Attachment 20.74

SA Power Networks: CBRM Justification

October 2014





Sources of Inputs

24 October 2014

SA Power Networks

Contents

| 1. | SCOPE | |
|----|-----------|------------------------------------|
| 2. | INTRODU | ICTION |
| 3. | LIST OF A | CRONYMS |
| 4. | DISTRIBU | ITION CONDUCTOR |
| 4 | 4.1 HEA | LTH INDEX9 |
| | 4.1.1 | Location Factor |
| | 4.1.2 | Duty Factor |
| | 4.1.3 | Faults14 |
| | 4.1.4 | Defects15 |
| | 4.1.5 | HI116 |
| | 4.1.6 | Factor Value |
| | 4.1.7 | Failure Scenarios |
| | 4.1.8 | Year 0 HI & PoF |
| | 4.1.9 | HI – Yn Health Index23 |
| 4 | 4.2 RISK | |
| | 4.2.1 | Yn & Interventions |
| | 4.2.2 | New Asset25 |
| | 4.2.3 | Criticality25 |
| | 4.2.4 | Average Cost of Fault |
| 4 | 4.3 FEEI | DERS |
| | 4.3.1 | Feeder Data Constants |
| | 4.3.2 | HI YO Category |
| | 4.3.3 | HI Yn Category |
| | 4.3.4 | Percentage Replacement HI Category |
| | 4.3.5 | Targeted Intervention Category |
| | 4.3.6 | SCONRRR Priority |
| | 4.3.7 | Major Customers Priority |
| | 4.3.8 | Fault Score |
| | 4.3.9 | Defect Score |
| | 4.3.10 | Open/Closed Status |
| 5. | SUBTRAN | ISMISSION CONDUCTOR |
| ŗ | 5.1 HEA | LTH INDEX |
| | 5.1.1 | Location Factor |
| | 5.1.2 | Duty Factor |
| | 5.1.3 | Faults45 |
| | 5.1.4 | Defects |
| | 5.1.5 | HI1 |

| 5.1.6 | Factor Value | 49 |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.1.7 | Failure Scenarios | 50 |
| 5.1.8 | Year 0 HI & PoF | 52 |
| 5.1.9 | HI – Yn Health Index | 53 |
| 5.2 RISK | < | 54 |
| 5.2.1 | Interventions | 54 |
| 5.2.2 | Criticality | 55 |
| 5.2.3 | Average Cost of a Fault | 60 |
| 5.3 FEE | DERS | 70 |
| 5.3.1 | Feeder Constants | 70 |
| 5.3.2 | Feeder Data Constants | 71 |
| 5.3.3 | HI YO Category | 71 |
| 5.3.4 | HI Yn Category | 71 |
| 5.3.5 | Percentage Replacement HI Category | 71 |
| 5.3.6 | Target Intervention Category | 71 |
| 5.3.7 | SCONRRR Priority | 71 |
| 5.3.8 | Major Customers Priority | 71 |
| 5.3.9 | Fault Score | 72 |
| 5.3.10 | Defect Score | 72 |
| 5.3.11 | Open/Closed Status | 72 |
| 6. POLES | | 73 |
| 6.1 Hea | lth Index | 73 |
| 6.1.1 | Location Factor | 73 |
| 6.1.2 | Pole Equipment | 74 |
| 6.1.3 | Duty Factor | 75 |
| 6.1.4 | Defects | 77 |
| 6.1.5 | Corrosion | 81 |
| 6.1.6 | Condition | 83 |
| 6.1.7 | HI1 | 83 |
| 6.1.8 | Failure Scenarios | 85 |
| 6.1.9 | Year 0 HI & PoF | 87 |
| 6.1.10 | Yn Health Index | |
| 6.2 RISK | < | 90 |
| 6.2.1 | Yn & Interventions | 90 |
| 6.2.2 | Criticality | 91 |
| 6.2.3 | Average Cost of a Fault | 97 |
| 7. SUBSTAT | ION CIRCUIT BREAKERS – DISTRIBUTION | 110 |
| 7.1 HEA | LTH INDEX | 110 |

| | 7.1.1 | Location Factor | 110 |
|----|---------|--|-----|
| | 7.1.2 | Feeders | |
| | 7.1.3 | Duty Factor | |
| | 7.1.4 | Faults | 114 |
| | 7.1.5 | Defects | |
| | 7.1.6 | Condition | |
| | 7.1.7 | Overdue Maintenance | |
| | 7.1.8 | Partial Discharge | |
| | 7.1.9 | HI1 | |
| | 7.1.10 | Factor Value | |
| | 7.1.11 | Failure Scenarios | |
| | 7.1.12 | Year 0 HI & PoF | |
| | 7.1.13 | HI – Yn Health Index | |
| 7 | .2 RISK | < | 134 |
| | 7.2.1 | Interventions | 134 |
| | 7.2.2 | Criticality | 135 |
| | 7.2.3 | Average Cost of a Fault | 142 |
| 8. | SUBSTAT | ION CIRCUIT BREAKERS – SUBTRANSMISSION | 147 |
| 8 | .1 HEA | LTH INDEX | 147 |
| | 8.1.1 | Location Factor | 147 |
| | 8.1.2 | Duty Factor | |
| | 8.1.3 | Faults | 151 |
| | 8.1.4 | Defects | 155 |
| | 8.1.5 | Condition | |
| | 8.1.6 | Overdue Maintenance | |
| | 8.1.7 | Partial Discharge | 164 |
| | 8.1.8 | HI1 | 165 |
| | 8.1.9 | Factor Value | |
| | 8.1.10 | Failure Scenarios | |
| | 8.1.11 | Year 0 HI & PoF | |
| | 8.1.12 | HI – Yn Health Index | |
| 8 | .2 RISK | ٢ | |
| | 8.2.1 | Interventions | |
| | 8.2.2 | Criticality | |
| | 8.2.3 | Average Cost of a Fault | |
| 9. | SUBSTAT | ION TRANSFORMERS | 190 |
| 9 | .1 HEA | LTH INDEX | 190 |
| | 9.1.1 | Tap Changer | |

| | 9.1.2 | Transformer | 197 |
|----|----------|--|-----|
| | 9.1.3 | Location Factor | 211 |
| | 9.1.4 | Defect Data | 213 |
| | 9.1.5 | Fault Data | 218 |
| | 9.1.6 | Condition Connection Factor | 223 |
| | 9.1.7 | Non-Condition Connection Factor | 225 |
| | 9.1.8 | Year0 HI and PoF | 226 |
| | 9.1.9 | Future Health Index | 227 |
| | 9.1.10 | Failure Scenarios | 228 |
| 9 | .2 RISK | < | 229 |
| | 9.2.1 | Interventions | 229 |
| | 9.2.2 | Criticality | 231 |
| | 9.2.3 | Average Cost of a Fault | 237 |
| A. | CBRM Inf | formation Source Clarification Questions | 247 |

1. SCOPE

This document provides explanation of and justification for the CBRM models used to determine the replacement CAPEX for the 2015-2020 regulatory control period for Poles, Overhead Conductors, Substation Power Transformers and Substation Circuit Breakers.

The design decisions made for each model are explained, as well as how the settings have been assigned such that the models align with SA Power Networks' current operations.

Determination of the failure rates and consequence levels are also explained, in particular the sources of data used to determine the statistics and the assumptions made where information was unavailable or inadequate. The consequence values are expressed in \$2013.

2. INTRODUCTION

In 2011 EA Technology was engaged to develop Condition Based Risk Management (CBRM) Models for Poles, Overhead Conductors, Substation Power Transformers and Substation Circuit Breakers. The models utilise information, knowledge, engineering experience and judgement for the identification and justification of targeted asset replacement.

CBRM determines the level of risk a particular asset exposes SA Power Networks to through the following steps:

- 1. Define Asset Condition: The condition of an asset is measured on a scale from 0.5 to 10, where 0.5 represents a brand new asset; this is defined as the Health Index (HI). Typically an asset with a HI beyond 7 has serious deterioration and advanced degradation processes now at the point where they cause failure. Determination of the HI is made by factoring age, location, duty, and measured condition points.
- 2. Link Condition to Performance: If an asset has a HI less than 4, its Probability of Failure (PoF) distribution is random. When the HI shows further degradation, a wear out curve is used to measure PoF against HI. Each asset class has unique events; every event is assigned a PoF model, which uses an individual failure rate based on network observations.
- **3.** Determine the Consequence of Failure: The consequence of failure is divided into the following categories:
 - CAPEX: The Capital Expenditure required to remediate an event;
 - OPEX: The Operational Expenditure required to remediate an event;
 - Safety: The cost incurred due to death/injury to individual(s) as a result of an event;
 - Environment: The cost of environmental cleanup/penalties as a result of an event;
 - Reliability: Financial penalties imposed if an event causes an outage.

The consequences are individually determined for all of the events associated with the asset using criteria such as location, number of customers, load profiles, SCONRRR category, and type/model.

4. Determine Risk: Risk is measured in financial units, it is determined by combining the PoF, consequence and criticality for every event. Criticality defines the significance of a fault/failure for an individual asset, and is determined for each of the categories listed in item 3.

CBRM also models non-condition events, which are independent of HI. These events are assigned to every asset and use a random failure based PoF model. An example of a non-condition event is third party damage from a car hit pole incident.

By forecasting every asset's condition, CBRM calculates the total risk, total number of failures and HI profile for an asset group based on the following investment scenarios:

- 1. Do Nothing: do not replace any assets in the group;
- 2. Targeted Replacement: nominate when assets are replaced/refurbished;
- **3.** Replace a fixed percentage of assets every year: nominate the percentage of assets to be replaced every year and choose the priority to be HI, total risk or delta risk.

3. LIST OF ACRONYMS

| Acronym | Definition | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| AER | Australian Energy Regulator | |
| CAPEX Capital Expenditure | | |
| СВ | Circuit Breaker | |
| CBD | Central Business District | |
| CBRM | Condition Based Risk Management | |
| СІ | Customer Interruption | |
| СМ | Condition Monitoring | |
| CML | Customer Minutes Lost | |
| DCR | Dynamic Contact Resistance | |
| DD | Distribution Defect | |
| DGA | Dissolved Gas Analysis | |
| FM | Fault Defect | |
| GIS | Geospatial Information System | |
| HBFRA | High Bush Fire Risk Area | |
| н | Health Index | |
| HV | High Voltage | |
| I2T | Accumulated Fault Energy | |
| LAFA | Living Away From Home Allowance | |
| LAFF Load Above Firm Factor | | |
| LV | Low Voltage | |
| MBFRA | Moderate Bush Fire Risk Area | |

| Acronym | Definition |
|---------|--|
| OPEX | Operational Expenditure |
| ΡΑΤ | Priority Asset Data Collection Tool |
| PD | Partial Discharge |
| PoF | Probability Of Failure |
| SAIDI | System Average Interruption Duration Index |
| SAIFI | System Average Interruption Frequency Index |
| SCADA | Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition |
| SCONRRR | Standing Committee on National Regulatory Reporting Requirements |
| SD | Substation Defect |
| SF6 | Sulphur Hexafluoride |
| SME | Subject Matter Expert |
| STPIS | Service Target Performance Incentive Scheme |
| SRBP | Synthetic Resin Bonded Paper |
| SWER | Single Wire Earth Return |
| TASA | Tapchanger Activity Signature Analysis |
| тс | Tapchanger |
| TEV | Temporary Earth Voltage |
| тх | Transformer |
| VCR | Value of Customer Reliability |
| WTI | Winding Temperature Indicator |

4. **DISTRIBUTION CONDUCTOR**

4.1 HEALTH INDEX

4.1.1 Location Factor

The Location Factor models how the installation environment affects the distribution conductor's condition over time. For example, conductor located in the desert is less susceptible to corrosion when compared to conductor located on the coastline.

4.1.1.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------------|-------|---|
| Pollution Factor Default | 1 | Set to have no effect on HI where no pollution data available |
| Corrosion Default Factor | 1 | Set to have no effect on HI where no corrosion data available |
| Location Factor Increment | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

4.1.1.2 Pollution Factor

Based on SA Power Networks' operating experience, industrial pollution has no effect on conductor condition and is therefore not used in the model. For every record the pollution field has been left blank so the default factor of 1 is used.

4.1.1.3 Corrosion Factor

The corrosion zone indicates the impact corrosion will have on assets. Copper is less reactive than other conductor types, and as such calibration settings have been assigned accordingly.

CBRM models the different corrosion zones as a corrosion rating. The mapping from atmospheric corrosion zones to ratings is shown in the following table.

| Corrosion Zone ¹ | Corrosion Rating |
|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Low | 1 |
| Moderate | 2 |
| High | 3 |
| Extreme | 4 |

Corrosion factors were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Conductor SME, Asset Strategy Engineers, and Manager Network Maintenance. The corrosion rating is assigned to a corrosion factor based on the corrosion zone and conductor material. The corrosion factors were determined by matching the actual lifespan of conductor materials in each corrosion zone.

¹ The corrosion zones have been renamed by the business since the creation of the CBRM models. The Severe corrosion Zone is now called Severe and the High Corrosion Zone is now called Very Severe.

| Corrosion Rating Used | Conductor Material | Corrosion Factor | Justification |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|
| 1 | Aluminium | 0.8 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone |
| 1 | AAAC | 0.8 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone |
| 1 | Unknown | 0.8 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone |
| 1 | ACSR | 0.8 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone |
| 1 | SCGZ | 0.8 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone |
| 1 | Steel | 0.8 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone |
| 1 | AAC | 0.8 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone |
| 1 | Copper | 0.8 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone |
| 2 | Copper | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone |
| 2 | Aluminium | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone |
| 2 | Unknown | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone |
| 2 | AAAC | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone |
| 2 | SCGZ | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone |
| | SCAC | 1 | Corrosion zone unknown so set to have no effect on HI |
| | Silmalec | 1 | Corrosion zone unknown so set to have no effect on HI |
| | AAC | 1 | Corrosion zone unknown so set to have no effect on HI |
| | AAAC | 1 | Corrosion zone unknown so set to have no effect on HI |
| | SCGZ | 1 | Corrosion zone unknown so set to have no effect on HI |
| | Twisty | 1 | Corrosion zone unknown so set to have no effect on HI |
| 3 | | 1.2 | Unknown conductor material in Very severe corrosion Zone |
| 2 | ACSR | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone |
| | Copper | 1 | Corrosion zone unknown so set to have no effect on HI |
| | Steel | 1 | Corrosion zone unknown so set to have no effect on HI |
| | Aluminium | 1 | Corrosion zone unknown so set to have no effect on HI |
| 2 | Steel | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone |

| Corrosion Rating Used | Conductor Material | Corrosion Factor | Justification |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| | ACSR | 1 | Corrosion zone unknown so set to have no effect on HI |
| 2 | | 1 | Unknown conductor material in severe corrosion zone |
| 1 | | 1 | Unknown conductor material in low corrosion zone |
| 3 | AAC | 1.5 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone |
| 2 | SCAC | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone |
| 2 | Silmalec | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone |
| 2 | AAC | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone |
| 3 | Copper | 1.1 | Copper in Very severe corrosion Zone less susceptible to corrosion than conductor made of other metals |
| 4 | Copper | 1.2 | Corrosion zone is not used in the model as the current asset definition will have too many zone crossings |
| 3 | Unknown | 1.2 | Unknown conductor material in very severe corrosion zone |
| 3 | AAAC | 1.25 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone |
| 3 | Aluminium | 1.25 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone |
| 3 | ACSR | 1.25 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone |
| 3 | SCAC | 1.25 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone |
| 3 | SCGZ | 1.25 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone |
| 3 | Steel | 1.25 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone |
| 4 | ACSR | 1.75 | Corrosion zone not used in the model as the current asset definition will have too many corrosion zone crossings |
| 4 | Aluminium | 1.75 | Corrosion zone not used in the model as the current asset definition will have too many corrosion zone crossings |
| 4 | AAAC | 1.75 | Corrosion zone not used in the model as the current asset definition will have too many corrosion zone crossings |

| Corrosion | Conductor | Corrosion | Justification |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Rating Used | Material | Factor | |
| 4 | Unknown | 1.75 | Corrosion zone not used in the model as the current asset definition will have too many corrosion zone crossings |

4.1.2 Duty Factor

The Duty Factor models how hard an asset has worked in its operational life. Workshops between EA Technology CBRM experts, Conductor SME, Asset Strategy Engineers and the Manager Network Asset Management determined that electrical load does not affect conductor duty as it is the primary driver for design.

There are cases where conductor life may be slightly extended on the basis that surrounding structures provide wind breaks, and conversely there are cases where life may be slightly reduced on the basis that the surrounding environment does not provide wind breaks.

4.1.2.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------|-------|---|
| Duty Factor Default | 1 | Set to have no effect on HI if the duty factor cannot be determined |

4.1.2.2 Duty Factor

| SCONRRR Category | Feeder Mean Wind Pressure | Overall Duty Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| | | | · · · · |
| CBD | 1 | 0.9 | Low wind pressure |
| Urban | 1 | 0.9 | Low wind pressure |
| Rural Short | 1 | 0.9 | Low wind pressure |
| Rural Long | 1 | 0.9 | Low wind pressure |
| CBD | 2 | 0.9 | Typically CBD and Urban conductor are shielded by surrounding buildings |
| Urban | 2 | 0.9 | Typically CBD and Urban conductor are shielded by surrounding buildings |
| Rural Short | 2 | 1 | Although there is a lack of wind breaks in these areas, the line has been designed to withstand the wind pressure |
| Rural Long | 2 | 1 | Although there is a lack of wind breaks in these areas, the line has been designed to withstand the wind pressure |
| CBD | 3 | 1 | Typically CBD and Urban conductor are shielded by surrounding buildings |
| Urban | 3 | 1 | Typically CBD and Urban conductor are shielded by surrounding buildings |
| Rural Short | | 1 | Used for case where no information available, therefore set to have no effect on HI |
| Rural Long | | 1 | Used for case where no information available, |

| SCONRRR Category | Feeder Mean Wind Pressure | Overall Duty Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | | therefore set to have no effect on HI |
| CBD | | 1 | Used for case where no information available, therefore set to have no effect on HI |
| Urban | | 1 | Used for case where no information available, therefore set to have no effect on HI |
| Rural Short | 3 | 1.15 | There are high gust speeds within these areas, and due insufficient presence of wind breaks, the conductor life will be slightly reduced |
| Rural Long | 3 | 1.15 | There are high gust speeds within these areas, and due insufficient presence of wind breaks, the conductor life will be slightly reduced |

4.1.3 Faults

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------|-------|---|
| Fault Factor Default | 1 | Set to have no effect on HI where no fault data available |

4.1.3.2 Fault Factor

For each conductor asset, CBRM identifies the faults per km (fault density). The fault density is a condition factor, which is determined by identifying the total length of conductor, and number of faults caused by the asset. For example, high fault density indicates the asset is problematic. Fault records used are FM notifications recorded in the SAP database assigned with SAP codes relating to distribution conductor, and have been recorded within the last 5 years.

| > Normalised FM Score by Length Minimum | <= Normalised FM Score by Length Maximum | Fm Factor | Justification |
|---|--|-----------|--|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | Set to have no effect on HI, as no faults have ever occurred |
| 0 | 1 | 1.05 | Fault density is very small indicating that negligible number of faults have occurred |
| 1 | 5 | 1.1 | Fault density indicates that the asset has caused a moderate number of faults |
| 5 | 15 | 1.2 | Fault density indicates that the asset has caused a significant number of faults |
| 15 | 100 | 1.4 | Fault density indicates the asset is continually causing faults and is therefore problematic |

4.1.4 Defects

CBRM uses two defect factors: Closed and Open. Closed defects represent completed repairs, and Open defects represent scheduled repairs.

