Scheduled meter reading</li> <li>Special meter reading</li> <li>Meter maintenance</li> <li>Other metering</li> <li>IT infrastructure opex</li> </ul>	N/A	Alternative Control Services operating expenditure has been prepared using principles set out in Ausgrid's CAM.	N/A	The information reported in the table is derived from other worksheets. The total in the table aligns with principles set out in Ausgrid's CAM.	N/A
2.1 Expenditure Summary TABLE 2.1.5 - DUAL FUNCTION ASSETS CAPEX	Actual	Dual function asset capital expenditure reported in table 2.1.5 is prepared from the SAP Business Intelligence system.	N/A	The Dual Function Assets capital expenditure reported in template 2.1.5 has been prepared in accordance with Ausgrid's CAM. The information is from the SAP Business Intelligence system and allocated using Ausgrid's CAM to calculate the Dual Functions Assets portion.	N/A	The total in table 2.1.5 aligns with principles set out in Ausgrid's CAM.	N/A
2.1 Expenditure Summary 2.1.6 - DUAL FUNCTION ASSETS OPEX	Actual	Dual function assets operating expenditure categories reported in table 2.1.6 is Standard Control Services operating expenditure reported in table 2.1.2 multiplied by the Transmission operating expenditure percentage (described in the methodology section below).	N/A	Dual function assets operating expenditure reported in table 2.1.6 is calculated based on numbers reported in table 2.1.2 for Standard Control Services, multiplied by the Transmission operating expenditure percentage for 2017/18.  For the Category Analysis RIN purposes, the Transmission operating expenditure percentage for 2017/18 is a portion of Transmission operating expenditure over total Transmission and Distribution operating expenditure for 2017/18. Total Transmission operating expenditure has been an aligned number recorded in TM1, by adjusting Network Overheads in table 2.1.6.	N/A	The information reported in the tables is prepared from other worksheets	N/A
2.2 Repex Table 2.2.2 - SELECTED ASSET CHARACTERISTI CS	Estimated	The source for the majority of data for this section has been Ausgrid's standard Corporate Information System (SAP) and GIS reports. SAP provides data in categories poles, transformers, switchgear, and others (excluding meters) while data for overhead conductors, underground cables and service lines has been sourced from Ausgrid's GIS.	<p><b>Pole Replacements</b> – Data is not held at the granular level required to populate the asset categories/asset metrics directly.</p> <p><b>Pole Top Structures</b> - Data is not held at the granular level required to populate the asset categories/asset metrics directly.</p> <p><b>Overhead conductors</b> -</p> <p>Data is not held at the granular level required to populate the asset categories/asset metrics directly. Where possible, material specific programs have been allocated directly to the appropriate material categories.</p> <p><b>Underground cables</b> -</p> <p>Data is not held at the granular level</p>	<p><b>Asset Volume and Replacements</b></p> <p>Asset volumes replaced during the current financial year were obtained from SAP detailing these aspects for all capex programs for both the replacement and Duty of Care Portfolios. Duty of Care expenditure is limited to those programs that are safety driven, other than compliance standard changes (as per discussion with the AER). This extract was obtained via a specialised reporting interface (CAPEX Dashboard), utilising Business Objects. This data is then manually validated by the Performance and Compliance team to confirm volumes replaced during the year.</p> <p>This extract was then mapped from the relevant program identifier to the associated Repex Asset Group and Asset Category based on primary assignment. In cases where there was either no direct relationship or a many-to-one relationship, methodologies were applied to apportion both the expenditure and replacements across these categories. Further detail on such apportionment is provided below for those cases.</p> <p><b>OH Conductor Length by Material Type</b></p> <p>To provide the volume of assets currently in commission as at the end of the financial year, a GIS Report (ODRC_Network_Age) was used to</p>	<p><b>Pole &amp; Pole-top Structure replacement:</b></p> <p>To provide information for this asset group and asset categories, the extract obtained from CIS as detailed above was filtered to display only data associated with pole replacement activities.</p> <p>For installed assets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pole asset failure information is provided based on historical records.</li> <li>Total poles by feeder type were obtained from Ausgrid's GIS. Asset replacements were apportioned on the basis of pole population per feeder type as the historical assignment of feeder and hence feeder category is not held against the retired pole.</li> </ul> <p><b>Conductors</b></p> <p>The conductor lengths were apportioned to provide the required length by feeder category and conductor material. The feeder categories were apportioned on</p>	The information in this section is compliant in that actual values are used where possible, and best estimates are provided where actual data is not available.	N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
			required to populate the asset categories/asset metrics directly.	<p>identify conductor lengths by category using the conductor material as the primary count.</p> <p>Replacement volumes were estimated, and this estimation is explained in the following section. In some cases it was possible to map individual replacement programs to conductors of a specific material type.</p> <p><b>Transformers</b></p> <p>Copy Age Profile working data for Distribution and Zone/STS TXs from RIN 5.2, use the data from the RIN 2.8 worksheet in each Excel work file. The TX MVA data is captured for assets currently in Commission.</p> <p>Replaced = assets with a Decommission Date falling within the current financial year</p> <p>Disposed of = assets with a Date Retired falling within the current financial year</p>	<p>the basis of length of feeder in each class. Similarly the apportionment to material type was also done on the basis of length of conductor in each material class.</p> <p><b>Cables</b></p> <p>The cable lengths were apportioned to provide the required data by feeder category. The feeder categories were apportioned on the basis of length of feeder in each category class.</p> <p><b>Asset Volumes Currently in Commission</b></p> <p><b>Poles</b></p> <p>To provide the pole count by Category, a GIS extract on assigned feeder category was used to develop a ratio of poles per feeder category. This ratio was assigned to the pole population by voltage sourced from the RIN Tab 5.2 where voltage</p> <p><b>OH Conductor Length by Feeder Type</b></p> <p>To provide the OH conductor lengths by feeder type as at the end of the financial year, a GIS report (ODRC_Zone_Category_Totals) was used to identify HV/LV overhead line asset categories with an operating voltage of A percentage of category based cable length was calculated and that percentage applied to the source data from a separate GIS report (ODRC_Network_Age).</p> <p>Ausgrid only applies a feeder type category to feeder cables and conductor with an operating voltage of</p> <p><b>UG Cable Length by Feeder Type</b></p> <p>To provide the UG cable lengths by feeder type as at the end of the financial year, a GIS report (ODRC_Zone_Category_Totals) was used to identify HV/LV underground cables with an operating voltage of A percentage of category based cable length was calculated and that percentage applied to the source data from a separate GIS report (ODRC_Network_Age).</p>		
2.3 Augex TABLE 2.3.1 - AUGEX ASSET DATA - SUBTRANSMISSION SUBSTATIONS, SWITCHING STATIONS AND ZONE SUBSTATIONS  Substation ID  Substation Type  Project ID  Project Type  Project Trigger  Voltage (KV)  Transformors Units	Actual	Sub-transmission projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SAP Business Intelligence (BI) reports from the transaction systems as the primary source of historical costs for materials, contract services, other costs, labour and associated man hours (updated for the full 2017/18 actual expenditure data);</li> <li>SAP BI reports from the forecasting system as the primary source of forecast costs, asset quantum and allocations requirements when historical information isn't readily available;</li> <li>A combination of SAP, GIS, RIC and System Diagrams are used for actual asset quantum and certain technical data.</li> </ul>	<u>Sub-transmission projects</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The process to filter out the applicable projects above (Step 1) is by nature an estimation of the associated augmentation component. It is not considered an estimate if the project is deem to be 100% augmentation.</li> <li>As a result of how the template is setup, it is not possible to provide sensible inputs without resorting to a primary/secondary trigger to select meaningful projects applicable for this table and meet the RIN requirements at the same time.</li> <li>Any expected forecast expenditure is by nature an estimate.</li> </ul>	The method and assumptions are as follows: <p><b>Step 0.</b> <i>RIN information has been provided in prior years and is deemed to be complaint by the AER. 2017/18 RIN is an update using the full 2017/18 actual expenditure data unless more accurate data is available that supersedes any previous data provided (i.e. this provides a mechanism to improve on data quality).</i></p> <p><b>Step 1.</b> For network projects with expenditure within 2017/18, data was obtained for substation projects with an augmentation component greater than or equal to \$5 million over the life of the project (note: Ausgrid uses an incremental capacity methodology to determine its augmentation component as required by the National Electricity Rules (NER)). However, once the applicable projects are identified, the full expenditure for each project is presented (including costs associated with other drivers for expenditure, e.g. replacement) rather than its theoretical fraction.</p> <p><b>Step 2.</b> For projects with both substation and sub-transmission lines components, the project is further analysed by work breakdown structure (WBS). For these projects, only the expenditure related the substation component will be included. However, for a project with no sub-transmission line components, any associated distribution work to</p>	<u>Sub-Transmission projects</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The calculation for the augmentation component is based on a comparison between the preferred project that meet all identified network requirements versus a theoretical alternate project where no capacity constraints exists (i.e. incremental capacity methodology). It is the best estimate because it is deemed that this is the most correct method to satisfy the regulatory investment test under chapter 5 of the NER.</li> <li>The method used to determine primary/secondary trigger is based on the severity of need. This can be measure using a combination of financial difference, time criticalness and other measurable impacts. This is in line with how some DNSP evaluation their drivers.</li> <li>Please refer to Ausgrid's Area Plans documentations which outline the approach and assumption for the major project estimates provided.</li> </ul> <p>The following are calculations requested by this table</p>	This response is based on the worksheets and supporting documentation as provided by the AER and as interpreted by the relevant completing Ausgrid business unit. The information primarily comes from Ausgrid's CIS system (SAP) or is based on advice from the relevant business unit experts. Subject matter experts were engaged in preparing this information as necessary.	N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
Added  MVA Added  Switchgear Units Added  Transfomers Units Added  Installation (Labour) Volume  Land and Easements			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The conversion from actual dollars (nominal) to real dollars (\$2012-13) is by nature an estimate.</li> <li>There is no other estimate data outside of what has been stated above.</li> </ul>	<p>enable the commissioning of the substation will be included (i.e. 11kV connection expenditures).</p> <p><b>Step 3.</b> Provide the actual and expected years where expenditures have and will be incurred.</p> <p><b>Step 4.</b> For projects with actual incurred expenditure, information is provided in the following order:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transformers expenditure (exclude distribution, auxiliary and earthing transformers);</li> <li>Switchgear expenditure (include primary switchgears on both the high and low side of the substation);</li> <li>Capacitors expenditure (for capacitors within the substations that offer capacitive and voltage support);</li> <li>Other plant item expenditure (based on the total 'Material' booked to the project minus item 1, 2 &amp; 3 above);</li> <li>Installation labour expenditure (uses the 'Labour-Direct' cost element of the project);</li> <li>Installation labour volume (uses associated labour component in project system and payroll);</li> <li>Easements expenditure (usually booked against the project itself);</li> <li>Civil works expenditure (based on the total 'Contract Services' booked to the project minus item 7 above);</li> <li>Other direct expenditure (uses the 'Other-Direct' cost element of the project);</li> <li>Land Purchase expenditure (from a separate report as land is booked separately from the project).</li> </ul> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Item 1, 2 &amp; 3 above are based on either separate reports that itemises the materials booked to the project more accurately or financial asset class breakdown in SAP BI.</i></li> <li><i>All monetary figures provided in Step 4 are as incurred (i.e. Nominal \$).</i></li> <li><i>The monetary figures represent the full cost for the project irrespective of the proportion of augmentation components (see note in Step 1 above).</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Step 5.</b> For projects with expected forecast expenditure, information is provided in the following order;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For projects already midway through its investment cycle, it is reasonable to assumed that all major equipment is already procured and that the expected forecast expenditure for 'material' is part of 'Other Plant Item' only;</li> <li>For projects not yet authorised, the expected 'material' expenditure at the asset category level is used;</li> <li>Installation Labour expenditure is determined by peeling out the direct costs component of the expected expenditure using historical cost allocation;</li> <li>Installation Labour volume is determined using the result of item 3 above and dividing it by the average unit rate of direct labour (\$/man hour);</li> <li>Civil Works expenditure (based on 'Contract Services' cost element);</li> <li>Other Direct expenditure (assumed to be included as part of item 5 above).</li> <li>Any cancelled projects with expenditure in 2017/18 are not included (i.e. total project will be less than \$5m)</li> </ul>	<p>that are carried out outside of the processes in the steps above:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Since indirect costs (i.e. Indirect Labour and Indirect Other) are embedded into the total labour cost within the forecast system, an allocation approach is used to separate the associated direct labour component. It is deemed that historical cost elements provide the most suitable basis for this allocation.</li> <li>The forecast installation labour volume is determined using the indirect labour derived above and dividing it by the average unit rate of direct labour (\$/man hour). It is deemed that this is a reasonable approach given the timeframe and practicality of carrying out detail resource requirement against each project.</li> </ul> <p>The procedure to populate Table 2.3.1 involved extensive manual analysis of information, as Ausgrid does not have any automated systems to generate this type of information. As this is the only method for Ausgrid to populate Table 2.3.1 the information used is the best available.</p>		



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																								
				<p><b>Note:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><i>The monetary figures used in Step 5 are in real dollars \$2018-19.</i></li><li><i>The monetary figures represent the full cost for the project irrespective of the proportion of augmentation components (see note in Step 1 above).</i></li></ul> <p><b>Step 6.</b> Ausgrid has no 'Related Party Margins' and/or 'Non-Related Party Contracts'.</p> <p><b>Step 7.</b> Provide associated technical information for each project;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Transformers units added (based on material booked to the project and checked against various corporate systems mentioned above);</li><li>Transformers MVA added (based on information from various corporate systems mentioned above);</li><li>Switchgear units added (based on material booked to the project and checked against various corporate systems mentioned above);</li><li>Capacitors MVAR added (based on information from various corporate systems mentioned above);</li><li>Substation ratings (pre and post), voltages, types and triggers are determined by subject matter experts with reference to project briefs, engineering systems (e.g. Ratings and Impedance Calculator (RIC)).</li></ul> <p>Ratings used are 'Normal Cyclic' Substation ratings. This is the throughput rating as defined in the notes for RIN Section 2.4. 'Normal condition' for the purposes of the Augex model is defined the planned network configuration, with no assets unavailable due to planned or unplanned outages.</p> <p>For Project Type, 'New substation establishment' includes projects where a substation is established on a new site, even if it is in part driven by the replacement of an older substation.). Where an upgrade (including changes to primary voltage) occurs on the same location, 'Substation upgrade - capacity' is used.</p> <p><b>Explanation of 'Other-please specify' records</b></p> <p>A number of substations have the 'Project Trigger' identified as 'Other - please specify'. Summary of Substation Projects with 'Project 'Trigger' identified as 'Other': Information is provided as follows:</p> <table><tr><th>Substation ID</th><th>Project ID</th><th>Primary Trigger</th><th>Secondary Trigger</th></tr><tr><td>New Rockdale 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05993)</td><td>ARA_04.1.0008</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>Engadine ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-04726 &amp; SJ-05749)</td><td>ARA_04.2.0015</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>Crows Nest ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-05651)</td><td>ARA_05.1.0006</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>New Charlestown 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05319 &amp; SJ-06071)</td><td>ARA_07.5.0005</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>New Aberdeen 66/11kV Zone (SJ-</td><td>ARA_08.2.0014</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr></table>	Substation ID	Project ID	Primary Trigger	Secondary Trigger	New Rockdale 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05993)	ARA_04.1.0008	Replacement	Augmentation	Engadine ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-04726 & SJ-05749)	ARA_04.2.0015	Replacement	Augmentation	Crows Nest ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-05651)	ARA_05.1.0006	Replacement	Augmentation	New Charlestown 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05319 & SJ-06071)	ARA_07.5.0005	Replacement	Augmentation	New Aberdeen 66/11kV Zone (SJ-	ARA_08.2.0014	Replacement	Augmentation			
Substation ID	Project ID	Primary Trigger	Secondary Trigger																												
New Rockdale 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05993)	ARA_04.1.0008	Replacement	Augmentation																												
Engadine ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-04726 & SJ-05749)	ARA_04.2.0015	Replacement	Augmentation																												
Crows Nest ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-05651)	ARA_05.1.0006	Replacement	Augmentation																												
New Charlestown 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05319 & SJ-06071)	ARA_07.5.0005	Replacement	Augmentation																												
New Aberdeen 66/11kV Zone (SJ-	ARA_08.2.0014	Replacement	Augmentation																												

**Ausgrid Basis of Preparation**  
2017/18 Category Analysis RIN  
Page 10 of 82

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
Land and Easements			<p>typically imbedded as part of the invoice deemed as contract services. As such only an estimate cost can be made as the procurement cost for material varies between service providers and is not typically provided by service providers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Circuit KM Upgraded is simply not captured in any current system and cannot be readily determine as there are no sensible information that to use as point of reference.</li> <li>• Although some Poles/Towers Added can be found within each project, it is proven that the asset counts in the system are inaccurate and not sensible compare to the actual Circuit KM Added. As such, it is more appropriate to provide an estimate using the actual Circuit KM Added and the average span distances between two common types of constructions.</li> <li>• As a result of item 5 above, an effort is made to provide Poles/Towers expenditure using an average unit rate of \$1,200 per supporting structure.</li> <li>• The conversion from actual dollars (nominal) to real dollars (\$2012-13) is by nature an estimate.</li> </ul>	<p>data due to changes in booking practices.</p> <p><b>Step 4.</b> For projects with actual incurred expenditure, information is provided in the following order:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other plant item expenditure (uses the full 'material' cost element as expenditure cannot be readily separated sensibly and consistently for either overhead or underground construction);</li> <li>• For 2017/18, some overhead/underground construction split is possible using financial asset class breakdown;</li> <li>• Installation labour expenditure (uses the 'Labour-Direct' cost element of the project);</li> <li>• Installation labour volume (uses associated labour component in project system and payroll);</li> <li>• Easements expenditure (usually booked against the project itself);</li> <li>• Civil works expenditure (based on the total 'Contract Services' booked to the project minus item 4 above);</li> <li>• Other direct expenditure (uses the 'Other-Direct' cost element of the project);</li> <li>• Land purchase expenditure (assume no land purchases associated with lines and cables).</li> </ul> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>All monetary figures provided in Step 4 are as incurred (i.e. Nominal dollars).</i></li> <li>• <i>The monetary figures represent the full cost for the project irrespective of the proportion of augmentation components (see note in Step 1 above).</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Step 5.</b> For projects with expected forecast expenditure, information is provided in the following order:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For projects already midway through its investment cycle, it is reasonable to assume that all major equipment is already procured and that the expected forecast expenditure for 'material' is part of 'Other Plant Item' only;</li> </ul> <p>For projects not yet authorised, the expected 'material' expenditure at the asset category level is used</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Installation Labour expenditure is determined by peeling out the direct costs component of the expected expenditure using historical cost allocation;</li> <li>• Installation labour volume is determined using the result of item 3 above and dividing it by the average unit rate of direct labour (\$/man hour);</li> <li>• Civil works expenditure (based on 'Contract Services' cost element);</li> <li>• Other direct expenditure (assumed to be included as part of item 5 above).</li> </ul> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>All monetary figures used in Step 5 are in real dollars \$2018-19.</i></li> <li>• <i>The monetary figures represent the full cost for the project irrespective of the proportion of augmentation components (see note in Step 1 above).</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Step 6.</b> It is reasonable to assume that Ausgrid have no 'Related Party Margins' and/or 'Non-Related Party Contracts'.</p>	<p>approach is used to estiamte the associated direct labour costs. It is deem that historical cost elements provide the most suitable basis for this allocation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Installation labour volume is determined using the indirect labour derived above and dividing it by the average unit rate of direct labour (\$/man hour). It is deemed that this is a reasonable approach given the timeframe and practicality of carrying out detail resource requirement against each project.</li> <li>• In principle, when an estimate cannot be provided, it is because any known attempt to create this data is baseless and potentially leads to further misunderstanding of the information sought in the notice.</li> <li>• The procedure to populate table 2.3.2 involved extensive manual analysis of information, as Ausgrid does not have any automated systems to generate this type of information. As this is the only method for Ausgrid to populate table 2.3.2, the information used is the best available.</li> </ul>		

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																												
				<p><b>Step 7.</b> Provide associated technical information for each project;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Underground Circuit KM Added (for actual use GIS data and for expected use Project Offer or Forecast System data);</li><li>Overhead Lines Circuit KM Added (for actual use GIS data and for expected use Project Offer or Forecast System data);</li><li>Poles/Towers Added (is based item 2 above divided by an average span length of 75m);</li><li>Route Line Length Added (based on subject matter expert investigations and advice);</li><li>Project type, trigger and voltage determined by subject matter experts with reference to project briefs and engineering systems.</li><li>Explanation of 'Other-please specify' records:</li></ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For project - ARA_05.1.0006 - Project Type is listed as 'Other-please specify'. This project covers installation of 132kV cable sections and termination works related to the conversion of Crow's Nest zone substation into 132kV operation.</li><li>For project - ARA_04.4.A.0005 - Project Type is listed as 'Other-please specify'. This project cover the installation of 33kV egress ductlines from the new 33kV switchroom to enable connection of major customer developments and projects in the area.</li><li>A number of project triggers are listed as 'Other - please specify'. These projects are primarily driven by condition issues, where some incremental additional capacity is installed due a forecast need for greater capacity in future, where economical to do so. The summary of these projects is below:</li></ul> <table><tr><th>Substation ID</th><th>Project ID</th><th>Primary Trigger</th><th>Secondary Trigger</th></tr><tr><td>New Rockdale ZN 132kV connection works (SJ-06161)</td><td>ARA_04.1.0008</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>Engadine ZN 132kV feeders 285, 286 &amp; 289 (SI-05747)</td><td>ARA_04.2.0013</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>Crows Nest ZN 132kV connection works (SJ-05651)</td><td>ARA_05.1.0005</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>132kV fdrs 9E3 9E4/2 Lindfield-Willoughby replacement (SJ-06046)</td><td>ARA_05.1.0008</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>New Aberdeen ZN 66kV feeders 82257 &amp; 82244 (SJ-05634)</td><td>ARA_08.2.0014</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>New 132kV feeder 90Y Beaconsfield-Belmore Park (SJ-00167)</td><td>ARA_01.1.0035A</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr></table>	Substation ID	Project ID	Primary Trigger	Secondary Trigger	New Rockdale ZN 132kV connection works (SJ-06161)	ARA_04.1.0008	Replacement	Augmentation	Engadine ZN 132kV feeders 285, 286 & 289 (SI-05747)	ARA_04.2.0013	Replacement	Augmentation	Crows Nest ZN 132kV connection works (SJ-05651)	ARA_05.1.0005	Replacement	Augmentation	132kV fdrs 9E3 9E4/2 Lindfield-Willoughby replacement (SJ-06046)	ARA_05.1.0008	Replacement	Augmentation	New Aberdeen ZN 66kV feeders 82257 & 82244 (SJ-05634)	ARA_08.2.0014	Replacement	Augmentation	New 132kV feeder 90Y Beaconsfield-Belmore Park (SJ-00167)	ARA_01.1.0035A	Replacement	Augmentation			
Substation ID	Project ID	Primary Trigger	Secondary Trigger																																
New Rockdale ZN 132kV connection works (SJ-06161)	ARA_04.1.0008	Replacement	Augmentation																																
Engadine ZN 132kV feeders 285, 286 & 289 (SI-05747)	ARA_04.2.0013	Replacement	Augmentation																																
Crows Nest ZN 132kV connection works (SJ-05651)	ARA_05.1.0005	Replacement	Augmentation																																
132kV fdrs 9E3 9E4/2 Lindfield-Willoughby replacement (SJ-06046)	ARA_05.1.0008	Replacement	Augmentation																																
New Aberdeen ZN 66kV feeders 82257 & 82244 (SJ-05634)	ARA_08.2.0014	Replacement	Augmentation																																
New 132kV feeder 90Y Beaconsfield-Belmore Park (SJ-00167)	ARA_01.1.0035A	Replacement	Augmentation																																
				<p><b>Step 8.</b> Assign primary and secondary trigger for each project identified above. For projects where the primary trigger is augmentation, 100% of the project cost is considered to be augmentation. For projects where the primary driver is not augmentation, it is excluded from table 2.3.2 since having it there will contradict with the requirement to meet Schedule 2, Appendix E, 7.1 (a) of the RIN requirement as highlighted to us by NSW Audit. Please note that as outlined in the table above (step 7), the projects with a secondary trigger in augmentation exceeding \$5m is identified and their relevant information is readily available to review upon request.</p>																															

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																																																						
				<p><b>Step 9.</b> Derive the Poles/Towers expenditures using Step 7 - item 3 above and an average unit rate of \$1,200 per supporting structure). This derived expenditure is subtracted from the Other Plant Item expenditure in Step 4 - item 1 above to ensure that the overall project expenditure remains the same.</p> <p><b>Step 10.</b> As requested in Appendix E, 1.9 and 1.10 then later specified in 7.3 (c) the actual and forecast expenditures derived from the steps above are converted into real dollars (\$2012-13) using the following % CPI and indexations (which is then applied as an average escalation factor based on the years incurred):</p> <table><tr><td></td><td>FY2006/07</td><td>FY2007/08</td><td>FY2008/09</td><td>FY2009/10</td><td>FY2010/11</td><td>FY2011/12</td><td>FY2012/13</td><td>FY2013/14</td></tr><tr><td>CPI</td><td>2.33%</td><td>4.35%</td><td>1.82%</td><td>2.85%</td><td>3.39%</td><td>2.30%</td><td>2.28%</td><td>2.71%</td></tr><tr><td>Indexation for Real 12/13\$</td><td>1.183</td><td>1.156</td><td>1.108</td><td>1.088</td><td>1.058</td><td>1.023</td><td>1.000</td><td>0.974</td></tr></table> <table><tr><td>FY2014/15</td><td>FY2015/16</td><td>FY2016/17</td><td>FY2017/18</td><td>FY2018/19</td><td>FY2019/20</td><td>FY2020/21</td><td>FY2021/22</td><td>FY2022/23</td></tr><tr><td>1.71%</td><td>2.32%</td><td>1.70%</td><td>2.10%</td><td>2.24%</td><td>2.50%</td><td>2.50%</td><td>2.50%</td><td>2.50%</td></tr><tr><td>0.957</td><td>0.935</td><td>0.920</td><td>0.901</td><td>0.881</td><td>0.860</td><td>0.839</td><td>0.818</td><td>0.798</td></tr></table>		FY2006/07	FY2007/08	FY2008/09	FY2009/10	FY2010/11	FY2011/12	FY2012/13	FY2013/14	CPI	2.33%	4.35%	1.82%	2.85%	3.39%	2.30%	2.28%	2.71%	Indexation for Real 12/13\$	1.183	1.156	1.108	1.088	1.058	1.023	1.000	0.974	FY2014/15	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	FY2017/18	FY2018/19	FY2019/20	FY2020/21	FY2021/22	FY2022/23	1.71%	2.32%	1.70%	2.10%	2.24%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	0.957	0.935	0.920	0.901	0.881	0.860	0.839	0.818	0.798			
	FY2006/07	FY2007/08	FY2008/09	FY2009/10	FY2010/11	FY2011/12	FY2012/13	FY2013/14																																																					
CPI	2.33%	4.35%	1.82%	2.85%	3.39%	2.30%	2.28%	2.71%																																																					
Indexation for Real 12/13\$	1.183	1.156	1.108	1.088	1.058	1.023	1.000	0.974																																																					
FY2014/15	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	FY2017/18	FY2018/19	FY2019/20	FY2020/21	FY2021/22	FY2022/23																																																					
1.71%	2.32%	1.70%	2.10%	2.24%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%																																																					
0.957	0.935	0.920	0.901	0.881	0.860	0.839	0.818	0.798																																																					
2.3 Augex B TABLE 2.3.3 - AUGEX DATA - HV/LV FEEDERS AND DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATIONS	Actual	<p>Information was obtained from Ausgrid's Corporate Information System (SAP), Distribution Planning Investigation (DPI), and Geographical Information System (GIS). SAP Business Intelligence standard reports were used as a basis for determining costs and project type. Asset volume and their nature have been sourced from DPI and GIS.</p> <p>Since Ausgrid does not currently categorise Augmentation projects in the same way as the RIN, it was necessary to undertake an analysis of projects completed in 2017/18. The asset volume reported includes only the projects that were completed in 2017/18, whereas the dollars reported includes all projects that incurred an expenditure in 2017/18.</p> <p>Actual FY18\$ come from standard capex reports from SAP (Capex by LOB - Snapshot report) run by drivers (in this case are augmentation and reliability) and direct cost only.</p>	This is Actual	<p><b>Table 2.3.3.1</b></p> <p><b>Step 1.</b> Produce a BI report by driver, Reg ID, WBS elements and financial asset category dimension format for 2017/18 with cost element and asset class breakdown. Only projects that were completed (practically or financially) in FY2018 were included for the volume report.</p> <p><b>Step 2.</b> For feeders, circuit length data by network type (OH/UG) is obtained from Ausgrid's GIS database. For Distribution substation, volumes by type (Pole/Ground/Indoor) are obtained from Distribution Planning DPI database</p> <p><b>Step 3</b> For feeders, The proportion of added and upgraded was determined by reviewing project justification documents. Where these were unavailable (some projects pre-date TRIM), the likely scope of work was determined based on engineering judgement (eg LV work to decommission a substation was assumed to be added LV to the location of the new replacement substation). For Distribution substation, the 'Added' or 'Upgrade' volumes are available in the DPI database</p> <p>. Step 4. Projects with budget estimate of &lt;\$50k have been excluded as they are reported as non-material projects.</p> <p><b>Step 5.</b> All projects related to STS, STSS, ZS/Subtransmission Lines are excluded since htey are reported .separately as part of major projects.</p> <p><b>Table 2.3.3.2</b></p> <p><b>Step 1.</b> Produce a BI report by driver, Reg ID, WBS elements and financial asset category dimension format for 2017/18 with cost element and asset class breakdown. Actual expenditure in FY18 of all projects are included, regardless of the project status.</p> <p><b>Step 2.</b> Map each WBS element capex spend into the required line item. This is done by engaging subject matter experts in combining each asset class and each Reg ID and eventually projects attached to it.</p> <p><b>Step 3</b> Identify direct cost elements and using the mapping between Reg ID and Asset Class, each projects spending are reported into the required line items.</p> <p>Step4. Projects with budget estimate of &lt;\$50k have been reported as non-material. This includes LV Planning Investigation and LV load surveys projects. These may appear as individual projects however these are bucket projects with individual budget less than \$50k</p> <p><b>Step 5.</b> HV augmentations associated Zone Substations were excluded since the expenditures and lengths were not material.</p>	N/A	<p>This response is based on the worksheets and supporting documentation as provided by the AER and as interpreted by the relevant completing Ausgrid business unit. The information primarily comes from Ausgrid's CIS system or is based on advice from the relevant business unit experts. Subject matter experts were engaged in preparing this information as necessary.</p>	N/A																																																						



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p><b>Step 6.</b> Allocate projects identified as support costs across each line item reported.</p>			
2.3 Augex B Table 2.3.3.1 Descriptor Metrics	Actual	<p>Information was obtained from Ausgrid's Corporate Information System (SAP), Distribution Planning Investigation (DPI), and Geographical Information System (GIS). SAP Business Intelligence standard reports were used as a basis for determining costs and project type. Asset volume and their nature have been sourced from DPI and GIS.</p> <p>Since Ausgrid does not currently categorise Augmentation projects in the same way as the RIN, it was necessary to undertake an analysis of projects completed in 2017/18. The asset volume reported includes only the projects that were completed in 2017/18, whereas the dollars reported includes all projects that incurred an expenditure in 2017/18.</p> <p>Actual FY18\$ come from standard capex reports from SAP (Capex by LOB - Snapshot report) run by drivers (in this case are augmentation and reliability) and direct cost only.</p>	This is Actual	<p><b>Table 2.3.3.1</b></p> <p><b>Step 1.</b> Produce a BI report by driver, Reg ID, WBS elements and financial asset category dimension format for 2017/18 with cost element and asset class breakdown. Only projects that were completed (practically or financially) in FY2018 were included for the volume report.</p> <p><b>Step 2.</b> For feeders, circuit length data by network type (OH/UG) is obtained from Ausgrid's GIS database. For Distribution substation, volumes by type (Pole/Ground/Indoor) are obtained from Distribution Planning DPI database</p> <p><b>Step 3</b> For feeders, The proportion of added and upgraded was determined by reviewing project justification documents. Where these were unavailable (some projects pre-date TRIM), the likely scope of work was determined based on engineering judgement (eg LV work to decommission a substation was assumed to be added LV to the location of the new replacement substation). For Distribution substation, the 'Added' or 'Upgrade' volumes are available in the DPI database.</p> <p><b>Step 4.</b> Projects with budget estimate of &lt;\$50k have been excluded as they are reported as non-material projects.</p> <p><b>Step 5.</b> All projects related to STS, STSS, ZS/Subtransmission Lines are excluded since htey are reported .separately as part of major projects.</p> <p><b>Table 2.3.3.2</b></p> <p><b>Step 1.</b> Produce a BI report by driver, Reg ID, WBS elements and financial asset category dimension format for 2017/18 with cost element and asset class breakdown. Actual expenditure in FY18 of all projects are included, regardless of the project status.</p> <p><b>Step 2.</b> Map each WBS element capex spend into the required line item. This is done by engaging subject matter experts in combining each asset class and each Reg ID and eventually projects attached to it.</p> <p><b>Step 3</b> Identify direct cost elements and using the mapping between Reg ID and Asset Class, each projects spending are reported into the required line items.</p> <p><b>Step 4.</b> Projects with budget estimate of &lt;\$50k have been excluded as they are reported as non-material projects.</p> <p><b>Step 5.</b> HV augmentations associated Zone Substations were excluded since the expenditures and lengths were not material.</p> <p><b>Step 6.</b> Allocate projects identified as support costs across each line item reported.</p>	N/A	This response is based on the worksheets and supporting documentation as provided by the AER and as interpreted by the relevant completing Ausgrid business unit. The information primarily comes from Ausgrid's CIS system or is based on advice from the relevant business unit experts. Subject matter experts were engaged in preparing this information as necessary.	N/A
2.3 Augex B Table 2.3.3.2 Cost Metrics	Actual	<p>Information was obtained from Ausgrid's Corporate Information System (SAP), Distribution Planning Investigation (DPI), and Geographical Information System (GIS). SAP Business Intelligence standard reports were used as a basis for determining costs and project type. Asset volume and their nature have been sourced from DPI and GIS.</p> <p>Since Ausgrid does not currently categorise Augmentation projects in the same way as the</p>	This is Actual	<p><b>Table 2.3.3.1</b></p> <p><b>Step 1.</b> Produce a BI report by driver, Reg ID, WBS elements and financial asset category dimension format for 2017/18 with cost element and asset class breakdown. Only projects that were completed (practically or financially) in FY2018 were included for the volume report.</p> <p><b>Step 2.</b> For feeders, circuit length data by network type (OH/UG) is obtained from Ausgrid's GIS database. For Distribution substation, volumes by type (Pole/Ground/Indoor) are obtained from Distribution</p>	N/A	This response is based on the worksheets and supporting documentation as provided by the AER and as interpreted by the relevant completing Ausgrid business unit. The information primarily comes from Ausgrid's CIS system or is based on advice from the relevant business unit experts. Subject matter experts were engaged in preparing this information	N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments								
		<p>RIN, it was necessary to undertake an analysis of projects completed in 2017/18. The asset volume reported includes only the projects that were completed in 2017/18, whereas the dollars reported includes all projects that incurred an expenditure in 2017/18.</p> <p>Actual FY18\$ come from standard capex reports from SAP (Capex by LOB - Snapshot report) run by drivers (in this case are augmentation and reliability) and direct cost only.</p>		<p>Planning DPI database</p> <p><b>Step 3</b> For feeders, The proportion of added and upgraded was determined by reviewing project justification documents. Where these were unavailable (some projects pre-date TRIM), the likely scope of work was determined based on engineering judgement (eg LV work to decommission a substation was assumed to be added LV to the location of the new replacement substation). For Distribution substation, the 'Added' or 'Upgrade' volumes are available in the DPI database.</p> <p><b>Step 4.</b> Projects with budget estimate of &lt;\$50k have been excluded as they are reported as non-material projects.</p> <p><b>Step 5.</b> All projects related to STS, STSS, ZS/Subtransmission Lines are excluded since they are reported .separately as part of major projects.</p> <p><b>Table 2.3.3.2</b></p> <p><b>Step 1.</b> Produce a BI report by driver, Reg ID, WBS elements and financial asset category dimension format for 2017/18 with cost element and asset class breakdown. Actual expenditure in FY18 of all projects are included, regardless of the project status.</p> <p><b>Step 2.</b> Map each WBS element capex spend into the required line item. This is done by engaging subject matter experts in combining each asset class and each Reg ID and eventually projects attached to it.</p> <p><b>Step 3</b> Identify direct cost elements and using the mapping between Reg ID and Asset Class, each projects spending are reported into the required line items.</p> <p><b>Step 4.</b> Projects with budget estimate of</p> <p><b>Step 5.</b> HV augmentations associated Zone Substations were excluded since the expenditures and lengths were not material.</p> <p><b>Step 6.</b> Allocate projects identified as support costs across each line item reported.</p>		as necessary.									
2.3 Augex B TABLE 2.3.4 - AUGEX DATA - TOTAL EXPENDITURE	Actual	<p>Information was obtained from Ausgrid's Corporate Information System (SAP), Distribution Planning Investigation (DPI), and Geographical Information System (GIS). SAP Business Intelligence standard reports were used as a basis for determining costs and project type. Asset volume and their nature have been sourced from DPI and GIS.</p> <p>Since Ausgrid does not currently categorise Augmentation projects in the same way as the RIN, it was necessary to undertake an analysis of projects completed in 2017/18. The asset volume reported includes only the projects that were completed in 2017/18, whereas the dollars reported includes all projects that incurred an expenditure in 2017/18.</p> <p>Actual FY18\$ come from standard capex reports from SAP (Capex by LOB - Snapshot report) run by drivers (in this case are augmentation and reliability) and direct cost only.</p>	This is Actual	<p><b>Step 1.</b> Produce a BI report by driver, Reg ID, WBS elements and financial asset category dimension format for 2017/18 with cost element and asset class breakdown. Actual expenditure in FY18 of all projects are included, regardless of the project status.</p> <p><b>Step 2.</b> Map each WBS element capex spend into the required line item. This is done by engaging subject matter experts in combining each asset class and each Reg ID and eventually projects attached to it.</p> <p>As a general rule, all major projects are mapped to Subtransmission lines and STS/ STSS/ Zone substation RIN category. A few minor project components relating to street lighting, Distribution substation CSACS &amp; SCADA are mapped to 'Other Asset category'. Remaining projects are mapped into HV Feeder, LV Feeder and Distribution Substations.</p> <p>No material expenditure was incurred in land purchases and easement category.</p> <p><b>Step 3</b> Identify direct cost elements and using the mapping between Reg ID and Asset Class, each projects spending are reported into the required line items.</p> <p><b>Step 4.</b> Allocate projects identified as support costs across each line item reported.</p> <p>Section 2.3.4 reconciles to 2.3.3.2 for HV Feeders, LV Feeders and Distribution Substations as per below:</p> <table><tr><td><b>2.3.4</b></td><td><b>2.3.3.2</b></td></tr><tr><td><b>HV Feeders</b></td><td>HV Feeder Augmentations - Overhead Lines</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>HV Feeder Augmentations - Underground Cables</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>HV Feeder Non-Material Projects</td></tr></table>	<b>2.3.4</b>	<b>2.3.3.2</b>	<b>HV Feeders</b>	HV Feeder Augmentations - Overhead Lines		HV Feeder Augmentations - Underground Cables		HV Feeder Non-Material Projects	N/A	This response is based on the worksheets and supporting documentation as provided by the AER and as interpreted by the relevant completing Ausgrid business unit. The information primarily comes from Ausgrid's CIS system or is based on advice from the relevant business unit experts. Subject matter experts were engaged in preparing this information as necessary.	N/A
<b>2.3.4</b>	<b>2.3.3.2</b>														
<b>HV Feeders</b>	HV Feeder Augmentations - Overhead Lines														
	HV Feeder Augmentations - Underground Cables														
	HV Feeder Non-Material Projects														

