

From: [Dinesh Kumareswaran](#)
To: [Seymour, Jonathan](#); [Glenn Boyle](#); [Graham Partington](#); [Jim Hancock](#); [Jonathan Mirrlees-Black](#); [Martin Lally](#); [Toby Brown](#); [Tom Hird](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: AER Rate of return - Concurrent evidence sessions - Key details [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Date: Wednesday, 2 February 2022 4:10:49 PM
Attachments: [REDACTED]

Hi all,

I have a suggestion for one more topic that might be useful to discuss during session 4 (cross-checks).

If there is time, I think it would be useful for the Board to hear experts' views on whether and how determinations by other regulators might be used by the AER to cross-check its rate of return decisions.

Given that Toby (one of the presenters for that session) has co-authored a [report](#) recently reviewing the determinations of other regulators, he might be particularly well-placed to share some views on that topic.

Apologies for not raising this last week during the conclave. The thought only occurred to me afterwards.

Cheers,

Dinesh Kumareswaran

[REDACTED]