4.1.4.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-----------------------|-------|---|
| Defect Factor Default | 0.95 | Default where no defects recorded in last 5 years |

4.1.4.2 Closed Defect Factor

For each asset, CBRM identifies the closed defects per km (closed defect density). The closed defect density is a condition factor which is determined by identifying the total length of conductor, and number of closed defects on the asset. For example, a high closed defect density indicates the asset is problematic. Closed defect records are DD notifications stored in SAP, which have a closed status, are assigned with SAP codes relating to distribution conductor, and have been recorded within the last 5 years.

| > Normalised Closed Defects By Length Minimum | <= Normalised Closed Defects By Length Maximum | Closed Defects Factor | Justification |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---|
| -1 | 0 | 0.9 | This is an extremely rare circumstance in which no repairs have been required, meaning the asset is in better condition than anticipated. The HI adjusted to reflect this. |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | A defect density of 1 per km is considered normal for distribution conductor |
| 1 | 2 | 1.1 | The asset has experienced moderate repairs during its service life |
| 2 | 5 | 1.25 | Significant number of repairs have been undertaken on the conductor during its service life |
| 5 | 1,000.00 | 1.5 | Closed defect density indicates the asset continually needs to be repaired as it is problematic |

4.1.4.3 Open Defects Factor

For each asset, CBRM identifies the open defects per km (open defect density). The open defect density is a condition factor which is determined by identifying the total length of conductor, and number of open defects found on the asset. For example, high open defect density indicates the asset is in poor condition and will experience failure if no repairs are undertaken in the near future. Open defect records are DD notifications stored in SAP, which have an open status, are assigned with SAP codes relating to distribution conductor, and have been recorded in the last 5 years.

| > Normalised Open Defects By Length Minimum | <= Normalised Open Defects By Length Maximum | Open Defects Factor | Justification |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | There are no scheduled repairs |
| 0 | 1 | 1.05 | This is a low defect density indicating that minimal repairs are scheduled |
| 1 | 2 | 1.1 | There are some scheduled repairs to be undertaken on the asset |
| 2 | 5 | 1.25 | There are significant repairs to be completed |
| 5 | 10,000.00 | 1.5 | Excessive repairs are to be completed indicating the asset is problematic |

4.1.5 HI1

HI1 is primarily age driven however it does take into account the duty and location factor. After CBRM determines HI1, it applies condition information to determine the overall HI the asset stands at today.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|------------------------------|-------|--|
| Average Life Default | 50 | Where insufficient information is available to determine average life, a conservative approach is used in which the shortest expected lifespan is assigned. The default life is rarely used because the size and type is known for most conductor assets |
| As New HI Default | 0.5 | HI of 0.5 indicates a brand new asset |
| HI1 Cap | 5.5 | HI of 5.5 indicates beginning of serious degradation. CBRM process caps the HI at this value if no condition information is available |
| Reliability Rating Default 2 | | Used if no reliability rating is available, and assigns the asset as having normal reliability |
| LogCalc 2.397895273 | | Model Constant set by EA Technology |

4.1.5.2 Average Life

The average life of conductor depends on its size and type. Most conductors on the network are manufactured from either aluminium or copper material. Because copper is less reactive than aluminium, it has a longer lifespan.

There are copper conductors in service, which are as old as the network and in good condition. To model both the older good condition copper conductors as well as the deteriorating copper conductors there is an 80 year cap on average life.

The average life of distribution conductor was determined in workshops participated by EA Technology CBRM experts, Asset Strategy Engineers, and distribution conductor SME. The following table documents the average life for various conductor sizes and types.

| Material | Size | Average Life |
|-------------------|--------|--------------|
| Aluminium / Steel | Thin | 50 |
| | Medium | 60 |
| | Thick | 70 |
| Copper | Thin | 60 |
| | Medium | 80 |
| | Thick | 80 |

CBRM uses actual conductor size and types to determine the average life of each asset. The actual size and types populated in the calibration table are documented below.

| Conductor Material | Cond. Size & Type | Average Life | Justification |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Unknown | Unknown | 50 | Conservative approach is to use the shortest expected lifespan |
| Aluminium | 7/0.102 AAC | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| AAC | 0.014 AAC | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| AAC | 0.0375 AAC | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| AAC | 0.07 AAC | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | Unknown | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.005 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.025 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.03 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |

| Conductor Material | Cond. Size & Type | Average Life | Justification | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--|
| ACSR | 0.06 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor | |
| AAC | 28 AAC | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor | |
| AAC | 41 AAC | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor | |
| ACSR | 35 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor | |
| Copper | 0.06 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor | |
| SCAC | 3 ACSR | 50 | Thin steel conductor | |
| SCGZ | 0.0026 SCGZ | 50 | Thin steel conductor | |
| SCGZ | 3 SCGZ | 50 | Thin steel conductor | |
| Twisty | 0.025 Twisty | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor | |
| AAC | 185 AAC | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor | |
| SCGZ | 2 SCGZ | 50 | Thin steel conductor | |
| Silmalec | 0.1 AAC | 70 | Large aluminium conductor | |
| Aluminium | 0.1 ACSR | 70 | Large aluminium conductor | |
| Copper | 0.0125 Cu | 60 | Thin copper conductor | |
| Copper | 0.0225 Cu | 60 | Thin copper conductor | |
| Copper | 0.035 Cu | 60 | Thin copper conductor | |
| ACSR | 65 ACSR | 60 | Medium aluminium conductor | |
| AAC | 76 AAC | 60 | Medium aluminium conductor | |
| ACSR | 0.1 ACSR | 60 | Large aluminium conductor | |
| ACSR | 0.125 ACSR | 60 | Medium aluminium conductor | |
| ACSR | 0.15 ACSR | 70 | Large aluminium conductor | |
| AAC | 0.12 AAC | 60 | Large aluminium conductor | |
| AAC | 0.185 AAC | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor | |
| AAC | 0.28 AAC | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor | |
| AAC | 122 AAC | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor | |
| AAC | 178 AAC | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor | |

| Conductor Material | Cond. Size & Type | Average Life | Justification |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| ACSR | 0.225 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 105 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 144 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 243 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| AAC | 7/0.102 AAC | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| AAC | 300 AAC | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| Aluminium | 61/2.50Flat | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| Copper | 0.06 Cu | 80 | Medium copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.1 Cu | 80 | Medium copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.15 Cu | 80 | Large copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.2 Cu | 80 | Large copper conductor |

4.1.5.3 As New HI

All conductor types are assigned an as new HI of 0.5, which indicates the asset is brand new.

4.1.6 Factor Value

The Factor Value is used to establish condition indicators to HI1, settings here include the default defect factor and reliability ratings.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| Reliability Rating Default | 2 | Refer to Section 4.1.6.3 | |
| Reliability Factor Default | 1 | Refer to Section 4.1.6.2 | |
| Defect Factor Default | 0.95 | If no SAP DD notifications have been recorded in the last 5 years the conductor is in better condition than anticipated | |

4.1.6.1 Constants

4.1.6.2 Reliability Factor

The Reliability Factor is used to identify problematic conductor types. Since the average life of the conductor type encapsulates its known performance on the network, all reliability ratings are set to assign a reliability factor of 1 (ie average reliability) and therefore have no effect on the HI.

4.1.6.3 Reliability Rating

As previously mentioned in Section 4.1.6.2, the average life of the conductor type encapsulates its known performance on the network, because of this, all of the reliability ratings have been set to indicate average reliability so there is no effect on the HI.

4.1.7 Failure Scenarios

Workshops undertaken with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Conductor SME and Asset Strategy Engineers identified that distribution conductor has the following failure scenarios:

- Fault: The conductor or its attachments fail, leading to conductor falling down and causing an outage;
- Repair: Inspectors identify that the conductor has defects which need repairing;
- Replacement: A significant proportion of the conductor needs to be replaced;
- Fire Start: The conductor starts a small bushfire; and
- Bush Fire: The conductor starts a major bushfire.

4.1.7.1 Constants

Annual fault rate was determined using HV database records. There is no way to determine if the faults were caused by condition or external events, to overcome this, a decision was made in workshops with EA Technology, Asset Strategy Engineers and Conductor SMEs to ratio Condition : Non Condition as 4 : 1 9ie 80% of failure related to condition and 20% due to other causes).

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|--|-------|---|--|
| No. Failures: Condition Conductor Fault | 178 | Total faults identified over the last 5 years with the exclusion of 66kV and zero customer interruption faults. HV database shows 931 conductor failures, and 178 fitting failures, leading to a total of 1086 failures, at an average of 217 per annum (over 5 years). Split 4:1 for condition vs non condition as there is no way to distinguish. HV database was used instead of SAP FMs as the information is a better source for faults. | |
| No. Failures: Condition Repairs | 761 | Total conductor repairs identified over last 5 years recorded in SAP database, under SAP codes: LMD005=1429, LMC013=2190, LMD017=191: average annual repair jobs=761 | |
| No. Failures: Condition Replacement | 154 | SAP Records and conductor replacement log were used for the last 5 years. 715 LMC012 DDs are in SAP and 56 projects are in the replacement log, equating to an average of 154 projects annually | |
| No. Failures: Condition Fire Start | 0.055 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database | |
| No. Failures: Condition Bushfire | 0.008 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|---|
| No. Failures: Non- Condition Conductor Fault | 42 | Total faults identified over the last 5 years with the exclusion of 66kV and zero customer interruption faults. HV database shows 931 conductor failures, and 178 fitting failures, leading to a total of 1086 failures, at an average of 217 per annum (over 5 years). Split 4:1 for condition vs non condition as there is no way to distinguish. HV database was used instead of SAP FMs as information is a better source for faults. |
| No. Failures: Non- Condition Fire Start | 0.092 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database. |
| No. Failures: Non- Condition Bush Fire | 0.014 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database. |
| C Value: Condition Conductor Fault | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4 |
| C Value: Condition Repairs | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4 |
| C Value: Condition Replacement | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4 |
| C Value: Condition Fire Start | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4 |
| C Value: Condition Bushfire | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4 |
| HI Lim: Condition Conductor Fault | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out begins |
| HI Lim: Condition Repairs | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out begins |
| HI Lim: Condition Replacement | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out begins |
| HI Lim: Condition Fire Start | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out begins |
| HI Lim: Condition Bushfire | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out begins |
| HI Avg: Condition Conductor Fault | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim |
| HI Avg: Condition Repairs | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim |
| HI Avg: Condition | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|---------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| Replacement | | HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Fire Start | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Bushfire | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |

4.1.8 Year 0 HI & PoF

4.1.8.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| New Asset HI | 0.5 | Indicates a brand new asset | |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Indicates a brand new asset | |
| End of Life HI | 7 | CBRM process defines HI of 7 represents an asset at end of life | |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used if the HI cannot be determined | |
| Maximum Y0 HI | 10 | CBRM places a cap which the HI stands today at 10 | |
| Bush Fire Factor Default | 0 | Most assets are located in Non Bush Fire Risk Areas | |
| Conductor Faults PoF Modifier Default | 1 | PoF does not depend on the conductor's voltage and therefore the modifier is set to have no effect ie '1' x PoF = PoF. Refer to Section 4.1.8.4 | |

4.1.8.2 Bushfire Zone

| BFRA_Level | Fire Risk Factor | Justification | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---|--|
| No Fire Risk | 0 | No fire risk in this area | |
| Non Bush Fire Risk Area | 0 | No fire risk in this area | |
| Bush Fire Risk Area | 1 | Moderate Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 1/10 th fire risk compared to HBFRA conductor | |
| Bush Fire Risk 1 | | Moderate Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 1/10 th fire risk compared to HBFRA | |

| BFRA_Level Fire F Fact | | Justification | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | conductor | |
| High Fire Risk 10 | | High Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 10x fire risk compared to MBFRA conductor | |
| High Bush Fire Risk Area 10 | | High Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 10x fire risk compared to MBFRA conductor | |

4.1.8.3 HI Category Y0

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

4.1.8.4 Conductor Fault PoF Modifier

The settings have been assigned to have no effect on results because the probability of event does not directly depend on voltage.

4.1.8.5 Weighted Health Index YO

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

4.1.9 HI – Yn Health Index

HI – Yn represents the future forecasted condition of the asset at Year Yn.

4.1.9.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| Yn | 11 | 2014-2025 | |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Represents a brand new asset | |
| Maximum Yn HI | 15 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| Ageing Reduction Factor Default | 1 | If an ageing reduction factor cannot be applied, its effect is excluded from the results | |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used if the HI cannot be determined | |
| Ageing Constant from Y0 HI Maximum increase(multiplier) | 1.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| Minimum Age for | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA | |

| Setting Item Value | | Justification | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Recalculated B | | Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| Ageing Constant Multiplier 1.5 | | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |

4.1.9.2 Age Reduction Factor

The age reduction factor models the increased life expectancy as conductor ages. The factors were provided by the CBRM Architect and have been developed from EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Final Asset HI Y0 Minimum | Final Asset HI Y0 Maximum | Ageing Reduction Factor |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 5.5 | 1.5 |
| 5.5 | 10 | 1.5 |

4.1.9.3 Future HI Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

4.1.9.4 Weighted Health Index Yn

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

4.2 **RISK**

4.2.1 Yn & Interventions

4.2.1.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|------------|---|
| Yn | 11 | Aligns 2014-2025 |
| Percentage Replacement | 0.55 | Derived percentage of total network distribution conductor length to be replaced annually so a constant risk profile is maintained. |
| % Replacement Ranking Column | Delta Risk | Prioritises based on difference in risk between existing asset and new asset |
| Replacement Default Cost | 18000 | Majority of conductor replacement is for 11kV lines |
| Portion of Route Length Replaced (%) | 20 | On average, SA Power Networks refurbishes 20% of a feeder |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|--|
| Maximum Health Index for Non Replaced Assets | 5 | 80% of remaining feeder has HI of 5 , representing the onset of wear out |

4.2.1.2 Percentage Replacement - Replacement Cost

The unit costs for conductor replacement were determined using completed conductor project information from the past 5 years and applying an escalation factor due to changing aluminium prices. The escalation factor was determined from annual prices documented by the London Metal Exchange.

| Voltage | % Replacement Program Costs (per km) |
|---------|--------------------------------------|
| LV | 30000 |
| 11kV | 18000 |
| 7.6kV | 18000 |
| 19kV | 13500 |

4.2.1.3 Targeted Replacement - Replacement Cost

The costs assigned have no effect on results because a targeted replacement program is not used for replacement CAPEX justification.

4.2.2 New Asset

4.2.2.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------------|-------|---|
| New Asset HI | 0.5 | Represents a brand new asset |
| Average Life of New Asset | 60 | Determined in workshops with CBRM experts from EA Technology, Asset Strategy Engineers and Distribution Conductor SME |

4.2.3 Criticality

Criticality is determined for each consequence category, it identifies the significance of a fault/failure, and is comprised of a number of weighting factors which represent relative severity.

4.2.3.1 Network Performance

Network Performance criticality represents the significance of the penalty imposed on SA Power Networks when the asset causes an unplanned outage.

| 4.2.3.1.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| | |

| 4.2.5.1.1 Constants | | | |
|--|-------|---|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
| Life Support Customers Factor Default | 1 | If it cannot be determined that the feeder supplies/does not supply life support customers, no life support customers are assigned | |
| NP Number of Phases Factor Default | 1 | SA Power Networks' operational experience has found that an outage usually occurs on one phase | |
| Number of Reclosers Factor Default | 1 | If the number of reclosers is unknown, no reclosers are assigned as this is the most common occurrence | |
| NP Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| NP Maximum Factor | 10 | More emphasis is placed on Safety, Environment and Network Performance than CAPEX and OPEX | |

4.2.3.1.2 Major Customer Factor

Major customers represent high load customers such as factories and shopping centres. EA Technology's experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide has found that feeders supplying major customers are considered to be twice as significant as feeders that only supply residential customers.

4.2.3.1.3 Life Support Customer Factor

More emphasis is placed on feeders supplying life support customers. The values were provided by EA Technology and are based on their experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Life Support Customers Minimum | <= Life Support Customers Maximum | Life Support Customers Factor | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | No effect as no life support customers on feeder |
| 0 | 3 | 1.1 | Default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| 3 | 5 | 1.2 | Default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

| > Life Support Customers Minimum | <= Life Support Customers Maximum | Life Support Customers Factor | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| 5 | 20 | 1.25 | Default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| 20 | 10,000 | 1.3 | Default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

4.2.3.1.4 Number of Reclosers Factor

Reclosers limit the number of customers affected by a fault and make it easier for emergency response to locate the fault leading to improved restoration times.

| > Number of Reclosers Minimum | <= Number of Reclosers Maximum | Number of Reclosers Factor | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| -1 | 0 | 1.2 | If no reclosers have been installed, all of the customers being supplied by the feeder experience an outage, and emergency response takes longer to locate the fault |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | Fewer customers are affected as a recloser has been installed, making it easier for emergency response to locate the fault |
| 1 | 2 | 0.75 | Fewer customers are affected as two reclosers have been installed, making it easier for emergency response to locate the fault |
| 2 | 50 | 1 | Used for data error, as feeders typically have a maximum of 2 reclosers. Factor of 1 conservatively assumes a single recloser is installed on the feeder |

4.2.3.1.5 Number of Phases Factor

SA Power Networks' operational experience has found that outages usually occur on one phase, therefore all phases are set to have the same significance.