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology		Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments												
				<table><tr><td>LV Feeders</td><td>LV Feeder Augmentations - Overhead Lines</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>LV Feeder Augmentations - Underground Cables</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>LV Feeder Non-Material Projects</td></tr><tr><td>Distribution Substations</td><td>Distribution Substation Augmentations - Pole Mounted</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Distribution Substation Augmentations - Ground Mounted</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Distribution Substation Augmentations - Indoor</td></tr></table>	LV Feeders	LV Feeder Augmentations - Overhead Lines		LV Feeder Augmentations - Underground Cables		LV Feeder Non-Material Projects	Distribution Substations	Distribution Substation Augmentations - Pole Mounted		Distribution Substation Augmentations - Ground Mounted		Distribution Substation Augmentations - Indoor				
LV Feeders	LV Feeder Augmentations - Overhead Lines																			
	LV Feeder Augmentations - Underground Cables																			
	LV Feeder Non-Material Projects																			
Distribution Substations	Distribution Substation Augmentations - Pole Mounted																			
	Distribution Substation Augmentations - Ground Mounted																			
	Distribution Substation Augmentations - Indoor																			
2.5 Connections TABLE 2.5.1 DESCRIPTOR METRICS	Actual	<p>The information was obtained from Ausgrid's Corporate Information System (SAP) and Geographical Information System (GIS). SAP Business Intelligence standard reports were used as a basis for determining costs and volumes of connections. Customer project numbers have been sourced from SAP and Business Intelligence reporting.</p> <p>Since Ausgrid operates in a contestable environment, the connection volumes are provided to reflect the number of connections with capital contributions from Ausgrid. These connections can be carried out by external ASPs or carried out by Ausgrid as contestable connections. Ausgrid's connection expenditure primarily relates to works removed from contestability on the basis of a risk assessment which determines that it is not appropriate for an external ASP to undertake the work. In practice this primarily relates to the termination of connection cables within live substations and reimbursement of ASP's for ancillary augmentation work undertaken on behalf of Ausgrid as part of the connection project.</p> <p>Since Ausgrid does not currently categorise connection projects in the same way as the RIN, it was necessary to undertake an analysis of projects completed in 2017/18. The reported volume of projects is for completed projects only whereas the expenditure reported is for total expenditure. As a result, the average cost (reported expenditure per unit of completed projects) may vary from the average cost of individual projects.</p>	N/A	<p>Since 2017, expenditure in this area has been impacted by a change in Ausgrid's Customer Connection Policy back in 2014/15 to comply with AER Connection Charge Guidelines. Under this policy, Ausgrid does not provide free-issue material for connection projects. This is outlined in Ausgrid's Connection Policy which has been provided as part of the regulatory proposal.</p> <p>Following the policy change, an internal decision was made to not use projects as the method of capturing expenditure and details of minor non-contestable connection activity. Instead, these activities were captured as notifications/work orders. This resulted in a significant reduction in project volumes and a corresponding increase in connection activity undertaken as work orders. This impacted the reporting in 2017 which significantly under-reported the volume of simple connections but overstated the volume of distribution substation installations. Whilst the projects identified were substation installations, the majority of these connections were contestable projects funded by customers. The Ausgrid component of these projects was non-contestable work related to the connection of these substations to the Ausgrid network (i.e. termination of connections to live substations and ASP re-imbursement of ancillary augmentation). This has been corrected in the current annual RIN.</p> <p>Standard SAP project reports were interrogated to obtain volume of projects connection projects completed in 2017/18 by project sub-category (commercial, residential etc.), connection types (Underground or Overhead) and other details. These projects were then queried directly with the GIS database, to determine the length of net circuit added (HV or LV). Project completion status was based on their status as at 30 June 2018 (i.e. practical of financial completed).</p> <p>Standard SAP Business Intelligence reports were used as a basis for determining the volume of Distribution Centres (DC's) installed and the split of residential and commercial. A SAP Ratings report was utilised to determine the total MVA added to the network based on the substation numbers obtained from the SAP BI report. The Ratings report provided the rated kVA, which was in turn converted to MVA.</p> <p>High Voltage (HV) and Low Voltage (LV) network augmentation information for the net circuit km added was provided by GIS system mentioned earlier based on the projects completed in 2017/18. Any cable installed in association with a project which is still 'in construction' will be reported in future years. However the cost of HV and LV network augmentation was obtained from SAP BI report of the projects incurred cost in 2017/18 with the split based on the project sub-category field. The cost includes any projects which are still 'in construction'. The circuit length does not include the length of contributed or customer funded assets.</p> <p>The costs specific to different types of connection projects were extracted from SAP with the same approach of splitting connection subcategory and connection configuration types as the volumes. The Network Planning Costs were excluded and reported as Network</p>	N/A	<p>This response is based on the same preparation of worksheets and supporting documentation used in the Reset RIN. The information at an aggregated level primarily comes from Ausgrid's Corporate systems (SAP or GIS) or is based on advice from the relevant business unit experts. Subject matter experts were engaged in preparing this information as necessary.</p>	N/A													

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																												
				<p>Overhead in other RIN Sheets.</p> <p>The mean number of days to connect a single phase LV residential customer utilised information obtained from SAP (according to 'sales documents' generated for customer invoicing) and subject matter experts. The volume of GSL breaches, customer complaints and payments relating to connection services for residential customers were also obtained from SAP and subject matter experts.</p> <p>Ausgrid has no volume but some expenditure to report in some descriptor metrics, this misalignment is caused by the sourcing difference for volume and expenditure, as mentioned earlier the volume is from the projects completed in 2017/18 while the expenditure covered incomplete projects carried out in 2017/18.</p>																															
2.5 Connections TABLE 2.5.2 COST METRICS BY CONNECTION CLASSIFICATION	Actual	<p>Table 2.5.2 shares the same data source with Table 2.5.1. The SAP Business Intelligence and SAP Analysis reports were used as a basis for determining expenditure associated with various connection subcategories.</p> <p>Connection figures for Table 2.5.2 were obtained from a detailed analysis of projects initiated by the Customer Connection driver. This was required because projects were not categorised in Ausgrid's systems in the same way as the AER's RIN categories.</p> <p>The total volume and the expenditure of 2017/18 is obtained from SAP Business Intelligence and SAP Analysis then split by connection type by analysing the connection projects' category and subcategory.</p> <p>As mentioned earlier under 2.5.1, the volume of this template is sourced from the connections projects completed in 2017/18 while the expenditure includes the expenditures of the projects still in construction.</p>		<p>The information was obtained from SAP. The SAP Business Intelligence and SAP Analysis reports were used as a basis for determining expenditure associated with various connection subcategories.</p> <p>Connection figures for Table 2.5.2 were obtained from a detailed analysis of projects' category and subcategory within the Customer Connection diver. This was required because projects were not categorised in Ausgrid's systems in the same way as the AER's RIN categories.</p> <p>Following the policy change of customer connection, an internal decision was made to not use projects as the method of capturing expenditure and details of minor connection activity. Instead, these minor contestable projects were captured as notifications/work orders. This resulted in a significant reduction in project volumes and a corresponding increase in connection activity recorded as notifications/work orders, which was reflected in preparation of Table 2.5.2, consistent with preparation of Table 2.5.1.</p> <p>Ausgrid has no volume but some expenditure to report in some descriptor metrics. This misalignment is a result of the requirement to report completed project volumes only but report total expenditure for 2017/18.</p>	N/A	<p>This response is based on the same preparation of worksheets and supporting documentation used in the Reset RIN. The information at an aggregated level primarily comes from Ausgrid's SAP system or is based on advice from the relevant business unit experts. Subject matter experts were engaged in preparing this information as necessary.</p>	N/A																												
2.6 Non Network 2.6.1 - NON-NETWORK EXPENDITURE	Actual	<p>Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly from our SAP financial system or via TM1. Specific details of exact sources of information are shown in the below table:</p> <p>Summary for Table 2.6.1 - Non-Network Expenditure</p> <table><tr><th>Expense Category</th><th>Source</th></tr><tr><td>IT &amp; Communications Opex</td><td>SAP via TM1 data extraction and non-financial information noted below</td></tr><tr><td>IT &amp; Communications Capex</td><td>SAP via BI data extraction and ICT project information</td></tr><tr><td>Motor Vehicles Opex</td><td>SAP via TM1 data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted below</td></tr><tr><td>Motor Vehicles Capex</td><td>SAP via BI data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted below</td></tr><tr><td>Building and Property Opex</td><td>SAP via TM1 data extraction</td></tr><tr><td>Building and Property Capex</td><td>SAP via BI extraction</td></tr><tr><td>Other Opex</td><td>Not applicable</td></tr></table>	Expense Category	Source	IT & Communications Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction and non-financial information noted below	IT & Communications Capex	SAP via BI data extraction and ICT project information	Motor Vehicles Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted below	Motor Vehicles Capex	SAP via BI data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted below	Building and Property Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction	Building and Property Capex	SAP via BI extraction	Other Opex	Not applicable	N/A	<p><b>Actual Costs</b></p> <p>Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly from our SAP financial system or via TM1. There is also a component of non-financial information involved in the preparation of the information.</p> <p>All costs are shown exclusive of overhead and indirect cost allocations to provide a direct cost view.</p> <p><b>Operating expenditure - Table 1</b></p> <table><tr><th>Expense Category</th><th>Methodology</th><th>Assumptions</th></tr><tr><td>Client Devices Opex</td><td>725040 - Desktop Support + 725090 - IT Hardware Leasing Expense</td><td>Client Devices Opex assumed to be the operating cost attached to the leasing and desktop support of PCs and other hand held devices. All other costs including software were included in recurrent/non-recurrent expenditure.</td></tr><tr><td>Recurrent Opex</td><td>All other Opex net of Client Devices and Non-Recurrent expenditure.</td><td>Recurrent Opex assumed to include expenditure that is recurrent in nature to support the ongoing ICT operations of the business (e.g. hardware/software maintenance, facilities management, application support, etc).</td></tr><tr><td>Non Recurrent Opex</td><td>ICT Opex analysed to determine non recurrent</td><td>Non Recurrent Opex assumed to be work performed on projects that cannot be</td></tr></table>	Expense Category	Methodology	Assumptions	Client Devices Opex	725040 - Desktop Support + 725090 - IT Hardware Leasing Expense	Client Devices Opex assumed to be the operating cost attached to the leasing and desktop support of PCs and other hand held devices. All other costs including software were included in recurrent/non-recurrent expenditure.	Recurrent Opex	All other Opex net of Client Devices and Non-Recurrent expenditure.	Recurrent Opex assumed to include expenditure that is recurrent in nature to support the ongoing ICT operations of the business (e.g. hardware/software maintenance, facilities management, application support, etc).	Non Recurrent Opex	ICT Opex analysed to determine non recurrent	Non Recurrent Opex assumed to be work performed on projects that cannot be	N/A	<p>Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly from our SAP financial system or via TM1. As such, the prevailing entries represent a subset of figures that have been reported in our annual audited financial statements and have been made in accordance with our CAM at the time of entry.</p> <p>It should be noted that all costs shown exclude overhead and/or other costs that are not directly attributable to the non-network assets, as defined by the AER's RIN instructions. Furthermore, all financial data as it relates to IT &amp; Communications, Property &amp; Vehicle expenditure has been extracted via either TM1 or directly from SAP and represents a subset of the financial figures as reported in our annual audited financial statements, with any assumptions in respect of the basis for estimating the respective allocation between cost categories</p>	N/A
Expense Category	Source																																		
IT & Communications Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction and non-financial information noted below																																		
IT & Communications Capex	SAP via BI data extraction and ICT project information																																		
Motor Vehicles Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted below																																		
Motor Vehicles Capex	SAP via BI data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted below																																		
Building and Property Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction																																		
Building and Property Capex	SAP via BI extraction																																		
Other Opex	Not applicable																																		
Expense Category	Methodology	Assumptions																																	
Client Devices Opex	725040 - Desktop Support + 725090 - IT Hardware Leasing Expense	Client Devices Opex assumed to be the operating cost attached to the leasing and desktop support of PCs and other hand held devices. All other costs including software were included in recurrent/non-recurrent expenditure.																																	
Recurrent Opex	All other Opex net of Client Devices and Non-Recurrent expenditure.	Recurrent Opex assumed to include expenditure that is recurrent in nature to support the ongoing ICT operations of the business (e.g. hardware/software maintenance, facilities management, application support, etc).																																	
Non Recurrent Opex	ICT Opex analysed to determine non recurrent	Non Recurrent Opex assumed to be work performed on projects that cannot be																																	



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source		Why Estimated	Methodology			Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments										
		Other Capex	SAP via BI extraction			expenditures.	capitalised (e.g. preparation of business cases, minor enhancements to applications, work performed for various internal divisions that were not in direct support of an application, etc).		noted within the Basis of Preparation.  All the required categories of expenditure for Operating and Capital expenditure contained in tables 2.6.1, 2.6.2 and 2.6.3 have been completed. No further categories were considered material enough to be reported individually.  Where there has been a variation to the above approach it has been disclosed in the relevant sections below											
					Car Opex	Total Number of Cars in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.													
					Light Commercial Vehicle (LCV) Opex	Total Number of LCV's in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.													
					Elevated Work Platform (EWP) LCV Opex	Total Number of EWP's LCV in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types. Additionally, assumed all EWP's were in the HCV class													
					Elevated Work Platform (EWP) HCV Opex	Total Number of EWP's HCV in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.													
					Heavy Commercial Vehicle (HCV) Opex	Total Number of HCV's in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.													
					Buildings and Property Opex	Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly or via TM1 and the BI System reporting on information from our SAP financial system.														
					Other Opex	No other Opex has been reported.	Based on the definition contained in Appendix F: Definitions, and a review of the Network Overhead and Corporate Overhead RIN categories, no Other Non-Network costs were identified per the table included in the Other Opex rationale table below.													
		Capital expenditure - Table 2																		
		<table><tr><th>Expense Category</th><th>Methodology</th><th>Assumptions</th></tr><tr><td>Client Devices Capex</td><td>All ICT Project Capex - include only cost elements - 725160 - Hardware Purchases &amp; 722100 - External Material - costs then analysed for Client Device expenditure only.</td><td>Client Devices Capex assumed to include hardware devices that access services made available by a server including desktop computers, laptops, thin client interfaces and handheld end user computing devices including smart phones, tablets and iPads.</td></tr><tr><td>Recurrent Capex</td><td>All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to</td><td>Recurrent Capex assumed to include expenditure that is</td></tr></table>									Expense Category	Methodology	Assumptions	Client Devices Capex	All ICT Project Capex - include only cost elements - 725160 - Hardware Purchases & 722100 - External Material - costs then analysed for Client Device expenditure only.	Client Devices Capex assumed to include hardware devices that access services made available by a server including desktop computers, laptops, thin client interfaces and handheld end user computing devices including smart phones, tablets and iPads.	Recurrent Capex	All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to	Recurrent Capex assumed to include expenditure that is	
		Expense Category	Methodology		Assumptions															
Client Devices Capex	All ICT Project Capex - include only cost elements - 725160 - Hardware Purchases & 722100 - External Material - costs then analysed for Client Device expenditure only.	Client Devices Capex assumed to include hardware devices that access services made available by a server including desktop computers, laptops, thin client interfaces and handheld end user computing devices including smart phones, tablets and iPads.																		
Recurrent Capex	All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to	Recurrent Capex assumed to include expenditure that is																		



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology			Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments												
					determine recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures.	recurrent in nature to continually run the business and organically grow business operations (e.g. refresh/replacement of infrastructure, true-up of licences, application upgrades, enhancements, remediation, etc). Assumed all capex not performed by ICT to be recurring.															
				Non Recurrent Capex	All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to determine recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures.	Non-Recurrent Capex assumed to be projects of a one-off and non-recurring nature. (e.g. new applications, new models, new developments, pilot projects, compliance requirements, migrations, etc)															
				Light Commercial Vehicle Capex	Capex data extracted directly from SAP BI from project FL-00002 and SAP GL.	This category includes plant procured during 2017/18.															
				Buildings and Property Capex	The numbers are obtained directly from the SAP financial system via BI.																
				<b>Motor Vehicle capital expenditure assumptions - Table 3</b>																	
				<b>Circumstance</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>Reason for Estimate</b>															
				Car Capex	Total fleet capex spend related to cars in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.															
				Light Commercial Vehicle Capex	Total fleet capex related to light commercial vehicles in 2017/18. This includes plant purchased in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.															
				Elevated Work Platform (EWP) HCV Capex	Total fleet capex for EWP's in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.															
				Heavy Commercial Vehicle (HCV) Capex	Total fleet capex for HCV's in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.															
2.6 Non Network OPEX	Actual	Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly from our SAP financial system or via TM1. Specific details of exact sources of information are shown in the below table:  Summary for Table 2.6.1 - Non-Network Expenditure  <table><tr><th>Expense Category</th><th>Source</th></tr><tr><td>IT &amp; Communications Opex</td><td>SAP via TM1 data extraction and non-financial information noted below</td></tr><tr><td>IT &amp; Communications Capex</td><td>SAP via BI data extraction and ICT project information</td></tr><tr><td>Motor Vehicles Opex</td><td>SAP via TM1 data extraction, FigFleet System and non-</td></tr></table>	Expense Category	Source	IT & Communications Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction and non-financial information noted below	IT & Communications Capex	SAP via BI data extraction and ICT project information	Motor Vehicles Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction, FigFleet System and non-	N/A	<b>Actual Costs</b>  Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly from our SAP financial system or via TM1. There is also a component of non-financial information involved in the preparation of the information.  All costs are shown exclusive of overhead and indirect cost allocations to provide a direct cost view.  <b>Operating expenditure - Table 1</b>  <table><tr><th>Expense Category</th><th>Methodology</th><th>Assumptions</th></tr><tr><td>Client Devices Opex</td><td>725040 - Desktop Support + 725090 - IT Hardware Leasing Expense</td><td>Client Devices Opex assumed to be the operating cost attached to the leasing and desktop support of PCs and other hand held devices. All other costs including software were included in recurrent/non-recurrent xpenditure.</td></tr></table>	Expense Category	Methodology	Assumptions	Client Devices Opex	725040 - Desktop Support + 725090 - IT Hardware Leasing Expense	Client Devices Opex assumed to be the operating cost attached to the leasing and desktop support of PCs and other hand held devices. All other costs including software were included in recurrent/non-recurrent xpenditure.	N/A	Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly from our SAP financial system or via TM1. As such, the prevailing entries represent a subset of figures that have been reported in our annual audited financial statements and have been made in accordance with our CAM at the time of entry.  It should be noted that all costs shown exclude overhead and/or other costs that are not directly attributable to the non-network assets, as defined by the AER's RIN instructions. Furthermore, all financial data as it relates to IT & Communications, Property & Vehicle expenditure has been extracted via	N/A
Expense Category	Source																				
IT & Communications Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction and non-financial information noted below																				
IT & Communications Capex	SAP via BI data extraction and ICT project information																				
Motor Vehicles Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction, FigFleet System and non-																				
Expense Category	Methodology	Assumptions																			
Client Devices Opex	725040 - Desktop Support + 725090 - IT Hardware Leasing Expense	Client Devices Opex assumed to be the operating cost attached to the leasing and desktop support of PCs and other hand held devices. All other costs including software were included in recurrent/non-recurrent xpenditure.																			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology			Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																																										
		<table><tr><td></td><td>financial information noted below</td></tr><tr><td>Motor Vehicles Capex</td><td>SAP via BI data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted below</td></tr><tr><td>Building and Property Opex</td><td>SAP via TM1 data extraction</td></tr><tr><td>Building and Property Capex</td><td>SAP via BI extraction</td></tr><tr><td>Other Opex</td><td>Not applicable</td></tr><tr><td>Other Capex</td><td>SAP via BI extraction</td></tr></table>		financial information noted below	Motor Vehicles Capex	SAP via BI data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted below	Building and Property Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction	Building and Property Capex	SAP via BI extraction	Other Opex	Not applicable	Other Capex	SAP via BI extraction		<table><tr><td>Recurrent Opex</td><td>All other Opex net of Client Devices and Non-Recurrent expenditure.</td><td>Recurrent Opex assumed to include expenditure that is recurrent in nature to support the ongoing ICT operations of the business (e.g. hardware/software maintenance, facilities management, application support, etc).</td></tr><tr><td>Non Recurrent Opex</td><td>ICT Opex analysed to determine non recurrent expenditures.</td><td>Non Recurrent Opex assumed to be work performed on projects that cannot be capitalised (e.g. preparation of business cases, minor enhancements to applications, work performed for various internal divisions that were not in direct support of an application, etc).</td></tr><tr><td>Car Opex</td><td>Total Number of Cars in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.</td><td>Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.</td></tr><tr><td>Light Commercial Vehicle (LCV) Opex</td><td>Total Number of LCV's in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.</td><td>Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.</td></tr><tr><td>Elevated Work Platform (EWP) LCV Opex</td><td>Total Number of EWP's LCV in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.</td><td>Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types. Additionally, assumed all EWP's were in the HCV class.</td></tr><tr><td>Elevated Work Platform (EWP) HCV Opex</td><td>Total Number of EWP's HCV in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.</td><td>Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.</td></tr><tr><td>Heavy Commercial Vehicle (HCV) Opex</td><td>Total Number of HCV's in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.</td><td>Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.</td></tr><tr><td>Buildings and Property Opex</td><td>Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly or via TM1 and the BI System reporting on information from our SAP financial system.</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Other Opex</td><td>No other Opex has been reported.</td><td>Based on the definition contained in Appendix F: Definitions, and a review of the Network Overhead and Corporate Overhead RIN categories, no Other Non-Network costs were identified per the table included in the Other Opex rationale table below.</td></tr></table> <div>Capital expenditure - Table 2</div> <table><tr><th>Expense Category</th><th>Methodology</th><th>Assumptions</th></tr><tr><td>Client Devices Capex</td><td>All ICT Project Capex - include only cost elements - 725160 - Hardware Purchases &amp; 722100</td><td>Client Devices Capex assumed to include hardware devices that access services made</td></tr></table>	Recurrent Opex	All other Opex net of Client Devices and Non-Recurrent expenditure.	Recurrent Opex assumed to include expenditure that is recurrent in nature to support the ongoing ICT operations of the business (e.g. hardware/software maintenance, facilities management, application support, etc).	Non Recurrent Opex	ICT Opex analysed to determine non recurrent expenditures.	Non Recurrent Opex assumed to be work performed on projects that cannot be capitalised (e.g. preparation of business cases, minor enhancements to applications, work performed for various internal divisions that were not in direct support of an application, etc).	Car Opex	Total Number of Cars in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.	Light Commercial Vehicle (LCV) Opex	Total Number of LCV's in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.	Elevated Work Platform (EWP) LCV Opex	Total Number of EWP's LCV in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types. Additionally, assumed all EWP's were in the HCV class.	Elevated Work Platform (EWP) HCV Opex	Total Number of EWP's HCV in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.	Heavy Commercial Vehicle (HCV) Opex	Total Number of HCV's in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.	Buildings and Property Opex	Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly or via TM1 and the BI System reporting on information from our SAP financial system.		Other Opex	No other Opex has been reported.	Based on the definition contained in Appendix F: Definitions, and a review of the Network Overhead and Corporate Overhead RIN categories, no Other Non-Network costs were identified per the table included in the Other Opex rationale table below.	Expense Category	Methodology	Assumptions	Client Devices Capex	All ICT Project Capex - include only cost elements - 725160 - Hardware Purchases & 722100	Client Devices Capex assumed to include hardware devices that access services made	<p>either TM1 or directly from SAP and represents a subset of the financial figures as reported in our annual audited financial statements, with any assumptions in respect of the basis for estimating the respective allocation between cost categories noted within the Basis of Preparation.</p> <p>All the required categories of expenditure for Operating and Capital expenditure contained in tables 2.6.1, 2.6.2 and 2.6.3 have been completed. No further categories were considered material enough to be reported individually.</p> <p>Where there has been a variation to the above approach it has been disclosed in the relevant sections below</p>	
	financial information noted below																																																		
Motor Vehicles Capex	SAP via BI data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted below																																																		
Building and Property Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction																																																		
Building and Property Capex	SAP via BI extraction																																																		
Other Opex	Not applicable																																																		
Other Capex	SAP via BI extraction																																																		
Recurrent Opex	All other Opex net of Client Devices and Non-Recurrent expenditure.	Recurrent Opex assumed to include expenditure that is recurrent in nature to support the ongoing ICT operations of the business (e.g. hardware/software maintenance, facilities management, application support, etc).																																																	
Non Recurrent Opex	ICT Opex analysed to determine non recurrent expenditures.	Non Recurrent Opex assumed to be work performed on projects that cannot be capitalised (e.g. preparation of business cases, minor enhancements to applications, work performed for various internal divisions that were not in direct support of an application, etc).																																																	
Car Opex	Total Number of Cars in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.																																																	
Light Commercial Vehicle (LCV) Opex	Total Number of LCV's in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.																																																	
Elevated Work Platform (EWP) LCV Opex	Total Number of EWP's LCV in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types. Additionally, assumed all EWP's were in the HCV class.																																																	
Elevated Work Platform (EWP) HCV Opex	Total Number of EWP's HCV in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.																																																	
Heavy Commercial Vehicle (HCV) Opex	Total Number of HCV's in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.																																																	
Buildings and Property Opex	Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly or via TM1 and the BI System reporting on information from our SAP financial system.																																																		
Other Opex	No other Opex has been reported.	Based on the definition contained in Appendix F: Definitions, and a review of the Network Overhead and Corporate Overhead RIN categories, no Other Non-Network costs were identified per the table included in the Other Opex rationale table below.																																																	
Expense Category	Methodology	Assumptions																																																	
Client Devices Capex	All ICT Project Capex - include only cost elements - 725160 - Hardware Purchases & 722100	Client Devices Capex assumed to include hardware devices that access services made																																																	

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology			Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments											
					- External Material - costs then analysed for Client Device expenditure only.	available by a server including desktop computers, laptops, thin client interfaces and handheld end user computing devices including smart phones, tablets and iPads.														
				Recurrent Capex	All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to determine recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures.	Recurrent Capex assumed to include expenditure that is recurrent in nature to continually run the business and organically grow business operations (e.g. refresh/replacement of infrastructure, true-up of licences, application upgrades, enhancements, remediation, etc). Assumed all capex not performed by ICT to be recurring.														
				Non Recurrent Capex	All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to determine recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures.	Non-Recurrent Capex assumed to be projects of a one-off and non-recurring nature. (e.g. new applications, new models, new developments, pilot projects, compliance requirements, migrations, etc)														
				Light Commercial Vehicle Capex	Capex data extracted directly from SAP BI from project FL-00002 and SAP GL.	This category includes plant procured during 2017/18.														
				Buildings and Property Capex	The numbers are obtained directly from the SAP financial system via BI.															
				<b>Motor Vehicle capital expenditure assumptions - Table 3</b>																
				<b>Circumstance</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>Reason for Estimate</b>														
				Car Capex	Total fleet capex spend related to cars in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.														
				Light Commercial Vehicle Capex	Total fleet capex related to light commercial vehicles in 2017/18. This includes plant purchased in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.														
				Elevated Work Platform (EWP) HCV Capex	Total fleet capex for EWP's in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.														
				Heavy Commercial Vehicle (HCV) Capex	Total fleet capex for HCV's in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.														
2.6 Non Network CAPEX	Actual	Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly from our SAP financial system or via TM1. Specific details of exact sources of information are shown in the below table:  Summary for Table 2.6.1 - Non-Network Expenditure <table><tr><th>Expense Category</th><th>Source</th></tr><tr><td>IT &amp; Communications Opex</td><td>SAP via TM1 data extraction and non-financial information noted below</td></tr><tr><td>IT &amp; Communications Capex</td><td>SAP via BI data extraction and ICT project information</td></tr><tr><td>Motor Vehicles Opex</td><td>SAP via TM1 data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted</td></tr></table>	Expense Category	Source	IT & Communications Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction and non-financial information noted below	IT & Communications Capex	SAP via BI data extraction and ICT project information	Motor Vehicles Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted	N/A	<b>Actual Costs</b>  Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly from our SAP financial system or via TM1. There is also a component of non-financial information involved in the preparation of the information.  All costs are shown exclusive of overhead and indirect cost allocations to provide a direct cost view.  <b>Operating expenditure - Table 1</b> <table><tr><th>Expense Category</th><th>Methodology</th><th>Assumptions</th></tr></table>			Expense Category	Methodology	Assumptions	N/A	Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly from our SAP financial system or via TM1. As such, the prevailing entries represent a subset of figures that have been reported in our annual audited financial statements and have been made in accordance with our CAM at the time of entry.  It should be noted that all costs shown exclude overhead and/or other costs that are not directly	N/A
Expense Category	Source																			
IT & Communications Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction and non-financial information noted below																			
IT & Communications Capex	SAP via BI data extraction and ICT project information																			
Motor Vehicles Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction, FigFleet System and non-financial information noted																			
Expense Category	Methodology	Assumptions																		

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source		Why Estimated	Methodology			Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
			below		Client Devices Opex	725040 - Desktop Support + 725090 - IT Hardware Leasing Expense	Client Devices Opex assumed to be the operating cost attached to the leasing and desktop support of PCs and other hand held devices. All other costs including software were included in recurrent/non- recurrent expenditure.		<p>attributable to the non-network assets, as defined by the AER's RIN instructions. Furthermore, all financial data as it relates to IT &amp; Communications, Property &amp; Vehicle expenditure has been extracted via either TM1 or directly from SAP and represents a subset of the financial figures as reported in our annual audited financial statements, with any assumptions in respect of the basis for estimating the respective allocation between cost categories noted within the Basis of Preparation.</p> <p>All the required categories of expenditure for Operating and Capital expenditure contained in tables 2.6.1, 2.6.2 and 2.6.3 have been completed. No further categories were considered material enough to be reported individually.</p> <p>Where there has been a variation to the above approach it has been disclosed in the relevant sections below</p>	
		Motor Vehicles Capex	SAP via BI data extraction, FigFleet System and non- financial information noted below		Recurrent Opex	All other Opex net of Client Devices and Non-Recurrent expenditure.	Recurrent Opex assumed to include expenditure that is recurrent in nature to support the ongoing ICT operations of the business (e.g. hardware/software maintenance, facilities management, application support, etc).			
		Building and Property Opex	SAP via TM1 data extraction		Non Recurrent Opex	ICT Opex analysed to determine non recurrent expenditures.	Non Recurrent Opex assumed to be work performed on projects that cannot be capitalised (e.g. preparation of business cases, minor enhancements to applications, work performed for various internal divisions that were not in direct support of an application, etc).			
		Building and Property Capex	SAP via BI extraction		Car Opex	Total Number of Cars in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.			
		Other Opex	Not applicable		Light Commercial Vehicle (LCV) Opex	Total Number of LCV's in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.			
		Other Capex	SAP via BI extraction		Elevated Work Platform (EWP) LCV Opex	Total Number of EWP's LCV in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types. Additionally, assumed all EWP's were in the HCV class.			
					Elevated Work Platform (EWP) HCV Opex	Total Number of EWP's HCV in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.			
					Heavy Commercial Vehicle (HCV) Opex	Total Number of HCV's in Fleet (as per 2.6.3) divided by Total Fleet multiplied by NLOB Opex for Fleet.	Assumed that weighted average basis is an effective mechanism for splitting costs across vehicles. Ignores intricacies between vehicle types.			
					Buildings and Property Opex	Actual data for the period 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly or via TM1 and the BI System reporting on information from our SAP financial system.				
					Other Opex	No other Opex has been reported.	Based on the definition contained in Appendix F: Definitions, and a review of the Network Overhead and Corporate Overhead RIN categories, no Other Non- Network costs were identified per the table included in the Other Opex rationale table below.			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																																	
				<div><b>Capital expenditure - Table 2</b></div> <table><tr><th>Expense Category</th><th>Methodology</th><th>Assumptions</th></tr><tr><td>Client Devices Capex</td><td>All ICT Project Capex - include only cost elements - 725160 - Hardware Purchases &amp; 722100 - External Material - costs then analysed for Client Device expenditure only.</td><td>Client Devices Capex assumed to include hardware devices that access services made available by a server including desktop computers, laptops, thin client interfaces and handheld end user computing devices including smart phones, tablets and iPads.</td></tr><tr><td>Recurrent Capex</td><td>All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to determine recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures.</td><td>Recurrent Capex assumed to include expenditure that is recurrent in nature to continually run the business and organically grow business operations (e.g. refresh/replacement of infrastructure, true-up of licences, application upgrades, enhancements, remediation, etc). Assumed all capex not performed by ICT to be recurring.</td></tr><tr><td>Non Recurrent Capex</td><td>All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to determine recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures.</td><td>Non-Recurrent Capex assumed to be projects of a one-off and non-recurring nature. (e.g. new applications, new models, new developments, pilot projects, compliance requirements, migrations, etc)</td></tr><tr><td>Light Commercial Vehicle Capex</td><td>Capex data extracted directly from SAP BI from project FL-00002 and SAP GL.</td><td>This category includes plant procured during 2017/18.</td></tr><tr><td>Buildings and Property Capex</td><td>The numbers are obtained directly from the SAP financial system via BI.</td><td></td></tr></table> <div><b>Motor Vehicle capital expenditure assumptions - Table 3</b></div> <table><tr><th>Circumstance</th><th>Methodology</th><th>Reason for Estimate</th></tr><tr><td>Car Capex</td><td>Total fleet capex spend related to cars in 2017/18.</td><td>The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.</td></tr><tr><td>Light Commercial Vehicle Capex</td><td>Total fleet capex related to light commercial vehicles in 2017/18. This includes plant purchased in 2017/18.</td><td>The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.</td></tr><tr><td>Elevated Work Platform (EWP) HCV Capex</td><td>Total fleet capex for EWP's in 2017/18.</td><td>The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.</td></tr><tr><td>Heavy Commercial Vehicle (HCV) Capex</td><td>Total fleet capex for HCV's in 2017/18.</td><td>The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.</td></tr></table>	Expense Category	Methodology	Assumptions	Client Devices Capex	All ICT Project Capex - include only cost elements - 725160 - Hardware Purchases & 722100 - External Material - costs then analysed for Client Device expenditure only.	Client Devices Capex assumed to include hardware devices that access services made available by a server including desktop computers, laptops, thin client interfaces and handheld end user computing devices including smart phones, tablets and iPads.	Recurrent Capex	All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to determine recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures.	Recurrent Capex assumed to include expenditure that is recurrent in nature to continually run the business and organically grow business operations (e.g. refresh/replacement of infrastructure, true-up of licences, application upgrades, enhancements, remediation, etc). Assumed all capex not performed by ICT to be recurring.	Non Recurrent Capex	All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to determine recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures.	Non-Recurrent Capex assumed to be projects of a one-off and non-recurring nature. (e.g. new applications, new models, new developments, pilot projects, compliance requirements, migrations, etc)	Light Commercial Vehicle Capex	Capex data extracted directly from SAP BI from project FL-00002 and SAP GL.	This category includes plant procured during 2017/18.	Buildings and Property Capex	The numbers are obtained directly from the SAP financial system via BI.		Circumstance	Methodology	Reason for Estimate	Car Capex	Total fleet capex spend related to cars in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.	Light Commercial Vehicle Capex	Total fleet capex related to light commercial vehicles in 2017/18. This includes plant purchased in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.	Elevated Work Platform (EWP) HCV Capex	Total fleet capex for EWP's in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.	Heavy Commercial Vehicle (HCV) Capex	Total fleet capex for HCV's in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.			
Expense Category	Methodology	Assumptions																																						
Client Devices Capex	All ICT Project Capex - include only cost elements - 725160 - Hardware Purchases & 722100 - External Material - costs then analysed for Client Device expenditure only.	Client Devices Capex assumed to include hardware devices that access services made available by a server including desktop computers, laptops, thin client interfaces and handheld end user computing devices including smart phones, tablets and iPads.																																						
Recurrent Capex	All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to determine recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures.	Recurrent Capex assumed to include expenditure that is recurrent in nature to continually run the business and organically grow business operations (e.g. refresh/replacement of infrastructure, true-up of licences, application upgrades, enhancements, remediation, etc). Assumed all capex not performed by ICT to be recurring.																																						
Non Recurrent Capex	All ICT Capex project expenditure analysed to determine recurrent and non-recurrent expenditures.	Non-Recurrent Capex assumed to be projects of a one-off and non-recurring nature. (e.g. new applications, new models, new developments, pilot projects, compliance requirements, migrations, etc)																																						
Light Commercial Vehicle Capex	Capex data extracted directly from SAP BI from project FL-00002 and SAP GL.	This category includes plant procured during 2017/18.																																						
Buildings and Property Capex	The numbers are obtained directly from the SAP financial system via BI.																																							
Circumstance	Methodology	Reason for Estimate																																						
Car Capex	Total fleet capex spend related to cars in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.																																						
Light Commercial Vehicle Capex	Total fleet capex related to light commercial vehicles in 2017/18. This includes plant purchased in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.																																						
Elevated Work Platform (EWP) HCV Capex	Total fleet capex for EWP's in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.																																						
Heavy Commercial Vehicle (HCV) Capex	Total fleet capex for HCV's in 2017/18.	The required information is not readily available from our Financial and Fleet systems.																																						
2.6 Non Network 2.6.2 - ANNUAL DESCRIPTOR METRICS - IT & COMMUNICATION S EXPENDITURE	Actual	<p>For employee numbers, actual data for 2017/18 has been used from SAP.</p> <p>For user numbers and number of devices, actual data for 2017/18 has been used. These are based on an extraction of actual data from subsidiary systems (e.g. Active Directory) and spreadsheets used to track and record current ICT statistics and balances. (e.g. number of PC desktops &amp; laptops).</p>	<p>User numbers are difficult to define in an overall perspective given the services that are delivered from the devices affect all staff in some direct capacity.</p> <p>User numbers assumed to be total staff numbers (excluding contractors provided with an Ausgrid email address or an external email address) as all staff are exposed to and have carriage of the devices</p>	<p>The number of employees engaged in standard control services is calculated based on work over the year scaled for time spent on standard control services work. This metric includes labour engaged under labour hire agreements.</p> <p>Average number of employees excluding labour hire engaged in Standard Control Services work over FY 2017/18 was 3,121. Allocation to the Standard Control Services is based on FTE split of 84.71%. This aligns with Ausgrid's CAM.</p> <p>Number of devices assumed to include hardware devices that access services made available by a server including desktop computers, laptops, thin client interfaces and handheld end user computing devices</p>	N/A	<p>The information provided is consistent with the requirements of the RIN. The definition of IT &amp; Communication "devices" and "user numbers" is consistent with the definitions in the RIN.</p>	N/A																																	



[illegible]

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
		<p><b><u>maintenance spans</u></b></p> <p>Route line length and number of spans was calculated using Ausgrid's Geographical Information System (GIS) data. Ausgrid's GIS data is not represented as spans or singular routes, but represents the network as individual circuits; therefore significant manipulation of the existing data model was required and is documented in the Methodology and Assumptions part (c) below.</p> <p>To classify route lengths into feeder categories the above data was combined with the 2016/17 reliability feeder classifications. Ausgrid performs an annual feeder re-categorisation which is based on the loading and length of the feeder as per STPIS definitions. The feeder categories are updated and stored in TOAD which flows to the Business Objects reporting environment.</p> <p>The route line length used does not correspond to the AER's amended version to the definition emailed on Ausgrid on 7 April 2014. The amended definition in this email states that underground cables should be included to the "route line length". The definition as outlined in this email was not adhered to for the calculations for Template 2.7 as underground cables are not relevant to vegetation management. Route line length has been calculated as per "Economic benchmarking RIN Instructions and Definitions.pdf".</p> <p><i>"The aggregate length in kilometres of lines, measured as the length of each span between poles and/or towers, and where the length of each span is considered only once irrespective of how many circuits it contains. This is the distance between line segments and does not include vertical components such as line sag."</i></p> <p>"Economic benchmarking RIN Instructions and Definitions.pdf" (page 50)</p> <p><b><u>Total length of maintenance spans</u></b></p> <p>Information for 'total length of maintenance span' was sourced using GIS data on the same basis as "Route length within zone". All of Ausgrid's overhead network is subject to vegetation management practises to ensure adequate clearances.</p> <p><b><u>Length of vegetation corridors</u></b></p> <p>Information on 'length of vegetation corridors' has been provided by the vegetation management contractors through their contractor data capture. Only current data could be obtained and was used for 2012/13. Earlier years have been estimated.</p> <p><b><u>Average number of trees per maintenance span</u></b></p> <p>GIS data. Route line maintenance spans combined with;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Where these line segments ran parallel they were snapped together, and</li><li>For spans which contained multiple conductors with different feeder classifications (Rural portion, Urban, and CBD), the highest voltage's classification was attributed to the span, with all others removed. If the span represented conductors with different feeder classifications and of the same voltage the following priority was applied to the span, only retaining the highest priority;</li></ul> <p>1. CBD</p> <p>2. Urban</p> <p>3. Rural</p> <p>Ausgrid does not give Transmission feeders (feeders &gt;22kV) a feeder classification of CBD, Urban or Rural. A transmission feeder typically supplies multiple HV feeder classifications. As a consequence, spans made up of transmission only feeders are not assigned a CBD, Urban or Rural category. If a span only consists of transmission, it received a classification of Transmission however, if there was also a feeder of lesser voltage on the span, the transmission voltage was ignored and the classification of the lower voltage was applied.</p> <p>The RIN templates only shows spans associated with low voltage and high voltage mains. Transmission only spans were not included in the RIN template.</p> <p>The RIN templates were unable to be edited therefore the transmission results have been provided below;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Transmission vegetation maintenance spans (number of spans)</li></ul> <p>1. 2009/10 17970</p> <p>2. 2010/11 18419</p> <p>3. 2011/12 18386</p> <p>4. 2012/13 18468</p> <p>5. 2013/14 17165</p> <p>6. 2014/15 17564</p> <p>7. 2015/16 17544</p> <p>8. 2016/17 16985</p> <p>9. 2017/18 16494</p> <p>The decrease in 2013/14 transmission vegetation maintenance spans can be explained by the transferral of ownership of feeders to Transgrid from Ausgrid.</p> <p>Services Mains lengths are an arbitrary length of 10m towards the centre of the supplied land parcel; therefore they have been excluded as a calculated length. In parts of Ausgrid's network the Service Mains (<i>Service Mains - The low voltage overhead mains belonging to the company between the company's Distribution Mains and the Point of Supply. Point of Supply - The point of delineation i.e. junction between the company owned overhead mains and the Consumer's Mains</i>) span is subject to vegetation management practises and it has been counted as a span. The decrease in the total number of spans between 2013/14 and 2014/15 is due to a data quality improvement project to accurately identify Service Mains and Consumer Mains in Ausgrid's GIS. Due to the source data structure used to calculate the feeder classifications,</p>		<p>2.7.1 is consistent with the requirements in the RIN. In providing information on vegetation management metrics, Ausgrid has completed the table in accordance with section 13.8 - 13.10 of Appendix E of the RIN, and also relevant definitions.</p> <p>In addition, Ausgrid has also provided the following, as required by section 10.15 of Schedule 1 and section 13.7 of Appendix E of the RIN:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Provide compliance audits of vegetation management work conducted by Ausgrid during the current regulatory control period;</li><li>A list of regulations that impose a material cost on performing <i>vegetation management</i> works (including, but is not limited to, bushfire mitigation regulations);</li><li>A list of any of the self-imposed standards from Ausgrid's <i>vegetation management</i> program which apply to that zone; and</li><li>An explanation of the cost impact of regulations and self-imposed standards on performing <i>vegetation management</i> work.</li></ul>		

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																												
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>2016/17 reliability feeder classifications</li><li>Ausgrid acquired 2017 Light Detection And Ranging (LiDAR)</li></ul> <p><b><u>Average frequency of cutting cycle</u></b></p> <p>Information for 'average frequency of cutting cycles' has been estimated. This is discussed further below.</p>		<p>street lighting data was not able to be assigned a classification and therefore omitted from the feeder category split results. For this reason and the omission of the Transmission only spans, the sum of the "Urban and CBD" and "Rural" number of maintenance spans will not equal the total number of maintenance spans. The increase in number of maintenance spans is accounted for the increased scope of vegetation managed service spans in 2016/17. In previous years, the number of vegetation service spans has been limited to discrete geographic areas, but has since been expanded to include all service spans in the Ausgrid network.</p> <p><b><u>Total length of maintenance spans</u></b></p> <p>Information for 'total length of maintenance spans' was provided on the same basis as "Route length within zone". All of Ausgrid's overhead network is subject to vegetation management practises to ensure adequate clearances are maintained.</p> <p><b><u>Length of vegetation corridors</u></b></p> <p>Current data was estimated for 2016/17 based on 2012/13 data. Because Ausgrid does not formally capture this data, based on the knowledge of the locations of these corridors it has been assumed that all of the vegetation corridors are associated with "rural" feeders.</p> <p><b><u>Average number of trees per maintenance span</u></b></p> <p></p> <p><b>2012/13 to 2017/18</b></p> <p></p> <p>Ausgrid utilised LiDAR acquired data for 2013, 2014, 2015,2016 and 2017 to calculate vegetation within the vicinity of its network covered by vegetation management activities. The spread or coverage of the LiDAR data and tree identification was within the LiDAR swath width which was up to 8 meters from the network. Trees and vegetation outside of this corridor were ignored and deemed not to be within the vicinity of the network for vegetation management activities.</p> <p></p> <p>The LiDAR data acquired by Ausgrid does not identify individual trees, however the data extracted from the point cloud data, acquired in 2015 and 2016 identifies areas or canopies of vegetation. These areas are more representative of tree branches and canopies than individual trees therefore, these individual segments have been amalgamated together based on a 3 metre radius and counted as one tree. The detail of this data has been improved and is therefore more refined than previous years.</p> <p>The source data did not fully cover the Ausgrid's network, nor was it an equal sample of construction types, environmental, and demographic variations within its supply area. The coverage area for LiDAR acquisition has been modified each year to obtain a greater coverage over the network area. This results in a difference in sample data used year on year between 2012 and 2017 shown in the table 3.7.2.3 below;</p> <table><tr><td colspan="7">Sample Data Representation of Total Network</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Feeder Classification</td><td>2012</td><td>2013</td><td>2014</td><td>2015</td><td>2016</td><td>2017</td></tr><tr><td>Transmission</td><td>66%</td><td>63%</td><td>65%</td><td>45%</td><td>69%</td><td>80%</td></tr></table>	Sample Data Representation of Total Network														Feeder Classification	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Transmission	66%	63%	65%	45%	69%	80%			
Sample Data Representation of Total Network																																			
Feeder Classification	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017																													
Transmission	66%	63%	65%	45%	69%	80%																													

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																																																																				
				<table><tr><td>Rural</td><td>34%</td><td>34%</td><td>53%</td><td>58%</td><td>76%</td><td>94%</td></tr><tr><td>Urban/CBD</td><td>1%</td><td>10%</td><td>18%</td><td>14%</td><td>23%</td><td>37%</td></tr></table> <p>To increase the sample data used to calculate the average number of trees and therefore reporting accuracy; the 2017 LiDAR data has been combined with previous years data in areas not covered by the 2017 flights. Note that this was not used to calculate the average number of defects; average number of defects only used the 2017 LiDAR data.</p> <p>The network covered by summing the 2013 2014, 2015, and 2016 LiDAR coverage areas together is shown below</p> <table><tr><td colspan="3">Sample Data Representation of Total Network</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Feeder Classification</td><td>2012</td><td>2013</td><td>2014</td><td>2015</td><td>2016</td><td>2017</td><td>Total LiDAR Coverage</td></tr><tr><td>Transmission</td><td>66%</td><td>63%</td><td>65%</td><td>45%</td><td>69%</td><td>80%</td><td>90%</td></tr><tr><td>Rural</td><td>34%</td><td>34%</td><td>53%</td><td>58%</td><td>76%</td><td>94%</td><td>97%</td></tr><tr><td>Urban/CBD</td><td>1%</td><td>10%</td><td>18%</td><td>14%</td><td>23%</td><td>37%</td><td>49%</td></tr></table> <p>The AER has requested the defects and trees be categorised by feeder classification, however Transmission feeders (feeders &gt; 22kV) do not have a feeder classification of CBD, Urban, or Rural. A transmission feeder typically supplies multiple feeders with different classifications. As a consequence, spans which are transmission only feeders are not assigned a CBD, Urban, or Rural category. If a span only consisted of transmission it received a classification of transmission, and therefore the defect and trees along the same span received the same classification. If there was also a conductor of lesser voltage in the span, transmission voltage was ignored and the classification of the lower voltage was applied to the span, associated defects, and trees.</p> <p>The RIN templates only accommodate the reporting of trees and defects associated with low voltage and high voltage mains, therefore Transmission only trees and defects were not included in the RIN Template. The transmission defect and tree quantities are as follows</p> <table><tr><td colspan="2">Average Number of Trees Near Transmission Only Spans</td></tr><tr><td>Year</td><td>Trees</td></tr><tr><td>2011/12</td><td>0.34</td></tr><tr><td>2012/13</td><td>0.30</td></tr><tr><td>2013/14</td><td>0.30</td></tr><tr><td>2014/15</td><td>2.07</td></tr><tr><td>2015/16</td><td>3.143</td></tr></table>	Rural	34%	34%	53%	58%	76%	94%	Urban/CBD	1%	10%	18%	14%	23%	37%	Sample Data Representation of Total Network								Feeder Classification	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total LiDAR Coverage	Transmission	66%	63%	65%	45%	69%	80%	90%	Rural	34%	34%	53%	58%	76%	94%	97%	Urban/CBD	1%	10%	18%	14%	23%	37%	49%	Average Number of Trees Near Transmission Only Spans		Year	Trees	2011/12	0.34	2012/13	0.30	2013/14	0.30	2014/15	2.07	2015/16	3.143			
Rural	34%	34%	53%	58%	76%	94%																																																																					
Urban/CBD	1%	10%	18%	14%	23%	37%																																																																					
Sample Data Representation of Total Network																																																																											
Feeder Classification	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total LiDAR Coverage																																																																				
Transmission	66%	63%	65%	45%	69%	80%	90%																																																																				
Rural	34%	34%	53%	58%	76%	94%	97%																																																																				
Urban/CBD	1%	10%	18%	14%	23%	37%	49%																																																																				
Average Number of Trees Near Transmission Only Spans																																																																											
Year	Trees																																																																										
2011/12	0.34																																																																										
2012/13	0.30																																																																										
2013/14	0.30																																																																										
2014/15	2.07																																																																										
2015/16	3.143																																																																										

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments				
				<table><tr><td>2016/17</td><td>3.165</td></tr><tr><td>2017/18</td><td>2.919</td></tr></table> <p>All of the overhead network is subject to vegetation management practises to ensure adequate clearances are maintained.</p> <p><b><u>Length of vegetation corridors</u></b></p> <p>Based on the new overheard line construction work that has occurred over the past years, a 5% increase from 2008/09 up to the 2012/13 figure has been assumed.</p> <p><b><u>Average number of trees per maintenance span</u></b></p> <p>For 2008/09 to 2010/11 an average of 2011/12 to 2012/13 data was used.</p> <p><b><u>Average frequency of cutting cycle</u></b></p> <p>There is no clause or requirement in Ausgrid vegetation management contracts to carry out vegetation maintenance activities in a cyclic manner. Because of this we have assumed a review cycle of 1 year.</p>	2016/17	3.165	2017/18	2.919			
2016/17	3.165										
2017/18	2.919										
2.7 Vegetation Management TABLE 2.7.2 - EXPENDITURE METRICS BY ZONE	Estimated	<b><u>Vegetation management costs</u></b>  The figures shown have been extracted from Ausgrid's corporate asset management system (SAP) and financial system (TM1), using established work orders for capturing the costs associated with vegetation management.	Ausgrid's corporate asset management and finance system (SAP, TM1) has not been set up to capture the cost information for the sub-categories of Ground clearance, Vegetation corridor clearance, Inspection, Audit and Contract liaison expenditure, therefore this information has been apportioned across the different sub-categories based on information from our current contractors.	<b><u>Tree trimming costs</u></b>  Tree trimming costs are the total direct contracted services costs associated with the current vegetation management contracts excluding ground clearance, veg corridor clearance & Inspection Costs.  Assumptions have been made to determine the percentage of expenditure allocated to each sub-category. This is further explained below. The methodology used in providing this data is explained below.  <b><u>Other vegetation management costs</u></b>  As stated in Section 2.4 (c) above, this figure is a combination of direct material costs, direct other costs and the direct internal labour costs associated with "gaining access" ("outage costs") to the network (Operators - switching and Lineworkers - erecting earths). These figures comprise:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Materials and Other costs: All direct material and other costs were included in this sub-category.</li><li>Outage costs: Are all direct internal labour costs associated with "gaining access" ("outage costs") to the network (Operators - switching and Lineworkers - erecting earths). Of the total direct internal labour costs, 35.6% of these costs have been apportioned to "Outage Costs". The other remaining 64.4% has been allocated to "Contract Management" costs and has been discussed further below.</li></ul> Therefore, the total "Other vegetation management" costs consist of 1 and 2 above.  <b><u>All other sub-category costs</u></b>  Due to the "Maintenance" contract structure of Ausgrid's vegetation management contracts, Ausgrid approached each of its incumbent contractors to request an apportionment of their total contract costs to assign to each sub-category. An average of these was taken to achieve the final splits shown.  Analysis was undertaken of Ausgrid's Contract Inspector/Officer direct internal labour booked to the contract work orders. The outcome was that approximately 64% of their time was committed to "Contract	N/A	The information provided in Table 2.7.2 is consistent with the requirements in the RIN. In providing information on vegetation management metrics, Ausgrid has completed the table in accordance with the relevant requirements of section 13 of Appendix E of the RIN, and also relevant definitions.  In particular, Ausgrid has provided an explanation of the expenditures that have been included in Table 2.7.2, as per section 13.15 of Appendix E of the RIN.	N/A				



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>Management" and 36% of their time associated with "Outage costs".</p> <p>The following assumptions were made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ground clearance: 1% of Ausgrid's total tree trimming costs.</li> <li>Vegetation corridor clearance: 1% of Ausgrid's total tree trimming costs.</li> <li>Inspection: 4% of Ausgrid's total tree trimming costs.</li> <li>Audit: Of the total direct internal labour costs, 64% of these costs have been apportioned to "Contract Management". Of the total "Contract Management" costs, 60% of these costs have then been allocated to the "Audit" sub-category.</li> </ul> <p>Contractor liaison Expenditure: Of the total direct internal labour costs, 64% of these costs have been apportioned to "Contract Management". Of the total "Contract Management" costs, 40% of these costs have then been allocated to the "Contractor liaison expenditure" sub-category.</p> <p>"Other vegetation management costs" are a combination of direct material costs, direct other costs and the direct internal labour costs associated with "gaining access" ("outage costs") to the network (Operators - switching and Lineworkers - erecting earths).</p> <p><b><u>Hazard tree cutting</u></b></p> <p>Ausgrid established "Hazard tree cutting" program in FY2018 and the costs are captured by certain PM work orders.</p> <p><b><u>Tree replacement program costs</u></b></p> <p>Ausgrid does not have established "Tree replacement" programs, therefore the specific costs cannot reported separately. It has been included in the Tree trimming subcategory.</p> <p><b><u>Ground clearance, Vegetation corridor clearance, Inspection, Audit, and Contract Liaison expenditure</u></b></p> <p>Because Ausgrid's corporate asset management and finance system (SAP, TM1) has not been set up to capture the cost information in these sub-categories, this information has been apportioned across the different sub-categories based on information from our current contractors.</p>			
2.7 Vegetation Management TABLE 2.7.3 - DESCRIPTOR METRICS ACROSS ALL ZONES - UNPLANNED VEGETATION EVENTS	Actual	Data is sourced from an extract of a Sharepoint database containing reported fire events, which are associated with vegetation impacts.	N/A	<p>The events are assigned to Vegetation Grow in or Blown in on the basis of a Vegetation Impact flag recorded in that database (either None, Vegetation Grow In, or Vegetation Blow/Fall In). Only events associated with vegetation are included in table 2.7.3</p>	N/A	Ausgrid has developed and maintained a fire reporting process, which tracks causal information about fires on the network. This database represents the best available information on fires associated with network assets.	N/A
2.8 Maintenance 2.8.1 - DESCRIPTOR METRICS FOR ROUTINE AND NON-ROUTINE MAINTENANCE	Estimated	<p>For asset quantity and average age data has been obtained from SAP PM where the quantity is in units and from GIS where the quantity is a length. Data has been extracted from SAP PM via the reporting environment using a multitude of Business Objects reports, and sometimes directly from Business Intelligence (SAP BI).</p> <p>Information for quantities inspected in each financial year has been obtained from SAP PM, extracted via Business Objects and also via SAP BI.</p>	N/A	<p><b><u>Asset quantity at year end and average age</u></b></p> <p><b><i>Pole overhead line &amp; service line maintenance</i></b></p> <p>For 'SERVICE LINES' this data has been obtained from GIS.</p> <p>For 'POLE TOPS AND OVERHEAD LINES' this data has been obtained from SAP PM via SAP BI. A combination of current status, commissioned date and retired date is used to determine if an asset was commissioned at the end of the year. Assets that have been identified as dual function assets (i.e. 'Business Type' = "TSP") have been included in the 'SUBTRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' category, and not in these categories.</p>	<p><b><u>Global assumptions:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quantities inspected/maintained are those tasks identified for pro-active maintenance. That is, those tasks with regular maintenance cycles identified.</li> <li>Tasks for pro-active 'PROTECTION SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE' are not identified individually, and are performed in conjunction with the corresponding switchgear maintenance.</li> <li>Dual function assets are all included in the 'SUBTRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE'</li> </ul>	The information in this section is compliant in that actual values are used where possible, and best estimates are provided where actual data is not available.	N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																																								
		Inspection cycles have been obtained from the Network Technical Maintenance Plan database.		<p><b><i>Pole inspection and treatment</i></b></p> <p>This data has been obtained from SAP PM via SAP BI. A combination of current status, commissioned date and retired date is used to determine if an asset was commissioned at the end of the year. Assets include poles and pillar standards.</p> <p><b><i>Overhead asset inspection</i></b></p> <p>This data has been obtained from the yearly GIS extract giving length of commissioned mains by age.</p> <p>The following table shows the filters applied and field summated in the files to produce the result:</p> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Data extract file filters</th><th>Data used</th></tr><tr><th>Asset Category</th><th>Primary Operation Voltage</th><th>Length field used</th></tr><tr><td>LV line</td><td>LV</td><td rowspan="7">Length Total ODRC (kms)</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="5">HV line</td><td>11kV</td></tr><tr><td>12.7kV</td></tr><tr><td>22kV</td></tr><tr><td>33kV</td></tr><tr><td>66kV</td></tr><tr><td>132kV</td></tr></table> <p><b><i>Network underground cable maintenance by voltage</i></b></p> <p>This data has been obtained from the yearly GIS extract giving length of commissioned mains by age.</p> <p>For category 'LV - 11 to 22kV' the following table shows the filters applied and field summated in the files to produce the result:</p> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Data extract file filters</th><th>Data used</th></tr><tr><th>Asset Category</th><th>Primary Operation Voltage</th><th>Length field used</th></tr><tr><td>LV cable</td><td>LV</td><td rowspan="4">Length Total ODRC (kms)</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="3">HV cable</td><td>5kV</td></tr><tr><td>11kV</td></tr><tr><td>22kV</td></tr></table> <p>For category '33kV and above', this data has been obtained from the yearly GIS extract giving length of commissioned mains by network age.</p> <p>The following table shows the filters applied and field summated in the files to provide a total cable length commissioned at these voltages. A percentage has then been calculated to split this length into assets that are identified as dual function assets and those considered wholly DNSP function. This percentage has been obtained from SAP PM, via a Business Objects report. This percentage is then applied to the corresponding length for voltages 33kV and above.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Data extract file filters</th><th>Data used</th></tr><tr><th>Asset Category</th><th>Primary Operation Voltage</th><th>Length field used</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="3">HV cable</td><td>33kV</td><td rowspan="3">Length Total ODRC (kms)</td></tr><tr><td>66kV</td></tr><tr><td>132kV</td></tr></table>	Data extract file filters		Data used	Asset Category	Primary Operation Voltage	Length field used	LV line	LV	Length Total ODRC (kms)	HV line	11kV	12.7kV	22kV	33kV	66kV	132kV	Data extract file filters		Data used	Asset Category	Primary Operation Voltage	Length field used	LV cable	LV	Length Total ODRC (kms)	HV cable	5kV	11kV	22kV	Data extract file filters		Data used	Asset Category	Primary Operation Voltage	Length field used	HV cable	33kV	Length Total ODRC (kms)	66kV	132kV	<p>category. This includes assets within locations that have been designated as dual function (e.g. switchgear within a dual function substation). For assets categorised using information from SAP PM, anything with a 'Business Type' value (held in the first 3 characters of the 'Room' field) of "TSP" indicates it is for a dual function asset.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The 'ZONE SUBSTATION...' categories also include assets in what Ausgrid refers to as subtransmission substations where these assets are for DNSP functions only. This is assumed due to the specification of the 'SUBTRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' category as being for dual function assets only.</li><li>Some costs for SCADA and network control maintenance are contained within the corresponding individual categories with 'ZONE SUBSTATION MAINTENANCE' and 'SUBTRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE'. This is due to the data in the reports not having the required attributes to be able splits costs incurred by the field group that works on both SCADA and CLC assets.</li></ul>		
Data extract file filters		Data used																																													
Asset Category	Primary Operation Voltage	Length field used																																													
LV line	LV	Length Total ODRC (kms)																																													
HV line	11kV																																														
	12.7kV																																														
	22kV																																														
	33kV																																														
	66kV																																														
132kV																																															
Data extract file filters		Data used																																													
Asset Category	Primary Operation Voltage	Length field used																																													
LV cable	LV	Length Total ODRC (kms)																																													
HV cable	5kV																																														
	11kV																																														
	22kV																																														
Data extract file filters		Data used																																													
Asset Category	Primary Operation Voltage	Length field used																																													
HV cable	33kV	Length Total ODRC (kms)																																													
	66kV																																														
	132kV																																														

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p><b><i>Network underground cable maintenance: by location</i></b></p> <p>For 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE: BY LOCATION' the required data is not retained in a way that installed lengths can be reported in these categories. As such an apportionment of the total lengths for 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE: BY VOLTAGE' has been applied using the proportionate length of underground high voltage cable in the CBD feeder category.</p> <p><b><i>Distribution substation equipment &amp; property maintenance</i></b></p> <p>The data for Distribution Substation Transformers has been obtained from data extracted from SAP PM. All assets with a 'Room' field value of "DSP_DC" (representing distribution substations) and in commission at the end of the financial year (use field 'Include in Age Profile' = "Y") are included in the calculation.</p> <p>The data for Distribution Substation Switchgear has been obtained from data extracted from SAP PM. All assets with a 'Room' field value of "DSP_DC" (representing distribution substations) OR DSP_DMOH (representing distribution mains - as per instructions from the AER during Reset RIN submission), and in commission at the end of the financial year, and are of an 'enclosed' switch type that is proactively maintained has been included in the calculation.</p> <p>The data for Distribution Substation - Other Equipment is a count of all distribution substations (as the AER specified "Earth Mat" appears to be a typographical error) and has been obtained from data extracted from SAP PM. Data has been selected by including Object types SUB_BASEMT, SUB_BUILD, SUB_KIOSK, SUB_OE, SUB_POLE, SUB_UNDERG and SUB_UPPERL, and identifying assets commissioned at the end of the financial year by selecting the field 'Include in age profile' = "Y".</p> <p>The data for Distribution Substation Property has been obtained from data extracted from SAP PM. Data has been selected by including Object types SUB_BASEMT, SUB_BUILD, SUB_OE, SUB_UNDERG and SUB_UPPERL, and identifying assets commissioned at the end of the financial year by selecting the field 'Include in age profile' = "Y".</p> <p><b><i>Zone substation equipment maintenance</i></b></p> <p>The data for Transformers - Zone Substation has been obtained from data extracted from SAP PM. All assets with a 'Room' field value of "DSP_ZN" or "DSP_TS" (representing wholly DNSP assets) and in commission at the end of the financial year (use field 'Include in Age Profile' = "Y") are included in the calculation.</p> <p>The data for Transformers - Distribution has been obtained from data extracted from SAP PM. All assets with a 'Room' field value of "DSP_ZN" or "DSP_TS" (representing wholly DNSP assets inside zone/subtransmission substations) and in commission at the end of the financial year (use field 'Include in Age Profile' = "Y") are included in the calculation.</p> <p>Based on the information available, all power transformers at Ausgrid have been categorised as either Transformers - Zone Substation or Transformers - Distribution, thus no assets have been categorised as Transformer - HV.</p> <p>The data for Zone Substation - Other Equipment is a count of DNSP categorised substations and has been obtained from data extracted from SAP PM. Data has been selected by including Object types SUB_ZONE, SUB_STS and SUB_STSS, selecting only wholly DNSP assets by using the 'Room' field = "DSP_ZN" or "DSP_TS", and identifying assets commissioned at the end of the financial year by</p>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>selecting the field 'Include in age profile' = "Y".</p> <p><b><i>Zone substation property maintenance</i></b></p> <p>The data for Zone Substation Property has been obtained from data extracted from SAP PM. Data has been selected by including Object types SUB_ZONE, SUB_STS and SUB_STSS, selecting only wholly DNSP assets by using the 'Room' field = "DSP_ZN" or "DSP_TS", and identifying assets commissioned at the end of the financial year by selecting the field 'Include in age profile' = "Y".</p> <p><b><i>Public lighting maintenance</i></b></p> <p>Extracted data for streetlights from SAP PM via Business Objects has been merged with information provided from GIS to identify lights on major or minor roads. A combination of current status, commissioned date, decommissioned date and retired date is used to determine if a light was commissioned at the end of the financial year.</p> <p><b><i>SCADA &amp; network control maintenance</i></b></p> <p>The unit of measure is specified as number of systems. As such the data has been sourced from SAP PM using the functional location object type = "CTL_SYSTEM". Data has been selected by identifying assets commissioned at the end of the financial year by selecting the field 'Include in Count' = "Y".</p> <p><b><i>Protection systems maintenance</i></b></p> <p>The unit of measure is specified as number of systems. As such the data has been sourced from SAP PM using the functional location object type = "PROT_GRP". Data has been selected by identifying assets commissioned at the end of the financial year by selecting the field 'Include in Count' = "Y".</p> <p><b><i>Subtransmission asset maintenance</i></b></p> <p>The volume and age of dual function assets were taken from the document D13 571169 Transmission Assets Classification.xls. This document contains a summary of all dual-function assets. Average age and length were derived from columns AF and AG in the spreadsheet.</p> <p><b><u>Asset quantity inspected/maintained</u></b></p> <p>All data related to the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects. This information is stored in file "RIN - Routine Maintenance Task Completions for FY18".</p> <p><b><i>Pole overhead line &amp; service line maintenance</i></b></p> <p>For 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'POLE TOPS AND OVERHEAD LINES' the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects and selecting all "Line Inspection" (LINS) and "Tower Inspection" (TINS) notifications.</p> <p>For 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'SERVICE LINES' the quantity of inspections is entered as 0 as these assets are inspected as part of an overall routine line inspection.</p> <p><b><i>Pole inspection &amp; treatment</i></b></p> <p>For 'POLE INSPECTION AND TREATMENT', 'ALL POLES' the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects and selecting all "Pole Inspection" (PINS) notifications.</p> <p><b><i>Overhead asset inspection</i></b></p> <p>For 'OVERHEAD ASSET INSPECTION' the length inspection has been calculated using the count of "Line Inspection" (LINS) notifications, the total number of Ausgrid poles and the total route length of overhead</p>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>conductor. The formula used to calculate this value is shown below:</p> <p><i>Length km= Total Overhead Conductor Route LengthTotal Number of Poles x Number of Poles Inspected Annually</i></p> <p><i>Length (km) = <math>\frac{Total\ Overhead\ Conductor\ Route\ Length}{Total\ Number\ of\ Poles}</math> x Number of Poles Inspected Annually</i></p> <p><b>Network underground cable maintenance by voltage</b></p> <p>For 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE BY VOLTAGE', 'LV - 11 to 22kV', the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects and by selecting the following notifications within the asset group Distribution Mains Underground (DMUG):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pit Lid (PITL) tasks</li> <li>Pillar (PILR) tasks</li> <li>Thermovision (THRM) tasks</li> </ul> <p>For 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE BY VOLTAGE', '33KV AND ABOVE', the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects and by selecting the following notifications within the asset group Transmission Mains Underground (TMUG):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pit Lid (PITL) tasks</li> <li>Performance (PERF) tasks</li> </ul> <p><b>Network underground cable maintenance by voltage</b></p> <p>For 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE: BY LOCATION' the required data is not retained in a way that the number of assets inspected/maintained can be reported in these categories. As such an apportionment of the total number of assets inspected/maintained for 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE: BY VOLTAGE' has been applied using the proportionate length of underground high voltage cable in the CBD feeder category. This proportion is contained in file "Routine Maintenance Task Completions RIN FINAL FY18".</p> <p><b>Distribution substation equipment &amp; property maintenance</b></p> <p>For 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION TRANSFORMERS' the quantity of inspections is entered as 0 as these assets are inspected as part of an overall substation inspection with all others assets in the substation (with the exception of the HV switchgear).</p> <p>For 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION SWITCHGEAR (WITHIN SUBSTATIONS AND STAND ALONE SWITCHGEAR', the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects and selecting all switchgear tasks within the asset groups Distribution Mains Underground (DMUG) and Distribution Substations (DC).</p> <p>For 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION OTHER EQUIPMENT', the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects and selecting the following notifications within the asset group Distribution Substations (DC):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All SU tasks (excluding SU0106, SU0151, SU0115, SU0116, SU0401, SU0402 tasks)</li> <li>All TX tasks</li> <li>All DC tasks</li> </ul>			