4.2.3.2 OPEX

OPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Operational Expenditure required to remediate it.

4.2.3.2.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|--|
| Opex Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Opex Maximum Factor | 5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Customer Type OPEX Factor Default | 1 | Most customers are located in Rural Short / Urban SCONRRR, therefore these regions are used if the customer type is unknown |
| Opex Number of Phases Factor Default | 1 | If the number of phases is unknown, the default is to use three phase as this is the most common configuration the network |

4.2.3.2.2 Customer Type Factor

| SCONRRR Category | Customer Type OPEX Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Urban | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience |
| Rural Short | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience |
| CBD | 1.3 | To allow for increased traffic management and switching costs associated with CBD |
| Rural Long | 1.5 | Deals with increased response time due to longer distance from nearest country depot |

4.2.3.2.3 Phases Factor

| 4.2.3.2.3 | Phases Factor | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Number of Phases | Opex Number of Phases Factor | Justification |
| 1 Phase | 2 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience, SWER takes the longest time to repair |
| 2 Phase | 1 | Same OPEX significance as three phase, which is average significance |
| 4 Phase | 0.75 | 4 Phase is LV, based on SA Power Networks' operational experience this is easier and quicker to fix than 1, 2 or 3 phase. |
| 3 Phase | 1 | Average OPEX significance |

4.2.3.3 CAPEX

CAPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Capital Expenditure required to remediate it.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|--|-------|--|--|
| Customer Type CAPEX Factor Default | 1 | Sets factor default when customer type unknown to be the same as for Rural Short / Urban, as most customers are located in these regions | |
| Capex Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| Capex Maximum Factor | 5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBR experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| Capex Number of Phases Factor Default | 1 | If the number of phases is unknown, the default is to use three phase as this is the most common configuration on the network | |

4.2.3.3.2 Customer Type Factor

| SCONRRR Category | Customer Type CAPEX Factor | Justification |
|------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Urban | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience |
| Rural Short | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience |
| Rural Long | 1.1 | Deals with increased response time due to longer distance from nearest country depot |
| CBD | 1.2 | To allow for increased traffic management and switching costs associated with CBD |

4.2.3.3.3 Phases Factor

| Number of Phases | Capex Number of Phases Factor | Justification | | | |
|------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 Phase | 2 | SA Power Networks' operational experience has found that SWER has the longest repair times | | | |
| 4 Phase | 0.75 | 4 Phase is LV, based on SA Power Networks' operational experience, this is the easiest to fix | | | |
| 2 Phase | 1 | Same CAPEX significance as three phase, which is average significance | | | |

| Number of Phases | Capex Number of Phases Factor | Justification | |
|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 3 Phase | 1 | Average significance | |

4.2.3.4 Environment

Environmental criticality represents the significance asset failure has on the environment.

| 4.2.3.4.1 <i>Constants</i> | | | | | |
|--|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | | |
| Environmentally Sensitive Area Factor Default | 1 | Sets factor default when type unknown to be the same as Environment Rating '3', which is the norm for average environmental consequences | | | |
| Env Minimum Factor | 1 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | | | |
| Env Maximum Factor | 10 | More emphasis placed on Safety, Environment and Network Performance | | | |
| Environment Number of Phases Factor Default | 1 | If the number of phases is unknown, the default is to use three phase as this is the most common configuration on the network. | | | |

4.2.3.4.2 Environmentally Sensitive Area Factor

| Environmentally Sensitive Area | Environmentally Sensitive Area Factor | Justification | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1 | 0.5 | Area 3 rating set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting down for 1 or 2 and up for 4 | |
| 2 | 0.7 | Area 3 rating set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting down for 1 or 2 and up for 4. | |
| 3 | 1 | Norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide 4. | |
| 4 | 2 | Area 3 rating set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting down for 1 or 2 and up for 4. | |

| Number of Phases | Environment Number of Phases Factor | Justification | | |
|---------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 1 Phase | 1 | Norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | | |
| 4 Phase | 1 | Number of phases 1 set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting appropriately for 2, 3 and 4 phases. | | |
| 2 Phase | 1.5 | Number of phases 1 set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting appropriately for 2, 3 and 4 phases. | | |
| 3 Phase | 2 | Number of phases 1 set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting appropriately for 2, 3 and 4 phases. | | |

4.2.3.5 Safety

Safety criticality represents the significance the asset has on public and employee safety.

| 4.2.3.5.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|------------------------------|-------|--|
| Customer Type Default Factor | 1 | Refer to Section 4.2.3.5.2 |
| Safety Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Safety Maximum Factor | 10 | More emphasis placed on Safety, Environment and Network Performance than CAPEX and OPEX |

4.2.3.5.2 Customer Type Factor

Provides facility to factor customer type by SCONRRR category, all regions have equal significance on safety, therefore this factor is not used.

4.2.4 Average Cost of Fault

4.2.4.1 Network Performance

Network Performance consequences are the penalties imposed on SA Power Networks whenever an outage occurs. It is important to note that Major Events are excluded, as no penalty is imposed because they exceed the maximum SAIDI threshold.

Distribution conductors supply radial feeders, and as such have outage penalties in accordance with the STPIS.

| 4.2.4.1.1 Con | stants | |
|-------------------|--------|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
| 11kV Risk Default | Yes | SA Power Networks' operational experience has found that most faults incurring STPIS penalties occur on 11kV feeders |

| 4.2.4.1.2 Constants by Customer Type | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
| Value of CML | 15.99 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.74 | Determined using STPIS Rates and customer numbers obtained from the Network Performance and Regulatory Manager. CML is defined as SAIDI / Number of customers. CBD: \$77,432/4,799; Urban: \$431,766/584,615; Rural Short: \$79,115/110,021; Rural Long: \$96,760/143,337 |
| Value of Cl | 1462 | 94 | 84 | 62 | Determined using STPIS Rates and customer numbers obtained from the Network Performance and Regulatory Manager. CI is defined as SAIFI Incentive Rate / Number of customers, where SAIFI rate is converted from 0.01 interruption to 1 interruption. • CBD: \$70,783*100/4799; • Urban: \$359,393*100/584615; • Rural Short: \$90,873*100/110021; • Rural Long: \$134,597*100/143337. |
| Avg. No. Cls Per Fault | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | By definition, each customer on the feeder is interrupted during a fault |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) LV: Condition Conductor Fault | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | This was determined from records stored in the OMS for the years last 5 years |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) LV: Condition | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Planned outage during this event. No STPIS consequence is imposed |

4.2.4.1.2 Constants by Customer Type

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| Repairs | | | | | |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) LV: Condition Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Planned outage during this event. No STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) LV: Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A small bushfire is a major event, this means that no STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) LV: Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A bushfire is a major event, this means that no STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) LV: Non- Condition Conductor Fault | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | This was determined from records stored in the HV database for the last 5 years |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) LV: Non- Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A small bushfire is a major event, this means that no STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) LV: Non- Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A bushfire is a major event, this means that no STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) 11kV: Condition Conductor Fault | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | This was determined from records stored in the HV database for the last 5 years |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) 11kV: Condition Repairs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Planned outage during this event. No STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) 11kV: Condition Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Planned outage during this event. No STPIS consequence is imposed |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) 11kV: Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A small bushfire is a major event, this means that no STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) 11kV: Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A bushfire is a major event, this means that no STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) 11kV: Non- Condition Conductor Fault | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | This was determined from records stored in the HV database for the last 5 years |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) 11kV: Non- Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A small bushfire is a major event, this means that no STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins) 11kV: Non- Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A bushfire is a major event, this means that no STPIS consequence is imposed |

4.2.4.1.3 *Number of Customers Default*

It is rare that default customer numbers need to be assigned, as the most of the feeder customer numbers are known. The default values were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM experts, conductor SME and Asset Strategy Engineers by categorising the customer numbers by region and voltage.

| Type of Site Used | NP Risk Band | Number of Customers Used |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Rural Long | LV | 5 |
| Rural Short | LV | 10 |
| CBD | LV | 20 |
| Urban | LV | 50 |
| CBD | 11kV | 100 |
| Rural Long | 11kV | 400 |

| Type of Site Used | NP Risk Band | Number of Customers Used |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Rural Short | 11kV | 1350 |
| Urban | 11kV | 2500 |

4.2.4.1.4 NP Risk Band

NP Risk band assigns STPIS consequences to the corresponding build type rather than voltage.

4.2.4.2 OPEX

OPEX consequences are the Operational Expenditure required in response to an event.

4.2.4.2.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------------------|-------|---|
| Voltage Consequence Band Default | 11kV | SA Power Network's operational experience has found that faults usually occur on 11kV lines |

4.2.4.2.2 Voltage Group Constants

| Setting Item | 11kV | LV | SWER | Justification | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|--|
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Conductor Fault | 1000 | 500 | 1000 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP FM Notifications created within the last 5 years | |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Repair | 600 | 300 | 750 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP DD Notifications created within the last 5 years | |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | All of conductor replacement is CAPEX | |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Fire Start | 2000000 | 2000000 | 2000000 | A minor bushfire costs the business \$2M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management | |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Bushfire | 250000000 | 250000000 | 250000000 | A Catastrophic bushfire costs the business \$250M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management | |
| Opex Consequence: Non-Condition Conductor Fault | 1000 | 500 | 1000 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP FM Notifications created within the last 5 years | |

| Setting Item | 11kV | LV | SWER | Justification |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Non-Condition Fire Start | 20000000 | 20000000 | 20000000 | A minor bushfire costs the business \$2M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Non-Condition Bush Fire | 250000000 | 250000000 | 250000000 | A Catastrophic bushfire costs the business \$250M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management |

4.2.4.2.3 Financial Risk Band

Based on SA Power Networks experience, OPEX is dependent on build type rather than voltage. The Financial Risk Band assigns the build type to each line voltage.

| Voltage | Financial Risk Band | Justification |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------|
| LV | LV | LV Build Type |
| 11kV | 11kV | 11kV Build Type |
| 19kV | SWER | SWER Build Type |
| 7.6kV | 11kV | 11kV Build Type |

4.2.4.3 CAPEX

CAPEX consequences are the Capital Expenditure required in response to an event.

4.2.4.3.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Opex : Capex Ratio | 1 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience CAPEX and OPEX are valued equally | | |
| Voltage Consequence Band Default | 11kV | Majority of faults occur on 11kV distribution network | | |

4.2.4.3.2 Financial Risk Band

Based on SA Power Networks experience, CAPEX is dependent on build type rather than voltage. The Financial Risk Band assigns the build type to each line voltage.

| Voltage | Financial Risk Band | Justification |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------|
| LV | LV | LV Build Type |
| 11kV | 11kV | 11kV Build Type |

| Voltage | Financial Risk Band | Justification |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 19kV | SWER | SWER Build Type |
| 7.6kV | 11kV | 11kV Build Type |

4.2.4.3.3 Voltage Group Constants

| 4.2.4.3.3 Voltage Group Constants | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Setting Item | 11kV | LV | SWER | Justification |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Cost of Conductor Fault | 1000 | 50 | 1000 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP FM Notifications created within the last 5 years |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Condition Repair | 1000 | 500 | 1000 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP DD Notifications created within the last 5 years |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Cost of Replacement | 60000 | 50000 | 65000 | Used completed conductor project information and escalation factor for metal prices for the last 5 years |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Cost of Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | Not used |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Cost of Bushfire | 0 | 0 | 0 | Not used |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Cost of Non- Condition Conductor Fault | 1000 | 50 | 1000 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP FM Notifications for the last 5 years |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Non-Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | Not used |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Non-Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | Not used |

4.2.4.4 SAFETY

4.2.4.4.1 Consequences

The safety consequences are valued in monetary terms, and have been assessed by establishing a value of a safety event in terms of its adverse cost on society. CBRM uses the following consequences to determine safety risk:

- Minor The event leads to an individual requiring medical treatment only;
- Major The event incurs a lost time injury;
- Fatality The event causes death or permanent disability.

EA Technology established the values for the three consequence types through collaboration with the Network Asset Management Group from SA Power Networks, the process that was used is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

4.2.4.4.2 Average Consequences

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

4.2.4.5 Environment

4.2.4.5.1 *Consequences*

Five significant environmental consequences have been identified that could arise as a result of a network asset failure:

- Loss of oil;
- Emission of SF6 gas into the atmosphere;
- A significant fire with smoke pollution;
- The production of contaminated waste; and
- Major disturbance such as traffic congestion or noise.

CBRM assigns a monetary value to the environmental consequences based on trading values for carbon emissions. The overall process that was used to determine this is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

4.2.4.5.2 Failure Scenario Constants

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

4.3 FEEDERS

Feeder calibration data is used for the determination of the Open/Closed Defect and Fault condition factors for each asset.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---|
| Major Customers Priority Default | 1 | Sets Major Customers default equivalent to 'No' if Major Customers information unknown |
| Default Fault Score | 1 | If the fault score cannot be determined, a conservative approach is used by assuming no faults have ever occurred |

4.3.1 Feeder Data Constants

4.3.2 HI Y0 Category

These settings are used to generate reports in the CBRM front end client, they are assigned with default values provided by EA Technology and have no effect on results.

4.3.3 HI Yn Category

These settings are used to generate reports in the CBRM front end client, they are assigned with default values provided by EA Technology and have no effect on results.

4.3.4 Percentage Replacement HI Category

These settings are used to generate reports in the CBRM front end client, they are assigned with default values provided by EA Technology and have no effect on results.

4.3.5 Targeted Intervention Category

These settings are used to generate reports in the CBRM front end client, they are assigned with default values provided by EA Technology and have no effect on results.

4.3.6 SCONRRR Priority

These settings are used to generate reports in the CBRM front end client, they are assigned with default values provided by EA Technology and have no effect on results.

| Major Customer | Major Customers Priority | Justification | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| No | 0 | Converts Major Customer (Yes or No) to (1 or 0) for calculation purposes | | |
| Yes | 1 | Converts Major Customer (Yes or No) to (1 or 0) for calculation purposes | | |

4.3.7 Major Customers Priority

4.3.8 Fault Score

SAP FM notifications recorded against conductor within the last 5 years are used to determine the cumulative fault score, which is then converted to the fault density by normalising the score against the conductor length. For each fault, its contribution towards the cumulative score depends on the FM problem code.

| Fault Score | Justification |
|-------------|--|
| 0 | Fault has no effect on conductor condition |
| 0.5 | Cannot identify level of significance fault has on conductor condition |
| 1 | Fault is fully relevant to conductor condition |

4.3.9 Defect Score

SAP DD Notifications recorded against conductor within the last 5 years are used to determine the cumulative defect score which is converted to defect density by normalising the score against the conductor length.

| Defect Priority | Defect Score | Justification |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 4 | 0.5 | Represents to condition monitor, defect does not have direct impact on short term reliability |
| 3 | 1 | Defect has impact on mid term reliability |
| 2 | 1.5 | Defect has impact on short to mid term reliability |
| 1 | 2 | Defect impacts short term reliability |
| Z | 1 | Z code does not necessarily mean the defect identifies poor condition, only fire risk, repair is of highest priority |

4.3.10 Open/Closed Status

The determination of whether a SAP DD notification is open or closed is determined by checking if a NOCO flag has been assigned to its status.

5. SUBTRANSMISSION CONDUCTOR

5.1 HEALTH INDEX

5.1.1 Location Factor

The Location factor models how the installation environment affects the subtransmission conductor's condition over time. For example, conductor located in the desert is less susceptible to corrosion when compared to conductor located on the coastline.

5.1.1.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------------|-------|---|
| Pollution Factor Default | 1 | Used when the pollution factor cannot be determined, and is set to have no effect on the HI |
| Corrosion Default Factor | 1 | Used when the corrosion factor cannot be determined, and is set to have no effect on the HI |
| Location Factor Increment | 0.05 | Determined as satisfactory value in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers and Conductor SME |

5.1.1.2 Pollution Factor

Based on SA Power Networks' operating experience, industrial pollution has no effect on conductor condition and its effect on HI is not used. For every record the pollution field has been left blank so the default factor of 1 is used.

5.1.1.1 Corrosion Factor

The corrosion zone indicates the impact corrosion will have on assets. Copper is less reactive than other conductor types, and as such calibration settings have been assigned accordingly.