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>All PETS tasks have been excluded from the total count for this category.</p> <p>For 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION PROPERTY', the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects and selecting the following notifications within the asset group Distribution Substations (DC):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All AU tasks</li><li>SU0106, SU0401 and SU0402 tasks</li></ul> <p><b><i>Zone substation equipment maintenance</i></b></p> <p>For 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'TRANSFORMERS ZONE SUBSTATION' the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects and selecting all "Transformer Inspection" (TX) notifications for the following 'DNSP' asset groups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Zone Substations (ZN)</li><li>Transmission Substations (TS)</li></ul> <p>For 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'TRANSFORMERS DISTRIBUTION' the quantity of inspections is entered as 0 as these assets are inspected as part of an overall substation inspection with all others assets in the Zone substation.</p> <p>For 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'TRANSFORMERS HV' the quantity of inspections is entered as 0 as Ausgrid does not capture information in this format. Based on the information available, all asset inspections related to Zone power transformers at Ausgrid have been categorised as Transformers - Zone Substation, thus no assets have been categorised as 'TRANSFORMERS HV'.</p> <p>For 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT', the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects and selecting the following tasks within the 'DNSP' asset groups Zone Substations (ZN) and Transmission Substations (TS):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All DC tasks</li><li>ER0102 and ER0103 tasks</li><li>PR0101 and PR0201 tasks</li><li>SU0101, SU0115 and SU0116 tasks</li><li>All Switchgear tasks</li></ul> <p>All OH4004, SU0121, ER0104, ER0105, VR0101 tasks have been excluded from the total count for this category.</p> <p><b><i>Zone substation property maintenance</i></b></p> <p>For 'ZONE SUBSTATION PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'ALL ZONE SUBSTATION PROPERTIES' the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects and selecting all the following notifications within the 'DNSP' asset groups Zone Substations (ZN) and Transmission Substations (TS):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>All AU tasks (Excluding STCK tasks)</li><li>SU0106, SU0140 and SU0141 tasks</li></ul> <p><b><i>Public lighting maintenance</i></b></p> <p>For 'PUBLIC LIGHTING MAINTENANCE', 'MINOR ROADS' and 'PUBLIC LIGHTING MAINTENANCE', 'MAJOR ROADS' categories combined, the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM</p>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>using Business Objects and selecting "Bulk Lamp Replacement" notifications. However, the required data is not retained in a way that the number of assets inspected/maintained can be reported in these two categories. As such an apportionment of the total number of assets inspected/maintained for 'PUBLIC LIGHTING MAINTENANCE', 'MINOR ROADS' and 'PUBLIC LIGHTING MAINTENANCE', 'MAJOR ROADS' has been applied using the proportionate number of street lights in the major roads category. This proportion is contained in file "Routine Maintenance Task Completions RIN FINAL FY18".</p> <p><b>SCADA &amp; network control maintenance</b></p> <p>For 'SCADA &amp; NETWORK CONTROL MAINTENANCE' there are no routine maintenance tasks undertaken for these assets, thus the inspection/maintenance quantities reported are 0.</p> <p><b>Protection Systems maintenance</b></p> <p>For 'PROTECTION SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE', tasks for inspection/maintenance are not identified individually and are performed in conjunction with the corresponding switchgear maintenance, and as the expenditure for these tasks is also contained within the corresponding switchgear category the quantities reported in this category (and sub-categories) is 0.</p> <p><b>Sub-Transmission asset maintenance (For DNSP's with dual function assets)</b></p> <p>For 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE', the quantities inspected have been extracted from SAP PM using Business Objects and selecting all the notifications within the following 'TNSP' asset groups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Zone Substations (ZN)</li><li>• Transmission Substations (TS)</li><li>• Transmission Overhead (TMOH)</li><li>• Transmission Underground (TMUG)</li></ul> <p><b>Various assets</b></p> <p>For 'VARIOUS ASSETS' there are no routine maintenance tasks undertaken for these assets, thus the inspection/maintenance quantities reported are 0.</p> <p><b>Ground clearance access tracks</b></p> <p>For 'GROUND CLEARANCE ACCESS TRACKS' there are no routine maintenance tasks undertaken for these assets, thus the inspection/maintenance quantities reported are 0.</p>			
2.8 Maintenance TABLE 2.8.2 - COST METRICS FOR ROUTINE AND NON- ROUTINE MAINTENANCE	Estimated	Financial spend for routine and non-routine maintenance has been obtained from SAP PM (work order costs) using Business Objects.	For 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE: BY LOCATION' the required data is not retained in a way that costs can be reported in these categories. As such an apportionment of the total costs for 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE: BY VOLTAGE' has been applied using the proportionate length of underground high voltage cable in the CBD feeder category. This is considered the best estimate as it uses actual total figures for Network Underground Cable Maintenance, but apportioned according to asset quantities. For 'PUBLIC LIGHTING	<p><b><u>Routine and non-routine maintenance costs</u></b></p> <p>Financial data has been obtained using a modified version of the "Maintenance Cost &amp; Productivity" Business Objects report. The report has been modified to restrict costs to direct costs only (as specified for this section of the RIN) by filtering on specific cost element groups. To restrict "Labour" costs to direct costs only the cost element groups LOB-NTA and LOB-OTA have been used. To restrict "Materials" costs to direct costs only the cost element group LOB-MAT has been used. To restrict "Contracted Services" to direct costs only, the cost element group LOB-CONT has been used. To restrict "Other" costs to direct costs only the cost element group LOB-OTHDIR has been used. The report has also been modified to allow costs to be split between DNSP dual function assets and single function assets. The report has also excluded the Asset Group DSP_SUPP as it is not relating to system maintenance costs. These reports have been extracted into an MS Excel file "Maintenance Task Cost and Productivity Report - All Direct Only - FY18.xlsx" and manually mapped to the applicable asset</p>	<p><b><u>Global assumptions</u></b></p> <p>Dual function assets are all included in the 'SUBTRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' category. This includes assets within locations that have been designated as dual function (e.g. switchgear within a dual function substation). For assets categorised using information from SAP PM, anything with a 'Business Type' value (held in the first 3 characters of the 'Room' field) of "TSP" indicates it is for a dual function asset.</p> <p>The 'ZONE SUBSTATION...' categories also include assets in what Ausgrid refers to as subtransmission substations where these assets are for DNSP functions only. This is assumed due to the specification of the 'SUBTRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' category as being for dual function assets only.</p>	The information in this section is compliant in that actual values are used where possible, and best estimates are provided where actual data is not available.	N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
			<p>MAINTENANCE' the required data is not retained in a way that costs can be reported in these categories. As such the total costs reported for public lighting maintenance has been apportioned to the two categories proportionately according to the total number of assets installed at the end of each year. This is considered the best estimate as it uses actual total figures for Public Lighting Maintenance, but apportioned according to asset quantities.</p>	<p>categories. "Routine maintenance" costs are those settled against PM01 "inspection" work orders, "Non-routine maintenance" costs are those settled against PM02 "corrective" work orders. Costs have then been summated for the categories for each year, excluding costs on maintenance orders that have been identified as for Vegetation Maintenance (and reported separately in this RIN). Expenditure that is located within the 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'POLE TOPS AND OVERHEAD LINES' RIN category has also been reduced as 50% of the LiDAR expenditure has been removed and allocated to Vegetation Maintenance (and reported separately in this RIN within 2.7)</p> <p>The extracted information in the MS Excel file "Maintenance Task Cost and Productivity Report - All Direct Only - FY18.xlsx" mapped expenditure to Ausgrid allocated "asset groups" and "asset categories" based on the maintenance activity type / maintenance task and the functional location type of the asset maintained or the "asset category". These asset groups were then aligned to the RIN asset categories and sub-categories. The SAP "Room" field was also used to determine whether the expenditure was allocated to "DNSP" or "TNSP" categories ("Room" field of "DSP" was allocated as distribution asset expenditure, "Room" field of "TSP" was allocated as subtransmission asset expenditure). Any expenditure against the maintenance activity type of "Vegetation management" has been excluded in accordance with the RIN preparation rules.</p> <p>Where the SAP extracts did not contain information in regard to the Ausgrid allocated asset group or the maintenance activity type/maintenance task, or where the RIN definitions required mapping away from an Ausgrid asset group, the expenditure was allocated to a RIN asset category and sub-category based on the workgroup for which the expenditure was incurred for routine maintenance expenditure and/or the SAP "asset category" for non-routine expenditure. The assumptions used are detailed below:</p> <p><b><u>For the "Communication" asset group:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• This asset group is assumed to be for network control / data assets outside of substations; and</li><li>• Expenditure identified against this asset group was assigned to "SCADA and network control maintenance".</li></ul> <p><b><u>For the "Distribution mains overhead" asset group:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• For Field Services OH sections, expenditure was assigned to 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'POLE TOPS AND OVERHEAD LINES' unless the asset category was defined as "LV Service Mains Conductor &amp; Accessories" (expenditure allocated to 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'SERVICE LINES') or the asset category was defined as OH control points (expenditure was assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION SWITCHGEAR (WITHIN SUBSTATIONS AND STAND ALONE SWITCHGEAR)'). Note: The service line sub-category is not used for routine maintenance as Ausgrid undertake the inspection of service wires as part of routine line inspection.</li><li>• For Field Services or Transmission Sydney Line inspection workgroups or where maintenance activity "Line inspection" or "Bushfire patrol" is defined, expenditure was assigned to 'OVERHEAD ASSET INSPECTION'.</li><li>• For Asset Access workgroups, expenditure was assigned to 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE BY VOLTAGE', 'LV - 11 to 22kV' as it is assumed that the majority of their work would be in relation to the access of HV pits in the Sydney CBD.</li><li>• For Voltage Regulation workgroups or transformer related inspection tasks, expenditure was assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION</li></ul>	<p>Some costs for SCADA and network control maintenance are contained within the corresponding individual categories with 'ZONE SUBSTATION MAINTENANCE' and 'SUBTRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE'. This is due to the data in the reports not having the required attributes to be able splits costs incurred by the field group that works on both SCADA and CLC assets.</p>		

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>SUBSTATIONS OTHER' category as voltage regulators or capacitors are the only assets maintained by these groups on distribution overhead assets.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For workgroups with "Pole Insp" in their title, expenditure was assigned to 'POLE INSPECTION AND TREATMENT', 'ALL POLES' as this is the assumed majority of their work.</li> <li>For building maintenance workgroups, expenditure was assigned to 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'POLE TOPS AND OVERHEAD LINES' as their work in this asset category, primarily graffiti removal, was considered not appropriate to be assigned to 'POLE INSPECTION AND TREATMENT', 'ALL POLES' as it is not planned inspection / testing.</li> <li>For protection workgroups, battery maintenance tasks are assumed to be for 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION OTHER EQUIPMENT' as the tasks for reclosers /ELBS's include battery replacement within the "SW180*" tasks. Non-routine expenditure is assumed to be for 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION SWITCHGEAR (WITHIN SUBSTATIONS AND STAND ALONE SWITCHGEAR', primarily reclosers or ELBS's.</li> <li>For telecontrol workgroups, expenditure was assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION SWITCHGEAR (WITHIN SUBSTATIONS AND STAND ALONE SWITCHGEAR' based on the assumption that SCADA related work is mostly undertaken on reclosers / ELBS's and the asset category was identified as OH control points.</li> <li>For substations workgroups, expenditure was assigned to 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'POLE TOPS AND OVERHEAD LINES' if the asset category was related to OH conductors, or assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION SWITCHGEAR (WITHIN SUBSTATIONS AND STAND ALONE SWITCHGEAR' if the asset category was related to OH control points.</li> <li>For customer connections workgroups, expenditure was assigned to 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'SERVICE LINES' unless the asset category was related to LV mains or conductor (this expenditure assigned to 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'POLE TOPS AND OVERHEAD LINES').</li> <li></li> </ul> <p><b><u>For the "Distribution mains underground" asset group:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expenditure for all workgroups was assigned to "Network underground cable maintenance / LV - 11 to 22 kV" except for expenditure where the asset category was identified as either "UG Services - LV" or "Sub-transmission Mains UG General (including spares)".</li> <li>Expenditure identified against the asset category "UG Services - LV" was assigned to "Pole, overhead line and service line maintenance /Service lines" as per RIN definitions.</li> <li>Expenditure identified against the asset category "Sub-transmission Mains UG General (including spares)" was assigned to "Network underground cable maintenance / 33kv and above".</li> <li>Expenditure identified against task "UG2101" task (pillar thermovision inspections) was assigned to "Network underground cable maintenance / LV - 11 to 22 kV".</li> </ul> <p><b><u>For the "Distribution substations" asset group:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expenditure identified against an "SW" task, against an asset category for HV switchgear or against an asset category for 11kV OH control point was assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION</li> </ul>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION SWITCHGEAR (WITHIN SUBSTATIONS AND STAND ALONE SWITCHGEAR'.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expenditure identified against either a "TX" task or a voltage regulation workgroup was assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION OTHER EQUIPMENT'.</li> <li>Expenditure identified against a "DC" task was assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION OTHER EQUIPMENT'.</li> <li>Expenditure identified against an "SU" task (except for task SU0106) was assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION OTHER EQUIPMENT' as these tasks include inspection of housings, transformers, LV boards, HV switchgear and testing of earthing systems and expenditure would be very difficult to disaggregate to a lower level</li> <li>Expenditure identified against task "SU0106", against asset categories which include "Land"/"Building", against building maintenance workgroups or against maintenance activity types related to asbestos removal were assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION PROPERTY'. This expenditure could not be disaggregated between the building components and electrical components.</li> <li>Where a task was not identified in the extract, expenditure was assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION OTHER EQUIPMENT' as it could have been for switchgear, protection or communication systems. LV boards, building issues etc.</li> <li>Expenditure identified against an asset category which included "Distribution transformer" or a Transformer Services workgroup were assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION TRANSFORMERS' for non-routine maintenance expenditure only as routine tasks to inspect distribution transformers are covered in the general substation inspection tasks.</li> <li>Expenditure identified against an asset category which included "Zone transformer" was assigned to 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'TRANSFORMERS ZONE SUBSTATION'.</li> <li>Any expenditure associated with the ER tasks was assigned to 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT &amp; PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION OTHER EQUIPMENT'.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>For the "Sub-transmission substations" asset group:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expenditure against this asset group includes both "DSP" and "TSP" room field values. "DSP" expenditure has mostly been assigned to Zone substation RIN categories and "TSP" expenditure has mostly been assigned to Subtransmission asset RIN categories as detailed below.</li> <li>Expenditure identified against an "SW" task or against an asset category for "switchgear" was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified against either a "TX" task, a "VR" tasks or a voltage regulation workgroup was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'TRANSFORMERS</li> </ul>			



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>ZONE SUBSTATION' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expenditure identified against a "DC" task or a "DC systems" asset category was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified against a "PR" task or against an asset category for "CT's and VT's" was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified against an "ER" task was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified which does not have a task or asset category, or which has a "general" asset category, was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified against a "Reactor and capacitor" asset category, was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified against an "SU" task (except for tasks SU0106, SU0115 or SU0116) was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified against an "SU0115" or "SU0116" task or an "Oil Cont" workgroup was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified against task "SU0106, against asset categories which include "Land" / "Building" or against building maintenance workgroups was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION PROPERTY MAINTENANCE', 'ALL ZONE SUBSTATION PROPERTIES' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified which does not have a task and is against a Telecontrol workgroup, or which has a "Communications" asset category, was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified against a "Protection and control" asset category and a Protection workgroup was assigned to the 'PROTECTION SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE' RIN category.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>For the "Transmission Overhead" asset group:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expenditure against this asset group includes both "DSP" and "TSP" room field values. "DSP" expenditure has mostly been assigned to "Pole, Overhead Line and Service line maintenance" RIN sub-categories and "TSP" expenditure has mostly been</li> </ul>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>assigned to "Subtransmission asset maintenance" RIN sub-categories as detailed below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expenditure identified against maintenance activity "Line inspection", Thermovision" or "Bushfire patrol" was assigned to 'OVERHEAD ASSET INSPECTION'.</li> <li>Expenditure identified against maintenance activity "Pole inspection" was assigned to the 'POLE INSPECTION AND TREATMENT', 'ALL POLES' RIN category.</li> <li>Expenditure identified against an "SW" task and a Protection workgroup was assigned to 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' due to the very small expenditure.</li> <li>Expenditure identified against a "Control point" asset category and an OH workgroup was assigned to 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'POLE TOPS AND OVERHEAD LINES'.</li> <li>Expenditure identified against maintenance activity "Tower inspection", against a "Tower line" asset category or a "Tower" workgroup was assigned to the 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'POLE TOPS AND OVERHEAD LINES' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN category and "Tower lines" RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified against a "Sub-transmission Mains UG" asset category was assigned to the assigned to 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE BY VOLTAGE', '33KV AND ABOVE' RIN sub-category.</li> <li>All other expenditure was assigned to the 'POLES AND OVERHEAD LINES', 'POLE TOPS AND OVERHEAD LINES' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN category and "Tower lines" RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> </ul> <p><b><u>For the "Transmission Underground" asset group:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expenditure against this asset group includes both "DSP" and "TSP" room field values. "DSP" expenditure has been assigned to the 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE BY VOLTAGE', '33KV AND ABOVE' RIN sub-category and "TSP" expenditure has been assigned to the 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category.</li> </ul> <p><b><u>For the "Zone substations" asset group:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expenditure against this asset group includes both "DSP" and "TSP" room field values. "DSP" expenditure has mostly been assigned to Zone substation RIN categories and "TSP" expenditure has mostly been assigned to Subtransmission asset RIN categories as detailed below.</li> <li>Expenditure identified against an "SW" task or against an asset category for "switchgear" was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified against either a "TX" task, a "VR" tasks or a voltage regulation workgroup was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'TRANSFORMERS ZONE SUBSTATION' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> <li>Expenditure identified against a "DC" task or a "DC systems" asset category was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li> </ul>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Expenditure identified against a "PR" task or against an asset category for "CT's and VT's" was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li><li>Expenditure identified against an "ER" task was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li><li>Expenditure identified which does not have a task or asset category, or which has a "general" asset category, was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li><li>Expenditure identified against a "Reactor and capacitor" asset category, was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li><li>Expenditure identified against an "SU" task (except for tasks SU0106, SU0115 or SU0116) was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li><li>Expenditure identified against an "SU0115" or "SU0116" task or an "Oil Cont" workgroup was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li><li>Expenditure identified against task "SU0106, against asset categories which include "Land" / "Building" or against building maintenance workgroups was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION PROPERTY MAINTENANCE' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li><li>Expenditure identified which does not have a task and is against a Telecontrol workgroup, or which has a "Communications" or "CLC" asset category, was assigned to the 'ZONE SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE', 'OTHER EQUIPMENT' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li><li>Expenditure identified against a "Protection and control" asset category and a Protection workgroup was assigned to the 'PROTECTION SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE' RIN category.</li><li>Expenditure identified against a "Transmission UG" or "Tunnels" workgroup was assigned to the 'NETWORK UNDERGROUND CABLE MAINTENANCE BY VOLTAGE', '33KV AND ABOVE' or 'SUB-TRANSMISSION ASSET MAINTENANCE' RIN sub-category (based on the "Room" field value, i.e. TNSP or DNSP).</li></ul> <p><b><u>For the "NA" and "Not assigned" asset groups:</u></b></p> <p>Expenditure was assigned to a RIN category and sub-category based on either the task, the workgroup and where that workgroup is most likely to work, or the asset category and could also be a mixture of either of these as to where the expenditure was assigned.</p>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
2.9 Emergency TABLE 2.9.1 - EMERGENCY RESPONSE EXPENDITURE (OPEX)	Estimated	<p>Financial data included in template 2.9 is sourced from SAP and TM1 (Ausgrid's financial accounting and reporting systems).</p> <p>The major event days are defined using the TMED metric. Definition is defined as "TMED - The threshold of daily SAIDI performance which identifies a "major event day". The TMED threshold is calculated according to the IEEE Std-1366 guidelines (section 4.5), and also described in Schedule 6 of the Licence Conditions".</p> <p>TMED days are included in other RIN templates and are a subset of that worksheet including direct costs.</p> <p>It is important to note that costs associated with major event days vary depending on the extent of damage to the network sustained and the labour, material and contracted services required to rectify the network following the event.</p> <p>PM03 (Breakdown) &amp; PM04 (Nature Induced Breakdown) related to SCS assets was used as the basis for determining 'emergency expenditure'. A given list of days in which TMED was exceeded was used to define the total expenditure in more detail as required by isolation of costs by major event day (using Business Objects). The overall amount excludes any capitalised costs, such as minor capital expenditure incurred with any associated rectification works.</p>	<p>Emergency Service Officers (EMSO) costs have been included as an estimate as employees do not usually allocate their time to individual activities. Where identified that EMSO staff have booked to work orders, these costs have been excluded from the estimate, so there is no overlap or inflated costs due to double counting.</p> <p>EMSO costs associated with emergency response work were calculated based on the agreed proportion of 82.4% of the total direct costs. To calculate the major events a daily EMSO rate was used. Refer to steps 5 &amp; 6 in the methodology stated above.</p>	<p>Ausgrid has prepared 2017/18 information based on these categories. 2017/18 has used the same methodology as was applied in the regulatory accounting statements for 2013/14 to 2016/17.</p> <p>The steps in obtaining the outcomes are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Extract detailed list of PM03 &amp; PM04 orders using SAP &amp; TM1</li> <li>2. Extract all costs associated with these cost objects</li> <li>3. Source list of TMED days for separation of defined major event days</li> <li>4. With TMED days list, isolate orders associated with work on those days or after which work was carried out, PM04 orders were selected on Major Event Days where a storm was identified, however PM03 orders were used on days where a failure was listed.</li> <li>5. Emergency Service Officers (EMSO) costs (sourced via TM1) have been included on the basis of 85.5% allocation of standard control services costs for 'emergency work', with the balance representing other network activities such as reconnection and off peak conversion works. There was an increase in this rate from 82.4% in 2016/17 to 85.5% in 2017/18 primarily as a result of the implementation of ring-fencing eliminating external works performed after 1 January 2018.</li> </ol> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. EMSO costs have been divided over the 365 days per year (24/7 shifts), and for each TMED day the average cost per day has been included as an allocation to each major event days cost.</li> <li>2. There were NIL Category B Major Events.</li> </ol> <p>Assumptions made are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PM03 &amp; PM04 defines emergency response</li> <li>• TMED days exceeding 2017/18 threshold of 2.88 define major event days</li> <li>• Call Centre staff costs are included as indirect cost</li> <li>• Classification of works as emergency works and the recording of time through the internal time and attendance process are relied upon.</li> <li>• The costs associated with a major event can be carried out after the actual day exceeding the TMED threshold.</li> </ul>	N/A	<p>The information provided on table 2.9.1 is consistent with the requirements in the RIN. The information is consistent with the definition of emergency response, major storm and major events provided in Appendix F of the RIN. The information is consistent with the requirements in paragraph 14.1 of Appendix E of the RIN. The information includes the following expenditure for each regulatory year:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Total emergency response expenditure</li> <li>2. Emergency response expenditure attributable to major events by identifying direct costs through a specific cost code for each major event or major storm. Major events most often refer to, but are not limited to, a major storm.</li> <li>3. Emergency response expenditure attributable to major event days by identifying daily operating expenditure incurred on each date of those major event days and summing up the expenditure for each event.</li> </ol> <p>As required by paragraph 1.15 of the Appendix E, of the Category Analysis RIN, Template 2.9 information is the Direct Costs only, and excludes expenditures on Overheads.</p>	N/A
2.10 Overheads A 2.10.1 - NETWORK OVERHEADS EXPENDITURE	Actual	Actual data for 2017/18 is from TM1 and/or SAP BI (Ausgrid financial reporting system).	No estimates reported in template 2.10(A).	<p>The split of overhead costs between network and corporate overheads is based on mapping of RIN categories reported in Ausgrid's 2014-19 Regulatory Proposal.</p> <p>Operating and capital expenditure has been extracted from SAP via TM1 and/or BI for 2017/18 according to profit centre mapping for each cost category for standard control services and alternative control services.</p> <p>Network overheads include both operating and capital expenditure and are extracted from the SAP financial system using TM1 and BI.</p> <p>There has been no change in Ausgrid's capitalisation policy in 2017/18.</p>	N/A	Intelligence (BI) from our SAP financial system. Information reported in table 2.10.1 aligns with Ausgrid's Cost Allocation Methodology (CAM).	N/A
2.10 Overheads A 2.10.2 - CORPORATE OVERHEADS EXPENDITURE	Actual	Actual data for 2017/18 is from TM1 and/or SAP BI (Ausgrid financial reporting system).	No estimates reported in template 2.10(A)	<p>The split of overhead costs between network and corporate overheads is based on mapping of RIN categories used in Ausgrid's 2014-19 Regulatory Proposal.</p> <p>Operating expenditure is from SAP via the TM1 cube for 2017/18 and is based on the profit centres mapped for corporate overheads and relating to standard control services.</p> <p>Corporate overheads and capitalised corporate overheads are extracted</p>	N/A	Actual data for 2017/18 has been based on an extraction of actual financial data directly or via TM1 from our SAP financial system. Information reported in table 2.10.2 aligns with Ausgrid's CAM.	N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source		Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																																		
					from the SAP financial system using TM1 and BI.																																					
2.11 Labour TABLE 2.11.1 - COST METRICS PER ANNUM	Actual	<table><tr><th>Type of information per AER</th><th>Source</th></tr><tr><td>Average Staffing Level</td><td>Actual hours against Salary codes from Chris 21 divided by 1,877.1 hrs per year based on 52.14 weeks per year and 36 hours standard week. Data is sourced from Chris 21.</td></tr><tr><td>Average productive hours</td><td>Total hrs booked against Normal &amp; Overtime codes for 2017/18 divided by ASL from Chris 21.</td></tr><tr><td>Stand down occurrences</td><td>Total Stand - Down instances per ASL from SAP.</td></tr><tr><td>Average productive work hour overtime</td><td>Total hrs booked against overtime codes for 2017/18 divided by ASL from Chris 21.</td></tr></table>	Type of information per AER	Source	Average Staffing Level	Actual hours against Salary codes from Chris 21 divided by 1,877.1 hrs per year based on 52.14 weeks per year and 36 hours standard week. Data is sourced from Chris 21.	Average productive hours	Total hrs booked against Normal & Overtime codes for 2017/18 divided by ASL from Chris 21.	Stand down occurrences	Total Stand - Down instances per ASL from SAP.	Average productive work hour overtime	Total hrs booked against overtime codes for 2017/18 divided by ASL from Chris 21.		All information provided is based on actual data.	<u>ASL by Labour Classification</u>  Total staffing level is calculated using actual hours against Salary codes from Chris 21 divided by average hours per year based on 52.14 weeks per year and 36 hours standard week. This data is sourced from SAP HR. The employee data is held in Ausgrid's SAP HR System by 'Job Family' and these have been mapped to the relevant AER classifications. The mapping is shown below. The number of FTEs working on standard control services has been calculated based on the Ausgrid CAM by using the FTE allocation (or FTE split) for 20117/18.  <table><tr><th>AER Classification</th><th>Ausgrid Job Family / Job Category</th></tr><tr><td>Executive Manager</td><td>Executive (level 2 &amp; 3 managers)</td></tr><tr><td>Senior Manager</td><td>Level 4 managers</td></tr><tr><td>Manager</td><td>Field Managers  Field Supervisors  Managers</td></tr><tr><td>Professional</td><td>Engineering  Management / Professional Job Family (less Managers - Job Category)</td></tr><tr><td>Semi professional</td><td>Engineering Officers  Field Support Officers  Business Services  Environment  Metering Technology  Work Scheduler  Trainers  Community Engagement  Estimators  Security</td></tr><tr><td>Support Staff</td><td>Administration</td></tr><tr><td>Intern, junior staff, apprentice</td><td>Trainees  Work Experience  Cadets  Graduates</td></tr><tr><td>Skilled electrical worker</td><td>Base Field - Electrical Workers Advanced Field - Electrical Workers</td></tr><tr><td>Skilled non-electrical worker</td><td>Workshop Technician</td></tr><tr><td>Apprentice</td><td>Apprentices - Electricians  Apprentices - Overhead  Apprentices - Underground</td></tr><tr><td>Unskilled worker</td><td>Base Field - Asset Access</td></tr></table>	AER Classification	Ausgrid Job Family / Job Category	Executive Manager	Executive (level 2 & 3 managers)	Senior Manager	Level 4 managers	Manager	Field Managers  Field Supervisors  Managers	Professional	Engineering  Management / Professional Job Family (less Managers - Job Category)	Semi professional	Engineering Officers  Field Support Officers  Business Services  Environment  Metering Technology  Work Scheduler  Trainers  Community Engagement  Estimators  Security	Support Staff	Administration	Intern, junior staff, apprentice	Trainees  Work Experience  Cadets  Graduates	Skilled electrical worker	Base Field - Electrical Workers Advanced Field - Electrical Workers	Skilled non-electrical worker	Workshop Technician	Apprentice	Apprentices - Electricians  Apprentices - Overhead  Apprentices - Underground	Unskilled worker	Base Field - Asset Access	N/A	All financial costs for 2017/18 financial year have been allocated as per principles set out in Ausgrid's CAM. The actual labour expenditure provided is based on an extraction of actual financial and labour data from the Chris 21 and SAP financial system.  The information provided in table 2.11.1 and 2.11.2 is in line with the requirements in RIN Schedule 1, APPENDIX E: PRINCIPLES AND REQUIREMENTS.  Total labour expenditure included in table 2.11.1 is as per the Labour Cost definition included in Appendix F: Definitions. As per the definition labour costs include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Labour hire,</li><li>• ordinary time earnings,</li><li>• other earnings, on-costs and taxes,</li><li>• superannuation,</li><li>• termination and redundancy payments,</li><li>• purchase of protective clothing,</li><li>• training and study assistance; and</li><li>• specific employee related FBT taxes.</li></ul> The segregation of Ausgrid employees into direct labour, network overheads and corporate overheads has been determined according to the job category and cost centres recorded against the employee in the Chris 21 payroll system.	N/A
Type of information per AER	Source																																									
Average Staffing Level	Actual hours against Salary codes from Chris 21 divided by 1,877.1 hrs per year based on 52.14 weeks per year and 36 hours standard week. Data is sourced from Chris 21.																																									
Average productive hours	Total hrs booked against Normal & Overtime codes for 2017/18 divided by ASL from Chris 21.																																									
Stand down occurrences	Total Stand - Down instances per ASL from SAP.																																									
Average productive work hour overtime	Total hrs booked against overtime codes for 2017/18 divided by ASL from Chris 21.																																									
AER Classification	Ausgrid Job Family / Job Category																																									
Executive Manager	Executive (level 2 & 3 managers)																																									
Senior Manager	Level 4 managers																																									
Manager	Field Managers  Field Supervisors  Managers																																									
Professional	Engineering  Management / Professional Job Family (less Managers - Job Category)																																									
Semi professional	Engineering Officers  Field Support Officers  Business Services  Environment  Metering Technology  Work Scheduler  Trainers  Community Engagement  Estimators  Security																																									
Support Staff	Administration																																									
Intern, junior staff, apprentice	Trainees  Work Experience  Cadets  Graduates																																									
Skilled electrical worker	Base Field - Electrical Workers Advanced Field - Electrical Workers																																									
Skilled non-electrical worker	Workshop Technician																																									
Apprentice	Apprentices - Electricians  Apprentices - Overhead  Apprentices - Underground																																									
Unskilled worker	Base Field - Asset Access																																									



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments										
				<table><tr><td></td><td>Base Field - Electricity Supply Officer (ESO)</td></tr></table> <p>Based on the AER classifications, a number of employees (ie. Managers, Professional and Semi Professional) are being allocated as network overheads, instead of direct network labour, who directly work on the network. Ausgrid would classify these employees as being direct but have followed the template and allocated these employees to network overheads. Therefore network overhead is overstated and direct network labour is understated.</p> <p>Ordinary time include ordinary time salaries and wages booked against salary code for 2017/18, excluding overtime. It also includes allowances, bonuses, incentive payments and superannuation.</p> <p>Ordinary time expenditure has been extracted from Ausgrid's Chris 21 payroll system. Ordinary time expenditure attributable to standard control services has been calculated by using the FTE allocation rate for 2017/18.</p> <p><b><u>Average Productive Hours per ASL</u></b></p> <p>Actual Available Hours has been used to calculate the quantum of productive hours. Per the AER definition of productive hours, we have deemed that using actual available hours was appropriate after excluding assumptions such as sick leave, annual leave, roster days off and public holidays.</p> <p><b><u>Stand Down Occurrences</u></b></p> <p>Data has been extracted directly from SAP with each 'stand down' instance obtained year by year from Cross Application Time Sheet (CATS), and employees crossed referenced to allocate to labour classifications.</p>		Base Field - Electricity Supply Officer (ESO)											
	Base Field - Electricity Supply Officer (ESO)																
2.11 Labour TABLE 2.11.2 - EXTRA DESCRIPTOR METRICS FOR CURRENT YEAR	Actual	<table><tr><th>Type of information per AER</th><th>Source</th></tr><tr><td>Average productive work hours - ordinary time - per ASL</td><td>Total hrs booked against Salary code for 2017/18 divided by ASL. Source data from Chris 21.</td></tr><tr><td>Average productive work hours - ordinary time - Hourly Rate per ASL</td><td>Total labour cost (excluding overtime cost) + on costs booked against Salary for each category for 2017/18 divided by ASL multiplied by average productive work hours per ASL. Source data has been obtained from Chris 21.</td></tr><tr><td>Average productive work hours - overtime time - per ASL</td><td>Total hours booked against overtime codes for 2017/18 divided by ASL. Source data from Chris 21.</td></tr><tr><td>Average productive work hours - overtime time - Hourly Rate per ASL</td><td>Total Overtime costs for 2017/18 each category divided by ASL multiplied by average productive work hours overtime per ASL. Source data from Chris 21.</td></tr></table>	Type of information per AER	Source	Average productive work hours - ordinary time - per ASL	Total hrs booked against Salary code for 2017/18 divided by ASL. Source data from Chris 21.	Average productive work hours - ordinary time - Hourly Rate per ASL	Total labour cost (excluding overtime cost) + on costs booked against Salary for each category for 2017/18 divided by ASL multiplied by average productive work hours per ASL. Source data has been obtained from Chris 21.	Average productive work hours - overtime time - per ASL	Total hours booked against overtime codes for 2017/18 divided by ASL. Source data from Chris 21.	Average productive work hours - overtime time - Hourly Rate per ASL	Total Overtime costs for 2017/18 each category divided by ASL multiplied by average productive work hours overtime per ASL. Source data from Chris 21.	All information provided is based on actual data.	Average productive hours per ASL is calculated using total labour costs plus on costs booked against salary codes for each category for 2017/18 divided by ASL multiplied by the FTE allocation rate for 2017/18 as per the Ausgrid CAM. The source data is from Chris 21 and SAP HR.  Total Overtime hours incurred for 2017/18 was sourced directly from CHRIS 21 payroll, and using cross references to job families mapped to labour classification. This figure was then divided by ASL for that classification.  Total Overtime dollars were extracted from the Chris 21 payroll system, and using cross references to job families mapped to labour classification. The dollars were then divided by the hours to provide the hourly rate.	N/A	The information provided in table 2.11.2 is in line with the requirements and definitions in the RIN.	N/A
Type of information per AER	Source																
Average productive work hours - ordinary time - per ASL	Total hrs booked against Salary code for 2017/18 divided by ASL. Source data from Chris 21.																
Average productive work hours - ordinary time - Hourly Rate per ASL	Total labour cost (excluding overtime cost) + on costs booked against Salary for each category for 2017/18 divided by ASL multiplied by average productive work hours per ASL. Source data has been obtained from Chris 21.																
Average productive work hours - overtime time - per ASL	Total hours booked against overtime codes for 2017/18 divided by ASL. Source data from Chris 21.																
Average productive work hours - overtime time - Hourly Rate per ASL	Total Overtime costs for 2017/18 each category divided by ASL multiplied by average productive work hours overtime per ASL. Source data from Chris 21.																
2.12 Input Tables 2.12 INPUT TABLES	Actual	Specific details of the exact source of information for the expenditure category in template 2.12 are shown in the below table:  <table><tr><th>Expense Category</th><th>Source</th></tr></table>	Expense Category	Source	Only emergency responses have estimated data in template 2.12. For the reason, please refer to basis of preparation for 2.9 Emergency Responses.	Costs reported in template 2.12 relating to overheads include both operating and capital expenditure for standard control and alternative control services only. Overhead costs relating to unregulated services reported in template 2.10(A) has not been included in template 2.12. The methodology used to calculate information in template 2.12 is discussed below. Definitions applicable to template 2.12 are from the AER's CA RIN guidelines.  Direct costs - operating or capital expenditure directly attributable to a	N/A	Actual data for 2017/18 is based on actual financial data directly or via TM1 reports from Ausgrid's SAP financial system for operating expenditure and SAP Business Intelligence system (BI) for capital expenditure. Direct materials, direct labour and contract expenditure are shown excluding of overhead costs	N/A								
Expense Category	Source																

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source		Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
					<p>work activity, project or work order, consists of in-house costs of direct labour, direct materials, contractor costs, and other costs excluding any allocated overhead expenditure.</p> <p>Direct labour cost - labour cost attributable to a specific asset or service, cost centre, work activity, project or work order.</p> <p>Labour cost definition used in template 2.12 is as per the definition included in Appendix F: Definitions of the CA RIN and include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Labour hire,</li><li>• ordinary time earnings,</li><li>• other earnings, on-costs and taxes,</li><li>• superannuation,</li><li>• termination and redundancy payments,</li><li>• purchase of protective clothing,</li><li>• training and study assistance; and</li><li>• specific employee related FBT taxes.</li></ul> <p>Other earnings include termination and redundancy payments, purchase of protective clothing, training and study assistance as per the AER definition.</p> <p>Overhead expenditure in template 2.12 is divided into network and corporate overheads. Network and corporate overheads include operating and capital expenditure. The methodology used to calculate direct labour, material, contract and other expenditure is discussed below.</p> <p>Expenditure is obtained from SAP via the TM1 cube for the 2017/18 financial year according to the profit centre mapping for the expenditure categories reported in template 2.10 Overheads by the following cost groupings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• LOB-OPEX: Total Opex (excluding costs for maintenance - considered to be direct costs)</li><li>• LOB-LABOUR: Labour costs (excluding any allocated overheads)</li><li>• LOB- MAT: Materials</li><li>• LOB-CONT: Contractors</li></ul> <p>Direct labour definition used in overheads costs in template 2.12 is as per AER's labour cost definition excluding labour overhead allocations but including the following cost elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Protective clothing</li><li>• Contracted services - labour hire</li><li>• MV licences employees</li><li>• Fringe Benefits Tax</li><li>• Training and staff development</li><li>• Redundancy expenses</li></ul> <p>Direct materials costs is LOB - MAT less protective clothing which is categorised under direct labour.</p> <p>Contract expenditure is LOB-CONT less contracted services labour hire which is categorised under direct labour.</p> <p>Other Expenditure is all other expenditure (i.e. vehicle expenditure, IT expenditure, professional/licences, rent expenditure, postage &amp; printing costs, etc.) less cost items reported under direct labour, direct material and contract expenditure.</p>		<p>and indirect cost allocations to provide a direct cost view for templates 2.2 to 2.9. This is as per Appendix E of the Category Analysis RIN, paragraph 1.15. Other expenditure includes both direct and indirect costs.</p> <p>Functions/cost groupings listed below shows direct costs in template 2.12</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Vegetation management</li><li>• Routine maintenance</li><li>• Non-routine maintenance</li><li>• Augmentation</li><li>• Replacement</li><li>• Connections</li><li>• Emergency response</li><li>• Non network expenditure</li></ul> <p>Functions/cost groupings listed below shows total costs in template 2.12 (ie. include overhead costs and indirect cost allocations)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Public lighting</li><li>• Fee based services</li><li>• Quoted services</li><li>• Overheads</li></ul>	

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>The adjusted cost profile for opex is then used to split total opex overhead costs for standard control and alternative control services. (ie. total overhead costs split based on a percentage allocation).</p> <p><b>Capital expenditure</b></p> <p>Costs were extracted from SAP via the BI reporting system for the 2017/18 financial year. The cost groupings used in BI are:</p> <p>PM/PS_DIR: total direct costs, which comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PM/PS_LAB - direct labour</li> <li>PM/PS_MAT - direct material</li> <li>PM/PS_SRV - contract services</li> <li>PM/PS_OTHER - other direct costs</li> </ul> <p>PM/PS_INDIR: total indirect costs, which comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PMPS_LABIN: indirect labour</li> <li>PMPS_OVER: indirect other overhead</li> </ul> <p>Direct Labour definition used in template 2.12 is as per AER's labour cost definition excluding labour overhead allocations but including the following cost elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protective clothing</li> <li>Contracted services - labour hire</li> <li>MV licences employees</li> <li>Training and staff development</li> </ul> <p>The above cost elements included in labour are in accordance with the AER definition stated in Appendix F Definitions of the Category Analysis RIN requirements dated 07 March 2014.</p> <p>Direct materials costs is LOB - MAT less protective clothing which is categorised under direct labour.</p> <p>Contract expenditure is LOB-CONT less contracted services labour hire which is categorised under direct labour.</p> <p>Other Expenditure is all other expenditure (i.e. vehicle expenditure, IT expenditure, professional/licences, rent expenditure, postage &amp; printing costs, etc.) less cost items reported under direct labour, direct material and contract expenditure.</p> <p>The adjusted cost profile for capex is then used to split total capex overhead costs for standard control and alternative control services (ie. total overhead costs split based on a percentage allocation).</p> <p><b>Public Lighting expenditure split for 2.12</b></p> <p><b>Operating expenditure</b></p> <p>The method used to calculate direct labour, direct material, contract and other expenditure for Public Lighting is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LOB-OPEX: Total opex</li> <li>LOB-LABOUR: Labour costs (excluding any allocated overhead)</li> <li>LOB- MAT: Materials</li> <li>LOB-CONT: Contractors</li> </ul> <p>Direct labour also includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protective clothing</li> <li>Contracted services - labour hire</li> <li>MV licences employees</li> </ul>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fringe Benefits Tax</li> <li>Training and staff development</li> <li>Redundancy expenses</li> </ul> <p>Direct materials costs is LOB - MAT less protective clothing which is categorised under direct labour.</p> <p>Contract expenditure is LOB-CONT less contracted services labour hire which is categorised under direct labour.</p> <p>Other Expenditure is all other expenditure (i.e. vehicle expenditure, IT expenditure, professional/licences, rent expenditure, postage &amp; printing costs, etc.) less cost items reported under direct labour, direct material and contract expenditure.</p> <p><b>Capital expenditure</b></p> <p>Costs were extracted from SAP via the BI reporting system for the 2017/18 financial year. The cost groupings used in BI are:</p> <p>PM/PS_DIR: total direct costs, which comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PM/PS_LAB - direct labour</li> <li>PM/PS_MAT - direct material</li> <li>PM/PS_SRV - contract services</li> <li>PM/PS_OTHER - other direct costs</li> </ul> <p>PM/PS_INDIR: total indirect costs, which comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PMPS_LABIN: indirect labour</li> <li>PMPS_OVER: indirect other overhead</li> </ul> <p>Direct Labour definition used for Public Lighting expenditure in template 2.12 is as per AER's labour cost definition excluding labour overhead allocations but including the following cost elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Protective clothing</li> <li>Contracted services - labour hire</li> <li>MV licences employees</li> <li>Training and staff development</li> </ul> <p>The above cost elements included in labour are in accordance with the AER definition stated in Appendix F Definitions of the Category Analysis RIN requirements dated 07 March 2014.</p> <p>Direct materials costs is LOB - MAT less protective clothing which is categorised under direct labour.</p> <p>Contract expenditure is LOB-CONT less contracted services labour hire which is categorised under direct labour.</p> <p>Other Expenditure is all other expenditure (i.e. vehicle expenditure, IT expenditure, professional/licences, rent expenditure, postage &amp; printing costs, etc.) less cost items reported under direct labour, direct material and contract expenditure.</p> <p><b>Non-network expenditure split for 2.12</b></p> <p><b>Operating expenditure</b></p> <p>The method used to calculate direct labour, direct material, contract and other expenditure for Public Lighting is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LOB-OPEX: Total opex</li> <li>LOB-LABOUR: Labour costs (excluding any allocated overhead)</li> <li>LOB- MAT: Materials</li> </ul>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>LOB-CONT: Contractors</li></ul> <p>Direct labour also includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Protective clothing</li><li>Contracted services - labour hire</li><li>MV licences employees</li><li>FBT Taxes</li><li>Training and staff development</li><li>Redundancy expenses</li></ul> <p>Direct materials costs is LOB - MAT less protective clothing which is categorised under direct labour.</p> <p>Contract expenditure is LOB-CONT less contracted services labour hire which is categorised under direct labour.</p> <p>Other Expenditure is all other expenditure (i.e. vehicle expenditure, IT expenditure, professional/licences, rent expenditure, postage &amp; printing costs, etc.) less cost items reported under direct labour, direct material and contract expenditure.</p> <p><b>Capital expenditure</b></p> <p>Costs were extracted from SAP via the BI reporting system for the 2017/18 financial year. The cost groupings in BI used to populate direct material, direct labour, contract and other expenditure are:</p> <p>PM/PS_DIR: total direct costs, which comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PM/PS_LAB - direct labour</li><li>PM/PS_MAT - direct material</li><li>PM/PS_SRV - contract services</li><li>PM/PS_OTHER - other direct costs</li></ul> <p>PM/PS_INDIR: total indirect costs, which comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PMPS_LABIN: indirect labour</li><li>PMPS_OVER: indirect other overhead</li></ul> <p>Direct labour also includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Protective clothing</li><li>Contracted services - labour hire</li><li>MV licences employees</li><li>Training and staff development</li></ul> <p>The above cost elements included in labour are in accordance with the AER definition stated in Appendix F Definitions of the Category Analysis RIN requirements dated 07 March 2014.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Direct Materials = PM/PS_MAT less Protective clothing which is categorised as Direct labour above</li><li>Contract = PM/PS_SRV less Contracted services - labour hire which is categorised as Direct labour above</li><li>Other Expenditure = All other expenditure (i.e. vehicle expenditure, IT expenditure, professional/licences, rent expenditure, postage &amp; printing costs, etc.) less cost items reported under direct labour, direct material and contract mentioned above.</li></ul> <p><b>Augmentation split for 2.12</b></p> <p><b>Capital expenditure</b></p> <p>Costs were extracted from SAP via the BI reporting system for the</p>			



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>2017/18 financial year. The cost groupings in BI used to populate direct material, direct labour, contract and other expenditure are:</p> <p>PM/PS_DIR: total direct costs, which comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PM/PS_LAB - direct labour</li><li>PM/PS_MAT - direct material</li><li>PM/PS_SRV - contract services</li><li>PM/PS_OTHER - other direct costs</li></ul> <p>PM/PS_INDIR: total indirect costs, which comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PMPS_LABIN: indirect labour</li><li>PMPS_OVER: indirect other overhead</li></ul> <p>Direct labour also includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Protective clothing</li><li>Contracted services - labour hire</li><li>Course fees</li></ul> <p>The above cost elements included in labour are in accordance with the AER definition stated in Appendix F Definitions of the Category Analysis RIN requirements dated 07 March 2014.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Direct Materials = PM/PS_MAT less Protective clothing which is categorised as Direct labour above</li><li>Contract = PM/PS_SRV less Contracted services - labour hire which is categorised as Direct labour above</li><li>Other Expenditure = All other expenditure (i.e. vehicle expenditure, IT expenditure, professional/licences, rent expenditure, postage &amp; printing costs, etc.) less cost items reported under direct labour, direct material and contract mentioned above.</li></ul> <p><b>Replacement split for 2.12</b></p> <p><b>Capital expenditure</b></p> <p>Costs were extracted from SAP via the BI reporting system for the 2017/18 financial year. The cost groupings in BI used to populate direct material, direct labour, contract and other expenditure are:</p> <p>PM/PS_DIR: total direct costs, which comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PM/PS_LAB - direct labour</li><li>PM/PS_MAT - direct material</li><li>PM/PS_SRV - contract services</li><li>PM/PS_OTHER - other direct costs</li></ul> <p>PM/PS_INDIR: total indirect costs, which comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>PMPS_LABIN: indirect labour</li><li>PMPS_OVER: indirect other overhead</li></ul> <p>Direct labour also includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Protective clothing</li><li>Contracted services - labour hire</li><li>Course fees</li><li>Training costs</li></ul> <p>The above cost elements included in labour are in accordance with the AER definition stated in Appendix F Definitions of the Category Analysis</p>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>RIN requirements dated 07 March 2014.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Direct Materials = PM/PS_MAT less Protective clothing which is categorised as Direct labour above</li> <li>Contract = PM/PS_SRV less Contracted services - labour hire which is categorised as Direct labour above</li> <li>Other Expenditure = All other expenditure (i.e. vehicle expenditure, IT expenditure, professional/licences, rent expenditure, postage &amp; printing costs, etc.) less cost items reported under direct labour, direct material and contract mentioned above.</li> </ul>			
4.1 Public Lighting TABLE 4.1.1 - DESCRIPTOR METRICS OVER YEAR	Actual	<p>This data is taken from the SAP PM Street Lighting Inventory Reports (transaction ZSD0014)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Includes all commissioned lights installed on Ausgrid's network.</li> <li>The Streetlight rate is either 01 (Ausgrid owned and maintained), 02 (Ausgrid maintained), 04 (Ausgrid part owned and maintained), 05 (Ausgrid part owned and maintained) – rate 03 (Private) is excluded.</li> </ul>	N/A	<p>Assumed that all maintained public lighting is to be included - both rate 1 (Ausgrid owned and maintained) and rate 2 (customer funded, Ausgrid maintained). Data provided as at July 2018</p>	N/A	<p>Report provides a listing of current active streetlights based on Luminaire type (as at July 2018).</p> <p>Responses provided in table 4.1.1 for Public Lighting have been complete in accordance with the requirements of the Notice. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Schedule 1: 15 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services</li> <li>Appendix E: 21 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services</li> <li>Appendix E: 1 - General principles and requirements</li> </ul>	N/A
4.1 Public Lighting TABLE 4.1.2 - DESCRIPTOR METRICS ANNUALLY	Actual	<p>Light Installation, Light Replacement, Light Maintenance and Quality of Supply volume data is sourced from SAP Plant Maintenance database. Financial data is sourced from SAP and tm1prod:Line of Business.</p>	N/A	<p><b>Lights Installation - volume of works and expenditure</b></p> <p>Light installation volume is calculated by reporting on all luminaires with 'effective dates' and 'start-up' dates in FY18. Luminaires are divided into major and minor road categories by lights that are typically used on residential roads (category P) and lights that are typically used on arterial roads (category V). This has typically been delineated by wattage; 100W and less is minor and over 100W is major. With the introduction of LED some additional analysis needs to be performed as LED's can now be less than 100W and servicing a major road.</p> <p><b>Number of poles installed</b></p> <p>This is the total number of new dedicated pole installations both rate 1 and 2. This data is sourced from SAP PM database and is calculated by supports that have both an 'effective date' and 'start-up date' in FY18.</p> <p><b>Total Costs (\$'s)</b></p> <p>The total cost including materials, indirect and direct labour costs associated with Light Installation - volume of works and expenditure, is the total public lighting capex for the program titled 'New Public Lighting' SY.11.02.05.</p> <p><b>Light replacement - volume of works and expenditure</b></p> <p>Replacement of Ausgrid street lights (luminaires), excluding new installations. Data held in Ausgrid's asset base</p> <p>(SAP-PM) includes notifications generated each time a street light luminaire is replaced. As well as a notification being generated the characteristics of the luminaire type change to reflect the new type of light. The number of light replacements is therefore where a completed FIX notification aligns with a characteristic change of the luminaire. The notification type could be M2, M3, M7 or ML. Major and Minor lights are</p>	N/A	<p>Responses provided in table 4.1.2 for Public Lighting have been compliant with the requirements of the Notice.</p> <p>This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Schedule 1: 15 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services</li> <li>Appendix E: 21 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services</li> <li>Appendix E: 1 - General principles and requirements</li> </ul> <p><b>Number of Lights Installed - volume of works and expenditure</b></p> <p>This is the total volume of new light or dedicated street lighting pole installations where no pole or light existed before. Both rate 1 (Ausgrid funded) and rate 2 (customer funded) luminaires and poles are included.</p> <p><b>Light replacement - volume of works and expenditure</b></p> <p>This is the total volume of street light luminaire replacements and dedicated pole replacements excluding new installations covered</p>	N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>separated as discussed above.</p> <p><b><i>Number of Poles replaced.</i></b></p> <p>Includes all poles physically replaced. Data extracted from SAP asset system for new poles stood that have a related pole replace notification (i.e. excludes brand new poles listed in Light Installation). This data is then filtered to only include poles that have a street light attached.</p> <p><b><i>Total Costs (\$'s)</i></b></p> <p>The total cost associated with Light replacement - volume of works and expenditure, is the public lighting capex less any new installation capex.</p> <p><b><i>Light maintenance - volume of works and expenditure</i></b></p> <p>Includes all maintenance work performed on street lights during the period. Data held in Ausgrid's asset base</p> <p>(SAP-PM) includes notifications generated each time a street light luminaire is replaced or maintained. Instances where lights are repaired will generate a notification but will not have any change to the luminaire type. The total number of light maintenance tasks is where a completed notification has been flagged as FIX and there is not a corresponding change to the luminaire type. The notification type could be M2, M3, M7 or ML. Major and Minor lights are separated as discussed above.</p> <p><b><i>Number of poles installed (number of lights maintained)</i></b></p> <p>This is the total number of poles that have a street light installed on Ausgrid's network</p> <p><b><i>Total Costs (\$'s)</i></b></p> <p>The total cost associated with Light maintenance - volume of works and expenditure, is the total public lighting opex. This includes, materials, indirect and direct labour and contract services.</p> <p><b><i>Mean days to rectify/replace public lighting assets (days)</i></b></p> <p>Data held in Ausgrid's SAP asset system which records details of customer reported street light issues. Figures based on the average number of days required to complete an overhead street lighting fault from the day it was reported to the day it was repaired.</p> <p><b><i>Volume of GSL breaches (0s)</i></b></p> <p>Data extracted from SAP asset system based on ML (customer generated) notifications where the light reported is outside the customers property, the notification is 'not held' (i.e. not subject to issues like traffic control or UG repairs) and the time to repair the street light is greater than the 12 days allowed in the Public Lighting Code.</p> <p><b><i>GSL payments (\$000s)</i></b></p> <p>Based on the Volume of GSL beaches multiplied by \$15.</p> <p><b><i>Volume of customer complaints (0s)</i></b></p> <p>Data extracted from SAP asset system based on ML notifications that have been recorded as a complaint by the customer. Notifications can be flagged as a complaint by a customer when they complete the web based 'Report a streetlight fault' form or verbally to call centre staff when reporting a street light issue over the phone.</p>		<p>in 'Light Installation'.</p> <p><b><i>Light maintenance - volume of works and expenditure</i></b></p> <p>This includes the total volume of lights serviced (but not replaced) as part of planned (bulk lamp replacement) and unplanned (spot replacements). Bulk lamp replacement work is completed on periodic basis. The bulk lamp replacement work is held in the SAP asset base as M1 notifications.</p> <p>Spot replacement is done on an ad hoc basis and is recorded in the SAP asset base as M2, M3, M4 or ML notifications.</p> <p><b><i>Mean days to rectify/replace public lighting assets (days)</i></b></p> <p>This figure is the average number of days taken for Ausgrid to repair customer reported overhead street light outages.</p> <p><b><i>Volume of GSL breaches (0s)</i></b></p> <p>This figure is the number of times Ausgrid were in breach of the NSW Public Lighting Code for time taken to repair streetlights for both customer and local council reported outages.</p> <p><b><i>GSL payments (\$000s)</i></b></p> <p>Payments made for GSL breaches \$15 each.</p> <p><b><i>Volume of customer complaints (0s)</i></b></p> <p>Data held in Ausgrid's SAP asset base which records details of customer reported street light issues. Notifications can be flagged as a complaint by a customer when they complete the web based 'Report a streetlight fault' form or verbally to call centre staff when reporting a street light issue over the phone.</p> <p>Additionally, refer to linked document for more information.</p>	

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
4.1 Public Lighting TABLE 4.1.3 - COST METRICS	Actual	All costs have been sourced from Ausgrid's Public Lighting regulatory pricing models. Light Installation and Light Replacement costs are sourced from Ausgrid's Post 2009 annuity model, Light Maintenance costs are sourced from Ausgrid's Maintenance charge model.	N/A	Light Installation Costs listed are the modelled costs to install each of the luminaire types. Cost include materials, labour, and overheads. All assumptions are listed in the model. Light Replacement There is no difference in cost for Light Installation and Light Replacement. These figures are duplicated from Light Installation. Lighting Maintenance These costs are the output of Ausgrid's operational expenditure pricing model. These costs take into consideration all scheduled and unscheduled maintenance associated with each asset and pricing of all associated materials required for the maintenance of these assets. This model forms part of Ausgrid's public lighting substantive proposal. All underlying assumptions for these calculations can be found in this model.	N/A	Responses provided in Table 4.1.2 for Public Lighting have been compliant with the requirements of the Notice. This includes:  Schedule 1: 15 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services  Appendix E: 21 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services  Appendix E: 1 - General principles and requirements	N/A
4.2 Metering TABLE 4.2.1 - METERING DESCRIPTOR METRIC	Actual	2017/18 volumes were obtained from Ausgrid's Metering Business System (MBS).	N/A	The process of populating this RIN utilised a centrally managed approach. The business process owner coordinated the inputs that were supplied by subject matter experts and management teams. A feedback loop was also incorporated to allow the Manager to verify the accuracy of the supplied information (including source data) and this notice was prepared in accordance with the methodology utilised in AER 2014-19 Regulatory Submission.  The response to table <b>4.2.1 Metering Descriptor Metric</b> is based upon a number of assumptions. These are detailed below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Tables 4.2.1 (Meter Type 4)</b> - Relates to Contestable Meter Sites (Type 1-4). These sites are already open to competition and deemed not to be part of this regulatory submission, therefore all entries have been set to zero in this template.</li> <li><b>Table 4.2.1 (Meter Type 5 &amp; Meter Type 6)</b> - Type 5 &amp; 6 meters for this table are defined as installed populations only (based upon how a site is registered/classified in the national electricity market). The volume is a count of meters. This volume includes some NEM registered type 5 sites that have aspects of AMI or Type 4 style communications implemented for operational reasons. i.e. chronic access.</li> </ul>	N/A	The response to table '4.2.1 Metering descriptor metric' utilised the AER response worksheets provided. This submission complies with the relevant sections of the RIN and costs have been derived in accordance with Ausgrid's reporting methodology and operational quantities are drawn from the appropriate Ausgrid database.	N/A
4.2 Metering TABLE 4.2.2 - COST METRICS	Actual	2017/18 costs are actual and were identified from Financial Internal Order (I/O) reports and analysis derived by Ausgrid's Finance and Compliance - Commercial and Decision Support Team.  Total metering costs are considered to be the costs captured against IO's directly attributable to the activities contained within this template. These costs have been extracted from our financial system (SAP) from the TM1 reporting system.  For 2017/18, actual volumes were extracted from Ausgrid's Metering Business System database and SAP system.	N/A	The process of populating this RIN utilised a centrally managed approach. The business process owner coordinated the inputs that were supplied by subject matter experts and management teams. A feedback loop was also incorporated to allow the Manager to verify the accuracy of the supplied information (including source data) and this notice was prepared in accordance with the methodology utilised in AER 2014-19 Regulatory Submission.  The response to table 4.2.2 Cost Metrics (Cost & Volume) is based upon a number of assumptions. These are detailed below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Table 4.2.2 (General Comment)</b> - For this table, volumes and expenditure include metering as an Alternate Control Service (ACS) but does not include Fee-Based (Ancillary Network Services - ANS) services as these services are documented separately in worksheet 4.3. Ausgrid's metering group also undertakes some activities that are related to Standard Control Services (SCS) such as monitoring statistical metering related to transmission and distribution substations, validating incoming data from other metering providers for the purposes of network billing.</li> <li><b>Tables 4.2.2 (Meter Type 4)</b> - Relates to Contestable Meter Sites (Type 1-4). These sites are already open to competition and deemed not to be part of this regulatory submission, therefore all entries have been set to zero in this template.</li> <li><b>Table 4.2.2 (Meter Purchase, New Meter Installation, Meter Replacement)</b> - The costs associated with these three components when combined add up to the amount added to Ausgrid's regulated asset base (RAB) for Type 5 and Type 6</li> </ul>	N/A	The response to table '4.2.2 Cost metrics' utilised the AER response worksheets provided. This submission complies with the relevant sections of the RIN and costs have been derived in accordance with Ausgrid's financial methodology and operational quantities are drawn from the appropriate Ausgrid databases.	N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>metering. The apportionment of the overall costs includes a small quantity of logistics labour attributed to new meter purchase.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <b>Table 4.2.2 (Meter Purchase)</b> - A Type 5 meter is defined as the volume of purchased interval capable meters irrespective of whether installed in the NEM as a Type 5 or Type 6 site. The values in the cell for Type 5 costs and volumes represent the sum of both Type 5 &amp; Type 6 'Meter purchase' activities (excluding customer funded metering), as we are unable to split costs/volumes by the separate meter categories. Meter purchase costs were calculated based on SAP network activity financial reporting for meter and material costs, when added to the regulated asset base (RAB) and not at the time of purchase. Meter purchase volumes equate to the actual volume of Ausgrid driven meter replacements. </li> <li> <b>Table 4.2.2 (Meter Testing - Meter Type 5 &amp; Meter Type 6)</b> - Meter Testing is defined as Sample Meter Testing. Financial and volume based data for Type 5 and Type 6 sites has been combined as there has been significant merging of work associated with Type 5 and Type 6 sites making accurate apportionment difficult between testing Interval Meters and Accumulation meters. For example; a site tested as Type 6 and then upgraded to Type 5 could have been captured as a Type 5 cost and quantity. Therefore for the indicated periods, Type 5 meter tests also include Type 6 meter tests at a NMI level. 2017/18 actual costs were identified from Financial Internal Order (I/O) reports. Sample Meter testing volumes are calculated on a per NMI basis and volumes were extracted via the Metering Business System database. </li> <li> <b>Retailer requested meter tests</b> - identified as ZMET_ ANS Service Orders have been excluded, as it is an Ancillary Network Service and documented separately in worksheet 4.3 Fee-based services. </li> <li> <b>Table 4.2.2 (Meter Investigation - Meter Type 5 &amp; Meter Type 6)</b> - The value in the cell for Type 5 meter investigation also includes Type 6 meter investigations as we are unable to separate meter investigation into separate categories. 2017/18 actual costs were identified from Financial Internal Order (I/O) reports. Meter Investigation volumes are calculated on a per NMI basis and volumes were obtained via the Metering Business System database. </li> <li> <b>Table 4.2.2 (Scheduled Meter Reading)</b> - Scheduled means routine meter reads (including either monthly or quarterly read cycles). Scheduled Meter reading actual volumes for Type 5 &amp; Type 6 metering are recorded on a per NMI basis and were obtained from Ausgrid's MBS database. 2017/18 actual costs were identified from Financial Internal Order (I/O) reports. The costs were then allocated across Type 5 and Type 6 scheduled meter readings based on a weighted allocation of the unit cost. The cost is calculated per read, and excludes Ancillary Network Services i.e. Special Meter Reading &amp; Move In/Out meter reads (MIMO) which are detailed separately in worksheet 4.3.1 Fee-based services. </li> <li> <b>Table 4.2.2 (Special Meter Reading - Meter Type 5 &amp; Meter Type 6)</b> - Special Meter Reading is defined as special reads/off cycle meter reads that are initiated by the network for the purpose of quality assurance and other scheduled meter reading related activities. The values in the cell for Type 5 costs and volumes represent the sum of both Type 5 &amp; Type 6 'special meter reading' activities as we are unable to split costs/volumes by the separate meter categories. 2017/18 actual costs were identified from Financial Internal Order (I/O) reports. Special Meter Reading volumes were calculated on a per NMI basis and volumes were extracted via the Metering Business System database. For Type 5 meters removed from a site prior to an actual final reading being obtained, Ausgrid have established a process to obtain readings from these removed meters and also uploaded to the Metering </li> </ul>			



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>Business System database. The costs for type 5 meters removed have been classified as part of other metering rather than a standard special read.</p> <p>Retailer requested, Special Meter Reads/MIMO Reads have been excluded, as it is an ancillary network service (ANS) therefore costs and volumes are contained in worksheet 4.3.1 Fee-Based Services.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Table 4.2.2 (New Meter Installation - Meter Type 5 &amp; Meter Type 6)</b> - No Expenditure or volumes have been provided for this activity due to the exclusion of customer funded metering (i.e. materials and labour) from Ausgrid's metering RIN.</li> <li><b>Table 4.2.2 (Meter Replacements - Meter Type 5)</b> - This represents combined proactive and reactive replacements. Meter test and release labour costs were assigned proportionately across all meter replacement activities. 2017/18 actual costs were obtained via SAP Network Activity Financial reporting. The physical cost of the meter has been excluded from the meter replacement costs as it has been previously included in meter purchase cost. Meter replacement actual volumes were calculated on a per meter basis and were obtained via SAP Network Activity reporting.</li> <li><b>Table 4.2.2 (Meter Maintenance - Meter Type 5 &amp; Type 6)</b> - Indicates field meter maintenance tasks excluding Meter Investigation and Meter Testing, detailed elsewhere in sections 4.2.2 and 4.3.1 of this document.</li> </ul> <p>The values in the cell for Type 5 volume and costs represent the sum of both Type 5 &amp; Type 6 meter maintenance activity on a per NMI basis (unable to separate meter maintenance into separate categories). 2017/18 actual costs were identified from Financial Internal Order (I/O) reports. Actual volumes for Meter Maintenance were calculated on a per NMI basis and were obtained via SSDM reporting database.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Table 4.2.2 (Other Metering - Type 4)</b> - This cell has been used to record expenditure related to Metering Standard Control Services. The main components of the recorded expenditure are Meter Data Processing and Distribution, Metering Technology and Engineering Support relating to metering Standard Control Service. These costs are not associated with Alternate Control service or Type 4 costs, they have been included under this category to allow transparency of all regulated costs in the metering business and allow for comparison of costs with the 2017/18 RIN.</li> </ul> <p>2017/18 actual costs were identified from Financial Internal Order (I/O) reports. As this category has a combination of qualitative and quantitative activities, no volumes were recorded in this template.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Table 4.2.2 (Other Metering - Meter Type 5)</b> - The recorded expenditure comprises is comprised of Meter Data Processing and Distribution, Metering Technology and Engineering Support relating to metering Alternate Control Service.</li> </ul> <p>The value in the cell for Type 5 costs represents the sum of both Type 5 &amp; Type 6 'Other metering' activities (unable to split costs into separate categories). 2017/18 actual costs were identified from Financial Internal Order (I/O) reports. As this category has a combination of qualitative and quantitative activities, no volumes were recorded in this template.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Table 4.2.2 (Other Metering - Meter Type 7)</b> - It is noted that there are no Type 7 physical meters in Ausgrid's network; therefore no volume has been recorded. The main components of the recorded expenditure are Type 7 database recording and maintenance along with data processing and distribution. 2017/18</li> </ul>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>actual costs were identified from Financial Internal Order (I/O) reports.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Table 4.2.2 (IT infrastructure Capex)</b></li> </ul> <p>Costs categorised as IT Infrastructure Capex relate to the disaggregation of Ausgrid's capital expenditure into different service categories for Metering Alternate Control and Metering Ancillary Network Services. This involves the application of the cost allocation principles at different levels of disaggregation. These costs are primarily incurred by the Information Communications &amp; Technology group. 2017/18 actual costs were obtained from Ausgrid's SAP Financial System and have been allocated as per Ausgrid's Cost Allocation Methodology.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Table 4.2.2 (IT infrastructure Opex)</b></li> </ul> <p>Costs categorised as IT Infrastructure Opex relate to Corporate and IT overheads, allocated to Metering Alternate Control and Metering Ancillary Network Services. These costs are incurred by the corporate support divisions of Ausgrid (e.g. Information Communications &amp; Technology, Customer &amp; Corporate, Property, Finance, and Human Resources/Safety). These 2017/18 actual costs were obtained from Ausgrid's SAP Financial System and have been allocated as per Ausgrid's Cost Allocation Methodology.</p>			
4.3 Fee-Based Services TABLE 4.3.1 - COST METRICS FOR FEE-BASED SERVICES	Actual	<p>The information provided was sourced from Ausgrid's SAP system.</p> <p>Ausgrid records both expenditure and revenue associated with each service using dedicated internal orders and activity numbers in its SAP financial system. Volumes of services provided were determined by interrogating revenue billing data. The exception to this was the volume of connection offers which was sourced from SAP Connection Application records and Inspection of service work by level 2 ASPs (NOSW) which was sourced from SAP audit inspection records.</p>	No estimated data was used for fee based services	<p>The methodology used to populate this RIN table utilised a centrally coordinated approach. Inputs were supplied via management and various subject matter experts (SME) to the central point. A checking and feedback loop involving a financial review was also incorporated to ensure the Branch Manager could verify supplied information and processes aligned to RIN requirements.</p> <p>In preparing the RIN response for 4.3 Ancillary Services - fee based services, reference was made to various reports obtained from Ausgrid's SAP system.</p> <p>Expenditure - The Ancillary Network Services report provides expenditure recorded on each of the dedicated internal order and activity numbers associated with each service fee. Indirect costs - Corporate allocations have been included as a separate listed item where applicable.</p> <p>Volumes - The volumes of services provided were determined using one of two methods:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Invoicing and revenue data obtained from the Ancillary Services Revenue report is used identify the volume of service fees billed.</li> <li>For connection offer volumes only, the volume of connection offers provided was sourced from SAP connection application records using the SAP IW67 report (interrogating task code and task start date).</li> <li>For inspection of service work by level 2 ASP volumes only, the volume of inspections was sourced from SAP inspection records using the SAP AIS AC Status report (interrogating the number of inspections generated)</li> </ul>	Nil	<p>The information provided on table 4.3.1 is consistent with the requirements in the RIN. The information is consistent with the definition of Alternative Control Services Fixed Fee provided in Appendix F of the RIN.</p> <p>The information has been prepared to align with the requirements of Sections 12, 13 and 14 of Schedule 1 of the RIN It is also aligns with the principles and requirements outlined in Section 19 of Appendix E - Principles and requirements.</p> <p>The fees listed in table 4.3.1 are a reflection of the fees listed in Ausgrid's annual tariff proposal. Expenditures reported have not been distinguished as standard or alternative control nor have they been distinguished as Capex or Opex.</p> <p>This response is based on the same preparation of worksheets and supporting documentation used in the Reset RIN. The information primarily comes from SAP. Where practical, information is provided at sufficiently low level to encapsulate each proposed service. See Ausgrid's Substantive Proposal, our supplementary information 8.22_Ancillary network services proposal_140529.pdf and Attachment 8.24_ID00219_Connection related ANS models_140515.zip for further details from each service model.</p>	Nil