CBRM models the different corrosion zones as a corrosion rating. The mapping between the zones and ratings is shown in the following table.

| Corrosion Zone | Corrosion Rating |
|----------------|------------------|
| Low | 1 |
| Moderate | 2 |
| High | 3 |
| Extreme | 4 |

Corrosion factors were determined in workshops with CBRM Experts, Conductor SME, Asset Strategy Engineers, and Manager Network Maintenance. The corrosion rating is assigned to a corrosion factor based on the corrosion zone and conductor material. The corrosion factors were determined by matching the known or expected lifespan of conductor materials in each corrosion zone.

| Corrosion Rating Used | Conductor Material | Corrosion Factor | Justification | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|--|
| 1 | ACSR | 0.9 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone | |
| 1 | Aluminium | 0.9 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone | |
| 1 | AAAC | 0.9 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone | |
| 1 | Unknown | 0.9 | Conductor is located in low corrosion zone | |
| 1 | Copper | 0.8 | Copper conductor is located in low corrosion zone and is less reactive than other conductor materials | |
| 2 | Copper | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone | |
| 2 | AAAC | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone | |
| 2 | Aluminium | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone | |
| 2 | ACSR | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone | |
| 2 | Unknown | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone | |
| | AAAC | 1 | Unknown corrosion zone, set to have no effect on the result | |
| | AAC | 1 | Unknown corrosion zone, set to have no effect on the result | |
| | ACSR | 1 | Unknown corrosion zone, set to have no effect on the result | |
| | Aluminium | 1 | Unknown corrosion zone, set to have no effect on the result | |
| | Copper | 1 | Unknown corrosion zone, set to have no effect on the result | |
| | Steel | 1 | Unknown corrosion zone, set to have no effect on the result | |
| | Twisty | 1 | Unknown corrosion zone, set to have no effect on the result | |
| 1 | | 1 | Unknown conductor in low corrosion zone | |
| 1 | Twisty | 1 | Twisty conductor more susceptible to corrosion | |
| 2 | | 1 | Unknown conductor in severe corrosion zone | |
| 2 | AAC | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone | |

| Corrosion Rating Used | Conductor Material | Corrosion Factor | Justification | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 2 | Steel | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone | |
| 2 | Twisty | 1 | Represents conductor located in severe corrosion zone | |
| 3 | | 1 | Unknown conductor in Very severe corrosion zone | |
| 3 | AAC | 1 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone | |
| 3 | Copper | 1.1 | Copper conductor has longer life than other types in very severe corrosion zone | |
| 4 | Copper | 1.2 | Corrosion zone not used in the model as the current asset definition will have too many corrosion zone crossings | |
| 3 | AAAC | 1.1 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone | |
| 3 | ACSR | 1.2 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone | |
| 3 | Aluminium | 1.2 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone | |
| 3 | Unknown | 1.2 | Represents conductor located in very severe corrosion zone | |
| 4 | Unknown | 1.5 | Corrosion zone not used in the model as the current asset definition will have too many corrosion zone crossings | |

5.1.2 Duty Factor

The Duty Factor models how hard an asset has worked during its operational life. Workshops between EA Technology CBRM experts, Conductor SME, Asset Strategy Engineers and the Manager Network Asset Management determined that electrical load does not affect conductor duty as it is the primary driver for design.

There are cases where conductor life may be slightly extended on the basis that surrounding structures provide wind breaks, and conversely there are cases where life may be slightly reduced on the basis that the surrounding environment does not provide wind breaks.

5.1.2.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-----------------------|-------|---|
| Duty Factor Default 1 | | Sets no effect on HI where no duty data available |

5.1.2.2 Duty Factor

| 5.1.2.2 Duly Factor | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| SCONRRR Category | Feeder Mean Wind Pressure | Overall Duty Factor | Justification | |
| CBD | 1 | 0.9 | Determined as satisfactory value in workshop with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers and Conductor SME | |
| Urban | 1 | 0.9 | Low wind pressure | |
| Rural Short | 1 | 0.9 | Low wind pressure | |
| Rural Long | 1 | 0.9 | Low wind pressure | |
| CBD | 2 | 0.9 | Typically CBD and Urban conductor are shielded by surrounding buildings | |
| Urban | 2 | 0.9 | Typically CBD and Urban conductor are shielded by surrounding buildings | |
| Rural Short | 2 | 1 | Although there is a lack of wind breaks in these areas, the line has been designed to withstand the wind pressure | |
| Rural Long | 2 | 1 | Although there is a lack of wind breaks in these areas, the line has been designed to withstand the wind pressure | |
| CBD | 3 | 1 | 1 Typically CBD and Urban conductor are shielded by surrounding buildings | |
| Urban | 3 | 1 | Typically CBD and Urban conductor are shielded by surrounding buildings | |
| RURAL LONG | | 1 | Used for case where no information available, therefore set to have no effect on HI | |
| Rural Short | | 1 | Used for case where no information available, therefore set to have no effect on HI | |
| Urban | | 1 | Used for case where no information available, therefore set to have no effect on HI | |
| Rural Short | 3 | 1.15 | There are high gust speeds within these areas, and due to insufficient presence of wind breaks, the conductor life will be slightly reduced | |
| Rural Long | 3 | 1.15 | .5 There are high gust speeds within these areas, and due to insufficient presence of wind breaks, the conductor life will be slightly reduced | |

5.1.3 Faults 5.1.3.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------|-------|---|
| Fault Factor Default | 1 | Set to have no effect on HI where no fault data available |

5.1.3.2 Fault Factor

The subtransmission fault factor is determined using the same method as distribution conductor, which is documented in Section 4.1.3.2. SA Power Network's operational history has found that subtransmission conductor is less prone to faults as the system is inherently redundant, this has been reflected in the normalised score bands.

| > Normalised FM Score by Length Minimum | <= Normalised FM Score by Length Maximum | FM Factor | Justification |
|---|--|-----------|---|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | Set to have no effect on HI as no faults have ever occurred |
| 0 | 1 | 1.05 | Fault density is very small indicating that negligible number of faults have occurred |
| 1 | 2 | 1.1 | Fault density indicates that the asset has caused a moderate number of faults |
| 2 | 4 | 1.2 | Fault density indicates that the asset has caused a significant number of faults |
| 4 | 1,000.00 | 1.4 | Regularly causing faults and is therefore problematic |

5.1.4 Defects

Similarly to Distribution Conduction, CBRM uses two defect factors: Closed and Open. Closed defects represent completed repairs, and Open defects represent scheduled repairs.

5.1.4.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| Defect Factor Default | 1 | Set to have no effect on HI |

5.1.4.2 Closed Defect Factor

The closed defect factor is determined using the same method as distribution conductor, which is documented in Section 4.1.4.2.

| > Normalised Closed Defects By Length Minimum | <= Normalised Closed Defects By Length Maximum | Closed Defects Factor | Justification |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | No repairs have been undertaken |
| 0 | 1 | 1.05 | This is a low defect density indicating that minimal repairs have been required |
| 1 | 2 | 1.1 | The asset has experienced moderate repairs during its service life |
| 2 | 5 | 1.25 | Significant number of repairs have been undertaken on the conductor during its service life |
| 5 | 1,000.00 | 1.5 | Closed defect density indicates the asset needs to be repaired on a regular basis as it is problematic |

5.1.4.3 Open Defect Factor

The open defect factor is determined using the same method as distribution conductor, which is documented in Section 4.1.4.3.

| > Normalised Open Defects By Length Minimum | <= Normalised Open Defects By Length Maximum | Open Defects Factor | Justification |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | There are no scheduled repairs |
| 0 | 1 | 1.05 | This is a low defect density indicating that minimal repairs are scheduled |
| 1 | 2 | 1.1 | There are some scheduled repairs to be undertaken on the asset |
| 2 | 5 | 1.25 | There are significant repairs to be completed |
| 5 | 1,000.00 | 1.5 | Excessive repairs are to be completed indicating the asset is problematic |

5.1.5 HI1

HI1 is primarily age driven however it does take into account the duty and location factor. After CBRM determines HI1, it applies condition information to determine the overall HI the asset stands at today.

5.1.5.1 Constants

| Setting Item Value | | Justification | |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Average Life Default 55 | | Where insufficient information is available to determine average life, a conservative approach is used in which the shortest lifespan is to be assigned. The default life is rarely used because the size and type is known for most conductor assets | |
| As New HI Default 0.5 | | HI of 0.5 indicates a brand new asset | |
| HI1 Cap 5.5 | | HI of 5.5 indicates beginning of serious degradation. CBRM process caps the HI at this value if no condition information is available | |
| Reliability Rating Default 1 | | Used if no reliability rating is available, and assigns the asset as having normal reliability | |
| LogCalc 2.397895273 | | Constant CBRM uses to determine HI | |

5.1.5.2 Average Life

The average life of subtransmission conductors was determined using the same method as distribution, which is documented in Section 4.1.5.2. The following table documents the average life for various conductor size and types.

| Material | Size | Average Life |
|-----------|--------|--------------|
| Aluminium | Thin | 50 |
| | Medium | 60 |
| | Thick | 70 |
| Copper | Thin | 60 |
| | Medium | 80 |
| | Thick | 80 |

| Conductor Material | Cond. Size & Type | Average Life | Justification |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| ACSR | 0.015 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.025 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.03 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.06 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |

| Conductor Material | Cond. Size & Type | Average Life | Justification |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| AAC | 3.25 AAC | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 3.75 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 35 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| Aluminium | 0.06 ACSR | 50 | Thin aluminium conductor |
| Twisty | 0.025 Twisty | 50 | Thin Conductor |
| ACSR | 65 ACSR | 60 | Medium aluminium conductor |
| Aluminium | 0.1 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| Steel | 0.1 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| AAC | 76 AAC | 60 | Medium aluminium conductor |
| Copper | 0.0225 Cu | 60 | Thin copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.025 Twisty | 60 | Thin copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.035 Cu | 60 | Thin copper conductor |
| AAC | 0.12 AAC | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| AAC | 122 AAC | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| AAAC | 0.1 Silmalec AAAC | 60 | Thin copper conductor |
| ACSR | 0.1 ACSR | 60 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.10S ACSR | 60 | Medium aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.12 AAC | 60 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.12 ACSR | 60 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.15 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.225 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.35 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 0.5 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 105 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 144 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 243 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |

| Conductor Material | Cond. Size & Type | Average Life | Justification |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| ACSR | 244 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| AAAC | 337 AAAC | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| AAAC | 586 AAAC | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| AAC | 300 AAC | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 508 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| ACSR | 586 ACSR | 70 | Thick aluminium conductor |
| Copper | 0.06 CU | 80 | Medium copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.1 Cu | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.1 Cu Double Circuit | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.10 CU | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.10CU | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.15 Copper | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.15 CU | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.2 Copper | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.2 Cu | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.225 Double Circuit Copper | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.25 Copper | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.25 Double Circuit Copper | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 0.3 Copper | 80 | Thick copper conductor |
| Copper | 2.7 Copper | 80 | Thick copper conductor |

5.1.5.3 As New HI

All conductor types are assigned an as new HI of 0.5, which indicates the asset is brand new.

5.1.6 Factor Value

Factor value is used to establish condition indicators to HI1, settings here include the default defect factor and reliability ratings.

5.1.6.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|------------------------------|-------|--|
| Reliability Rating Default 1 | | Refer to Section 5.1.6.3 |
| Reliability Factor Default | 1 | Refer to Section 5.1.6.2 |
| Defect Factor Default | 1 | Default where no SAP DD notification has been recorded in last 5 years |

5.1.6.2 Reliability Factor

The Reliability Factor is used to identify problematic conductor types. Since the average life of the conductor type encapsulates its known performance on the network, all reliability ratings are set to assign a reliability factor of 1 (ie average reliability) and therefore have no effect on the HI.

5.1.6.3 Reliability Rating

As previously mentioned in Section 5.1.6.2, the average life of the conductor type encapsulates its known performance on the network, because of this, all of the reliability ratings have been set to indicate average reliability so there is no effect on the HI.

5.1.7 Failure Scenarios

Workshops undertaken with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Conductor SME and Asset Strategy Engineers identified that distribution conductor has the following failure scenarios:

- Fault: The conductor or its attachments fail, leading to conductor falling down and causing an outage;
- Repair: Inspectors identify that the conductor has defects which need repairing;
- Replacement: A significant proportion of the conductor needs to be replaced;
- Fire Start: The conductor starts a small bushfire; and
- Bush Fire: The conductor starts a major bushfire.

5.1.7.1 Constants

The HV database only contains records which impose STPIS penalties on SA Power Networks. When a fault occurs on subtransmission conductor no STPIS penalty is imposed as the fault either exceeds the SAIDI threshold for Major Events, or is bypassed using redundant lines. Subtransmission faults are documented in the SAP FM database, which is the only source of fault history available.

There is no way to determine if the faults were caused by condition or external events, so to overcome this, a decision was made in workshops with EA Technology, Asset Strategy Engineers and Conductor SME to ratio Condition : Non Condition as 4 : 1

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|---|
| No. Failures: Condition Conductor Fault | | SAP FM records created in the last 3 years show approximately 20-30 faults occur annually. Split 4:1 for condition and non condition. Earlier years could not be used as the data quality has improved in recent years |
| No. Failures: Condition Repairs 95 | | Total conductor repairs identified over last 5 years recorded in SAP database, under SAP codes: |

| Setting Item Val | | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| | | LMD005=129, LMC013=343, LMD017=3: average repair jobs=95 per annum |
| No. Failures: Condition Replacement | 2 | The conductor replacement log documents the replacement projects undertaken for subtransmission conductor. Over the last 5 years, an average of two subtransmission conductor replacement projects have been completed annually |
| No. Failures: Condition Fire Start | 0.018 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database. |
| No. Failures: Condition Bushfire | 0.003 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database. |
| No. Failures: Non-Condition Conductor Fault | 6 | SAP FM database shows approximately 20-30 subtransmission conductor faults have occurred annually for the last 5 years. Split 4:1 for condition and non condition. SAP FM database is used instead of the HV database as most subtransmission faults have SAIDI exceeding Major Event threshold, or bypassed with redundant lines. |
| No. Failures: Non-Condition Fire Start | 0.023 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database. |
| No. Failures: Non-Condition Bush Fire | 0.003 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database. |
| C Value: Condition Conductor Fault | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| C Value: Condition Repairs | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| C Value: Condition Replacement | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| C Value: Condition Fire Start | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| C Value: Condition Bushfire | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| HI Lim: Condition Repairs | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI indicating onset of wear out |
| HI Lim: Condition Replacement | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI indicating onset of wear out |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| HI Lim: Condition Fire Start 4 | | Industry standard setting for HI indicating onset of wear out | |
| HI Lim: Condition Bushfire | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI indicating onset of wear out | |
| HI Avg: Condition Conductor Fault | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Repairs 4 | | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Replacement 4 | | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Fire Start | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Bushfire | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Lim: Condition Conductor Fault | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |

5.1.8 Year 0 HI & PoF

| 5.1.8.1 | Constants |
|---------|-----------|
| | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------------|-----------|--|
| New Asset HI | 0.5 | Indicates a brand new asset |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Indicates a brand new asset |
| End of Life HI | 7 | CBRM process defines HI of 7 as representing an asset at end of life |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used if HI cannot be determined |
| Maximum Y0 HI | 10 | CBRM caps today's HI to a value of 10 |
| Bush Fire Factor Default 0 | | Most assets are located in Non Bush Fire Risk Areas |

5.1.8.2 Bushfire Zone

| BFRA_Level | Fire Risk Factor | Justification | |
|--------------------------|------------------|---|--|
| No Fire Risk | 0 | No fire risk in this area | |
| Non Bush Fire Risk Area | 0 | No fire risk in this area | |
| Bush Fire Risk | 1 | Moderate Bush Fire Risk Area – Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 1/10 th fire risk compared to HBFRA conductor | |
| Bush Fire Risk Area | 1 | Moderate Bush Fire Risk Area – Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 1/10 th fire risk compared to HBFRA conductor | |
| High Bush Fire Risk Area | 10 | High Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 10x fire risk compared to MBFRA conductor | |
| High Fire Risk | 10 | High Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 10x fire risk compared to MBFRA conductor | |

5.1.8.3 HI Category Y0

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

5.1.8.4 Weighted Health Index YO

The settings have been assigned to have no effect on results because the probability of event does not directly depend on voltage.

5.1.9 HI – Yn Health Index

HI – Yn represents the forecast future condition of the asset at Year Yn.

| J.1.J.1 Constants | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | |
| Yn | 11 | 2014-2025 | | |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Represents a brand new asset | | |
| Maximum Yn Hl | 15 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | | |
| Ageing Reduction Factor Default | 1 | If an ageing reduction factor cannot be applied, its effect is excluded from the results | | |

5.1.9.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-----------|--|
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used for the case where HI cannot be determined |
| Ageing Constant from Y0 HI Maximum increase(multiplier) | 1.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Minimum Age for Recalculated B | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Ageing Constant Multiplier Cap | 1.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

5.1.9.2 Age Reduction Factor

The age reduction factor models the increased life expectancy as conductor ages. The factors and HI band were provided by the CBRM Architect and have been developed from EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Final Asset HI Y0 Minimum | Final Asset HI Y0 Maximum | Ageing Reduction Factor |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 5.5 | 1.5 |
| 5.5 | 10 | 1.5 |

5.1.9.3 Future HI Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

5.1.9.4 Weighted Health Index Yn

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

5.2 RISK

5.2.1 Interventions

5.2.1.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|------------------------|-------|--|
| Yn | 11 | Aligns 2014-2025 |
| Percentage Replacement | 0.35 | Derived percentage of total network subtransmission conductor length to be replaced annually so a constant risk profile is maintained. |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|------------|--|
| % Replacement Ranking Column | Delta Risk | Prioritises based on difference in risk between existing and new asset |
| Replacement Default Cost | 40000 | Average unit cost of 66kV and 33kV replacement project |
| Portion of Route Length Replaced (%) | 20 | On average, SA Power Networks refurbishes 20% of a feeder |
| Maximum Health Index for Non Replaced Assets | 4 | 80% of remaining feeder has a maximum HI of 4, representing the conductor is still in reasonable condition. A lower maximum HI for subtransmission conductor is used as SA Power Networks' operational experience has found that subtransmission feeders last longer than distribution types. |

5.2.1.2 Percentage Replacement - Replacement Cost

The unit cost for 33kV conductor replacement was determined using completed conductor projects over the last 5 years and applying an escalation factor due to changing aluminium prices. The escalation factor was determined from annual prices documented by the London Metal Exchange.

The unit cost for 66kV conductor replacement was determined based on SA Power Networks' known operational experience, in which 1 Km route length costs \$200,000. The cost of 1 Km conductor length is approximately $1/3^{rd}$ of route length, which is \$60,000.

5.2.1.3 Target Replacement – Replacement Cost

The costs assigned have no effect on results because a targeted replacement program is not used to justify replacement CAPEX.

5.2.1.4 New Asset

| 5.2.1.4.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------------|-------|--|
| New Asset HI | 0.5 | Represents a brand new asset |
| Average Life of New Asset | 60 | Determined in workshops with CBRM experts from EA Technology, Asset Strategy Engineers and Subtransmission Conductor SME |

5.2.2 Criticality

Criticality is determined for each consequence category, it identifies the significance of a fault/failure, and is comprised of a number of weighting factors which represent relative severity.

5.2.2.1 Network Performance

Network Performance criticality represents significance of the penalty imposed on SA Power Networks when the asset causes an unplanned outage.