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source		Why Estimated		Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																																					
5.2 Asset Age Profile TABLE 5.2.1 - ASSET AGE PROFILE	Estimated	<table><tr><th>Source</th><th>Asset Category</th></tr><tr><td>SAP Plant Maintenance</td><td>Poles, Transformers, Switchgear, Public Lighting, SCADA (Field Devices, AFLC, Other), Substations, Towers, Voltage Regulator, Underground Cable (Other)</td></tr><tr><td>GIS</td><td>Overhead conductor, Underground cable</td></tr><tr><td>MBS</td><td>Service lines</td></tr><tr><td>PNI</td><td>SCADA (Communications Linear Assets)</td></tr><tr><td>TDMS</td><td>Communications Site Infrastructure</td></tr><tr><td>Equipment Acquisition data</td><td>Master Station Assets</td></tr><tr><td>DARTS</td><td>SCADA (Local Network Wiring Asset)</td></tr></table>	Source	Asset Category	SAP Plant Maintenance	Poles, Transformers, Switchgear, Public Lighting, SCADA (Field Devices, AFLC, Other), Substations, Towers, Voltage Regulator, Underground Cable (Other)	GIS	Overhead conductor, Underground cable	MBS	Service lines	PNI	SCADA (Communications Linear Assets)	TDMS	Communications Site Infrastructure	Equipment Acquisition data	Master Station Assets	DARTS	SCADA (Local Network Wiring Asset)	<table><tr><th>Asset Category</th><th>Description</th></tr><tr><td>Poles</td><td>Data is not retained on voltage of removed poles to allow for the provision of actual economic life information by voltage. Actual data is used to prepare economic life by material type only. Missing data for some poles prevents the calculation of the age of those poles.</td></tr><tr><td>Overhead conductors</td><td>Data is not retained for removed conductors to allow for the provision of economic life information based on actual data.</td></tr><tr><td>Underground cables</td><td>For a few categories, there is insufficient data to calculate economic life based on actual figures for the corresponding category. The &gt;33kV &amp; 22kV &amp;</td></tr><tr><td>Service lines</td><td>Data is not retained for removed overhead service lines to allow for the provision of economic life information based on actual data.</td></tr><tr><td>Transformers</td><td>For a few categories, there is insufficient actual data for removed assets to calculate the mean and standard deviations for economic life</td></tr><tr><td>Switchgear</td><td>For 2 categories there is insufficient actual data for removed assets to calculate the mean and standard deviations for economic life</td></tr><tr><td>SCADA, network control and protection systems</td><td>Some data in this category is not currently retained in any asset system.</td></tr></table>	Asset Category	Description	Poles	Data is not retained on voltage of removed poles to allow for the provision of actual economic life information by voltage. Actual data is used to prepare economic life by material type only. Missing data for some poles prevents the calculation of the age of those poles.	Overhead conductors	Data is not retained for removed conductors to allow for the provision of economic life information based on actual data.	Underground cables	For a few categories, there is insufficient data to calculate economic life based on actual figures for the corresponding category. The >33kV & 22kV &	Service lines	Data is not retained for removed overhead service lines to allow for the provision of economic life information based on actual data.	Transformers	For a few categories, there is insufficient actual data for removed assets to calculate the mean and standard deviations for economic life	Switchgear	For 2 categories there is insufficient actual data for removed assets to calculate the mean and standard deviations for economic life	SCADA, network control and protection systems	Some data in this category is not currently retained in any asset system.	<table><tr><th>Asset Category</th><th>Methodology</th></tr><tr><td>Poles</td><td><p>To provide the age profile information, an extract was obtained from SAP Business Objects of all commissioned poles, excluding those dedicated to public lighting (Refer to number of public lighting dedicated poles in the Public Lighting data rows). Ausgrid has implemented the methodology of using the voltage of the feeder the poles are attached to. This is now reflected into the SAP corporate system for poles.</p><p>As direct attributes for voltage level are not retained, when poles are retired they lose the attributes in the asset system that allow them to be allocated to a voltage. Thus mean and standard deviation for economic life are grouped by material type only.</p><p>The primary assumption for data in this category is that approximately half of the pole population has an assumed age based on a suburb age methodology. This is due to the absence of pole discs on most poles pre-1980, and that records of installation were not retained prior to the late 1990's. Whilst this is assumed to provide a relatively good estimate of the global population profile, individual and local population ages can be inaccurate. Additionally, a number of pole records do not have details to be able to categorise them directly into a voltage category. These have been assigned to the</p><p>For 'STAKING OF A WOODEN POLE', this is assumed to refer to the data for poles that have been reinforced with what Ausgrid refers to as a 'nail' or 'splint'. The master data for these assets does not currently contain the date of installation of the nail. However for most assets this can be obtained from the 'notification' data in SAP (ie. the record of work for the 'nailing' activity). A Business Objects report has been developed to extract both pole data and associated notification data. The remaining assets for which an installation date cannot be determined has been evenly assigned an installation year between 1997 and 2002, as this is the period for which pole nailing (staking) was in effect in Ausgrid but prior to the installation data being stored within the assets system</p></td></tr><tr><td>Overhead conductors</td><td><p>Data for the age profile is extracted from the GIS system. The lengths have been extracted and aggregated by voltage and phases. For lengths of 22kV where the phase is "unknown" this has been assigned in equal proportions to both single-phase and multi-phase categories</p><p>Conductors that have 'Date Unknown' GIS report has been proportioned between amongst the rest of the years as there are missing conductor information within the Ausgrid network.</p><p>Data is not retained for removed conductors to allow for the provision of economic life information based on actual data. And as the renewal of overhead conductors have synergies with the renewal of the supporting structures, the mean and standard deviation for all overhead conductor categories is estimated to be the same as for wood poles.</p></td></tr></table>	Asset Category	Methodology	Poles	<p>To provide the age profile information, an extract was obtained from SAP Business Objects of all commissioned poles, excluding those dedicated to public lighting (Refer to number of public lighting dedicated poles in the Public Lighting data rows). Ausgrid has implemented the methodology of using the voltage of the feeder the poles are attached to. This is now reflected into the SAP corporate system for poles.</p> <p>As direct attributes for voltage level are not retained, when poles are retired they lose the attributes in the asset system that allow them to be allocated to a voltage. Thus mean and standard deviation for economic life are grouped by material type only.</p> <p>The primary assumption for data in this category is that approximately half of the pole population has an assumed age based on a suburb age methodology. This is due to the absence of pole discs on most poles pre-1980, and that records of installation were not retained prior to the late 1990's. Whilst this is assumed to provide a relatively good estimate of the global population profile, individual and local population ages can be inaccurate. Additionally, a number of pole records do not have details to be able to categorise them directly into a voltage category. These have been assigned to the</p> <p>For 'STAKING OF A WOODEN POLE', this is assumed to refer to the data for poles that have been reinforced with what Ausgrid refers to as a 'nail' or 'splint'. The master data for these assets does not currently contain the date of installation of the nail. However for most assets this can be obtained from the 'notification' data in SAP (ie. the record of work for the 'nailing' activity). A Business Objects report has been developed to extract both pole data and associated notification data. The remaining assets for which an installation date cannot be determined has been evenly assigned an installation year between 1997 and 2002, as this is the period for which pole nailing (staking) was in effect in Ausgrid but prior to the installation data being stored within the assets system</p>	Overhead conductors	<p>Data for the age profile is extracted from the GIS system. The lengths have been extracted and aggregated by voltage and phases. For lengths of 22kV where the phase is "unknown" this has been assigned in equal proportions to both single-phase and multi-phase categories</p> <p>Conductors that have 'Date Unknown' GIS report has been proportioned between amongst the rest of the years as there are missing conductor information within the Ausgrid network.</p> <p>Data is not retained for removed conductors to allow for the provision of economic life information based on actual data. And as the renewal of overhead conductors have synergies with the renewal of the supporting structures, the mean and standard deviation for all overhead conductor categories is estimated to be the same as for wood poles.</p>	<p><b>General Methodology for Economic Life and Std Deviation</b></p> <p>Ausgrid has, in most cases, applied a sampling approach to determine the economic life and standard deviation of asset populations. This approach uses the age at retirement of a sample of assets retired in recent years, typically three or six years, to determine the average and standard deviation. Only assets with recorded commissioning and decommissioning dates are used to ensure accuracy. The sample is restricted to more recent years (eg. past 5 years where applicable) as data accuracy is higher and also to ensure that the results reflect current asset management approaches.</p>	<p><b>Global assumptions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>No privately-owned assets are included in the data sets.</li><li>Data quantities are correct as of the time of extract. As SAP PM is a live system, subject to continuous update, process improvements, data cleansing and correction; asset counts are subject to change.</li><li>Asset ages are as recorded in the SAP PM system, or other records as appropriate. For many older assets, these ages are derived from associated assets as records for that asset type were not kept (e.g. poles, services). As such there are inherent inaccuracies in this data.</li></ul>	The information in this section is compliant in that actual values are used where possible, and best estimates are provided where actual data is not available.	N/A
Source	Asset Category																																													
SAP Plant Maintenance	Poles, Transformers, Switchgear, Public Lighting, SCADA (Field Devices, AFLC, Other), Substations, Towers, Voltage Regulator, Underground Cable (Other)																																													
GIS	Overhead conductor, Underground cable																																													
MBS	Service lines																																													
PNI	SCADA (Communications Linear Assets)																																													
TDMS	Communications Site Infrastructure																																													
Equipment Acquisition data	Master Station Assets																																													
DARTS	SCADA (Local Network Wiring Asset)																																													
Asset Category	Description																																													
Poles	Data is not retained on voltage of removed poles to allow for the provision of actual economic life information by voltage. Actual data is used to prepare economic life by material type only. Missing data for some poles prevents the calculation of the age of those poles.																																													
Overhead conductors	Data is not retained for removed conductors to allow for the provision of economic life information based on actual data.																																													
Underground cables	For a few categories, there is insufficient data to calculate economic life based on actual figures for the corresponding category. The >33kV & 22kV &																																													
Service lines	Data is not retained for removed overhead service lines to allow for the provision of economic life information based on actual data.																																													
Transformers	For a few categories, there is insufficient actual data for removed assets to calculate the mean and standard deviations for economic life																																													
Switchgear	For 2 categories there is insufficient actual data for removed assets to calculate the mean and standard deviations for economic life																																													
SCADA, network control and protection systems	Some data in this category is not currently retained in any asset system.																																													
Asset Category	Methodology																																													
Poles	<p>To provide the age profile information, an extract was obtained from SAP Business Objects of all commissioned poles, excluding those dedicated to public lighting (Refer to number of public lighting dedicated poles in the Public Lighting data rows). Ausgrid has implemented the methodology of using the voltage of the feeder the poles are attached to. This is now reflected into the SAP corporate system for poles.</p> <p>As direct attributes for voltage level are not retained, when poles are retired they lose the attributes in the asset system that allow them to be allocated to a voltage. Thus mean and standard deviation for economic life are grouped by material type only.</p> <p>The primary assumption for data in this category is that approximately half of the pole population has an assumed age based on a suburb age methodology. This is due to the absence of pole discs on most poles pre-1980, and that records of installation were not retained prior to the late 1990's. Whilst this is assumed to provide a relatively good estimate of the global population profile, individual and local population ages can be inaccurate. Additionally, a number of pole records do not have details to be able to categorise them directly into a voltage category. These have been assigned to the</p> <p>For 'STAKING OF A WOODEN POLE', this is assumed to refer to the data for poles that have been reinforced with what Ausgrid refers to as a 'nail' or 'splint'. The master data for these assets does not currently contain the date of installation of the nail. However for most assets this can be obtained from the 'notification' data in SAP (ie. the record of work for the 'nailing' activity). A Business Objects report has been developed to extract both pole data and associated notification data. The remaining assets for which an installation date cannot be determined has been evenly assigned an installation year between 1997 and 2002, as this is the period for which pole nailing (staking) was in effect in Ausgrid but prior to the installation data being stored within the assets system</p>																																													
Overhead conductors	<p>Data for the age profile is extracted from the GIS system. The lengths have been extracted and aggregated by voltage and phases. For lengths of 22kV where the phase is "unknown" this has been assigned in equal proportions to both single-phase and multi-phase categories</p> <p>Conductors that have 'Date Unknown' GIS report has been proportioned between amongst the rest of the years as there are missing conductor information within the Ausgrid network.</p> <p>Data is not retained for removed conductors to allow for the provision of economic life information based on actual data. And as the renewal of overhead conductors have synergies with the renewal of the supporting structures, the mean and standard deviation for all overhead conductor categories is estimated to be the same as for wood poles.</p>																																													

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology		Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				Underground cables	<p>Data for the age profile is extracted from the GIS system. The lengths have been extracted and aggregated by voltage and phases. Data for the 'Other' category (pits, pillars etc.) has been sourced from SAP BO.</p> <p>Conductors that have 'Date Unknown' in the financial year column in the GIS report has been proportioned between amongst the rest of the years as there are missing conductor information within the Ausgrid network.</p> <p>Data for economic life mean and standard deviation has been calculated by acquiring a similar report from GIS by selecting lengths of underground cable that have a status of 'abandoned'. Further restrictions on the data used are on decommissioning dates between 1/1/2011 and current RIN reporting year end (e.g. 30/6/2017) and a non-blank commissioning date and decommissioning date. Cable lengths have then been assigned to the relevant category using the 'voltage' column.</p> <p>A weighted average age and corresponding standard deviation has been calculated in the FME software package. UG Cables categorised as 'Other' has miscellaneous ancillary assets (e.g. Pillars, pits, link boxes, ISO Cabinets, Cabinets) that has an asset group category of DMUG and TMUG which are distribution and transmission underground assets and owned by Ausgrid only.</p>			
				Service lines	<p>The age profile for service lines was obtained by extracting services from GIS that are not identified as private installations. Where multiple segments of service line supply the one customer, these are still only counted as one service. This information is merged with customer information retrieved from the Metering Business System (MBS) via the National Metering Identifier (NMI) of the supply point connected to the service line. The customer type attributed to the NMI in MBS was then used to classify the service line allowing distinction of those that are for residential or commercial/industrial connections. Commissioning dates attached to the service line in GIS have been used to determine the installation year; however where this data not recorded the corresponding meter installation date in MBS has been used. Where the installation year has been provided as prior to 1912, the count of services has been redistributed to the year 1912. All OH service lines have been classified as simple type as the classification of complex type is related to the actions undertaken during the original connection and thus have no relevance to its classification in situ. Therefore UG service lines have been classified as complex.</p>			
				Transformers	<p>To obtain the age profile information, extracts of all commissioned transformers were obtained from SAP PM using Business Objects, including attributes on primary voltage, secondary voltage, type of transformer, phases, installation location and year of first commissioning. Using these attributes each commissioned transformer was then allocated to one of the required categories.</p> <p>Similarly for the calculation of economic life mean and standard deviation, an extract was obtained for all retired (disposed) transformers 5 years prior to the current RIN reporting year end (e.g. 30/6/2016), and the same attributes used to assign records to the categories required in the RIN template. The sample is restricted to more recent years (e.g. past 5 years) as data accuracy is higher and also to ensure that the results reflect current asset management approaches.</p> <p>The RIN category mapping is obtained by considering data in key SAP substation fields include object type, operating voltage and rated name-plate ratings.</p>			
				Switchgear	<p>Data has been extracted from SAP for all equipment that would map to the specified categories, or other switchgear categories that have been defined by Ausgrid. This includes attributes such as object type, operating voltage, location, status, commissioning dates and decommissioning dates. Valid records have then been manually mapped to the defined categories using these attributes. Age profiles for each category are then generated by filtering on Commissioned equipment only. For a couple of categories there are a relatively significant number of records without commissioning dates.</p> <p>Data for economic life mean and standard deviation has been obtained using a sample data set based on the retired and decommissioned assets where the decommissioned</p>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology		Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
					<p>date was in the last 5 years prior to the current RIN reporting year end. The sample is restricted to more recent years (e.g. past 5 years where applicable) as data accuracy is higher and also to ensure that the results reflect current asset management approaches. For a couple of categories there is insufficient data to generate a reliable output, so data from another asset category has been used if it is considered that it is representative (e.g. only differs by voltage level)</p>			
				Public lighting	<p>For age profile information or Luminaires, Lamps and Brackets categories, corresponding data for all commissioned lights (excluding Rate 3 lights which are privately owned and maintained) has been extracted from SAP PM. This has then been merged with data provided from GIS on major and minor roads to allow the provision of data in the 6 categories required.</p> <p>For age profile information on Poles, data has been extracted from SAP PM for all commissioned poles that are classified as being solely for public lighting purposes. Again this data has been merged with the data provided from GIS on major roads to allow the split between major and minor roads to been supplied.</p> <p>For the economic life information for the streetlight components (lamps, luminaires, brackets), data from the 2014 Reset RIN has been used, as it is not expected to have changed materially. This data is based on change records in the SAP PM system; however, as the data for the previous installed component is overwritten during the component replacement, database change records were required to be extracted to provide the necessary information. Change record extracts were obtained, for each of the component categories, for those lights identified as having the component changed within the current financial year. The assumptions within this data was that data was excluded if the new effective date was the same as the old effective date, and for lamps the data was excluded if the old effective date was prior to 1/7/2009 (as these are considered to be data anomalies as the effective date for lamps during replacement has only been updated after that date). Again this data has been merged with the data provided from GIS on major roads to allow the split between major and minor roads to been supplied.</p> <p>Data with 'Unknown' categories were proportioned between the two categories (Major &amp; Minor). There were about 7% of the street lighting pole data that has an unknown roads category.</p> <p>For economic life information for streetlight poles, data has been extracted from SAP BO for all poles that are classified as being solely for public lighting purposes that were retired in the current financial year. Again this data has been merged with the data provided from GIS on major roads to allow the split between major and minor roads to been supplied, and standard MS Excel calculations used to generate the required measures. Data with 'Unknown' categories were proportioned between the two categories (Major &amp; Minor).</p>			
				SCADA, network control and protection systems	<p><b>Field Devices</b></p> <p>Data was obtained from SAP PM using Business Objects for all Relay object types. An age profile was obtained by using all currently commissioned assets. Data with Unknown commissioning dates were proportioned into the final age profile.</p> <p>Economic life mean and standard deviation was obtained using the data of assets retired during the 5 years prior to the current reporting RIN year end.</p> <p><b>Local Network Wiring Assets</b></p> <p>This data was estimated with further explanation in the next section.</p> <p><b>Communications Network Assets</b></p> <p>This data was estimated with further explanation in the next section.</p> <p><b>Master Station Assets</b></p> <p>This data was estimated with further explanation in the next</p>			



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology		Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
					<p>section.</p> <p><b>Communications Site Infrastructure</b></p> <p>This data was estimated with further explanation in the next section.</p> <p><b>Communications Linear Assets</b></p> <p>The current total length of Optical fibre has been obtained from the PNI database. The profile used in the Reset RIN submission for optical fibre was retained for years up to the previous RIN, but with the total quantity differential being accounted for in the current RIN year. Life and standard deviations are estimated and averaged across a population of approximately 50% ADSS, 25% UGFO and 25% OPGW.</p> <p>This data is then combined with the copper pilots and communications cable data referred to in the 'estimates' section to produce an overall profile and economic life information. Due to the lack of source data and minimal changes for optical fibre component, the standard deviation and mean has been estimated to be similar to the previous financial data.</p> <p><b>AFLC</b></p> <p>Data was obtained from SAP PM using Business Objects for all MG_SET and SFU object types. An age profile was obtained by using all currently commissioned assets. Economic life mean and standard deviation was obtained using the data of assets retired during the current financial year.</p>			
				Other	<p><b>Distribution Substations and Zone &amp; Subtransmission Substations</b></p> <p>Data for age profiles of this category has been obtained through extracting all commissioned and decommissioned substations from SAP PM. Data for economic life mean and standard deviation utilised the data from SAP PM where a decommissioned or retired status had been set, a valid commissioned date and decommissioned date were available, and where the decommissioned date was 5 years prior to the current RIN reporting year end (e.g. 30/6/2017).</p> <p><b>Distribution Voltage Regulation</b></p> <p>Data for age profiles of this category has been obtained through extracting all commissioned and decommissioned voltage regulators (object type = TX_REGULTR) from SAP PM.</p> <p>Data for economic life mean and standard deviation utilised the data from SAP PM where the retired status had been set, and a valid commissioned data and decommissioned data were available.</p> <p><b>Towers</b></p> <p>Data for the age profile has been extracted from SAP PM via Business Object.</p> <p>Data for the economic life mean and standard deviation has been obtained from towers retired 5 years prior to the current RIN reporting year end via a Business Objects report from SAP PM.</p>			
5.3 MD Network Level 5.3.1 - RAW AND WEATHER CORRECTED COINCIDENT MD AT NETWORK LEVEL (Summed at transmission connection point) Raw network coincident MD[0].	Actual	<p>The Raw demand, date, time and season are actual values calculated from Ausgrid's spatial demand forecast system, which is derived from measurements collated from Ausgrid's SCADA or metering points.</p> <p><u>Sources of Information:</u></p> <p>All data is sourced from Ausgrid's SCADA or metering points.</p>	N/A	<p>Raw coincident network maximum demand MW and MVA is an aggregation of the coincident loads of all transmission connection points within the Ausgrid Network at the recorded date and time of system peak.</p> <p>For forecasting purposes, Ausgrid's winter season covers period 1 May - 31 August. Therefore data provided for 2018, for example, covers the calendar period 1 May 2017 - 30 April 2018.</p> <p>All load data is obtained from Ausgrid's SCADA system or metering points.</p>		N/A		N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																				
Date MD occurred[0], Half hour time period MD occurred[0], Winter/summer peaking[0],				Ausgrid interprets "transmission connection point" as any "subtransmission substation" and "High Voltage Customer" connected at 132kV within Ausgrid's network.  A 5 year historical system diversity factor is calculated for all locations based on the previous five seasons' diversity factors for each location.																							
5.4 MD Utilisation Spatial TABLE 5.4.1 NON-COINCIDENT & COINCIDENT MAXIMUM DEMAND  Substation Rating (MW)  Raw Adjusted MD (MW and MVA)  Date MD occurred  Half hour time period MD occurred  Winter/Summer Peaking	Actual	The substation rating, raw demand, date, time and season are actual values calculated from Ausgrid's spatial demand forecast system, which is derived from measurements collated from Ausgrid's SCADA or metering points.  <u>Sources of Information:</u>  All data is sourced from Ausgrid's SCADA or metering points.	N/A	Substation rating (MVA), Raw MW and Raw MVA taken from Ausgrid's spatial demand forecast for each respective historical year. The higher of the summer and winter Raw MW for each year determines the dominant season with the corresponding substation rating, date and time of peak being displayed for that year.  For forecasting purposes, Ausgrid's winter season covers period 1 May - 31 August. Therefore data provided for 2018, for example, covers the calendar period 1 May 2017 - 30 April 2018.  All load data is obtained from Ausgrid's SCADA system or metering points.  For any substation that is not commissioned in a particular year, the cell is left blank.  Any substation that does not have any historical demand values entered are new substations under construction, and the magnitude of future transfers have not yet been determined and no transfers works have been financially committed.	N/A		N/A																				
6.3 Sustained Interruptions TABLE 6.3.1 - SUSTAINED INTERRUPTIONS TO SUPPLY	Actual	Data used to populate table 6.3.1 has been taken from outage event records located in Ausgrid's Outage Management System (OMS) and its related reporting environment.  Final outage event records are manually entered into OMS after outage events. Fields within each record are entered both automatically and manually and are subject to quality assurance checks.  Information for interruptions affecting single premises is sourced from Ausgrid's Customer Aided Service System (CASS). For other network events, supply restoration and other information is recorded by System Operators in the Sydney control room on Interruption Report Forms (blue forms), or by System Operators in the Newcastle control room on Line Impedance Data (LID) system reports, and on switching sheets. This information is reconciled into OMS post event. Following an outage, an Ausgrid officer validates the existing OMS record against the blue form or LID system report and customer call data. If the existing outage event record can be made to accurately reflect interruption details it is completed. Otherwise, the event is recreated in OMS based on switching details such that the record accurately reflects the restoration switching.  OMS outage event records include the following fields: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Date of event</li></ul>	Some planned outages are restored at a time different to that originally expected. A laborious manual process is required to track and record these differences compared to the planned restoration time, therefore only the estimated restoration time is recorded in the system. Significant additional labour resources or IT system upgrades would be required to efficiently capture actual restoration times for planned events.  The planned interruption durations are based on the original estimated restoration time which is recorded in the OMS. This is the best available consolidated information on planned outage durations. It is a conservative estimate and is estimated to increase the reported planned duration SAIDI by 10-15%.	Key elements of the methodology:  The AER RIN 2017 - 18 Sustained Interruption to Supply V1.0 business objects reports are used to populate the cells of table 6.3.1.  The methodology comprises of the following steps:  1. Copy outage event attributes directly from AER RIN 2017 - 18 Sustained Interruption to Supply V1.0 into table 6.3.1 as per the table below: <table><tr><th>Outage event attribute</th><th>Table 6.3.1 Column</th></tr><tr><td>Reporting date</td><td>Date of event</td></tr><tr><td>Event begin time</td><td>Time of interruption</td></tr><tr><td>Feeder</td><td>Asset ID</td></tr><tr><td>Feeder category</td><td>Feeder classification</td></tr><tr><td>CI</td><td>Number of customers affected by interruption</td></tr><tr><td>Feeder Category SAIDI</td><td>Effect on unplanned SAIDI (by feeder classification)</td></tr><tr><td>Feeder Category SAIFI</td><td>Effect on unplanned SAIFI<sup>3</sup> (by feeder classification)</td></tr></table>  1. Determine the reason for interruption and the detailed reason for interruption by looking up the Event trigger from AER RIN 2017 - 18 Sustained Interruption to Supply V1.0 in the mapping table below:	Outage event attribute	Table 6.3.1 Column	Reporting date	Date of event	Event begin time	Time of interruption	Feeder	Asset ID	Feeder category	Feeder classification	CI	Number of customers affected by interruption	Feeder Category SAIDI	Effect on unplanned SAIDI (by feeder classification)	Feeder Category SAIFI	Effect on unplanned SAIFI <sup>3</sup> (by feeder classification)	Key assumptions used in methodology: 1. All outage event attributes are correctly entered in OMS 2. Feeder category reference tables are accurate. 3. The NMI connectivity details in GIS are correct at the time of outages, or that any errors are managed through manual processes to determine the actual customers affected by an event, or by holding out outage event records in the OUTAGES_NOT_IN_OMS table until GIS updates are received. 4. All unmetered customers are excluded from calculations. 5. All SAIDI and SAIFI calculations are performed using daily customer counts. Ausgrid has consistently adopted this approach for the calculation of all reliability metrics because average customer counts do not result in stable metrics suitable for trend analysis due to the constant adding, removing and reconfiguring of feeders. 6. All active customers are included in the calculation of reliability metrics. All inactive customers are excluded in the calculation of reliability metrics. The following assumptions regarding customer counting have been made: Active = Energised + De-energised Inactive = Extinct = Deactivated De-energised (AER) = Temporary disconnection (AUSGRID) Inactive (AER) = Permanent disconnection (AUSGRID) 7. All customers connected to a three phase low voltage supply are interrupted for the entire duration of an event. This approach is adopted because the accurate determination of customers connected to each phase of a low voltage supply is currently not possible.	Where possible, Ausgrid has provided information consistent with the requirements of the notice due to technical constraints. The table below summarises the requirements of the notice applicable to table 6.3.1 and demonstrates how the information provided is consistent with the requirements of the notice or where compliance with the requirements is not possible. <table><tr><th>Requirement</th><th>Comments</th></tr><tr><td>Schedule 1, 23.2</td><td>Ausgrid calculates reliability metrics differently from Appendix A of the STPIS. Reliability metrics are calculated as follows:  STPIS Appendix A, Note 1: All reliability metrics are calculated using daily customer counts. Ausgrid has consistently adopted this approach because average customer counts do not result in stable metrics suitable for trend analysis due to the constant adding, removing and reconfiguring of feeders. (Different)  STPIS Appendix A, Note 2: All unmetered</td></tr></table>	Requirement	Comments	Schedule 1, 23.2	Ausgrid calculates reliability metrics differently from Appendix A of the STPIS. Reliability metrics are calculated as follows:  STPIS Appendix A, Note 1: All reliability metrics are calculated using daily customer counts. Ausgrid has consistently adopted this approach because average customer counts do not result in stable metrics suitable for trend analysis due to the constant adding, removing and reconfiguring of feeders. (Different)  STPIS Appendix A, Note 2: All unmetered	N/A
Outage event attribute	Table 6.3.1 Column																										
Reporting date	Date of event																										
Event begin time	Time of interruption																										
Feeder	Asset ID																										
Feeder category	Feeder classification																										
CI	Number of customers affected by interruption																										
Feeder Category SAIDI	Effect on unplanned SAIDI (by feeder classification)																										
Feeder Category SAIFI	Effect on unplanned SAIFI <sup>3</sup> (by feeder classification)																										
Requirement	Comments																										
Schedule 1, 23.2	Ausgrid calculates reliability metrics differently from Appendix A of the STPIS. Reliability metrics are calculated as follows:  STPIS Appendix A, Note 1: All reliability metrics are calculated using daily customer counts. Ausgrid has consistently adopted this approach because average customer counts do not result in stable metrics suitable for trend analysis due to the constant adding, removing and reconfiguring of feeders. (Different)  STPIS Appendix A, Note 2: All unmetered																										

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology				Assumptions	Consistency Information		Additional Comments																																																																																												
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Time of interruption</li><li>Time of restoration[1]</li><li>Event trigger</li><li>Number of Customers Interrupted (CI)</li><li>Number of Customer Minutes Interrupted (CMI)</li><li>Feeder ID</li><li>Event Hierarchy</li><li>Exclusion Flag</li><li>Exclusion Reason</li></ul> <p>OMS automatically calculates CI and CMI by combining the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Electrical connectivity details from Ausgrid's Graphical Information System (GIS)</li><li>Interruption and restoration steps as recorded by System Operators</li><li>National Metering Identifier (NMI) information from SAP, Customer Care Solution (CCS) and Business to Business (B2B)</li></ul> <p>The automatic calculation of CI and CMI is based on NMIs and therefore excludes all unmetered supplies. CI and CMI calculations are automatic on the basis of manually entered interruption and switching steps. SAP, CCS and B2B are used to exclude inactive customers (permanently disconnected) from the calculation of CI and CMI.</p> <p>The reporting environment contains data extracted from OMS that has been cleansed to remove redundant data. Relevant calculations such as SAIDI and SAIFI are also added to records within the reporting environment. The reporting environment facilitates the extraction of information into to a range of Business Objects reports. The reporting environment also contains reference tables developed within the Tool for Oracle Application Developers (TOAD). One reference table contains feeder categorisation on an annual basis.</p> <p>A report (<i>AER RIN 2017 – 18 Sustained Interruption to Supply V1.0</i>) for the 2017/18 regulatory year is generated from the reporting environment on 31/8/2018. Each report contains a list of outage events with the following key attributes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Event ID</li><li>Reporting date</li><li>Feeder ID</li><li>Feeder Category</li><li>Event Trigger</li><li>Event Hierarchy</li><li>CI</li><li>CMI</li><li>Global SAIDI[2]</li><li>Global SAIFI2</li></ul>		<table><tr><th>Event trigger</th><th>Event Hierarchy</th><th>Reason for interruption</th><th>Detailed reason for interruption</th></tr><tr><td>Animal Bird</td><td></td><td>Animal</td><td>Animal impact  Animal nesting / burrowing, etc. and other</td></tr><tr><td>Animal Flying Fox</td><td></td><td>Animal</td><td>Animal impact  Animal nesting / burrowing, etc. and other</td></tr><tr><td>Animal Frog</td><td></td><td>Anima</td><td>Animal impact</td></tr><tr><td>Animal Goanna</td><td></td><td>Anima</td><td>Animal impact</td></tr><tr><td>Animal Insect</td><td></td><td>Animal</td><td>Animal impact</td></tr><tr><td>Animal Other</td><td></td><td>Animal</td><td>Animal impact</td></tr><tr><td>Animal Possum</td><td></td><td>Animal</td><td>Animal impact</td></tr><tr><td>Arcing</td><td></td><td>Other</td><td>Other - Arcing</td></tr><tr><td>Burnt Contacts</td><td></td><td>Asset failure</td><td>LV; Distribution substation; HV; Zone substation; Subtransmission</td></tr><tr><td>Customer Installation Fault</td><td></td><td>Third Party</td><td>Other</td></tr><tr><td>Equipment Failed in Service</td><td>LV, Single Customer</td><td>Asset failure</td><td>LV</td></tr><tr><td>Equipment Failed in Service</td><td>Single DC</td><td>Asset failure</td><td>Distribution substation</td></tr><tr><td>Equipment Failed in Service</td><td>HV</td><td>Asset failure</td><td>HV</td></tr><tr><td>Equipment Failed in Service</td><td>Zone Sub</td><td>Asset failure</td><td>Zone substation</td></tr><tr><td>Equipment Failed in Service</td><td>Subtransmission</td><td>Asset failure</td><td>Subtransmission</td></tr><tr><td>Excavation Ausgrid Contractor</td><td></td><td>Network business</td><td>Network error</td></tr><tr><td>Excavation 3rd Party</td><td></td><td>Third Party</td><td>Dig-in</td></tr></table>	Event trigger	Event Hierarchy	Reason for interruption	Detailed reason for interruption	Animal Bird		Animal	Animal impact  Animal nesting / burrowing, etc. and other	Animal Flying Fox		Animal	Animal impact  Animal nesting / burrowing, etc. and other	Animal Frog		Anima	Animal impact	Animal Goanna		Anima	Animal impact	Animal Insect		Animal	Animal impact	Animal Other		Animal	Animal impact	Animal Possum		Animal	Animal impact	Arcing		Other	Other - Arcing	Burnt Contacts		Asset failure	LV; Distribution substation; HV; Zone substation; Subtransmission	Customer Installation Fault		Third Party	Other	Equipment Failed in Service	LV, Single Customer	Asset failure	LV	Equipment Failed in Service	Single DC	Asset failure	Distribution substation	Equipment Failed in Service	HV	Asset failure	HV	Equipment Failed in Service	Zone Sub	Asset failure	Zone substation	Equipment Failed in Service	Subtransmission	Asset failure	Subtransmission	Excavation Ausgrid Contractor		Network business	Network error	Excavation 3rd Party		Third Party	Dig-in		<table><tr><td></td><td>supplies are excluded from the calculation of reliability metrics. (Compliant)</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>STPIS Appendix A, Note 3: All active customers are included in the calculation of reliability metrics. All inactive customers are excluded in the calculation of reliability metrics. The following assumptions regarding customer counting have been made:</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Active = Energised + De-energised</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Inactive = Extinct = Deactivated</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>De-energised</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>(AER) = Temporary disconnection (AUSGRID)</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Inactive (AER) = Permanent disconnection (AUSGRID)</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>(Compliant)</td></tr><tr><td>Appendix E, 22.1</td><td>Table 6.3.1 contains all unplanned sustained interruptions to supply and planned interruptions to supply.</td></tr><tr><td>Appendix E, 22.2</td><td>Table 6.3.1 contains information consistent with Appendix 22, 22.2.</td></tr><tr><td>Appendix E, 22.3</td><td>Table 6.3.1 contains information consistent with Appendix 22, 22.3.</td></tr><tr><td>Appendix E, 22.4</td><td>Table 6.3.1 contains information consistent with Appendix 22, 22.4. Interruptions that are excluded under Clause 3.3 (a) of the STPIS are indicated in the "Reason for interruption" column of table 6.3.1. The Major Event Day Thresholds</td></tr></table>		supplies are excluded from the calculation of reliability metrics. (Compliant)		STPIS Appendix A, Note 3: All active customers are included in the calculation of reliability metrics. All inactive customers are excluded in the calculation of reliability metrics. The following assumptions regarding customer counting have been made:		Active = Energised + De-energised		Inactive = Extinct = Deactivated		De-energised		(AER) = Temporary disconnection (AUSGRID)		Inactive (AER) = Permanent disconnection (AUSGRID)		(Compliant)	Appendix E, 22.1	Table 6.3.1 contains all unplanned sustained interruptions to supply and planned interruptions to supply.	Appendix E, 22.2	Table 6.3.1 contains information consistent with Appendix 22, 22.2.	Appendix E, 22.3	Table 6.3.1 contains information consistent with Appendix 22, 22.3.	Appendix E, 22.4	Table 6.3.1 contains information consistent with Appendix 22, 22.4. Interruptions that are excluded under Clause 3.3 (a) of the STPIS are indicated in the "Reason for interruption" column of table 6.3.1. The Major Event Day Thresholds	
Event trigger	Event Hierarchy	Reason for interruption	Detailed reason for interruption																																																																																																				
Animal Bird		Animal	Animal impact  Animal nesting / burrowing, etc. and other																																																																																																				
Animal Flying Fox		Animal	Animal impact  Animal nesting / burrowing, etc. and other																																																																																																				
Animal Frog		Anima	Animal impact																																																																																																				
Animal Goanna		Anima	Animal impact																																																																																																				
Animal Insect		Animal	Animal impact																																																																																																				
Animal Other		Animal	Animal impact																																																																																																				
Animal Possum		Animal	Animal impact																																																																																																				
Arcing		Other	Other - Arcing																																																																																																				
Burnt Contacts		Asset failure	LV; Distribution substation; HV; Zone substation; Subtransmission																																																																																																				
Customer Installation Fault		Third Party	Other																																																																																																				
Equipment Failed in Service	LV, Single Customer	Asset failure	LV																																																																																																				
Equipment Failed in Service	Single DC	Asset failure	Distribution substation																																																																																																				
Equipment Failed in Service	HV	Asset failure	HV																																																																																																				
Equipment Failed in Service	Zone Sub	Asset failure	Zone substation																																																																																																				
Equipment Failed in Service	Subtransmission	Asset failure	Subtransmission																																																																																																				
Excavation Ausgrid Contractor		Network business	Network error																																																																																																				
Excavation 3rd Party		Third Party	Dig-in																																																																																																				
	supplies are excluded from the calculation of reliability metrics. (Compliant)																																																																																																						
	STPIS Appendix A, Note 3: All active customers are included in the calculation of reliability metrics. All inactive customers are excluded in the calculation of reliability metrics. The following assumptions regarding customer counting have been made:																																																																																																						
	Active = Energised + De-energised																																																																																																						
	Inactive = Extinct = Deactivated																																																																																																						
	De-energised																																																																																																						
	(AER) = Temporary disconnection (AUSGRID)																																																																																																						
	Inactive (AER) = Permanent disconnection (AUSGRID)																																																																																																						
	(Compliant)																																																																																																						
Appendix E, 22.1	Table 6.3.1 contains all unplanned sustained interruptions to supply and planned interruptions to supply.																																																																																																						
Appendix E, 22.2	Table 6.3.1 contains information consistent with Appendix 22, 22.2.																																																																																																						
Appendix E, 22.3	Table 6.3.1 contains information consistent with Appendix 22, 22.3.																																																																																																						
Appendix E, 22.4	Table 6.3.1 contains information consistent with Appendix 22, 22.4. Interruptions that are excluded under Clause 3.3 (a) of the STPIS are indicated in the "Reason for interruption" column of table 6.3.1. The Major Event Day Thresholds																																																																																																						

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology				Assumptions	Consistency Information		Additional Comments																																																																													
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Feeder Category SAIDI2</li><li>Feeder Category SAIFI2</li></ul> <p>Separate entries appear in the list if a single event affected multiple feeders. The report contains separate sections for unplanned, planned and excluded outage events. The report does not contain momentary interruptions of duration one minute or less.</p> <p>The source data for planned interruptions is from two databases; LID for the Newcastle control room and Disconnect Reconnect Order System (DAROS) for the Sydney Control Room. For the 2017/18 regulatory year planned outages from both LID and DAROS were manually entered into OMS.</p> <p><a href="#">[1]</a> There may be multiple restoration times for customer groups within a single outage event due to staged restoration works.</p> <p><a href="#">[2]</a> Verified to be calculated in accordance with the assumptions below.</p>		<table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Excavation Ausgrid Staff</td><td></td><td>Network business</td><td>Network error</td></tr><tr><td>Fire (Non Ausgrid)</td><td></td><td>Third Party</td><td>Fire</td></tr><tr><td>Fire (non-electrical)</td><td></td><td>Third Party</td><td>Fire</td></tr><tr><td>Lightning Strike</td><td></td><td>Weather</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Load Shed - Ausgrid</td><td></td><td>Other</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>O/H Conductor Wind Related</td><td></td><td>Weather</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>OTHER - REFER COMMENTS</td><td></td><td>Other</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Overload</td><td></td><td>Overloads</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Overload LV Parallel</td><td></td><td>Network business</td><td>Network error</td></tr><tr><td>Overload Operational</td><td></td><td>Network business</td><td>Switching and protection error</td></tr><tr><td>Planned Outage</td><td></td><td>Planned</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Self Clear (No Cause Found)</td><td></td><td>Other</td><td>Other - Self Clearing Trigger</td></tr><tr><td>Staff Operation</td><td></td><td>Network business</td><td>Switching and protection error</td></tr><tr><td>Staff Other</td><td></td><td>Network business</td><td>Network error</td></tr><tr><td>Telco Fault</td><td></td><td>Third Party</td><td>Other</td></tr><tr><td>Vandalism</td><td></td><td>Third Party</td><td>Unauthorised access</td></tr><tr><td>Vegetation Blow/Fall in</td><td></td><td>Vegetation</td><td>Blow-in/Fall-in - NSP responsibility</td></tr><tr><td>Vegetation Cut Down 3rd Party</td><td></td><td>Third Party</td><td>Other</td></tr></table>					Excavation Ausgrid Staff		Network business	Network error	Fire (Non Ausgrid)		Third Party	Fire	Fire (non-electrical)		Third Party	Fire	Lightning Strike		Weather		Load Shed - Ausgrid		Other		O/H Conductor Wind Related		Weather		OTHER - REFER COMMENTS		Other		Overload		Overloads		Overload LV Parallel		Network business	Network error	Overload Operational		Network business	Switching and protection error	Planned Outage		Planned		Self Clear (No Cause Found)		Other	Other - Self Clearing Trigger	Staff Operation		Network business	Switching and protection error	Staff Other		Network business	Network error	Telco Fault		Third Party	Other	Vandalism		Third Party	Unauthorised access	Vegetation Blow/Fall in		Vegetation	Blow-in/Fall-in - NSP responsibility	Vegetation Cut Down 3rd Party		Third Party	Other			<table><tr><td></td><td>(T MED) are calculated in accordance with Appendix D of the STPIS for the 2016/17 regulatory year. Any interruption that occurs on a day where the total unplanned SAIDI (Excluding interruptions specified in Clause 3.3 (a) STPIS) exceeds the specific annual T MED, is marked with a "Y" in the MED column of table 6.3.1. All other interruptions are marked with an "N".</td></tr><tr><td>Appendix E, 22.5</td><td>Ausgrid has selected reasons from the "Detailed reason for interruption" from the outage event records. The cell where applicable is shaded black, consistent with the requirements of the notice for the detailed reason for interruption.</td></tr></table>		(T MED) are calculated in accordance with Appendix D of the STPIS for the 2016/17 regulatory year. Any interruption that occurs on a day where the total unplanned SAIDI (Excluding interruptions specified in Clause 3.3 (a) STPIS) exceeds the specific annual T MED, is marked with a "Y" in the MED column of table 6.3.1. All other interruptions are marked with an "N".	Appendix E, 22.5	Ausgrid has selected reasons from the "Detailed reason for interruption" from the outage event records. The cell where applicable is shaded black, consistent with the requirements of the notice for the detailed reason for interruption.	
Excavation Ausgrid Staff		Network business	Network error																																																																																					
Fire (Non Ausgrid)		Third Party	Fire																																																																																					
Fire (non-electrical)		Third Party	Fire																																																																																					
Lightning Strike		Weather																																																																																						
Load Shed - Ausgrid		Other																																																																																						
O/H Conductor Wind Related		Weather																																																																																						
OTHER - REFER COMMENTS		Other																																																																																						
Overload		Overloads																																																																																						
Overload LV Parallel		Network business	Network error																																																																																					
Overload Operational		Network business	Switching and protection error																																																																																					
Planned Outage		Planned																																																																																						
Self Clear (No Cause Found)		Other	Other - Self Clearing Trigger																																																																																					
Staff Operation		Network business	Switching and protection error																																																																																					
Staff Other		Network business	Network error																																																																																					
Telco Fault		Third Party	Other																																																																																					
Vandalism		Third Party	Unauthorised access																																																																																					
Vegetation Blow/Fall in		Vegetation	Blow-in/Fall-in - NSP responsibility																																																																																					
Vegetation Cut Down 3rd Party		Third Party	Other																																																																																					
	(T MED) are calculated in accordance with Appendix D of the STPIS for the 2016/17 regulatory year. Any interruption that occurs on a day where the total unplanned SAIDI (Excluding interruptions specified in Clause 3.3 (a) STPIS) exceeds the specific annual T MED, is marked with a "Y" in the MED column of table 6.3.1. All other interruptions are marked with an "N".																																																																																							
Appendix E, 22.5	Ausgrid has selected reasons from the "Detailed reason for interruption" from the outage event records. The cell where applicable is shaded black, consistent with the requirements of the notice for the detailed reason for interruption.																																																																																							

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																				
				<table><tr><td>Vegetation Cut Down Ausgrid/Contractor</td><td></td><td>Network business</td><td>Network error</td></tr><tr><td>Vegetation Grow in</td><td></td><td>Vegetation</td><td>Grow-in - NSP responsibility</td></tr><tr><td>Wind Blown Debris</td><td></td><td>Weather</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>3rd Party Action</td><td></td><td>Third Party</td><td>Other</td></tr><tr><td>3rd Party Vehicle</td><td></td><td>Third Party</td><td>Vehicle impact</td></tr></table> <p>1. Calculate the Average duration of sustained interruption by dividing CMI by CI for each line in <i>AER RIN 2017 - 18 Sustained Interruption to Supply V1.0</i>. Copy into table 6.3.1.</p> <p>2. Calculate the daily total SAIDI (excluding interruptions as per STPIS Clause 3.3 (a)) for the period spanning 1/7/2017 to 30/6/2018 by summing the Effect on unplanned SAIDI (global SAIDI) column in table 6.3.1.</p> <p>3. The 2018 Major Event Day Threshold (T</p> <p>MED) is calculated in accordance with STPIS Appendix D.</p> <p>4. For all entries where the "Date of event" in table 6.3.1 corresponds to a day where the daily SAIDI from Step 4 exceeds the T</p> <p>MED for the appropriate financial year calculated in Step 5; fill the MED column with Y. For all other entries fill the MED column with N.</p> <p>5. Complete the Reason for interruption column for excluded events separately by referring to the exclusion reason in the outage event record.</p> <p>Key assumptions used in methodology:</p> <p>1. All outage event attributes are correctly entered in OMS</p> <p>2. Feeder category reference tables are accurate.</p> <p>3. The NMI connectivity details in GIS are correct at the time of outages, or that any errors are managed through manual processes to determine the actual customers affected by an event, or by holding out outage event records in the OUTAGES_NOT_IN_OMS table until GIS updates are received.</p> <p>4. All unmetered customers are excluded from calculations.</p> <p>5. All SAIDI and SAIFI calculations are performed using daily customer counts. Ausgrid has consistently adopted this approach for the calculation of all reliability metrics because average customer counts do not result in stable metrics suitable for trend analysis due to the constant adding, removing and reconfiguring of feeders.</p> <p>6. All active customers are included in the calculation of reliability metrics. All inactive customers are excluded in the calculation of reliability metrics. The following assumptions regarding customer counting have been made:</p> <p>Active = Energised + De-energised</p> <p>Inactive = Extinct = Deactivated</p> <p>De-energised (AER) = Temporary disconnection (AUSGRID)</p>	Vegetation Cut Down Ausgrid/Contractor		Network business	Network error	Vegetation Grow in		Vegetation	Grow-in - NSP responsibility	Wind Blown Debris		Weather		3rd Party Action		Third Party	Other	3rd Party Vehicle		Third Party	Vehicle impact			
Vegetation Cut Down Ausgrid/Contractor		Network business	Network error																								
Vegetation Grow in		Vegetation	Grow-in - NSP responsibility																								
Wind Blown Debris		Weather																									
3rd Party Action		Third Party	Other																								
3rd Party Vehicle		Third Party	Vehicle impact																								



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>Inactive (AER) = Permanent disconnection (AUSGRID)</p> <p>1. All customers connected to a three phase low voltage supply are interrupted for the entire duration of an event. This approach is adopted because the accurate determination of customers connected to each phase of a low voltage supply is currently not possible.</p>			
4.4 Quoted Services TABLE 4.4.1 - COST METRICS FOR QUOTED SERVICES	Actual	<p>The information provided was sourced from Ausgrid's SAP system.</p> <p>Ausgrid records both expenditure and revenue associated with each service using dedicated internal orders and activity numbers in its SAP financial system. Volumes of services provided were determined by interrogating revenue billing data. The exception to this was the volume of connection offers which was sourced from SAP Connection Application records.</p>	No estimated data was used for quoted services	<p>The methodology used to populate this RIN table utilised a centrally coordinated approach. Inputs were supplied via management and various subject matter experts (SME) to the central point. A checking and feedback loop involving a financial review was also incorporated to ensure the Branch Manager could verify supplied information and processes aligned to RIN requirements.</p> <p>In preparing the RIN response for 4.4 Ancillary Services - quoted services, reference was made to various reports obtained from Ausgrid's SAP system.</p> <p>Expenditure - The Ancillary Network Services report provides expenditure recorded on each of the dedicated internal order and activity numbers associated with each service fee. For Rectification Works - fitting tiger tails, in addition to the dedicated orders, service orders were also used to capture the cost. Indirect costs - Corporate allocations have been included as a separate listed item where applicable.</p> <p>Volumes - The volumes of services provided were determined using one of two methods:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Invoicing and revenue data obtained from the Ancillary Services Revenue report is used identify the volume of service fees billed.</li> <li>For connection offer volumes only, the volume of connection offers provided was sourced from SAP connection application records using the SAP IW67 report (interrogating task code and task start date).</li> </ul>	Nil	<p>The information provided on table 4.4.1 is consistent with the requirements in the RIN. The information is consistent with the definition of Alternative Control Quoted Services provided in Appendix F of the RIN.</p> <p>The information has been prepared to align with the requirements of Sections 12, 13 and 14 of Schedule 1 of the RIN. It also aligned with the principles and requirements outlined in Section 19 of Appendix E - Principles and Requirements.</p> <p>The fees listed in table 4.4.1 are a reflection of the fees listed in Ausgrid's annual tariff proposal. Expenditures reported have not been distinguished as standard or alternative control nor have they been distinguished as Capex or Opex.</p> <p>This response is based on the same preparation of worksheets and supporting documentation used in the Reset RIN. The information primarily comes from SAP. Where practical, information is provided at sufficiently low level to encapsulate each proposed service. See Ausgrid's Substantive Proposal, our supplementary information 8.22_Ancillary network services proposal_140529.pdf and Attachment 8.24_ID00219_Connection related ANS models_140515.zip for further details from each service model.</p>	Nil
2.2 Repex TABLE 2.2.1 – Asset Failures	Estimated	<p>The source for the majority of failure data for this section has been SAP PM (Plant Maintenance). This includes data in categories poles, transformers, switchgear, SCADA etc.</p> <p>Data for overhead conductors, underground cables and service lines has been sourced from Ausgrid's Geographical Information System (GIS).</p> <p>The information supplied within this RIN is from specifically written SAP Business Objects</p>	The estimates provided are the best estimates as they are deemed to be the most logical approach based on the judgement of the subject matter expert.	<p>Asset failure data is extracted from SAP with the breakdown flag and then mapped to a RIN asset category using the asset population data. To align the asset failures categories for Service Lines to the asset population, Simple type has been assigned to Overhead service connections and Complex type assigned to Underground service connections to align to 5.2 Age Profile.</p> <p>Where there are no available information of a failed part or description that correlates to a RIN asset category, a manual allocation is necessary to map the failed part to a RIN Category using other available information such as the asset category type, failed asset part or by its functional location.</p>	N/A	The information in this section is compliant in that actual values are used where possible, and best estimates are provided where actual data is not available.	N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
		reports.					
4.1 Public Lighting	Actual	Light Installation, Light Replacement, Light Maintenance and Quality of Supply volume data is sourced from SAP Plant Maintenance database. Financial data is sourced from SAP and tm1prod:Line of Business - iAMS.	N/A	<p><b><u>Template 4.1 - Public lighting</u></b></p> <p>The information provided in template 4.1 has been completed in accordance with the AER RIN requirements and instructions applying to template 4.1 including Appendix E and F, and the requirements in the worksheet.</p> <p><b><u>Table 4.1.1 - Descriptor Metrics over Current Year</u></b></p> <p><u>Demonstrate how the information provided is consistent with the requirements of the Notice</u></p> <p>Report provides a listing of current active streetlights based on Luminaire type (as at July 2018).</p> <p>Responses provided in table 4.1.1 for Public Lighting have been complete in accordance with the requirements of</p> <p>the Notice. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Schedule 1: 15 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services</li> <li>● Appendix E: 21 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services</li> <li>● Appendix E: 1 - General principles and requirements</li> </ul> <p><b>Explain the source from which Ausgrid obtained the information provided.</b></p> <p>This data is taken from the SAP PM Street Lighting Inventory Reports (transaction ZSD0014)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Includes all commissioned lights installed on Ausgrid's network.</li> <li>● The Streetlight rate is either 01 (Ausgrid owned and maintained), 02 (Ausgrid maintained), 04 (Ausgrid part owned and maintained), 05 (Ausgrid part owned and maintained) - rate 03 (Private) is excluded.</li> </ul> <p><b>Explain the methodology Ausgrid used to provide the required information, including any assumptions</b></p> <p>Assumed that all maintained public lighting is to be included - rate 1 (Ausgrid owned and maintained), rate 2 (customer funded, Ausgrid maintained), rate 4 (Ausgrid part owned and maintained), rate 5 (Ausgrid part owned and maintained). Data provided as at July 2018.</p> <p><b>Explain circumstances where Ausgrid cannot provide input for a variable using actual information, and therefore must provide estimated information:</b></p> <p><b>(i) why an estimate was required, including why it was not possible for Ausgrid to provide actual information;</b>Not applicable</p> <p><b>(ii) The basis for the estimate, including the approach used, assumptions made and reasons why the estimate is Ausgrid's best</b></p>	All assumptions are listed in the methodology section.	The information provided in template 4.1 has been completed in accordance with the AER RIN requirements and instructions applying to template 4.1 including Appendix E and F, and the requirements in the worksheet.	N/A

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>estimate, given the information sought in the Notice:Not applicable</p> <p><b>Table 4.1.2 - Descriptor Metrics Annually</b></p> <p><u>Demonstrate how the information provided is consistent with the requirements of the Notice</u></p> <p>Responses provided in table 4.1.2 for Public Lighting have been compliant with the requirements of the Notice.</p> <p>This include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Schedule 1: 15 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services</li> <li>Appendix E: 21 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services</li> <li>Appendix E: 1 - General principles and requirements</li> </ul> <p><u>Number of Lights Installed - volume of works and expenditure</u></p> <p>This is the total volume of new lights or dedicated street lighting pole installations where no pole or light existed before. Rate 1, Rate 2, Rate 4 and Rate 5 luminaires and poles are included.</p> <p><u>Light replacement - volume of works and expenditure</u></p> <p>This is the total volume of street light luminaire replacements and dedicated pole replacements excluding new installations covered in 'Light Installation'.</p> <p><u>Light maintenance - volume of works and expenditure</u></p> <p>This includes the total volume of lights serviced (but not replaced) as part of planned (bulk lamp replacement) and unplanned (spot replacements). Bulk lamp replacement work is completed on periodic basis. The bulk lamp replacement work is held in the SAP asset base as M1 notifications.</p> <p>Spot replacement is done on an ad hoc basis and is recorded in the SAP asset base as M2, M3, M4 or ML notifications.</p> <p><u>Mean days to rectify/replace public lighting assets (days)</u></p> <p>This figure is the average number of days taken for Ausgrid to repair customer reported overhead street light outages.</p> <p><u>Volume of GSL breaches (0s)</u></p> <p>This figure is the number of times Ausgrid were in breach of the NSW Public Lighting Code for time taken to repair streetlights for both customer and local council reported outages.</p> <p><u>GSL payments (\$000s)</u></p> <p>Payments made for GSL breaches \$15 each.</p> <p><u>Volume of customer complaints (0s)</u></p> <p>Data held in Ausgrid's SAP asset base which records details of customer reported street light issues. Notifications can be flagged as a complaint by a customer when they complete the web based 'Report a streetlight fault' form or verbally to call centre staff when reporting a</p>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>street light issue over the phone.</p> <p><b>Explain the source from which Ausgrid obtained the information provided.</b></p> <p>Light Installation, Light Replacement, Light Maintenance and Quality of Supply volume data is sourced from SAP Plant Maintenance database. Financial data is sourced from SAP and tm1prod:Line of Business - iAMS.</p> <p><b>Explain the methodology Ausgrid used to provide the required information, including any assumptions Ausgrid made</b></p> <p><u>Lights Installation - volume of works and expenditure</u></p> <p>Light installation volume is calculated by reporting on all luminaires with 'effective dates' and 'start-up' dates in FY18. Luminaires are divided into major and minor road categories by lights that are typically used on residential roads (category P) and lights that are typically used on arterial roads (category V). This has typically been delineated by wattage; less than 100W are considered minor and 100W and over is considered major.</p> <p><u>Number of poles installed</u></p> <p>This is the total number of new dedicated pole installations, rate 1, rate 2, rate 4 and rate 5. This data is sourced from SAP PM database and is calculated by supports that have both an "effective date" and "start-up date" in FY18.</p> <p><u>Total Costs (\$'s)</u></p> <p>The total cost including materials, indirect and direct labour costs associated with Light Installation - volume of works and expenditure, is the total public lighting capex for the program titled 'New Public Lighting' SY.11.02.05.</p> <p><u>Light replacement - volume of works and expenditure</u></p> <p>Replacement of Ausgrid street lights (luminaires), excluding new installations. Data held in Ausgrid's asset base</p> <p>(SAP-PM) includes notifications generated each time a street light luminaire is replaced. As well as a notification being generated the characteristics of the luminaire type change to reflect the new type of light. The number of light replacements is therefore where a completed FIX notification aligns with a characteristic change of the luminaire. The notification type could be M2, M3, M7 or ML. Major and Minor lights are separated as discussed above.</p> <p><u>Number of Poles replaced.</u></p> <p>Includes all poles physically replaced. Data extracted from SAP asset system for new poles stood that have a related pole replace notification (i.e. excludes brand new poles listed in Light Installation). This data is then filtered to only include poles that have a street light attached.</p> <p><u>Total Costs (\$'s)</u></p> <p>The total cost associated with Light replacement - volume of works and expenditure, is the public lighting capex less any new installation capex.</p> <p><u>Light maintenance - volume of works and expenditure</u></p> <p>Includes all maintenance work performed on street lights during the</p>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>period. Data held in Ausgrid's asset base (SAP-PM) includes notifications generated each time a street light luminaire is replaced or maintained. Instances where lights are repaired will generate a notification but will not have any change to the luminaire type. The total number of light maintenance tasks is where a completed notification has been flagged as FIX and there is not a corresponding change to the luminaire type. The notification type could be M2, M3, M7 or ML. Major and Minor lights are separated as discussed above.</p> <p><u>Number of poles installed (number of lights maintained)</u></p> <p>This is the total number of poles that have a street light installed on Ausgrid's network</p> <p><u>Total Costs (\$'s)</u></p> <p>The total cost associated with Light maintenance - volume of works and expenditure, is the total public lighting opex. This includes, materials, indirect and direct labour and contract services.</p> <p><u>Mean days to rectify/replace public lighting assets (days)</u></p> <p>Data held in Ausgrid's SAP asset system which records details of customer reported street light issues. Figures based on the average number of days required to complete an overhead street lighting fault from the day it was reported to the day it was repaired.</p> <p><u>Volume of GSL breaches (0s)</u></p> <p>Data extracted from SAP asset system based on ML (customer generated) notifications where the light reported is outside the customers property, the notification is 'not held' (i.e. not subject to issues like traffic control or UG repairs) and the time to repair the street light is greater than the 12 days allowed in the Public Lighting Code.</p> <p><u>GSL payments (\$000s)</u></p> <p>Based on the Volume of GSL beaches multiplied by \$15.</p> <p><u>Volume of customer complaints (0s)</u></p> <p>Data extracted from SAP asset system based on ML notifications that have been recorded as a complaint by the customer. Notifications can be flagged as a complaint by a customer when they complete the web based 'Report a streetlight fault' form or verbally to call centre staff when reporting a street light issue over the phone.</p> <p></p> <p><b>Explain circumstances where Ausgrid cannot provide input for a variable using actual information, and therefore must provide estimated information:</b></p> <p><b>(i) why an estimate was required, including why it was not possible for Ausgrid to provide actual information;</b></p> <p><b>(ii) The basis for the estimate, including the approach used, assumptions made and reasons why the estimate is Ausgrid's best estimate, given the information sought in the Notice.</b></p> <p>Not applicable</p> <p><b>Table 4.1.3 - Cost Metrics</b></p> <p><b>Demonstrate how the information provided is consistent with the</b></p>			



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p><b>requirements of the Notice</b></p> <p>Responses provided in Table 4.1.2 for Public Lighting have been compliant with the requirements of the Notice.</p> <p>This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Schedule 1: 15 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Appendix E: 21 - Public Lighting Alternative Control Services</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Appendix E: 1 - General principles and requirements</li> </ul> <p><b>Explain the source from which Ausgrid obtained the information provided.</b></p> <p>All costs have been sourced from Ausgrid's Public Lighting regulatory pricing models. Light Installation and Light Replacement costs are sourced from Ausgrid's Post 2009 annuity model, Light Maintenance costs are sourced from Ausgrid's Maintenance charge model.</p> <p><b>Explain the methodology Ausgrid used to provide the required information, including any assumptions Ausgrid made</b></p> <p><u>Light Installation</u></p> <p>Costs listed are the modelled costs to install each of the luminaire types. Cost includes materials, labour, and overheads. All assumptions are listed in the model.</p> <p><u>Light Replacement</u></p> <p>There is no difference in cost for Light Installation and Light Replacement. These figures are duplicated from Light Installation.</p> <p><u>Lighting Maintenance</u></p> <p>These costs are the output of Ausgrid's operational expenditure pricing model. These costs take into consideration all scheduled and unscheduled maintenance associated with each asset and pricing of all associated materials required for the maintenance of these assets. This model forms part of Ausgrid's public lighting substantive proposal. All underlying assumptions for these calculations can be found in this model.</p> <p><b>Explain circumstances where Ausgrid cannot provide input for a variable using actual information, and therefore must provide estimated information:</b></p> <p><b>(i) why an estimate was required, including why it was not possible for Ausgrid to provide actual information;</b></p> <p><b>(ii) The basis for the estimate, including the approach used, assumptions made and reasons why the estimate is Ausgrid's best estimate, given the information sought in the Notice.</b></p> <p>Not applicable</p>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
2.6 Non Network 2.6.3 - ANNUAL DESCRIPTOR METRICS - MOTOR VEHICLES MOTOR VEHICLES[0], LIGHT COMMERCIAL VEHICLE[0], ELEVATED WORK PLATFORM (LCV)[0], ELEVATED WORK PLATFORM (HCV)[0], HEAVY COMMERCIAL VEHICLE[0],	Estimated	Non-financial information has been sourced from SAP being Ausgrid's fleet management system after moving away FigFleet.	Ausgrid has used data extracted from SAP system to align with the information requirements.  We have recently moved to the new system and as a result, we are only able to extract the first six months of data.	In order to provide a full year of data, we extrapolated the six months of data at a flat rate over the next six months across all categories of vehicles.	Calculation based on a flat rate derived from the average of the six months.	Ausgrid has used data extracted from SAP to align with the information requirements.  We have recently moved to the new system and as a result, we are only able to extract the first six months of data.  In order to provide a full year of data, we extrapolated the six months of data which is the reason for providing an estimate only.	N/A
2.3 Augex TABLE 2.3.1 - AUGEX ASSET DATA - SUBTRANSMISSI ON SUBSTATIONS, SWITCHING STATIONS AND ZONE SUBSTATIONS D_2_3_1_1[0], D_2_3_1_1[1], D_2_3_1_1[2], D_2_3_1_1[3], D_2_3_1_1[4], D_2_3_1_1[5], D_2_3_1_1[6], D_2_3_1_1[7], D_2_3_1_1[8], D_2_3_1_1[9], D_2_3_1_1[12], D_2_3_1_1[14], D_2_3_1_1[16], D_2_3_1_1[17], D_2_3_1_1[19], D_2_3_1_1[20], D_2_3_1_1[21], D_2_3_1_1[22], D_2_3_1_1[23], D_2_3_1_2[0], D_2_3_1_2[1], D_2_3_1_2[2], D_2_3_1_2[3], D_2_3_1_2[4], D_2_3_1_2[5], D_2_3_1_2[6], D_2_3_1_2[7], D_2_3_1_2[8], D_2_3_1_2[9], D_2_3_1_2[12], D_2_3_1_2[14], D_2_3_1_2[16], D_2_3_1_2[17], D_2_3_1_2[19], D_2_3_1_2[20], D_2_3_1_2[21], D_2_3_1_2[22],	Estimated	Sub-transmission projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>CIS Business Intelligence (BI) reports from the transaction systems as the primary source of historical costs for materials, contract services, other costs, labour and associated man hours (updated for the full 2017/18 actual expenditure data);</li><li>CIS BI reports from the forecasting system as the primary source of forecast costs, asset quantum and allocations requirements when historical information isn't readily available;</li><li>A combination of CIS, GIS, RIC and System Diagrams are used for actual asset quantum and certain technical data.</li></ul>	<u>Sub-transmission projects</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The process to filter out the applicable projects above (Step 1) is by nature a theoretical estimation of the associated augmentation component. Naturally, it is not considered an estimate if the project is deemed to be 100% augmentation.</li><li>As a result of how the augmentation component as required by the National Electricity Rules template is setup, there is no mean to provide expenditure for each project without with other drivers for expenditure, e.g. replacement) rather than its resorting to a theoretical fraction.</li><li>Any expected forecast expenditure is by nature an estimate.</li><li>The conversion from actual dollars (nominal) to real dollars (\$2012-13) is by nature an estimate.</li></ul> <p>There are no other estimates outside of what has already been stated for table 2.3.1 above.</p>	<p>The method and assumptions are as follows:</p> <p><i>Step 0. RIN information has been provided in prior years and is deemed to be complaint by the AER. Thus, it is not unreasonable to assume that the 2017/18 RIN should only be an update using the full 2016/17 actual expenditure data unless more accurate data is available that supersede any previous data provided (i.e. this provides a mechanism to improve on data quality).</i></p> <p><b>Step 1.</b> For network projects with expenditure within 2017/18, isolated the associated substation projects with an augmentation component greater than or equal to \$5 million over the life of the project (note: Ausgrid uses an incremental capacity methodology to determine its augmentation component as required by the National Electricity Rules (NER)). However, once the applicable projects are determined, the full expenditure for each project is presented (including costs associated with other drivers for expenditure, e.g. replacement) rather than its theoretical fraction.</p> <p><b>Step 2.</b> For projects with both substation and sub-transmission lines components, the project is further interrogated into its work breakdown structure (WBS). For projects of this nature, only the expenditure of the substation component will be included. However, for a project with no sub-transmission line components, any associated distribution work to enable the commissioning of the substation will be included (i.e. 11kV connection expenditures).</p> <p><b>Step 3.</b> Provide the actual and expected years where expenditures have and will be incurred.</p> <p><b>Step 4.</b> For projects with actual incurred expenditure, information is provided in the following order:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Transformers expenditure (exclude distribution, auxiliary and earthing transformers);</li><li>Switchgear expenditure (include primary switchgears on both the high and low side of the substation);</li><li>Capacitors expenditure (for capacitors within the substations that offer capacitive and voltage support);</li><li>Other plant item expenditure (based on the total 'Material' booked to the project minus item 1, 2 &amp; 3 above);</li><li>Installation labour expenditure (uses the 'Labour-Direct' cost element of the project);</li><li>Installation labour volume (uses associated labour component in project system and payroll);</li><li>Easements expenditure (usually booked against the project</li></ul>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
D_2_3_1_2[23], D_2_3_1_3[0], D_2_3_1_3[1], D_2_3_1_3[2], D_2_3_1_3[3], D_2_3_1_3[4], D_2_3_1_3[5], D_2_3_1_3[6], D_2_3_1_3[7], D_2_3_1_3[8], D_2_3_1_3[9], D_2_3_1_3[12], D_2_3_1_3[14], D_2_3_1_3[16], D_2_3_1_3[17], D_2_3_1_3[19], D_2_3_1_3[20], D_2_3_1_3[21], D_2_3_1_3[22], D_2_3_1_3[23], D_2_3_1_4[0], D_2_3_1_4[1], D_2_3_1_4[2], D_2_3_1_4[3], D_2_3_1_4[4], D_2_3_1_4[5], D_2_3_1_4[6], D_2_3_1_4[7], D_2_3_1_4[8], D_2_3_1_4[9], D_2_3_1_4[12], D_2_3_1_4[14], D_2_3_1_4[16], D_2_3_1_4[17], D_2_3_1_4[19], D_2_3_1_4[20], D_2_3_1_4[21], D_2_3_1_4[22], D_2_3_1_4[23], D_2_3_1_5[0], D_2_3_1_5[1], D_2_3_1_5[2], D_2_3_1_5[3], D_2_3_1_5[4], D_2_3_1_5[5], D_2_3_1_5[6], D_2_3_1_5[7], D_2_3_1_5[8], D_2_3_1_5[9], D_2_3_1_5[12], D_2_3_1_5[14], D_2_3_1_5[16], D_2_3_1_5[17], D_2_3_1_5[19], D_2_3_1_5[20], D_2_3_1_5[21], D_2_3_1_5[22], D_2_3_1_5[23], D_2_3_1_6[0], D_2_3_1_6[1], D_2_3_1_6[2], D_2_3_1_6[3], D_2_3_1_6[4], D_2_3_1_6[5], D_2_3_1_6[6], D_2_3_1_6[7], D_2_3_1_6[8],				<p>itself);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Civil works expenditure (based on the total 'Contract Services' booked to the project minus item 7 above);</li> <li>Other direct expenditure (uses the 'Other-Direct' cost element of the project);</li> <li>Land Purchase expenditure (from a separate report as land is booked separately from the project).</li> </ul> <p>Note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Item 1, 2 &amp; 3 above are based on either separate reports that itemises the materials booked to the project more accurately or financial asset class breakdown in CIS BI.</li> <li>All monetary figures provided in Step 4 are as incurred (i.e. Nominal \$).</li> <li>The monetary figures represent the full cost for the project irrespective of the proportion of augmentation components (see note in Step 1 above).</li> </ul> <p><b>Step 5.</b> For projects with expected forecast expenditure, information is provided in the following order;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For projects already midway through its investment cycle, it is reasonable to assumed that all major equipment is already procured and that the expected forecast expenditure for 'material' is part of 'Other Plant Item' only;</li> <li>For projects not yet authorised, the expected 'material' expenditure at the asset category level is used;</li> <li>Installation Labour expenditure is determined by peeling out the direct costs component of the expected expenditure using historical cost allocation;</li> <li>Installation Labour volume is determined using the result of item 3 above and dividing it by the average unit rate of direct labour (\$/man hour);</li> <li>Civil Works expenditure (based on 'Contract Services' cost element);</li> <li>Other Direct expenditure (assumed to be included as part of item 5 above).</li> <li>Any cancelled projects with expenditure in 2017/18 are not included (i.e. total project will be less than \$5m)</li> </ul> <p>Note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The monetary figures used in Step 5 are in nominal \$.</li> <li>The monetary figures represent the full cost for the project irrespective of the proportion of augmentation components (see note in Step 1 above).</li> </ul> <p><b>Step 6.</b> Ausgrid has no 'Related Party Margins' and/or 'Non-Related Party Contracts'.</p> <p><b>Step 7.</b> Provide associated technical information for each project;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transformers units added (based on material booked to the project and checked against various corporate systems mentioned above);</li> <li>Transformers MVA added (based on information from various corporate systems mentioned above);</li> <li>Switchgear units added (based on material booked to the project and checked against various corporate systems mentioned above);</li> <li>Capacitors MVAR added (based on information from various</li> </ul>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
D_2_3_1_6[9], D_2_3_1_6[12], D_2_3_1_6[14], D_2_3_1_6[16], D_2_3_1_6[17], D_2_3_1_6[19], D_2_3_1_6[20], D_2_3_1_6[21], D_2_3_1_6[22], D_2_3_1_6[23], D_2_3_1_7[0], D_2_3_1_7[1], D_2_3_1_7[2], D_2_3_1_7[3], D_2_3_1_7[4], D_2_3_1_7[5], D_2_3_1_7[6], D_2_3_1_7[7], D_2_3_1_7[8], D_2_3_1_7[9], D_2_3_1_7[12], D_2_3_1_7[14], D_2_3_1_7[16], D_2_3_1_7[17], D_2_3_1_7[19], D_2_3_1_7[20], D_2_3_1_7[21], D_2_3_1_7[22], D_2_3_1_7[23], D_2_3_1_8[0], D_2_3_1_8[1], D_2_3_1_8[2], D_2_3_1_8[3], D_2_3_1_8[4], D_2_3_1_8[5], D_2_3_1_8[6], D_2_3_1_8[7], D_2_3_1_8[8], D_2_3_1_8[9], D_2_3_1_8[12], D_2_3_1_8[14], D_2_3_1_8[16], D_2_3_1_8[17], D_2_3_1_8[19], D_2_3_1_8[20], D_2_3_1_8[21], D_2_3_1_8[22], D_2_3_1_8[23], D_2_3_1_9[0], D_2_3_1_9[1], D_2_3_1_9[2], D_2_3_1_9[3], D_2_3_1_9[4], D_2_3_1_9[5], D_2_3_1_9[6], D_2_3_1_9[7], D_2_3_1_9[8], D_2_3_1_9[9], D_2_3_1_9[12], D_2_3_1_9[14], D_2_3_1_9[16], D_2_3_1_9[17], D_2_3_1_9[19], D_2_3_1_9[20], D_2_3_1_9[21], D_2_3_1_9[22], D_2_3_1_9[23],				<div>corporate systems mentioned above);</div> <div><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Substation ratings (pre and post), voltages, types and triggers are determined by subject matter experts with reference to project briefs, engineering systems (e.g. Ratings and Impedance Calculator (RIC)).</li></ul></div> <div>Ratings used are 'Normal Cyclic' Substation ratings. This is the throughput rating as defined in the notes for RIN Section 2.4. 'Normal condition' for the purposes of the Augex model is defined the planned network configuration, with no assets unavailable due to planned or unplanned outages.</div> <div>For Project Type, 'New substation establishment' includes projects where a substation is established on a new site, even if it is in part driven by the replacement of an older substation.). Where an upgrade (including changes to primary voltage) occurs on the same location, 'Substation upgrade – capacity' is used.</div>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
D_2_3_1_10[0], D_2_3_1_10[1], D_2_3_1_10[2], D_2_3_1_10[3], D_2_3_1_10[4], D_2_3_1_10[5], D_2_3_1_10[6], D_2_3_1_10[7], D_2_3_1_10[8], D_2_3_1_10[9], D_2_3_1_10[12], D_2_3_1_10[14], D_2_3_1_10[16], D_2_3_1_10[17], D_2_3_1_10[19], D_2_3_1_10[20], D_2_3_1_10[21], D_2_3_1_10[22], D_2_3_1_10[23], D_2_3_1_11[0], D_2_3_1_11[1], D_2_3_1_11[2], D_2_3_1_11[3], D_2_3_1_11[4], D_2_3_1_11[5], D_2_3_1_11[6], D_2_3_1_11[7], D_2_3_1_11[8], D_2_3_1_11[9], D_2_3_1_11[12], D_2_3_1_11[14], D_2_3_1_11[16], D_2_3_1_11[17], D_2_3_1_11[19], D_2_3_1_11[20], D_2_3_1_11[21], D_2_3_1_11[22], D_2_3_1_11[23], D_2_3_1_12[0], D_2_3_1_12[1], D_2_3_1_12[2], D_2_3_1_12[3], D_2_3_1_12[4], D_2_3_1_12[5], D_2_3_1_12[6], D_2_3_1_12[7], D_2_3_1_12[8], D_2_3_1_12[9], D_2_3_1_12[12], D_2_3_1_12[14], D_2_3_1_12[16], D_2_3_1_12[17], D_2_3_1_12[19], D_2_3_1_12[20], D_2_3_1_12[21], D_2_3_1_12[22], D_2_3_1_12[23],							
2.7 Vegetation Management TABLE 2.7.1 - DESCRIPTOR METRICS BY ZONE ZONE 1 - Route line length within zone (KM) - Rural[0], ZONE 1 -	Estimated	<u>Route length within zone and Number of maintenance spans</u>  Route line length and number of spans was calculated using Ausgrid's Geographical Information System (GIS) data. Ausgrid's GIS data is not represented as spans or singular	The data is estimated and the explanation is within the Methodology	<u>Treatment of 'route length'</u>  The route line length and number of spans is calculated using Ausgrid's Geographical Information System (GIS) data. Ausgrid's GIS data is not represented as spans or singular routes, but represents the network as individual circuits. Consequently, modelling and estimation of the necessary RIN data is required.	<u>Transmission Feeders (Voltage &gt;22kV)</u>  Ausgrid does not give Transmission feeders a feeder classification of CBD, Urban nor Rural. A transmission feeder typically supplies multiple HV feeder classifications. If a span only consists of transmission, it receives a classification of Transmission however, if there is a feeder of lesser voltage on the span, the transmission voltage is ignored and the classification of	In reference to Worksheet 2.7 Vegetation Management (Rural Zones) , the information has been provided in line with the requirements provided by the AER in the RIN.	<u>Rural Maintenance Spans</u>  For the FY17-18 period there was a reduction in the number of Rural maintenance spans (2016-17 243103, 2017-18 222048) due to less feeders being