5.2.2.1.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|---|
| Life Support Customers Factor Default | 1 | Sets factor when number of life support customers is unknown to be the same as no life support customers are supplied by the feeder |
| Customer Number NP Factor Default | 1 | Subtransmission fault affects many customers regardless of feeder SCONRRR |
| Customer Type Default Factor | 1 | Number of direct HV customers supplied by subtransmission line is generally small, amount of load lost is more significant |
| NP Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Maximum Factor | 10 | More emphasis is placed on Safety, Environment and Network Performance than CAPEX and OPEX |

5.2.2.1.2 Customer Type Factor

Subtransmission fault places load at additional risk, which is independent of feeder SCONRRR, all settings have been assigned to have equal significance.

5.2.2.1.3 Life Support Customer Factor

More emphasis is placed on feeders supplying life support customers than those that do not. The values were provided by EA Technology and are based on their experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Life Support Customers Minimum | <= Life Support Customers Maximum | Life Support Customers Factor | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | No life support customers. Has no effect on risk |
| 0 | 3 | 1.1 | Default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| 3 | 5 | 1.2 | Default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| 5 | 20 | 1.25 | Default established through EA Technology's |

| > Life Support Customers Minimum | <= Life Support Customers Maximum | Life Support Customers Factor | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | | | past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| 20 | 10,000 | 1.3 | Default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

5.2.2.1.4 Number of Customers Factor

Number of direct HV customers supplied by subtransmission line is generally small, amount of load lost is more significant as it places additional risk on the network. All settings have been assigned to have equal significance.

5.2.2.1.5 Major Customer Factor

Major customers represent high load customers such as factories and shopping centres. EA Technology's experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide has found that feeders supplying major customers are twice as significant as feeders that only supply residential customers.

5.2.2.2 OPEX

OPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Operational Expenditure required to remediate it.

| 5.2.2.1 Constants | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
| Opex Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Opex Maximum Factor | 5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Customer Type OPEX Factor Default | 1 | Most customers are located in Rural Short / Urban, therefore these regions are used if the customer type is unknown |

| 5.2.2.2.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| | |

| 5.2.2.2.2 | Customer Type Factor | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| SCONRRR Category | Customer Type OPEX Factor | Justification | |
| Urban | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience | |
| Rural Short | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience | |
| CBD | 1.3 | To allow for increased traffic management and switching costs associated with CBD | |
| Rural Long | 1.5 | Deals with increased response time due to distance from nearest country depot | |

5.2.2.2.2 Customer Type Factor

5.2.2.3 CAPEX

CAPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Capital Expenditure required to remediate it.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|---------------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Customer Type CAPEX Factor Default | 1 | Sets factor default when customer type unknown to be the same as for Rural Short / Urban, as most customers are located in these regions | |
| Capex Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| Capex Maximum Factor | 5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |

5.2.2.3.1 *Constants*

5.2.2.3.2 Customer Type Factor

| SCONRRR Category | Customer Type CAPEX Factor | Justification |
|------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Urban | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience |
| Rural Short | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience |
| Rural Long | 1.05 | Deals with increased response time due to distance from nearest country depot |
| CBD | 1.1 | Increased switch costs and traffic control, CBD subtransmission is n-1 hence easier to switch than CBD distribution |

5.2.2.1 Environment

Environmental criticality represents the significance the asset has on the environment when an event occurs.

| 5.2.2.1.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| 0.0.0.0 | constants |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| Environmentally Sensitive Area Factor Default | 1 | Sets factor default when type unknown to be the same as Environment Rating '3', which is the norm for average environmental consequences based on EA Technology Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Env Minimum Factor | 1 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Env Maximum Factor | 10 | More emphasis placed on Safety, Environment and Network Performance than CAPEX and OPEX |

5.2.2.1.2 Environmentally Sensitive Area Factor

| Environmentally Sensitive Area | Environmentally Sensitive Area Factor | Justification |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | 0.5 | Area 3 rating set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting down for 1 or 2 and up for 4. |
| 2 | 0.7 | Area 3 rating set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting down for 1 or 2 and up for 4. |
| 3 | 1 | Norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide 4. |
| 4 | 2 | Area 3 rating set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting down for 1 or 2 and up for 4. |

5.2.2.2 Safety Factor

Safety criticality represents the significance the asset has on public and employee safety.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|------------------------------|-------|--|
| Customer Type Default Factor | 1 | Default set to have no effect |
| Safety Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Safety Maximum Factor | 10 | More emphasis placed on Safety, Environment and Network Performance than CAPEX and OPEX |

5.2.2.2.2 Customer Type Factor

Provides facility to adjust by SCONRRR category, all regions have equal significance on safety.

5.2.3 Average Cost of a Fault

5.2.3.1 Network Performance

Network Performance consequences are the penalties imposed on SA Power Networks whenever an outage occurs. It is important to note that a significant proportion of the subtransmission conductor network is redundant, which means that a failure does not typically result in an outage. When a section of redundant line fails however, its load is no longer redundant, this load is classed as load put at additional risk. CBRM uses a direct calculation of the load put at additional risk and converts this to a dollar value using VCR.

A LAFF is then applied to the load at additional risk. The LAFF is a factor which uses a cubic relationship to quantify the additional risk when the load is above firm capacity of the network, it is calibrated to offset the Risk Factor (described in Section 5.2.3.1.4) with a value representing 1 for fully redundant and 20 for non redundant.

Network Performance consequences for radial (non redundant) subtransmission conductor is determined using VCR because the outage will have SAIDI exceeding the Major Event threshold therefore having no penalty imposed.

| 5.2.5.1.1 Constant | 5 | |
|--|-----------|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
| CVALNP | 0.037 | Gives a 20:1 relationship between LAFF and % load above firm capacity |
| KVALNP | 1 | Gives a 20:1 relationship between LAFF and % load above firm capacity |
| Default Asset Redundancy | Redundant | A significant proportion of the subtransmission conductor network is redundant |
| LAFF Value Default for Non-Redundant Assets | 1 | Conservative approach is used in which assets with unknown LAFF are assumed to be fully redundant |
| Load Lost/Add. Risk Default | 5 | Sets a default value of 5MVA of load lost where actual load at additional risk is unknown. This value was determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset |

| 5.2.3.1.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| 3.2.3.1.1 | constants |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------|-------|--|
| | | Strategy Engineers, and Subtransmission Planning |

5.2.3.1.2 VCR Constants

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--------------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|-------|---|
| VCR (\$) Redundant Assets | 95700 | 47800 | 47800 | 47800 | As per AER STPIS Report |
| Power Factor Redundant Assets | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Recommended by the Manager Network Planning |
| Load Factor Redundant Assets | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| VCR (\$) Non Redundant Assets | 95700 | 47800 | 47800 | 47800 | As per AER STPIS Report |
| Load Factor Non Redundant Assets | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Power Factor Non Redundant Assets | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Recommended by the Manager Network Planning |

5.2.3.1.3 Non Redundant Constants

Duration of non redundant outages has been derived using outages recorded in the HV database.

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|------|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Conductor Fault | 1.25 | 3 | 2.5 | 1.5 | Determined from all available records in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Repair | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a planned outage, and as such does not result in a STPIS penalty |
| NP Avg. Restoration | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a planned outage, and as |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|------|---------------|----------------|-------|---|
| Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Replacement | | | | | such does not result in a STPIS penalty |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non- Condition Conductor Fault | 1.25 | 3 | 2.5 | 1.5 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non- Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non- Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Conductor Fault | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Repair | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Replacement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| Fire Start | | | | | |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Conductor Fault | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Fire Start | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Conductor Fault | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Repair | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Replacement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Fire Start | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Conductor Fault | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Fire Start | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |

5.2.3.1.4 *Redundant Constants*

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|-----|------------|-------------|-------|---|
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Conductor Fault | 2.5 | 6 | 5 | 3 | These values were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers and Subtransmission Planning |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Repair | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Switching is undertaken to bypass the conductor during repairs |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Switching is undertaken to bypass the conductor during replacement |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Non-Condition Conductor Fault | 2.5 | 6 | 5 | 3 | These values were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers and Subtransmission Planning |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Non-Condition Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|------|------------|-------------|-------|--|
| Start | | | | | network requires rebuilding. |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Non-Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Conductor Fault | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Repair | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Replacement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Fire Start | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Conductor Fault | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Fire Start | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Conductor Fault | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|------|------------|-------------|-------|---|
| | | | | | Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Repair | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Replacement | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Fire Start | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Bush Fire | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Conductor Fault | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|------|------------|-------------|-------|---|
| | | | | | established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Fire Start | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Bush Fire | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

5.2.3.2 OPEX

OPEX consequences are the Operational Expenditure required in response to an event.

5.2.3.2.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---|
| Voltage Consequence Band Default | 33kV | Biggest proportion of subtransmission feeders operate at 33kV |

5.2.3.2.2 Voltage Group Constants

| Sizisizizi Voltage Group constants | | | | | |
|---|------|------|--|--|--|
| Setting Item | 33kV | 66kV | Justification | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Conductor Fault | 1300 | 1300 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP FM Notifications for the last 5 years | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Repair | 700 | 2000 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP DD Notifications for the last 5 years | | |
| Opex Avg. | 0 | 0 | All of conductor replacement is CAPEX | | |

| Setting Item | 33kV | 66kV | Justification |
|--|-----------|-----------|--|
| Consequence: Condition Replacement | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Fire Start | 2000000 | 2000000 | A minor bushfire costs the business \$2M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Bushfire | 250000000 | 250000000 | A Catastrophic bushfire costs the business \$250M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management |
| Opex Consequence: Non-Condition Conductor Fault | 1300 | 1300 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP FM Notifications for the last 5 years |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Non- Condition Bush Fire | 250000000 | 250000000 | A Catastrophic bushfire costs the business \$250M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Non- Condition Fire Start | 2000000 | 2000000 | A minor bushfire costs the business \$2M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management |

5.2.3.2.3 Voltage Band

Based on SA Power Networks experience OPEX is dependent on build type rather than voltage. The Voltage Band assigns the build type to each line voltage.

| Voltage | Voltage Consequence Band | Justification |
|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 33kV | 33kV | 33kV Build Type |
| 66kV | 66kV | 66kV Build Type |
| 132kV | 66kV | 66kV Build Type |

5.2.3.3 CAPEX

CAPEX consequences are the Capital Expenditure required in response to an event.

5.2.3.3.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--|
| Opex:Capex Ratio | 1 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience CAPEX and OPEX are valued equally |
| Voltage Consequence Band Default | 33kV | Biggest proportion of subtransmission feeders operate at 33kV |

| 5.2.3.3.2 Voltage Group Constants | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|---|--|
| Setting Item | 33kV | 66kV | Justification | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Cost of Conductor Fault | 1500 | 1500 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP FM Notifications for the last 5 years | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Condition Repair | 4000 | 4000 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP DD Notifications for the last 5 years | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Cost of Replacement | 118000 | 200000 | 66kV conductor projects typically cost around 200k. For 33kV, completed conductor project information and escalation factor for metal prices for the last 5 years was used | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Cost of Fire Start | 0 | 0 | Not used | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Cost of Bushfire | 0 | 0 | Not used | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Cost of Non-Condition Conductor Fault | 1500 | 1500 | Determined from Orders recorded against SAP FM Notifications for the last 5 years | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Non- Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | Not used | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Non- Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | Not used | |

5.2.3.3.2 Voltage Group Constants

5.2.3.3.3 Voltage Band

Based on SA Power Networks experience CAPEX is dependent on build type rather than voltage. The Voltage Band assigns the build type to each line voltage.

| Voltage | Voltage Consequence Band | Justification |
|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 33kV | 33kV | 33kV Build Type |
| 66kV | 66kV | 66kV Build Type |
| 132kV | 66kV | 66kV Build Type |

5.2.3.4 SAFETY

5.2.3.4.1 *Consequences*

The safety consequences are valued in monetary terms, and have been assessed by establishing a value of safety event in terms of its adverse cost on society. CBRM uses the following consequences to determine safety risk:

- Minor The event leads to an individual requiring medical treatment only;
- Major The event incurs a lost time injury;
- Fatality The event causes death or permanent disability.

EA Technology established the values for the three consequence types through collaboration with the Network Asset Management Group from SA Power Networks, the process that was used is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

5.2.3.4.2 *Average Consequences*

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

5.2.3.5 Environment

5.2.3.5.1 *Consequences*

Five significant environmental consequences have been identified that could arise as a result of a network asset failure:

- Loss of oil;
- Emission of SF6 gas into the atmosphere;
- A significant fire with smoke pollution;
- The production of contaminated waste; and
- Major disturbance such as traffic congestion or noise.

CBRM assigns a monetary value to the environmental consequences based on trading values for carbon emissions. The overall process that was used to determine this is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

5.2.3.5.2 Failure Scenario Constants

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

5.3 FEEDERS

Feeder calibration data is used for the determination of the Open/Closed defect and Fault condition factors for each asset.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------|-----------|--|
| Feeders Ranking | YO Risk | This is used for reporting, it has no effect on the result |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used when the HI cannot be determined |

5.3.1 Feeder Constants

5.3.2 Feeder Data Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| SCONRR Priority Default | 1 | SCONRRR represents load per Km (load density). If the SCONRRR is unknown for a particular feeder a conservative approach in which the lowest load density is assigned | |
| Major Customers Priority Default | 1 | Sets Major Customers default equivalent to 'No' when Major Customers information unknown | |
| Default Fault Score | 1 | If the fault score cannot be determined, a conservative approach is used by assuming no faults have ever occurred | |

5.3.3 HI Y0 Category

These settings are used to generate reports in the CBRM front end client, they are assigned with default values provided by EA Technology and have no effect on results.

5.3.4 HI Yn Category

These settings are used to generate reports in the CBRM front end client, they are assigned with default values provided by EA Technology and have no effect on results.

5.3.5 Percentage Replacement HI Category

These settings are used to generate reports in the CBRM front end client, they are assigned with default values provided by EA Technology and have no effect on results.

5.3.6 Target Intervention Category

The CBRM front end uses these settings for reporting purposes. These have no effect on the results.

5.3.7 SCONRRR Priority

The SCONRRR category is based on load per km, the priority is assigned in the following order of precedence: CBD, Urban, Rural Long and Rural Short.

5.3.8 Major Customers Priority

| Major Customer | Major Customers Priority | Justification |
|----------------|--------------------------|--|
| No | 0 | Converts Major Customer (Yes or No) to (1 or 0) for calculation purposes |
| Yes | 1 | Converts Major Customer (Yes or No) to (1 or 0) for calculation purposes |

5.3.9 Fault Score

SAP FM notifications recorded against conductor within the last 5 years are used to determine the cumulative fault score for which is then converted to the fault density by normalising the score against the conductor length. For each fault, the fault score depends on the FM problem code.

| Fault Score | Justification |
|-------------|--|
| 0 | Fault has no effect on conductor condition |
| 0.5 | Cannot identify level of significance fault has on conductor condition |
| 1 | Fault is fully relevant to conductor condition |

5.3.10 Defect Score

SAP DD Notifications recorded against conductor with the last 5 years are used to determine the cumulative defect score which is then converted to the defect densities by normalising the score against the conductor length.

| Defect Priority | Defect Score | Justification | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 4 | 0.5 | Represents to condition monitor, defect does not have direct impact on short term reliability | |
| 3 | 1 | Defect has impact on mid term reliability | |
| 2 | 1.5 | Defect has impact on short to mid term reliability | |
| 1 | 2 | Defect impacts short term reliability | |
| Z | 1 | Z code does not necessarily mean the defect identifies poor condition, only fire risk, repair is of highest priority | |

5.3.11 Open/Closed Status

The determination of whether a SAP DD notification is open or closed is determined by checking if a NOCO flag has been assigned to the status.

6. POLES

6.1 Health Index

The Pole HI is determined from the following interim HIs:

- HI2 Determined by combining HI1 with the defect factor;
- HI2a Determined from condition measurements recorded in PAT; and
- HI2b Determined from corrosion measurements.

CBRM combines the interim HIs by using HI2a to cap HI2b, calling the capped HI HI2a/b. If HI2a/b is higher than HI2, YearO HI is assigned with HI2a/b, otherwise YearO HI is assigned with average of HI2 and HI2a/b.

6.1.1 Location Factor

The Location Factor models how the installation environment affects the pole's condition over time. For example, poles located in the desert are less susceptible to atmospheric corrosion than poles located on the coastline.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|---|
| Pollution Factor Default | 1 | Set to have no effect on HI where no pollution data available |
| Ground Corrosion Zone Factor Default | 1 | Set to have no effect on HI where no ground corrosion data available |
| Atmospheric Corrosion Zone Default | 1 | Set to have no effect on HI where no atmospheric corrosion data available |
| Location Factor Increment | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Use Feeder Corrosion Yes/No | No | The pole's actual corrosion zone is used instead of the feeder's. |

6.1.1.1 Constants

6.1.1.2 Pollution Factor

Based on SA Power Networks' operating experience, industrial pollution has no effect on pole condition and therefore has no effect on HI. For every record the pollution field has been left blank so the default factor of 1 is used.

6.1.1.3 Atmospheric Corrosion Zone

The corrosion zone indicates the impact corrosion will have on assets.