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
Number of maintenance spans (0's) - Rural[0], ZONE 1 - Total length of maintenance spans (KM) - Rural[0], ZONE 1 - Length of vegetation corridors (KM) - Rural[0], ZONE 1 - Average number of trees per maintenance span (0's) - Rural[0], ZONE 1 - Average frequency of cutting cycle (years) - Rural[0],		<p>routes, but represents the network as individual circuits; therefore significant manipulation of the existing data model was required and is documented in the Methodology section below.</p> <p>To classify route lengths into feeder categories the above data was combined with the 2016/17 reliability feeder classifications. Ausgrid's Reliability Supply Quality &amp; Ratings team performs an annual feeder re-categorisation which is based on the loading and length of the feeder as per STPIS definitions. The feeder categorisation process is stored on HP Records Manager Record Number D16/350664. The annual review process is undertaken prior to the commencement of each financial year, to ensure feeder classifications are as accurate as possible. It is dependent on the established definitions of the four feeder categories (CBD, Urban, Short Rural and Long Rural) as defined in the Licence Conditions (revised in July 14) Clause 19 and detailed below:</p> <p><b>CBD Sydney Feeder</b> – A feeder forming part of the triplex 11kv cable system supplying predominately commercial high-rise buildings, within the City of Sydney.</p> <p><b>Urban Feeder</b> – A feeder, which is not a CBD Sydney feeder, with actual maximum demand over the reporting period per total feeder route length greater than 0.3 MVA/km.</p> <p><b>Short Rural Feeder</b> – A feeder which is not a CBD Sydney feeder or Urban feeder with total feeder route length less than 200km.</p> <p><b>Long Rural Feeder</b> – A feeder which is not a CBD Sydney feeder or Urban feeder with total feeder route length greater than 200km.</p> <p>The feeder categories are updated and stored in TOAD which flows to the Business Objects reporting environment.</p> <p>Changes in feeder categories occur every year. This is because the two key inputs for classification - feeder length and demand – continue to vary over time. For example feeder length varies as a result of network open point changes or augmentation, and feeder load can vary due to changes in demand from existing or new customers on the feeder - such as weather factors, customers installing PV, or an apartment</p>		<p>In more detail:</p> <p>To calculate the "Route line length" and "Number of maintenance spans", Ausgrid has spatially modelled the GIS data using the following methodology:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The circuit data is split into individual line segments at every pole</li><li>• Where line segments run parallel, they 'snapped' together and treated as one, and</li><li>• Where a span has multiple circuits with different feeder classifications (eg.Rural, Urban, or CBD), the highest voltage classification is attributed to the span.</li></ul> <p>If a span has multiple circuits with multiple feeder classifications but all at the same voltage, the span is singularly classified in the priority order as below but retaining the highest priority;</p> <p>1. CBD</p> <p>2. Urban</p> <p>3. Rural</p> <p>Variances between YOY values can be attributed to the Feeder classification process (explained previously). In FY18 there was a reduction in the number of Rural maintenance spans (2016-17 243103, 2017-18 222048) due to less feeders being categorised as Rural.</p> <p>In FY18 there have been some Licence Condition changes which have resulted in some single customer feeders that Ausgrid previously classified as "HV Customer", now being classified as one of the standard four (4) classifications. This accounts for some of the increase shown in Urban metrics as feeders previously classified as HV Customers are now classified as Urban.</p> <p>Services Mains lengths are an arbitrary length of 10m towards the centre of the supplied land parcel; therefore they have been excluded as a calculated length. In parts of Ausgrid's network the Service Mains (Service Mains - The low voltage overhead mains belonging to the company between the company's Distribution Mains and the Point of Supply. Point of Supply – The point of delineation i.e. junction between the company owned overhead mains and the Consumer's Mains) span is subject to vegetation management practises and it has been counted as a span. The decrease in the total number of spans between 2013/14 and 2014/15 is due to a data quality improvement project to accurately identify Service Mains and Consumer Mains in Ausgrid's GIS. Due to the source data structure used to calculate the feeder classifications, street lighting data was not able to be assigned a classification and therefore omitted from the feeder category split results. For this reason and the omission of the Transmission only spans, the sum of the “Urban and CBD” and “Rural” number of maintenance spans will not equal the total number of maintenance spans. The increase in number of maintenance spans is accounted for the increased scope of vegetation managed service spans in 2016/17. In previous years, the number of vegetation service spans has been limited to discrete geographic areas, but has since been expanded to include all service spans in the Ausgrid</p>	<p>the lower voltage is applied.</p> <p>The RIN templates only provide for spans associated with low voltage and high voltage mains and as such Ausgrid has not provided transmission data in this table.</p> <p>For the FY17-18 period the transmission vegetation maintenance spans are 16,494.</p> <p><u>Length of vegetation corridors</u><a href="#">[EM1]</a></p> <p>Based on the new overheard line construction work that has occurred over the past years, a 5% increase from 2008/09 up to the 2012/13 figure has been assumed.</p> <p><u>Average number of trees per maintenance span</u></p> <p>For 2008/09 to 2010/11 an average of 2011/12 to 2012/13 data was used.</p> <p><u>Average frequency of cutting cycle</u></p> <p>There is no clause or requirement in Ausgrid vegetation management contracts to carry out vegetation maintenance activities in a cyclic manner. Because of this we have assumed a review cycle of 1 year.</p>	<p>The information provided in Table 2.7.1 is consistent with the requirements in the RIN. In providing information on vegetation management metrics, Ausgrid has completed the table in accordance with Section 10.15 of Schedule 1 and section 13.7 - 13.10 of Appendix E of the RIN, and also relevant definitions.</p>	<p>categorised as Rural.</p> <p><u>Feeder definitions:</u></p> <p><b>CBD Sydney Feeder</b> – A feeder forming part of the triplex 11kv cable system supplying predominately commercial high-rise buildings, within the City of Sydney.</p> <p><b>Urban Feeder</b> – A feeder, which is not a CBD Sydney feeder, with actual maximum demand over the reporting period per total feeder route length greater than 0.3 MVA/km.</p> <p><b>Short Rural Feeder</b> – A feeder which is not a CBD Sydney feeder or Urban feeder with total feeder route length less than 200km.</p> <p><b>Long Rural Feeder</b> – A feeder which is not a CBD Sydney feeder nor an Urban feeder with total feeder route length greater than 200km.</p>

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																					
		<p>building constructed where a house was. Therefore the annual feeder classification review is undertaken to determine each feeders appropriate feeder category, in line with our distribution licence conditions. Classification changes flow on to any other metrics based on those categories including the following sections of 2.7.1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>● Route line length,</li><li>● Number of maintenance spans,</li><li>● Total length of maintenance spans</li><li>● Length of Vegetation Corridors</li><li>● Average number of trees per maintenance span</li></ul> <p><u>Total length of maintenance spans</u></p> <p>Information on ‘length of vegetation corridors’ has been provided by the vegetation management contractors through their contractor data capture. Only current data could be obtained and was used for 2012/13. All other years (FY18 Inclusive) have been estimated.</p> <p><u>Length of vegetation corridors</u></p> <p>Up to 2012/13 Information on ‘length of vegetation corridors’ was provided by the vegetation management contractors through their contractor data capture. Only current data could be obtained and was used for 2012/13. All other years (FY18 Inclusive) have been estimated.</p> <p><u>Average number of trees per maintenance span</u></p> <p>GIS data. Route line maintenance spans combined with;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>● 2016/17 reliability feeder classifications</li><li>● Ausgrid acquired 2017 Light Detection And Ranging (LiDAR)</li></ul> <p><u>Average frequency of cutting cycle</u></p> <p>Information for ‘average frequency of cutting</p>		<p>network.</p> <p><u>Treatment of 'maintenance spans'</u></p> <p>Data for the 'total length of maintenance spans' is provided on the same basis as "Route length within zone". All Ausgrid's overhead mains network is subject to vegetation management practices to ensure adequate safety clearances are maintained.</p> <p><u>Treatment of “Length of vegetation corridors'</u></p> <p>The RIN data for 2017/18 is estimated and based on 2012/13 actual data. Ausgrid does not formally capture this data. It has been assumed that all of the vegetation corridors are associated with 'rural' feeders. The 2017/18 data is estimated by applying a 5% growth factor since FY 13/14.</p> <p><u>Treatment of 'average number of trees per maintenance span'</u></p> <p>The average number of trees per span is modelled on Ausgrid's GIS data but takes into account feeder classifications and Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) technology. The data is estimated.</p> <p>Ausgrid utilised LiDAR acquired data for 2013, 2014, 2015,2016 and 2017 to calculate vegetation within the vicinity of its network covered by vegetation management activities. The spread or coverage of the LiDAR data and tree identification was within the LiDAR swath width which was up to 8 meters from the network. Trees and vegetation outside of this corridor were ignored and deemed not to be within the vicinity of the network for vegetation management activities.</p> <p>The LiDAR data acquired by Ausgrid does not identify individual trees, however the data extracted from the point cloud data, acquired in 2015 and 2016 identifies areas or canopies of vegetation. These areas are more representative of tree branches and canopies than individual trees therefore, these individual segments have been amalgamated together based on a 3 metre radius and counted as one tree. The detail of this data has been improved and is therefore more refined than previous years.</p> <p>The source data did not fully cover the Ausgrid's network, nor was it an equal sample of construction types, environmental, and demographic variations within its supply area. The coverage area for LiDAR acquisition has been modified each year to obtain a greater coverage over the network area. This results in a difference in sample data used year on year between 2012 and 2017 shown in the table 3.7.2.3 below;</p> <table><tr><td colspan="7">Sample Data Representation of Total Network</td></tr><tr><td>Feeder Classification</td><td>2012</td><td>2013</td><td>2014</td><td>2015</td><td>2016</td><td>2017</td></tr><tr><td>Transmission</td><td>66%</td><td>63%</td><td>65%</td><td>45%</td><td>69%</td><td>80%</td></tr></table>	Sample Data Representation of Total Network							Feeder Classification	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Transmission	66%	63%	65%	45%	69%	80%			
Sample Data Representation of Total Network																												
Feeder Classification	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017																						
Transmission	66%	63%	65%	45%	69%	80%																						

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology							Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
		cycles' has been estimated. This is discussed further in Methodology.											
				Rural	34%	94%	53%	58%	76%	94%			
				Urban/ CBD	1%	10%	18%	14%	23%	37%			
				<p>To increase the sample data used to calculate the average number of trees and therefore reporting accuracy; the 2017 LiDAR data has been combined with previous years data in areas not covered by the 2017 flights. Note that this was not used to calculate the average number of defects; average number of defects only used the 2017 LiDAR data.</p> <p>The network covered by summing the 2013 2014, 2015, and 2016 LiDAR coverage areas together is shown below</p>									
				Sample Data Representation of Total Network									
				Feeder Classifi cation	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total LiDAR Cover age		
				Transm ission	66%	63%	65%	45%	69%	80%	90%		
				Rural	34%	94%	53%	58%	76%	94%	97%		

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology								Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																		
				Urban/ CBD	1%	10%	18%	14%	23%	37%	49%																					
				<p>The AER has requested the defects and trees be categorised by feeder classification, however Transmission feeders (feeders &gt; 22kV) do not have a feeder classification of CBD, Urban, or Rural. A transmission feeder typically supplies multiple feeders with different classifications. As a consequence, spans which are transmission only feeders are not assigned a CBD, Urban, or Rural category. If a span only consisted of transmission it received a classification of transmission, and therefore the defect and trees along the same span received the same classification. If there was also a conductor of lesser voltage in the span, transmission voltage was ignored and the classification of the lower voltage was applied to the span, associated defects, and trees.</p> <p>The RIN templates only accommodate the reporting of trees and defects associated with low voltage and high voltage mains, therefore Transmission only trees and defects were not included in the RIN Template. The transmission defect and tree quantities are as follows</p>																												
				<table><tr><td colspan="2">Average Number of Trees Near Transmission Only Spans</td></tr><tr><td>Year</td><td>Trees</td></tr><tr><td>2011/12</td><td>0.34</td></tr><tr><td>2012/13</td><td>0.30</td></tr><tr><td>2013/14</td><td>0.30</td></tr><tr><td>2014/15</td><td>2.07</td></tr><tr><td>2015/16</td><td>3.143</td></tr><tr><td>2016/17</td><td>3.165</td></tr><tr><td>2017/18</td><td>2.919</td></tr></table>								Average Number of Trees Near Transmission Only Spans		Year	Trees	2011/12	0.34	2012/13	0.30	2013/14	0.30	2014/15	2.07	2015/16	3.143	2016/17	3.165	2017/18	2.919			
Average Number of Trees Near Transmission Only Spans																																
Year	Trees																															
2011/12	0.34																															
2012/13	0.30																															
2013/14	0.30																															
2014/15	2.07																															
2015/16	3.143																															
2016/17	3.165																															
2017/18	2.919																															

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
				<p>All of the overhead network is subject to vegetation management practises to ensure adequate clearances are maintained.</p> <p><u>Treatment of cutting cycle</u></p> <p>There is no clause or requirement in Ausgrid vegetation management contracts to carry out vegetation maintenance activities in a cyclic manner. Because of this a review cycle of 1 year is assumed.</p>			
2.3 Augex TABLE 2.3.2 - AUGEX ASSET DATA - SUBTRANSMISSION LINES	Estimated	Sub-transmission projects	<u>Sub-transmission projects</u>	The method and assumptions are as follows:	<u>Sub-Transmission projects</u>		
D_2_3_2_1[0], D_2_3_2_1[1], D_2_3_2_1[2], D_2_3_2_1[3], D_2_3_2_1[4], D_2_3_2_1[8], D_2_3_2_1[11], D_2_3_2_1[14], D_2_3_2_1[15], D_2_3_2_1[17], D_2_3_2_1[18], D_2_3_2_1[19], D_2_3_2_1[20], D_2_3_2_1[21], D_2_3_2_2[0], D_2_3_2_2[1], D_2_3_2_2[2], D_2_3_2_2[3], D_2_3_2_2[4], D_2_3_2_2[8], D_2_3_2_2[11], D_2_3_2_2[14], D_2_3_2_2[15], D_2_3_2_2[17], D_2_3_2_2[18], D_2_3_2_2[19], D_2_3_2_2[20], D_2_3_2_2[21], D_2_3_2_3[0], D_2_3_2_3[1], D_2_3_2_3[2], D_2_3_2_3[3], D_2_3_2_3[4], D_2_3_2_3[8], D_2_3_2_3[11], D_2_3_2_3[14], D_2_3_2_3[15], D_2_3_2_3[17], D_2_3_2_3[18], D_2_3_2_3[19], D_2_3_2_3[20], D_2_3_2_3[21], D_2_3_2_4[0], D_2_3_2_4[1], D_2_3_2_4[2], D_2_3_2_4[3], D_2_3_2_4[4], D_2_3_2_4[8], D_2_3_2_4[11], D_2_3_2_4[14], D_2_3_2_4[15],		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CIS Business Intelligence (BI) reports from the transaction systems as the primary source of historical costs for materials, contract services, other costs, labour and associated man hours (updated for the full 2017/18 actual expenditure data);</li> <li>CIS BI reports from the forecasting system as the primary source of forecast costs, asset quantum and allocations requirements when historical information isn't readily available;</li> <li>A combination of CIS, GIS, RIC and System Diagrams are used for actual asset quantum and certain technical data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The process to filter out the applicable projects above (Step 1) is by nature a theoretical estimation of the associated augmentation component. Naturally, it is not considered an estimate if the project is deemed to be 100% augmentation.</li> <li>As a result of how the augmentation component as required by the National Electricity Rules template is setup, there is no mean to provide sensible inputs without resorting to a primary/secondary trigger to select meaningful projects applicable for this table and meet the RIN requirements at the same time.</li> <li>Any expected forecast expenditure is by nature an estimate.</li> <li>The conversion from actual dollars (nominal) to real dollars (\$2012-13) is by nature an estimate.</li> </ul> <p>There are no other estimates outside of what has already been stated for table 2.3.1 above.</p>	<p><i>Step 0. RIN information has been provided in prior years and is deemed to be complaint by the AER. Thus, it is not unreasonable to assume that the 2017/18 RIN should only be an update using the full 2016/17 actual expenditure data unless more accurate data is available that supersede any previous data provided (i.e. this provides a mechanism to improve on data quality).</i></p> <p><b>Step 1.</b> For network projects with expenditure within 2017/18, isolated the associated substation projects with an augmentation component greater than or equal to \$5 million over the life of the project (note: Ausgrid uses an incremental capacity methodology to determine its expenditure for each project is presented (including costs associated with other drivers for expenditure, e.g. replacement) rather than its theoretical fraction.</p> <p><b>Step 2.</b> For projects with both substation and sub-transmission line components, the project is further interrogated into its work breakdown structure (WBS). For projects of this nature, only the expenditure of the substation component will be included. However, for a project with no sub-transmission line components, any associated distribution work to enable the commissioning of the substation will be included (i.e. 11kV connection expenditures).</p> <p><b>Step 3.</b> Provide the actual and expected years where expenditures have and will be incurred.</p> <p><b>Step 4.</b> For projects with actual incurred expenditure, information is provided in the following order:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transformers expenditure (exclude distribution, auxiliary and earthing transformers);</li> <li>Switchgear expenditure (include primary switchgears on both the high and low side of the substation);</li> <li>Capacitors expenditure (for capacitors within the substations that offer capacitive and voltage support);</li> <li>Other plant item expenditure (based on the total 'Material' booked to the project minus item 1, 2 &amp; 3 above);</li> <li>Installation labour expenditure (uses the 'Labour-Direct' cost element of the project);</li> <li>Installation labour volume (uses associated labour component in project system and payroll);</li> <li>Easements expenditure (usually booked against the project itself);</li> <li>Civil works expenditure (based on the total 'Contract Services' booked to the project minus item 7 above);</li> <li>Other direct expenditure (uses the 'Other-Direct' cost element of the project);</li> <li>Land Purchase expenditure (from a separate report as land is booked separately from the project).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The calculation for the augmentation component is based on a comparison between the preferred project that meet all identified network requirements versus a theoretical alternate project where no capacity constraints exists (i.e. incremental capacity methodology). It is the best estimate because it is deemed that this is the most correct method to satisfy the regulatory investment test under chapter 5 of the NER.</li> <li>The method used to determine primary/secondary trigger is based on the severity of need. This can be measure using a combination of financial difference, time criticalness and other measurable impacts. This is in line with how some DNSP evaluation their drivers.</li> <li>Please refer to Ausgrid's Area Plans documentations which outline the approach and assumption for the major project estimates provided.</li> </ul> <p>The following are calculations requested by this table that are carried out outside of the processes in the steps above:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Since indirect costs (i.e. Indirect Labour and Indirect Other) are embedded into the total labour cost within the forecast system, an allocation approach is used to separate the associated direct labour component. It is deemed that historical cost elements provide the most suitable basis for this allocation.</li> <li>The forecast installation labour volume is determined using the indirect labour derived above and dividing it by the average unit rate of direct labour (\$/man hour). It is deemed that this is a reasonable approach given the timeframe and practicality of carrying out detail resource requirement against each project.</li> </ul> <p>The procedure to populate Table 2.3.1 involved extensive manual analysis of information, as Ausgrid does not have any automated systems to generate this type of information. As this is the only method for Ausgrid to populate Table 2.3.1 the information used is the best available.</p>		



Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
D_2_3_2_4[17], D_2_3_2_4[18], D_2_3_2_4[19], D_2_3_2_4[20], D_2_3_2_4[21], D_2_3_2_5[0], D_2_3_2_5[1], D_2_3_2_5[2], D_2_3_2_5[3], D_2_3_2_5[4], D_2_3_2_5[8], D_2_3_2_5[11], D_2_3_2_5[14], D_2_3_2_5[15], D_2_3_2_5[17], D_2_3_2_5[18], D_2_3_2_5[19], D_2_3_2_5[20], D_2_3_2_5[21], D_2_3_2_6[0], D_2_3_2_6[1], D_2_3_2_6[2], D_2_3_2_6[3], D_2_3_2_6[4], D_2_3_2_6[8], D_2_3_2_6[11], D_2_3_2_6[14], D_2_3_2_6[15], D_2_3_2_6[17], D_2_3_2_6[18], D_2_3_2_6[19], D_2_3_2_6[20], D_2_3_2_6[21], D_2_3_2_7[0], D_2_3_2_7[1], D_2_3_2_7[2], D_2_3_2_7[3], D_2_3_2_7[4], D_2_3_2_7[8], D_2_3_2_7[11], D_2_3_2_7[14], D_2_3_2_7[15], D_2_3_2_7[17], D_2_3_2_7[18], D_2_3_2_7[19], D_2_3_2_7[20], D_2_3_2_7[21], D_2_3_2_8[0], D_2_3_2_8[1], D_2_3_2_8[2], D_2_3_2_8[3], D_2_3_2_8[4], D_2_3_2_8[8], D_2_3_2_8[11], D_2_3_2_8[14], D_2_3_2_8[15], D_2_3_2_8[17], D_2_3_2_8[18], D_2_3_2_8[19], D_2_3_2_8[20], D_2_3_2_8[21], D_2_3_2_9[0], D_2_3_2_9[1], D_2_3_2_9[2], D_2_3_2_9[3], D_2_3_2_9[4], D_2_3_2_9[8],				<p><i>Note:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Item 1, 2 &amp; 3 above are based on either separate reports that itemises the materials booked to the project more accurately or financial asset class breakdown in CIS BI.</i></li> <li><i>All monetary figures provided in Step 4 are as incurred (i.e. Nominal \$).</i></li> <li><i>The monetary figures represent the full cost for the project irrespective of the proportion of augmentation components (see note in Step 1 above).</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Step 5.</b> For projects with expected forecast expenditure, information is provided in the following order;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For projects already midway through its investment cycle, it is reasonable to assumed that all major equipment is already procured and that the expected forecast expenditure for 'material' is part of 'Other Plant Item' only;</li> <li>For projects not yet authorised, the expected 'material' expenditure at the asset category level is used;</li> <li>Installation Labour expenditure is determined by peeling out the direct costs component of the expected expenditure using historical cost allocation;</li> <li>Installation Labour volume is determined using the result of item 3 above and dividing it by the average unit rate of direct labour (\$/man hour);</li> <li>Civil Works expenditure (based on 'Contract Services' cost element);</li> <li>Other Direct expenditure (assumed to be included as part of item 5 above).</li> <li>Any cancelled projects with expenditure in 2017/18 are not included (i.e. total project will be less than \$5m)</li> </ul> <p><i>Note:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The monetary figures used in Step 5 are in nominal \$.</i></li> <li><i>The monetary figures represent the full cost for the project irrespective of the proportion of augmentation components (see note in Step 1 above).</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Step 6.</b> Ausgrid has no 'Related Party Margins' and/or 'Non-Related Party Contracts'.</p> <p><b>Step 7.</b> Provide associated technical information for each project;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transformers units added (based on material booked to the project and checked against various corporate systems mentioned above);</li> <li>Transformers MVA added (based on information from various corporate systems mentioned above);</li> <li>Switchgear units added (based on material booked to the project and checked against various corporate systems mentioned above);</li> <li>Capacitors MVAR added (based on information from various corporate systems mentioned above);</li> <li>Substation ratings (pre and post), voltages, types and triggers are determined by subject matter experts with reference to project briefs, engineering systems (e.g. Ratings and Impedance Calculator (RIC)).</li> </ul> <p>Ratings used are 'Normal Cyclic' Substation ratings. This is the throughput rating as defined in the notes for RIN Section 2.4. 'Normal</p>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments																																																																												
D_2_3_2_9[11], D_2_3_2_9[14], D_2_3_2_9[15], D_2_3_2_9[17], D_2_3_2_9[18], D_2_3_2_9[19], D_2_3_2_9[20], D_2_3_2_9[21], D_2_3_2_10[0], D_2_3_2_10[1], D_2_3_2_10[2], D_2_3_2_10[3], D_2_3_2_10[4], D_2_3_2_10[8], D_2_3_2_10[11], D_2_3_2_10[14], D_2_3_2_10[15], D_2_3_2_10[17], D_2_3_2_10[18], D_2_3_2_10[19], D_2_3_2_10[20], D_2_3_2_10[21], D_2_3_2_11[0], D_2_3_2_11[1], D_2_3_2_11[2], D_2_3_2_11[3], D_2_3_2_11[4], D_2_3_2_11[8], D_2_3_2_11[11], D_2_3_2_11[14], D_2_3_2_11[15], D_2_3_2_11[17], D_2_3_2_11[18], D_2_3_2_11[19], D_2_3_2_11[20], D_2_3_2_11[21], D_2_3_2_12[0], D_2_3_2_12[1], D_2_3_2_12[2], D_2_3_2_12[3], D_2_3_2_12[4], D_2_3_2_12[8], D_2_3_2_12[11], D_2_3_2_12[14], D_2_3_2_12[15], D_2_3_2_12[17], D_2_3_2_12[18], D_2_3_2_12[19], D_2_3_2_12[20], D_2_3_2_12[21], D_2_3_2_13[0], D_2_3_2_13[1], D_2_3_2_13[2], D_2_3_2_13[3], D_2_3_2_13[4], D_2_3_2_13[8], D_2_3_2_13[11], D_2_3_2_13[14], D_2_3_2_13[15], D_2_3_2_13[17], D_2_3_2_13[18], D_2_3_2_13[19], D_2_3_2_13[20], D_2_3_2_13[21],			<p>condition' for the purposes of the Augex model is defined the planned network configuration, with no assets unavailable due to planned or unplanned outages.</p> <p>For Project Type, 'New substation establishment' includes projects where a substation is established on a new site, even if it is in part driven by the replacement of an older substation.). Where an upgrade (including changes to primary voltage) occurs on the same location, 'Substation upgrade – capacity' is used.</p> <p><i>Explanation of ‘Other-please specify’ records</i></p> <p>A number of substations have the 'Project Trigger' identified as 'Other - please specify'. Summary of Substation Projects with 'Project Trigger' identified as 'Other': Information is provided as follows:</p> <table><tr><th>Substation ID</th><th>Project ID</th><th>Primary Trigger</th><th>Secondary Trigger</th></tr><tr><td>New Rockdale 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05993)</td><td>ARA_04.1.0008</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>Engadine ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-04726 &amp; SJ-05749)</td><td>ARA_04.2.0015</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>Crows Nest ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-05651)</td><td>ARA_05.1.0006</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>New Charlestown 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05319 &amp; SJ-06071)</td><td>ARA_07.5.0005</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr><tr><td>New Aberdeen 66/11kV Zone (SJ-05634 &amp; SJ-06031)</td><td>ARA_08.2.0014</td><td>Replacement</td><td>Augmentation</td></tr></table> <p><b>Step 8.</b> Assign primary and secondary trigger for each project identified above. For projects where the primary trigger is augmentation, 100% of the project cost is considered to be augmentation. For projects where the primary driver is not augmentation, it is excluded from table 2.3.1 since having it there will contradict with the requirement to meet Schedule 2, Appendix E, 7.1 (a) of the RIN requirement as highlighted to us by NSW Audit. Please note that as outlined in the table above (step 7), the projects with a secondary trigger in augmentation exceeding \$5m is identified and their relevant information is readily available to review upon request.</p> <p><b>Step 9.</b> <i>As requested in Appendix E, 1.9 and 1.10 then later specified in 7.2 (c) the actual and forecast expenditures derived from the steps above are converted into real dollars (\$2012-13) using the following % CPI and indexations (which is then applied as an average escalation factor based on the years incurred):</i></p> <table><tr><td></td><td>FY2006/07</td><td>FY2007/08</td><td>FY2008/09</td><td>FY2009/10</td><td>FY2010/11</td><td>FY2011/12</td><td>FY2012/13</td><td>FY2013/14</td><td>FY2014/15</td></tr><tr><td>CPI</td><td>2.33%</td><td>4.35%</td><td>1.82%</td><td>2.85%</td><td>3.39%</td><td>2.30%</td><td>2.28%</td><td>2.71%</td><td>1.71%</td></tr><tr><td>Indexation for Real 12/13\$</td><td>1.183</td><td>1.156</td><td>1.108</td><td>1.088</td><td>1.058</td><td>1.023</td><td>1.000</td><td>0.974</td><td>0.957</td></tr></table> <table><tr><td>FY2015/16</td><td>FY2016/17</td><td>FY2017/18</td><td>FY2018/19</td><td>FY2019/20</td><td>FY2020/21</td><td>FY2021/22</td><td>FY2022/23</td></tr><tr><td>2.32%</td><td>1.70%</td><td>2.10%</td><td>2.24%</td><td>2.50%</td><td>2.50%</td><td>2.50%</td><td>2.50%</td></tr><tr><td>0.935</td><td>0.920</td><td>0.901</td><td>0.881</td><td>0.860</td><td>0.839</td><td>0.818</td><td>0.798</td></tr></table>	Substation ID	Project ID	Primary Trigger	Secondary Trigger	New Rockdale 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05993)	ARA_04.1.0008	Replacement	Augmentation	Engadine ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-04726 & SJ-05749)	ARA_04.2.0015	Replacement	Augmentation	Crows Nest ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-05651)	ARA_05.1.0006	Replacement	Augmentation	New Charlestown 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05319 & SJ-06071)	ARA_07.5.0005	Replacement	Augmentation	New Aberdeen 66/11kV Zone (SJ-05634 & SJ-06031)	ARA_08.2.0014	Replacement	Augmentation		FY2006/07	FY2007/08	FY2008/09	FY2009/10	FY2010/11	FY2011/12	FY2012/13	FY2013/14	FY2014/15	CPI	2.33%	4.35%	1.82%	2.85%	3.39%	2.30%	2.28%	2.71%	1.71%	Indexation for Real 12/13\$	1.183	1.156	1.108	1.088	1.058	1.023	1.000	0.974	0.957	FY2015/16	FY2016/17	FY2017/18	FY2018/19	FY2019/20	FY2020/21	FY2021/22	FY2022/23	2.32%	1.70%	2.10%	2.24%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	0.935	0.920	0.901	0.881	0.860	0.839	0.818	0.798		
Substation ID	Project ID	Primary Trigger	Secondary Trigger																																																																																
New Rockdale 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05993)	ARA_04.1.0008	Replacement	Augmentation																																																																																
Engadine ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-04726 & SJ-05749)	ARA_04.2.0015	Replacement	Augmentation																																																																																
Crows Nest ZN 132kV Conversion (SJ-05651)	ARA_05.1.0006	Replacement	Augmentation																																																																																
New Charlestown 132/11kV ZN (SJ-05319 & SJ-06071)	ARA_07.5.0005	Replacement	Augmentation																																																																																
New Aberdeen 66/11kV Zone (SJ-05634 & SJ-06031)	ARA_08.2.0014	Replacement	Augmentation																																																																																
	FY2006/07	FY2007/08	FY2008/09	FY2009/10	FY2010/11	FY2011/12	FY2012/13	FY2013/14	FY2014/15																																																																										
CPI	2.33%	4.35%	1.82%	2.85%	3.39%	2.30%	2.28%	2.71%	1.71%																																																																										
Indexation for Real 12/13\$	1.183	1.156	1.108	1.088	1.058	1.023	1.000	0.974	0.957																																																																										
FY2015/16	FY2016/17	FY2017/18	FY2018/19	FY2019/20	FY2020/21	FY2021/22	FY2022/23																																																																												
2.32%	1.70%	2.10%	2.24%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%																																																																												
0.935	0.920	0.901	0.881	0.860	0.839	0.818	0.798																																																																												

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments
5.3 MD Network Level 5.3.1 - RAW AND WEATHER CORRECTED COINCIDENT MD AT NETWORK LEVEL (Summed at transmission connection point) Embedded generation[0], Weather corrected (10% POE) network coincident MD[0], Weather corrected (50% POE) network coincident MD[0],	Estimated	<p>The 10% POE and 50% POE values are estimates of the POE demand calculated using actual raw data and simulation techniques. The embedded generation value is an estimate based on an assumed solar generation profile applied to the actual installed rooftop solar capacity for each zone substation on the Ausgrid network.</p> <p><u>Sources of Information:</u></p> <p>The 10% POE and 50% POE values are calculated using electricity use data sourced from Ausgrid's SCADA or metering points and weather data sourced from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology.</p> <p>The embedded generation value is calculated using the raw interval data from representative gross metered solar power systems, the solar system size in kW for all solar power systems connected to the network and the time of peak.</p>	<p>The 10% POE and 50% POE values are not measured values and so must be calculated. The estimates of the POE demand are calculated using actual raw metered data and established simulation techniques.</p> <p>The embedded generation value is not measured as individual solar power systems are not separately measured at generation point.</p>	<p>Weather corrected 10% and 50% POE network coincident demand is the aggregation of each location's respective weather corrected load with its system diversity factor for that season.</p> <p>All load data is obtained from Ausgrid's SCADA system or metering points. All weather data is obtained from Bureau of Meteorology weather stations.</p> <p>Ausgrid performs weather normalisation at 10% and 50% POE using simulation technique at the zone substation level on a yearly basis.</p> <p>For forecasting purposes, Ausgrid's winter season covers period 1 May - 31 August. Therefore data provided for 2018, for example, covers the calendar period 1 May 2017 - 30 April 2018.</p> <p>Ausgrid interprets "transmission connection point" as any "subtransmission substation" and "High Voltage Customer" connected at 132kV within Ausgrid's network.</p> <p>A 5 year historical system diversity factor is calculated for all locations based on the previous five seasons' diversity factors for each location.</p> <p>The Embedded generation value is the estimated MW of generation supplied from rooftop solar at the time of network coincident MD plus the actual metered generation supplied from non-solar embedded generators connected at 33kV or 66kV at the time of network coincident MD.</p> <p>For rooftop solar, the embedded generation is calculated from a solar generation curve which identifies the percent of total rated capacity in kW for each half hour interval. This curve is based upon the aggregate generation from a representative sample of gross metered of solar power systems on a representative sample of peak summer demand days.</p> <p>For the identified time of system demand, the total estimated MW generation at time of peak is derived from multiplying the percent of rated solar capacity value at the time of peak by the total MW of connected rooftop solar power systems from Ausgrid's customer data systems.</p> <p>For the 33kV or 66kV connected non-solar generators, the adjustments are based on their interval data from SCADA systems or metering points.</p>			

Sheet/Table/ Rule	Estimated/ Actual	Data Source	Why Estimated	Methodology	Assumptions	Consistency Information	Additional Comments