CBRM assigns a corrosion value to each corrosion zone. The mapping between the zones and ratings is shown in the following table.

| Corrosion Zone | Corrosion Rating |
|----------------|------------------|
| Low | 1 |
| Moderate | 2 |
| High | 3 |
| Extreme | 4 |

6.1.1.4 Atmospheric Corrosion Factor

Corrosion factors were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Pole SME, Asset Strategy Engineers, and Manager Network Maintenance. The corrosion factor is assigned to the corresponding corrosion rating. The corrosion factors are based on the actual lifespan of poles in each corrosion zone, so that rather than use average lives for each corrosion zone, a standard average life is used for every pole, which modulated to its expected life according to the corrosion zone.

| Corrosion Rating | Value |
|------------------|-------|
| 1 | 0.75 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 1.25 |
| 4 | 2 |

6.1.2 Pole Equipment

Pole equipment accounts for the wind loading a pole experiences as a consequence of supporting pole top assets. The factors were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM experts, Pole SME and Asset Strategy Engineers.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| BB Power Duty Factor Value | 1.1 | Equipment places moderate wind loading on the pole |
| Capacitor Duty Factor Value | 1.1 | Equipment places moderate wind loading on the pole |
| OH HV Recloser Duty Factor Value | 1.1 | Equipment places moderate wind loading on the pole |
| OH HV Sectionaliser Duty Factor Value | 1.1 | Equipment places moderate wind loading on the pole |
| OH HV Switch Duty Factor Value | 1.1 | Equipment places moderate wind loading on the pole |
| OH HV Term Joint Duty Factor Value | 1.15 | Equipment places high wind loading on the pole |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------------------------|-------|--|
| OH LV Switch Duty Factor Value | 1.05 | Equipment places low wind loading on the pole |
| OH LV Term Joint Duty Factor Value | 1.1 | Equipment places moderate wind loading on the pole |
| OH Tx Duty Factor Value | 1.15 | Equipment places high wind loading on the pole |
| OH-UG HV Joint Duty Factor Value | 1.05 | Equipment places low wind loading on the pole |
| OH-UG LV Joint Duty Factor Value | 1 | Equipment has no effect on wind loading |
| Public Light Duty Factor Value | 1.05 | Equipment places low wind loading on the pole |
| Regulator Duty Factor Value | 1.15 | Equipment places high wind loading on the pole |

6.1.3 Duty Factor

Duty factor models how hard an asset has worked in its operational life. Workshops between EA Technology CBRM experts, Pole SME, Asset Strategy Engineers and the Manager Network Asset Management determined that most poles are designed to survive in their dedicated wind zones.

There are certain types of poles which have a reduced or extended life, these being service poles and transmission poles. Service poles are significantly smaller than all other poles and as a consequence they experience more mechanical stress in windy conditions. Transmission poles are significantly larger than every other pole and therefore experience minimal mechanical stress in all wind conditions.

6.1.3.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-------------------------|-------|---|
| Default Size Function | Line | If the size function cannot be determined, it is assigned to be a line pole |
| Default Function Factor | 1 | If the function factor of a pole cannot be determined its effect on HI is ignored |
| Default Voltage Factor | 1 | If the voltage factor of a pole cannot be determined its effect on HI is ignored |

6.1.3.2 Size Function

The size function is determined from the pole features stored in the GIS database. If a pole feature has no size function information, it is assigned as a line pole. This is deemed to be acceptable as the majority of HV poles on the network are line type poles.

6.1.3.3 Function Factor

| Function Used | Function Factor | Justification | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Tpole | 0.95 | Subtransmission Poles contain more steel than all other types and therefore experience less mechanical stress in all wind conditions | |
| Dpole | 1 | Factor is set to 1 as the pole size has been chosen based on its function and environmental stresses | |
| Line Pole | 1 | Factor is set to 1 as the pole size has been chosen based on its function and environmental stresses | |
| Turnback/ Brace Pole | 1 | Factor is set to 1 as the pole size has been chosen based on its function and environmental stresses | |
| Transformer Pole | 1 | Factor is set to 1 as the pole size has been chosen based on its function and environmental stresses | |
| Angle Pole | 1 | Factor is set to 1 as the pole size has been chosen based on its function and environmental stresses | |
| Dead End Pole | 1 | Factor is set to 1 as the pole size has been chosen based on its function and environmental stresses | |
| Line | 1 | Factor is set to 1 as the pole size has been chosen based on its function and environmental stresses | |
| Service Pole | 1.1 | Due to the smaller size of a service pole, it will experience more mechanical stress during windy conditions | |
| Spole | 1.1 | Due to the smaller size of a service pole, it will experience more mechanical stress during windy conditions | |
| Npole | 1.2 | Non ETSA pole, these are not built to the same standards, SA Power Networks' operational experience has found these have a tendency to fail pre maturely in windy conditions | |

6.1.3.4 Voltage Factor

Voltage factor is used to model the wind loading. Pole height is proportional to the line voltage it supports, and the majority of wind loading is exerted onto the pole by the conductor and pole top assets. This means that taller (higher voltage) poles will experience greater wind loading.

| Max GIS Feeder Voltage | Voltage Factor | Justification |
|---------------------------|----------------|---|
| LV_LINE | 0.85 | The lower height of service poles reduces the amount of wind load they experience |
| LV_SERVICE | 0.85 | The lower height of service poles reduces the amount of wind load they experience |

| Max GIS Feeder Voltage | Voltage Factor | Justification |
|---------------------------|----------------|--|
| 19kV | 0.95 | SWER poles have one phase of conductor, and therefore experience less wind loading |
| 7.6kV | 1 | Pole experiences typical level of wind loading |
| 11kV | 1 | Pole experiences typical level of wind loading |
| 66kV | 1.1 | Higher voltage pole experiences a higher level of wind loading |
| 33kV | 1.1 | Higher voltage pole experiences a higher level of wind loading |

6.1.4 Defects

6.1.4.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-----------------------|-------|---|
| Defect Factor Default | 1 | Used for the case where the defect factor cannot be determined, so there is no effect on HI |
| Defect Default Score | 1 | Used for the case where no defects have been identified, so there is no effect on HI |

6.1.4.2 Defect Factor

Every pole defect stored in SAP is assigned a geocode, which is used to match the defect to its corresponding pole by using geospatial queries executed in GIS. CBRM identifies the associated defects with each pole and calculates a cumulative defect score.

| > Overall Defect Score Minimum | <= Overall Defect Score Maximum | Defect Factor | Justification |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | This is a common occurrence, it means that the pole has never needed repairs, the factor is set to have no effect on HI |
| 0 | 1 | 1.05 | The pole required a minor repair during its service life |
| 1 | 3 | 1.1 | Multiple minor or significant repairs have been undertaken |
| 3 | 5 | 1.2 | Multiple significant repairs have been undertaken |
| 5 | 10 | 1.3 | Consistent repairs are required indicating the pole is at risk of failure |

| > Overall Defect | <= Overall Defect | Defect | Justification |
|------------------|-------------------|--------|---|
| Score Minimum | Score Maximum | Factor | |
| 10 | 100 | 1.5 | Persistent repairs are required indicating the pole could fail in the near future |

6.1.4.3 Defect Score

Each defect has a score associated with it, which contributes towards the overall cumulative score. The defect score depends on the Coding Code and Priority of the DD notification recorded against the pole in SAP, and was determined in workshops with the EA Technology CBRM Experts, Pole SME, and Asset Strategy Engineers. CBRM uses all SAP DD notifications created in the last 5 years assigned with SAP codes related to poles.

| Defect Coding Code Text | Defect Priority | Defect Score | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| POLE AGE PRTY4 | 1 | 0 | This coding code is a placeholder for when a new pole is found on a network, it does not reflect condition |
| POLE AGE PRTY4 | 2 | 0 | This coding code is a placeholder for when a new pole is found on a network, it does not reflect condition |
| POLE AGE PRTY4 | 3 | 0 | This coding code is a placeholder for when a new pole is found on a network, it does not reflect condition |
| POLE ANGLE- TERM-T/OFF <50% | 3 | 0.5 | This identifies that a pole has minor corrosion |
| POLE LINE PLATE <50 % | 3 | 0.5 | This identifies that a pole has minor corrosion |
| POLE SERVICE <50% | 3 | 0.5 | This identifies that a pole has minor corrosion |
| FOOTING | 3 | 0.5 | This identifies that the pole footing has been damaged/exposed |
| POLE ANGLE TERM-T/OFF DAMAGED | 3 | 0.5 | This identifies that the pole has experienced minor structural damage |
| POLE LINE DAMAGED | 3 | 0.5 | This identifies that the pole has experienced minor structural damage |
| POLE SERVICE DAMAGED | 3 | 0.5 | This identifies that the pole has experienced minor structural damage |

| Defect Coding Code Text | Defect Priority | Defect Score | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| POLE LINE >50% RUSTED | 3 | 0.5 | This identifies that the pole has experienced significant corrosion, however remediation can be undertaken between 6 months to 2 years |
| POLE SERVICE >50% | 3 | 0.5 | This identifies that the pole has experienced significant corrosion, however remediation can be undertaken between 6 months to 2 years |
| POLE OTHER | 3 | 0.5 | Cannot determine impact on poles condition |
| POLE ANGLE- TERM-T/OFF >50% | 3 | 0.5 | This identifies that the pole has experienced significant corrosion, however remediation can be undertaken between 6 months to 2 years |
| POLE ANGLE- TERM-T/OFF <50% | 2 | 1 | This identifies that the pole has experienced minor corrosion which will need remediation within the next 6 months |
| POLE LINE PLATE <50 % | 2 | 1 | This identifies the pole needs to be plated in the next 6 months |
| POLE SERVICE <50% | 2 | 1 | This identifies the service pole has minor corrosion to be fixed in the next 6 months |
| FOOTING | 2 | 1 | This identifies damaged/exposed footing to be fixed in the next 6 months |
| POLE ANGLE TERM-T/OFF DAMAGED | 2 | 1 | This identifies the pole has experienced structural damage to be fixed in the next 6 months |
| POLE LINE DAMAGED | 2 | 1 | This identifies the pole has experienced structural damage to be fixed in the next 6 months |
| POLE SERVICE DAMAGED | 2 | 1 | This identifies the pole has experienced structural damage to be fixed in the next 6 months |
| POLE LINE >50% RUSTED | 2 | 1 | Pole has significant corrosion to be fixed in the next 6 months |
| POLE SERVICE >50% | 2 | 1 | Pole has significant corrosion to be fixed in the next 6 months |
| POLE OTHER | 2 | 1 | Established during workshops with EA Technology |
| POLE ANGLE- | 2 | 1 | Pole has significant corrosion to be fixed in the |

| Defect Coding Code Text | Defect Priority | Defect Score | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---|
| TERM-T/OFF >50% | | | next 6 months |
| FOOTING | 4 | 1 | Condition monitoring needs to be undertaken on the pole footing |
| POLE ANGLE- TERM-T/OFF <50% | 4 | 1 | Condition monitoring to be undertaken on the pole corrosion |
| POLE ANGLE- TERM-T/OFF >50% | 4 | 1 | Pole has significant corrosion |
| POLE LINE >50% RUSTED | 4 | 1 | Condition monitoring to be undertaken on the pole corrosion |
| POLE LINE DAMAGED | 4 | 1 | Condition monitoring to be undertaken on the damaged pole |
| POLE LINE PLATE <50 % | 4 | 1 | Condition monitoring to be undertaken for plating determination |
| POLE SERVICE >50% | 4 | 1 | Condition monitoring to be undertaken on pole corrosion |
| POLE SERVICE DAMAGED | 4 | 1 | Condition monitoring to be undertaken on pole damage |
| POLE ANGLE TERM-T/OFF DAMAGED | 4 | 1 | Condition monitoring to be undertaken on pole damage |
| POLE OTHER | 4 | 1 | Cannot identify overall impact on pole condition |
| POLE LINE >50% RUSTED | Z | 2 | Rusted pole exposes a fire start risk |
| POLE ANGLE TERM-T/OFF DAMAGED | Z | 2 | Damaged pole exposes a fire start risk |
| POLE ANGLE- TERM-T/OFF >50% | Z | 2 | Rusted pole exposes a fire start risk |
| FOOTING | 1 | 2 | Damaged/exposed footing exposes a bushfire risk |

| Defect Coding Code Text | Defect Priority | Defect Score | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| POLE ANGLE TERM-T/OFF DAMAGED | 1 | 2 | Damaged pole likely to fail, fix within 1 month |
| POLE LINE DAMAGED | 1 | 2 | Damaged pole likely to fail, fix within 1 month |
| POLE SERVICE DAMAGED | 1 | 2 | Damaged pole likely to fail, fix within 1 month |
| POLE LINE >50% RUSTED | 1 | 2 | Corroded pole likely to fail, fix within 1 month |
| POLE SERVICE >50% | 1 | 2 | Corroded pole likely to fail, fix within 1 month |
| POLE OTHER | 1 | 2 | Pole was likely to fail, fix within 1 month |
| POLE ANGLE- TERM-T/OFF >50% | 1 | 2 | Corroded pole likely to fail, fix within 1 month |
| POLE ANGLE- TERM-T/OFF <50% | 1 | 2 | Corroded pole likely to fail, fix within 1 month |
| POLE LINE PLATE <50 % | 1 | 2 | Pole needs to be plated in 1 month, or it may fail |
| POLE SERVICE <50% | 1 | 2 | Corroded pole likely to fail, fix within 1 month |

6.1.5 Corrosion

CBRM uses corrosion measurements to determine the minimum and maximum possible HI of a pole.

6.1.5.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | ue Justification | |
|------------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| Problem Code Minimum HI Default | 0.5 | HI of 0.5 indicates the asset is brand new | |
| Problem Code Maximum HI Default | 10 | HI of 10 indicates the pole is at end of life | |

6.1.5.2 HI 2(b) Measured Corrosion HI

Inspectors measure and record joist corrosion for every inspected pole. Originally the measurement was stored in a SAP defect that represented a pole asset, until recently when the PAT was commissioned. Each pole record in CBRM has a field which stores the joist corrosion measurement.

The joist corrosion measurement is the best indicator of condition because SA Power Networks' operational experience has found that corroded poles are more likely to fail. The corrosion measurement is converted to a HI2(b), indicating a HI based on corrosion. HI2(b) is combined with the age, duty and location based HI to determine an overall HI for each pole. There is a cap placed on HI2(b) to account for inconsistencies with corrosion measurement and a visual assessment, HI2(a) is used to determine this cap, and is further explained in Section 6.1.6.1.

The corrosion bands to HI score were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Poles SME, and Asset Strategy Engineers.

| > SAP Description % Corroded Minimum | <= SAP Description % Corroded Maximum | HI 2 (b) Measured Corrosion HI |
|---|--|--------------------------------|
| -1 | 5 | 1 |
| 5 | 10 | 2 |
| 10 | 20 | 3 |
| 20 | 30 | 4 |
| 30 | 40 | 5.5 |
| 40 | 50 | 7 |
| 50 | 70 | 8 |
| 70 | 80 | 9 |
| 80 | 90 | 10 |
| 90 | 100 | 10 |

6.1.5.3 Problem Code Minimum HI

Defects assigned with the following problem codes can be used to identify the minimum HI for their corresponding pole.

| SAP Prob. Code | Problem Code Minimum HI | Justification |
|----------------|----------------------------|---|
| CORRODED < 50% | 0.5 | Best case the pole is in brand new condition |
| DAMAGE | 0.5 | Pole is damaged, however unable to determine the extent |
| INADEQUATE | 0.5 | Pole is possibly inadequate for its use, however unable to determine its actual condition |
| CORRODED >50% | 5 | At best the pole is beginning to significantly wear out |

6.1.5.4 Problem Code Maximum HI

Defects recorded under the following problem codes can be used to identify the maximum HI for the corresponding pole.

| SAP Prob. Code | Problem Code Maximum HI | Justification |
|----------------|----------------------------|--|
| CORRODED <50% | 5.5 | At worst the pole is beginning to significantly wear out |
| CORRODED >50% | 10 | At worst the pole needs to be replaced |
| DAMAGE | 10 | At worst the pole needs to be replaced |

6.1.6 Condition

6.1.6.1 HI 2(a) Highest Score HI

During recent inspections it has been made mandatory for inspectors to record an overall subjective assessment of the pole, which CBRM uses to determine the pole's worst case condition based HI by capping HI2(b). The condition map was decided in workshops participated by EA Technology CBRM Experts, Poles SME, and Asset Strategy Engineers.

| Highest Condition Score | HI 2(a) Highest Score HI | Justification |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 1 | 0.5 | Indicates very good condition pole |
| 2 | 3 | Indicates average condition pole |
| 3 | 5 | Indicates ageing pole |
| 4 | 8 | Pole is experiencing significant degradation |

6.1.7 HI1

HI1 is primarily age driven however it does take into account the duty and location factor. After CBRM determines HI1, it applies condition information to determine the overall HI the asset stands at today.

6.1.7.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------|-------|---|
| Average Life Default | 60 | Where insufficient information is available to determine average life, the average life of a service pole is assigned, as the majority of poles on the network provide a service function |
| As New HI Default | 0.5 | HI of 0.5 indicates a brand new asset |
| HI1 Cap | 5.5 | HI of 5.5 indicates beginning of serious degradation. CBRM process caps the HI at this value if no condition information is available |
| Plated Average Life | 20 | This is based on SA Power Networks' Operational Experience |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|------------------|-------|---|--|
| Plated As New HI | 3 | The condition of a recently refurbished pole is half way between brand new and wear out | |

6.1.7.2 Average Life

The average life of a pole depends on its size and type.

The size of an individual Stobie pole is determined by its height and channel width. The following map converts the size to a value between 1 and 7:

| | Height | 0 to 10 | 11 to 13 | 14 to 17 | 18 to 20 | 21 to 23 | >23 |
|-------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|
| Width | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | 6 to 15 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| | 16 to 20 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| | 21 to 25 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | 26 to 35 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | 36 to 50 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| | 51 to 65 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| | >65 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 7 |

| SAP Pole Size | Average Life | Justification | |
|---------------|-----------------|--|--|
| WOOD | 35 | The industry standard life of wooden poles is used here. It is rare to find a wooden pole on the network | |
| TELECOM | 35 | These poles are the same as wooden poles, the industry standard life of wooden poles is used here. It is rare to find a wooden pole on the network | |
| TOWER | 60 | This is based on SA Power Networks' operational experience, it is important to note that there are only 5 towers used in the network | |
| TRAM_IRON | 80 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| TUBULAR POLE | 60 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| GALV_BOX | 60 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| H_IRON | 60 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| LATTICE | 60 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |

| SAP Pole Size | Average Life | Justification | |
|---------------|-----------------|---|--|
| RAIL | 60 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| тив | 60 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| 1 | 60 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| 2 | 65 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| 3 | 70 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| 4 | 75 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| 5 | 80 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| 6 | 85 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |
| 7 | 90 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME | |

6.1.7.3 As New HI

The as new HI for every pole is set to 0.5, to indicate it is brand new.

6.1.8 Failure Scenarios

Workshops undertaken with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Poles SME and Asset Strategy Engineers identified that poles have the following failure scenarios:

- Pole Break: The pole falls over;
- Replacement: Inspections determine the pole needs to be replaced;
- Plating: Inspections determine the pole needs to be plated;
- Fire Start: The pole falls over and starts a small bushfire; and
- Bush Fire: The pole falls over and starts a major bushfire.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------------------------|--------|---|
| Total Asset Population Count | 740001 | There are approximately 740000 poles in the network |
| No. Failures: Condition Pole Break | 50 | Failure records in SAP indicate that 60 unassisted failures occurred in 2012, 80 in 2011, and 30 in 2010. Average of approx 50 per annum. Did not use pre 2010 data as information from |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|--|--------|--|--|
| | | 2010 onwards is better | |
| No. Failures: Condition Bushfire | 0.0007 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database | |
| No. Failures: Condition Fire Start | 0.0046 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database | |
| No. Failures: Condition Replacement | 1425 | SAP DD notification records indicate 2266 replacements were completed in 2011, and 1239 replacements in 2010. Average annual replacements prior to this is 850 (2009-2005). Average of 850, 2266 and 1239 is approximately 1425 ² | |
| No. Failures: Condition Plated | 2330 | SAP records indicate 2574 platings were completed in 2011, and 2070 completed in 2010, this indicates an average of 2330 platings annually. Last two years used, as plating is a more common practice on the network now. ³ | |
| No. Failures: Non- Condition Pole Break | 40 | Based on SAP FM records, and the fact there is a rising trend of non condition events i.e. 2009: 23, 2010: 35, 2011: 39 and 2012: 36. Car hit poles are excluded as the cost is recoverable. | |
| No. Failures: Non- Condition Fire Start | 0.006 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database | |
| No. Failures: Non- Condition Bush Fire | 0.001 | Fire event rates are spread across all line assets using historic events recorded in the fire start database | |
| C Value: Condition Pole Break | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4 | |
| C Value: Condition Bushfire | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4 | |
| C Value: Condition Fire Start | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4 | |
| C Value: Condition Replacement | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4 | |
| C Value: Condition Plated | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4 | |
| HI Lim: Condition Pole Break | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out phase begins | |
| HI Lim: Condition Bushfire | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out phase begins | |

 $^{^2}$ To be reviewed as part of next CBRM update based on 2012, 2013 and 2014 data 3 To be reviewed as part of next CBRM update based on 2012, 2013 and 2014 data

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|----------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| HI Lim: Condition Fire Start | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out phase begins | |
| HI Lim: Condition Replacement | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out phase begins | |
| HI Lim: Condition Plated | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out phase begins | |
| HI Avg: Condition Pole Break | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Bushfire | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Fire Start | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Replacement | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Plated | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |

6.1.9 Year 0 HI & PoF

6.1.9.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-----------|---|
| New Asset HI | 0.5 | Indicates a brand new asset |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Indicates a brand new asset |
| End of Life HI | 7 | CBRM process defines HI of 7 represents an asset at end of life |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used if the HI cannot be determined |
| Maximum Y0 HI | 10 | CBRM caps today's HI to a value of 10 |
| Bush Fire Factor Default | 0 | Most poles do not exist in MBFRA/HBFRA |
| Non-Condition PoF Probability: Fire Start Default | 1 | Basic unity default for PoF modifier for no net emphasis |
| Non-Condition PoF Probability: Bushfire Default | 1 | Basic unity default for PoF modifier for no net emphasis |

6.1.9.2 Bushfire Zone

The Bushfire Zone is determined by matching the pole's geocode with regions defined in SA Power Networks' GIS.

| GIS Bush Fire Risk | Fire Risk Factor | Justification |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--|
| No Fire Risk | 0 | No fire risk in this area |
| Non Bush Fire Risk Area | 0 | No fire risk in this area |
| Bush Fire Risk | 1 | Moderate Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 1/10 th fire risk compared to HBFRA pole |
| Bush Fire Risk Area | 1 | Moderate Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 1/10 th fire risk compared to HBFRA pole |
| High Bush Fire Risk Area | 10 | High Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 10x fire risk compared to MBFRA pole |
| High Fire Risk | 10 | High Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 10x fire risk compared to MBFRA pole |

6.1.9.3 External Probability Fire Start

| GIS Bush Fire Risk | Non-Condition PoF Probability: Fire Start | Justification |
|--------------------|---|--|
| No Fire Risk | 0 | No fire risk in this area |
| Bush Fire Risk | 1 | Moderate Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 1/10 th fire risk compared to HBFRA pole |
| High Fire Risk | 10 | High Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 10x fire risk compared to MBFRA pole |

| GIS Bush Fire Risk | Non-Condition PoF Probability: Bushfire | Justification |
|--------------------|--|--|
| No Fire Risk | 0 | No fire risk in this area |
| Bush Fire Risk | 1 | Moderate Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 1/10 th fire risk compared to HBFRA pole |
| High Fire Risk | 10 | High Bush Fire Risk Area - Determined in workshop with Manager Network Asset Management and EA Technology CBRM Experts to use 10x fire risk compared to MBFRA pole |

6.1.9.4 External Probability Bush Fire

6.1.9.5 HI Category Y0

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

6.1.9.6 Weighted Health Index YO

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

6.1.10 Yn Health Index

HI - Yn represents the future forecasted condition of the asset at Year Yn.

6.1.10.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-----------|--|
| Yn | 11 | 2014-2025 |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Represents a brand new asset |
| Maximum Yn Hl | 15 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Ageing Reduction Factor Default | 1 | If an ageing reduction factor cannot be applied, this value will be assigned leading to no effect on result |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used if the HI cannot be determined |
| Ageing Constant from Y0 HI Maximum increase(multiplier) | 1.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Minimum Age for Recalculated B | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------------------------|-------|--|
| Ageing Constant Multiplier Cap | 1.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

6.1.10.2 Ageing Reduction Factor

The age reduction factor models the increased life expectancy as a pole ages. The factors were provided by the CBRM Architect and have been developed from EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Final Asset HI Y0 Minimum | Final Asset HI Y0 Maximum | Ageing Reduction Factor |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 5.5 | 1.5 |
| 5.5 | 10 | 1.5 |

6.1.10.3 Future HI Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

6.1.10.4 Weighted Health Index Yn

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

6.2 RISK

6.2.1 Yn & Interventions

| 6.2.1.1 | Constants |
|---------|-----------|
| | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--|
| Yn | 11 | Aligns 2014-2025 |
| Percentage Intervention Per Year | 1.2 | Percentage of total pole population to be replaced/refurbished annually to maintain constant risk profile |
| % Replacement Ranking Column | Delta Risk | Prioritises based on difference in risk between existing asset and new asset |
| Replacement Default Cost | 10000 | Refer to Section 6.2.1.4 |
| Average Life of New Asset | 60 | The average life of a service pole is assigned, because the majority of poles (including HV and LV) provide a service function |
| As New Duty Factor | 1 | The new pole is designed to meet the conditions |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------------------|-------|--|
| | | exposed to it by its environment |
| Plated Base HI | 4 | After refurbishment, the pole's condition is improved to be somewhere between brand new and the onset of wear out |
| Average Life of New Asset | 60 | The average life of a service pole is assigned, as the majority of poles on the network provide a service function |
| As New Duty Factor | 1 | The new pole is designed to meet the conditions exposed to it by its operating environment |
| Cost of Plating | 1000 | Refer to Section 6.2.1.4 |
| HI As New Plated | 3 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| New Asset HI | 0.5 | CBRM assigns a brand new asset with a HI if 0.5 |
| Average Life of Plated Asset | 20 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience in consultation with the poles SME |

6.2.1.2 Common Settings

Common settings have been assigned to set the percentage replacement to split annual interventions into 50% plating and 50% replacement. These values were determined by the Pole SME such that they align with SA Power Network's current operations.

6.2.1.3 Percentage Replacement Intervention Cost

Intervention costs are based on SA Power Networks' operating experience.

| Intervention Applied | % Replacement Program Costs | Justification |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Plated | 1000 | 11kV plating cost used as most poles are 11kV type |
| Replacement | 10000 | 11kV replacement cost used as most poles are 11kV type |

6.2.1.4 Target Intervention Replacement Cost

Targeted intervention program is not used for pole CAPEX justification.

6.2.2 Criticality

Criticality is determined for each consequence category, it identifies the significance of a fault/failure, and is comprised of a number of weighting factors which represent relative severity.

6.2.2.1 Network Performance

Network Performance criticality represents significance of the penalty imposed on SA Power Networks when the asset causes an unplanned outage.

6.2.2.1.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|---------------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Major Customers Factor Default | 1 | Most poles do not supply major customers | |
| Life Support Customers Factor Default | 1 | Most poles do not supply customers on life support | |
| Default Number of Circuits Factor | 1 | Most poles carry one feeder | |
| NP Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| NP Maximum Factor | 10 | More emphasis is placed on Network Performance, Safety and Environment than CAPEX and OPEX | |

6.2.2.1.2 Pole Equipment

The significance that pole equipment has on Network Performance is determined based on the fact that there are critical assets which take longer to restore. For example, a regulator is a critical asset towards network performance, it takes longer to restore than a less critical asset such as an LV switch.

6.2.2.1.3 Number of Circuits Factor

| Number of Circuits Copy | Number of Circuits Factor | Justification |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | 1 | Only one phase needs to be restored |
| 2 | 1.1 | Multiple phases are to be restored |
| 3 | 1.25 | Multiple phases are to be restored |
| 4 | 1.5 | Multiple phases are to be restored |

6.2.2.1.4 Life Support Customer Factor

More emphasis is placed on feeders supplying life support customers. The values were provided by EA Technology and are based on their experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Feeders: Life Support Customers Minimum | <= Feeders: Life Support Customers Maximum | Life Support Customers Factor | Justification |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | No effect as no life support customers on the feeder |

| > Feeders: Life Support Customers Minimum | <= Feeders: Life Support Customers Maximum | Life Support Customers Factor | Justification |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| 0 | 3 | 1.25 | Default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| 3 | 5 | 1.5 | Default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| 5 | 20 | 1.75 | Default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| 20 | 10,000 | 2 | Default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

6.2.2.1.5 Major Customer Factor

Major customers represent high load customers such as factories and shopping centres. EA Technology's experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide has found that feeders supplying major customers are twice as significant as feeders that only supply residential customers.

6.2.2.1.6 Highest Voltage on Pole

The settings are used for reporting, and have no effect on results.

6.2.2.2 OPEX

OPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Operational Expenditure required to remediate it.

6.2.2.2.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | |
|--|-------|--|--|--|
| Default Number of Circuits OPEX Factor | 1 | Set to have no effect on risk by default | | |
| OPEX Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | | |
| OPEX Maximum Factor | 5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | | |

6.2.2.2.2 Number of Circuits Factor

Factors have assigned based the fact that it takes longer to restore more circuits, as well as the increased probability of fire starts when multiple conductors are down.

| Number of Circuits Copy | Number of Circuits OPEX Factor |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1.25 |
| 3 | 1.5 |
| 4 | 1.75 |

6.2.2.3 CAPEX

CAPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Capital Expenditure required to remediate it.

6.2.2.3.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| Default Number of Circuits CAPEX Factor | 1 | Conservative approach to assume 1 circuit is on the pole if number of circuits cannot be determined |
| Capex Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Capex Maximum Factor | 5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

6.2.2.3.2 Pole Equipment

The significance that pole equipment has on Network Performance is determined based on the fact that some pole top assets are more expensive to repair/replace than others.

6.2.2.3.3 Number of Circuits Factor

Poles supporting more circuits have a longer restoration time and require more restoration materials.

| Number of Circuits Copy | Number of Circuits CAPEX Factor |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1.25 |
| 3 | 1.5 |
| 4 | 2 |

6.2.2.4 Environment

Environmental criticality represents the significance the asset has on the environment when an event occurs. It is dependent on the types of equipment supported by the pole

| 6.2.2.4.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| Environmentally Sensitive Area Factor Default | 1 | Set to have the norm average as per the table below if the area cannot be determined |
| Env Minimum Factor | 1 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Env Maximum Factor | 10 | More emphasis is placed on Network Performance, Safety and Environment than CAPEX and OPEX |

6.2.2.4.2 Pole Equipment

Poles supporting oil filled assets have more significance on the environment because the assets may leak/spill.

| Environmentally Sensitive Area | Environmentally Sensitive Area Factor | Justification | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | 0.5 | Area 3 rating set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting down for 1 or 2 and up for 4. | | |
| 2 | 0.7 | Area 3 rating set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting down for 1 or 2 and up for 4. | | |
| 3 | Norm for Average Environmental consequences1failure, established through EA Technology's CBF experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 | | | |

6.2.2.4.3 Environmentally Sensitive Area Factor

| Environmentally Sensitive Area | Environmentally Sensitive Area Factor | Justification |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | | countries worldwide 4. |
| 4 | 2 | Area 3 rating set as the norm for Average Environmental consequences per failure, adjusting down for 1 or 2 and up for 4. |

6.2.2.5 Safety

Safety criticality represents the significance the asset has on public and employee safety.

| 6.2.2.5.1 <i>Constants</i> | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | | |
| Default LV Shared Line Factor | 1 | If it cannot be determined if the pole supports both HV and LV lines the conservative approach is used to assume No | | | |
| Safety Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | | | |
| Safety Maximum Factor | 10 | More emphasis is placed on Network Performance, Safety and Environment than CAPEX and OPEX | | | |

6.2.2.5.2 Pole Equipment

The significance that pole equipment has on public and employee safety depends on its size. For example if comparing the risk of a pole top transformer falling down to a switch falling down it is clear that the transformer exposes public and employees to a greater level of risk. Poles supporting larger and heavier assets have been assigned more significance with regard to safety to reflect this.

6.2.2.5.3 LV Shared on Pole Factor

This factor is used to account for the possibility of HV being injected into the LV supply when the pole falls over.

| LV Shared Line Copy | LV Shared Line Factor | Justification | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| No | 1 | There is no significance on safety if the pole does not support both HV and LV lines | | |
| Yes | 1.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | | |

6.2.3 Average Cost of a Fault

6.2.3.1 Network Performance

Network Performance consequences are the penalties imposed on SA Power Networks whenever an outage occurs. It is important to note that poles support both distribution and subtransmission conductor.

The failure of a pole supporting redundant subtransmission conductor does not typically result in an outage. When a section of redundant conductor fails however, its load is no longer redundant, this load is classed as load put at additional risk. CBRM uses a direct calculation of the load put at additional risk and converts this to a dollar value using VCR.

A LAFF is then applied to the load put at additional risk. The LAFF is a factor which uses a cubic relationship to quantify the additional risk when the load is above firm capacity of the network, it is calibrated to offset the Risk Factor (described in Section 6.2.3.1.4) with a value representing 1 for fully redundant and 20 for non redundant. These values are based on EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

Network Performance consequences for distribution (non redundant) poles is determined using the same methodology as distribution conductor in which the STPIS is used.

Network Performance consequences for radial subtransmission (non redundant) poles is determined using VCR because the outage will have SAIDI exceeding the Major Event threshold therefore having no STPIS penalty imposed.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | |
|---|-----------|---|--|--|
| CVALNP | 0.037 | Gives a 20:1 relationship between LAFF and % load above firm capacity. | | |
| KVALNP | 1 | Gives a 20:1 relationship between LAFF and % load above firm capacity. | | |
| Type of Site Default | Urban | | | |
| Default Asset Redundancy | Redundant | If it cannot be determined that the pole is redundant, the conservative approach is used to assume full redundancy | | |
| LAFF Value Default for Non- Redundant Assets | 1 | Non-Redundant assets have no contingency on failure | | |
| Lost Load or Load at Additional Risk Default | 5 | Determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers, and Subtransmission Planning | | |
| 11kV No. Customers Default | 100 | Used if no HV customers can be allocated to the pole. Determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers, and Poles SME | | |

6.2.3.1.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------------------|-------|--|
| LV No. Customers Default | 20 | Used if on LV customers can be allocated to the pole. Determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers, and Poles SME |

6.2.3.1.2 VCR Constants

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--------------------------------------|-------|------------|-------------|-------|---|
| VCR (\$) Redundant Assets | 95700 | 47800 | 47800 | 47800 | As per AER STPIS Report |
| Power Factor Redundant Assets | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Recommended by the Manager Network Planning |
| Load Factor Redundant Assets | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| VCR (\$) Non Redundant Assets | 95700 | 47800 | 47800 | 47800 | As per AER STPIS Report |
| Load Factor Non Redundant Assets | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers, and Subtransmission Planning |
| Power Factor Non Redundant Assets | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | Recommended by the Subtransmission Planning Manager |

6.2.3.1.3 Non Redundant Constants

Duration of non redundant outages has been derived using outages recorded in the HV database.

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Pole Break | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4 | Determined from records stored in the HV database for the past 5 years |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Condition replacement involves a planned outage |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification | |
|--|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|---|--|
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Plated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a planned outage, and as such does not result in a STPIS penalty | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a planned outage, and as such does not result in a STPIS penalty | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, no network performance penalty applies to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non-Condition Pole Break | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4 | Determined from records stored in the HV database | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non-Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, no network performance penalty applies to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non-Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, no network performance penalty applies to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. | |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Pole Break | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement | |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Replacement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement | |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Plated | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement | |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Fire Start | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement | |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification | |
|--|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|--|--|
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement | |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Pole Break | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement | |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Fire Start | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement | |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement | |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Pole Break | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a non redundant line fails | |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Replacement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a non redundant line fails | |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Plated | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a non redundant line fails | |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Fire Start | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a non redundant line fails | |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a non redundant line fails | |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Pole Break | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a non redundant line fails | |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Fire Start | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a non redundant line fails | |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non- Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a non redundant line fails |

6.2.3.1.4 *Redundant Constants*

| 0.2.3.1.4 Reduilduil | Constan | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------------|----------------|-------|---|--|
| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Pole Break | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4 | Determined from records stored in the HV database | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a planned outage, and as such does not result in a STPIS penalty | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Plated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a planned outage, and as such does not result in a STPIS penalty | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Non- Condition Pole Break | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4 | Determined from records stored in the HV database | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Non- Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Non- Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a major event, load at additional risk does not apply to bushfires as the network requires rebuilding. | |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Pole Break | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement | |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|------|---------------|----------------|--|--|
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Replacement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Plated | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Fire Start | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Pole Break | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Fire Start | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Bush Fire | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Pole Break | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 Redundant load lost is valued a 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electric Utilities in 10 countries worldw | |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Replacement | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Plated | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|------|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| | | | | | through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Fire Start | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition 0.05 0 Bush Fire | | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Pole Break | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Fire Start | | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Bush Fire | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Redundant load lost is valued as 1/20 th of Non Redundant Load. Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

| 6.2.3.1.5 | 11kV/LV Constants | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-------|---|--|--|
| Value of CML | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Last Update By | | |
| Value of Cl | 15.99 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.74 | Determined using STPIS Rates and customer numbers obtained from the Network Performance and Regulatory Manager. CML is defined as SAIDI / Number of customers. CBD: \$77,432/4,799; Urban: \$431,766/584,615; Rural Short: \$79,115/110,021; Rural Long: \$96,760/143,337 | | |
| Avg. No. Cls Per Fault | 1462 | 94 | 84 | 62 | Determined using STPIS Rates and customer numbers obtained from the Network Performance and Regulatory Manager. CI is defined as SAFI Incentive Rate / total customers, where SAIFI rate is converted from 0.01 interruption to 1 interruption. CBD: \$70,783*100/4799; Urban: \$359,393*100/584615; Rural Short: \$90,873*100/110021; Rural Long: \$134,597*100/143337. | | |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Pole Break 11kV | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | By definition, each customer on a radial feeder is interrupted during a fault | | |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Replacement 11kV | 75 | 240 | 135 | 75 | This was determined from records stored in the HV database for the last 5 years | | |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Plated 11kV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Planned outage during this event. No STPIS consequence is imposed | | |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Planned outage during this event. No STPIS consequence is imposed | | |

6.2.3.1.5 *11kV/LV Constants*

| Value of CML | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Last Update By |
|---|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|---|
| Condition Fire Start 11kV | | | | | |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Bush Fire 11kV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Planned outage during this event. No STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Non Condition Pole Break 11kV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Planned outage during this event. No STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Non Condition Fire Start 11kV | 75 | 240 | 135 | 75 | This was determined from records stored in the HV database for the last 5 years |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Non Condition Bush Fire 11kV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A bushfire is a major event, this means that no STPIS consequence is imposed as the network requires rebuilding |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Pole Break LV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The network performance consequences of LV outages are minimal |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): | 30 | 240 | 135 | 35 | This was determined from records stored in the HV database for the last 5 years |

| Value of CML | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Last Update By |
|---|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|---|
| Condition Replacement LV | | | | | |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Plated LV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Planned outage during this event. No STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Fire Start LV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Planned outage during this event. No STPIS consequence is imposed |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Bush Fire LV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A bushfire is a major event, this means that no STPIS consequence is imposed as the network requires rebuilding |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Non Condition Pole Break LV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The network performance consequences of LV outages are minimal |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Non Condition Fire Start LV | 30 | 240 | 135 | 35 | This was determined from records stored in the HV database for the last 5 years |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Non Condition Bush Fire LV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A bushfire is a major event, this means that no STPIS consequence is imposed as the network requires rebuilding |

6.2.3.2 OPEX

| Setting Item | 11kV | 33/66kV | LV | Justification |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | All pole replacement is CAPEX |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Pole Break | 0 | 0 | 0 | All pole replacement is CAPEX |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Fire Start | 2000001 | 2000001 | 2000001 | A minor bushfire costs the business \$2M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Bush Fire 2 | 250000001 | 250000001 | 250000001 | A catastrophic bushfire costs the business \$250M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Plated | 0 | 0 | 0 | All pole replacement is CAPEX |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Non-Condition Pole Break | 0 | 0 | 0 | All pole replacement is CAPEX |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Non-Condition Fire Start | 2000001 | 2000001 | 2000001 | A minor bushfire costs the business \$2M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Non-Condition Bush Fire | 250000001 | 250000001 | 250000001 | A catastrophic bushfire costs the business \$250M, this value was determined by the Manager Network Asset Management |

6.2.3.3 CAPEX

| Setting Item | 11kV | 33/66kV | LV | Justification |
|--|-------|---------|------|---|
| Opex : Capex Ratio | 1 | 1 | 1 | Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience CAPEX and OPEX are valued equally |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Condition Replacement | 10000 | 14000 | 7600 | Based on SAP DD records with SAP codes related to pole replacement which were created within the last 5 years |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Condition Pole Break | 10500 | 14500 | 8500 | SAP FM data could not be used to determine the CAPEX, however in consultation with the Pole SME, it was determined that the CAPEX required to repair a broken pole is similar to replacing on condition, but with additional CAPEX required to replace the broken pole top equipment as well |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | Not used |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Condition Bush Fire 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Not used |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Condition Plated | 800 | 1500 | 650 | These costs were determined from SA Power Network's operating experience |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Non-Condition Pole Break | 10500 | 14500 | 8500 | SAP FM data could not be used to determine the CAPEX, however in consultation with the Pole SME, it was determined that CAPEX to repair a broken pole is similar to replacing on condition, but with additional CAPEX required to replace the broken pole top equipment as well |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Non-Condition Fire Start | 0 | 0 | 0 | Not used |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Non-Condition Bush Fire | 0 | 0 | 0 | Not used |

6.2.3.4 SAFETY

6.2.3.4.1 Consequences

The safety consequences are valued in monetary terms, and have been assessed by establishing a value of a safety event in terms of its adverse cost on society. CBRM uses the following consequences to determine safety risk:

- Minor The event leads to an individual requiring medical treatment only;
- Major The event incurs a lost time injury;
- Fatality The event causes death or permanent disability.

EA Technology established the values for the three consequence types through collaboration with the Network Asset Management Group, the process that was used is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

6.2.3.4.2 Average Consequences

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

6.2.3.5 Environment

6.2.3.5.1 *Consequences*

Five significant environmental consequences have been identified that could arise as a result of a network asset failure:

- Loss of oil;
- Emission of SF6 gas into the atmosphere;
- A significant fire with smoke pollution;
- The production of contaminated waste; and
- Major disturbance such as traffic congestion or noise.

CBRM assigns a monetary value to the environmental consequences based on trading values for carbon emissions. The overall process that was used to determine this is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

6.2.3.5.2 Failure Scenario Constants

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

7. SUBSTATION CIRCUIT BREAKERS – DISTRIBUTION

7.1 HEALTH INDEX

7.1.1 Location Factor

The Location factor models how the installation environment affects the Circuit Breaker's condition over time. For example, outdoor Circuit Breakers are more susceptible to atmospheric corrosion than units located indoors.

7.1.1.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Pollution Factor Default | 1 | Used if the pollution factor cannot be determined, and is set to have no effect on HI |
| Corrosion Default Factor | 1 | Used if the corrosion factor cannot be determined, and is set to have no effect on HI |
| Location Factor Increment | 0.05 | Determined as satisfactory value in workshop with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers and Circuit Breaker SME |
| Situation Default Factor | 1 | Used if the situation factor cannot be determined, and is set to have no effect on HI |
| Minimum Location Factor | 0.9 | Determined as satisfactory value in workshop with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers and Circuit Breaker SME |
| Surge Arrestor Default Factor | 1 | If surge arrestor field is undefined, the most common instance is assigned, being no surge arrestors installed |
| Situation With Air Conditioning Flag | Indoor Air Conditioned | |

7.1.1.2 Pollution Factor

Pollution zones are manually assigned to each Circuit Breaker, this takes into account any corrosion the Circuit Breaker may experience due to its external environment. Factors were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Circuit Breaker SME, and Asset Strategy Engineers.

| Pollution | Pollution Factor | Justification |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 0.95 | Extremely clean environment |
| 2 | 1 | Low pollution |

| Pollution | Pollution Factor | Justification |
|-----------|------------------|---|
| 3 | 1.05 | Average - medium issues |
| 4 | 1.1 | Industrial pollution – requires regular washing |

7.1.1.3 Surge Arrestor Factor

There is a marginal benefit for CBs with Surge Arrestors, the amount was determined by the Circuit Breaker SME.

| Surge Arrestor | Surge Arrestor Factor |
|----------------|-----------------------|
| Yes | 0.95 |
| No | 1 |

7.1.1.4 Corrosion Factor

The corrosion factor models the effect of corrosion the installation environment has on the Circuit Breaker, the factor is assigned based on the Circuit Breaker's corrosion zone.

| Corrosion Zone | Corrosion Factor | Justification |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 0.95 | Low corrosion zone |
| 2 | 1 | Severe corrosion zone |
| 3 | 1.05 | Very severe corrosion zone |
| 4 | 1.1 | Extreme corrosion environment |

7.1.1.5 Situation Factor

Circuit Breakers are installed in various situations, including air conditioned rooms and partially enclosed sheds. Factors have been assigned to model the situation's effect on the asset's life, and were determined by the Circuit Breaker SME in consultation with EA Technology CBRM Experts.

| Situation with Air Con | Situation Factor | Justification |
|------------------------|------------------|--|
| Indoor Air Conditioned | 0.25 | Constant environmental control |
| Building | 0.5 | Changed to match Indoor |
| Indoor | 0.5 | Some shelter from the environment |
| Building - Restricted | 0.9 | Better than outdoor, worse than indoor/building |
| Outdoor | 1 | Standard outdoor installation |
| Outdoor Cubicle | 2 | Units installed in sheet metal enclosure are very susceptible to environment |

7.1.2 Feeders 7.1.2.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|--------|--|
| Class of Asset Default | Feeder | Majority of distribution CBs operate at 11kV |
| Number of Transformers at Sub Default | 2 | Assigned when the number of step down Transformers cannot be determined, standard zone sub arrangement is two step down Transformers |

7.1.2.2 Class of Asset

The class of asset settings are used to convert the asset function code to a generic functional description for HI calculation.

7.1.3 Duty Factor

Duty factor models how hard an asset has worked in its operational life. Workshops between EA Technology CBRM experts, Circuit Breaker SME, and Asset Strategy Engineers determined that the prime indicators of Circuit Breaker duty are the number of faults it experiences during its operational life, the fault level (magnitude of electrical fault current,) and number of normal operations undertaken.

| 7.1.3.1 | Constants |
|---------|-----------|
| | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| Duty Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Determined as satisfactory value in workshop with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers and Circuit Breaker SME |
| Fault Rating Factor Default | 1 | If the fault rating cannot be determined, it is excluded from HI determination |
| Fault Operations Factor Default | 0.8 | Circuit Breakers that have no recorded fault operation in the last 5 years are in better condition than those recently experiencing faults |
| Normal Operations Factor Default | 1 | If the number of normal operations cannot be determined, it is not used as part of the HI determination |
| Maximum Operations Default | 20 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Number of Normal Operations Default | 20000 | If the maximum number of normal operations for the Circuit Breaker unit cannot be determined, the maximum normal operations for Reyrolle LMVP vacuum bottle is used |

7.1.3.2 Maximum Fault Operations

The maximum number of fault operations was determined by the Circuit Breaker SME in consultation with EA Technology CBRM experts, who was advised to use the average number of fault operations experienced by each function for the last 5 years.

| Function | Maximum Fault Ops |
|----------|-------------------|
| VCN | 0.7 |
| SEC | 0.9 |
| GEN | 1 |
| HVB | 1 |
| LVB | 1 |
| PTF | 1.1 |
| HVL | 3.5 |
| LVL | 7.1 |

7.1.3.3 Maximum Normal Operations

The maximum number of normal operations was determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts and the Circuit Breaker SME, which identified that the operations are to be assigned with the following classifications: Oil, LV Switchgear, Vacuum and Reyrolle units. The Reyrolle maximum normal operations were available from the manufacturer user manual.

| Unit Classification | Maximum Normal Ops |
|---------------------|--------------------|
| Oil | 1000 |
| LV Switchgear | 5000 |
| Vacuum | 10000 |
| Reyrolle | 20000 |

7.1.3.4 Fault Rating Factor

Fault Rating Factor values and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Fault Rating Percentage Minimum | <= Fault Rating Percentage Maximum | Fault Rating Factor | Justification |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 0 | 30 | 0.8 | Very low fault level |
| 30 | 50 | 0.9 | Light Fault Duty |
| 50 | 70 | 1 | No Effect |

| > Fault Rating Percentage Minimum | <= Fault Rating Percentage Maximum | Fault Rating Factor | Justification |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 70 | 90 | 1.1 | High fault duty |
| 90 | 1,000.00 | 1.2 | Nearing Circuit Breaker's rating |

7.1.3.5 Fault Operations Factor

Fault Operations Factor values and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > % Fault Ops Minimum | <= % Fault Ops Maximum | Fault Ops Factor | Justification |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| -1 | 100 | 0.9 | 100% indicates the average number of fault operations for a functional location |
| 100 | 200 | 1.05 | 1 - 2 times average fault duty |
| 200 | 400 | 1.1 2 - 4 times average fault duty | |
| 400 | 600 | 1.15 | 4 - 6 time average fault duty |
| 600 | 2,000.00 | 1.2 | More than 6 times average fault duty |

7.1.3.6 Normal Operations Factor

Normal Operations Factor values and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > % Normal Ops Minimum | <= % Normal Ops Maximum | Normal Ops Factor | Justification |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| -1 | 30 | 0.9 | Negligible Mechanical Wear |
| 30 | 60 | 0.95 | Low Mechanical Wear |
| 60 | 90 | 1 | Average Mechanical Wear |
| 90 | 150 | 1.1 Possible Significant Mechanical Wear | |
| 150 | 200,000.00 | 1 | Based on Circuit Breaker counter reading at inspection - not all are reliable indications of total Circuit Breaker operations |

7.1.4 Faults

The number of faults a Circuit Breaker has experienced can be used to determine its condition, for example a Circuit Breaker which has experienced many faults during its operational life can be considered problematic.

7.1.4.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------|-------|---|
| Fault Factor Default | 0.95 | No FM recorded in the last 5 years, indicates the asset is in better condition than anticipated |
| Default Fault Score | 1 | Used for the case where the cumulative fault score cannot be determined, and sets an average 'norm' effect towards the HI |

7.1.4.2 Fault Score

The Cumulative Fault Score is determined by identifying all SAP FM records created in the last 5 years which correspond to the Circuit Breaker. The individual fault scores are summed together, with appropriate weighting based on the FM's priority and problem code.

The Fault Scores were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts and the Circuit Breaker SME, they're based on how each fault effects the condition of a Circuit Breaker.

| Fault Problem code Text | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Vibration | 4 | 0.5 |
| Wear or Abrasion | 4 | 0.5 |
| Mechanical Overload | 4 | 0.5 |
| Internal Fault | 4 | 0.5 |
| Corrosion | 4 | 0.5 |
| Design - Unsuitable or Incorrect | 4 | 0.5 |
| Electrical Overload | 4 | 0.5 |
| Failed to Operate | 4 | 0.5 |
| Fault Current - Unknown | 4 | 0.5 |
| Faulty Workmanship | 4 | 0.5 |
| Gasket Failure | 4 | 0.5 |
| High Resistance Joint | 4 | 0.5 |
| Age | 4 | 0.5 |
| Mechanical Overload | 3 | 0.5 |
| Internal Fault | 3 | 0.5 |
| Age | 3 | 0.5 |

| Fault Problem code Text | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Vibration | 3 | 0.5 |
| Wear or Abrasion | 3 | 0.5 |
| Design - Unsuitable or Incorrect | 3 | 0.5 |
| Electrical Overload | 3 | 0.5 |
| Failed to Operate | 3 | 0.5 |
| Fault Current - Unknown | 3 | 0.5 |
| Faulty Workmanship | 3 | 0.5 |
| Gasket Failure | 3 | 0.5 |
| High Resistance Joint | 3 | 0.5 |
| Corrosion | 3 | 0.5 |
| Mechanical Overload | 2 | 1 |
| Internal Fault | 2 | 1 |
| Vibration | 2 | 1 |
| Wear or Abrasion | 2 | 1 |
| Design - Unsuitable or Incorrect | 2 | 1 |
| Electrical Overload | 2 | 1 |
| Failed to Operate | 2 | 1 |
| Fault Current - Unknown | 2 | 1 |
| Faulty Workmanship | 2 | 1 |
| Gasket Failure | 2 | 1 |
| High Resistance Joint | 2 | 1 |
| Corrosion | 2 | 1 |
| Age | 2 | 1 |
| Cable Insulation Breakdown | 1 | 1 |
| FLASHED OVER | 1 | 1 |
| DAMAGE | 1 | 1 |

| Fault Problem code Text | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|--|----------------|-------------|
| SUPPLY INTERRUPTION - 5 - WIDESPREAD | 1 | 1 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 1 | 1.5 |
| Overheating | 1 | 1.5 |
| Safety Hazard Fire | 1 | 1.5 |
| Safety Hazard Isolation required for safety Fire | 1 | 1.5 |
| Spring not Charged | 1 | 1.5 |
| Vermin / Pests in ETSA Equipment | 1 | 1.5 |
| Vibrating | 1 | 1.5 |
| Worn | 1 | 1.5 |
| Burnt | 1 | 1.5 |
| Misaligned | 1 | 1.5 |
| Corroded | 1 | 1.5 |
| Does Not Operate | 1 | 1.5 |
| Internal Electrical Fault | 1 | 1.5 |
| Arcing | 1 | 1.5 |
| Broken | 1 | 1.5 |
| Age | 1 | 1.5 |
| Internal Fault | 1 | 1.5 |
| Corrosion | 1 | 1.5 |
| Design - Unsuitable or Incorrect | 1 | 1.5 |
| Electrical Overload | 1 | 1.5 |
| Failed to Operate | 1 | 1.5 |
| Fault Current - Unknown | 1 | 1.5 |
| Faulty Workmanship | 1 | 1.5 |
| Gasket Failure | 1 | 1.5 |

| Fault Problem code Text | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| High Resistance Joint | 1 | 1.5 |
| Mechanical Overload | 1 | 1.5 |
| Vibration | 1 | 1.5 |
| Wear or Abrasion | 1 | 1.5 |

7.1.4.3 Fault Factor

The Cumulative Fault score is matched to a fault factor, which is used to determine if the Circuit Breaker is problematic. Factors and bands are based on EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Sum of FMs Scores Minimum | <= Sum of FMs Scores Maximum | Fm Factor | Justification |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|---|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | Some (not important) defects recorded |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 1 | 5 | 1.05 | |
| 5 | 10 | 1.1 | ★ |
| 10 | 1,000.00 | 1.15 | Many small or some significant failures against asset, indicating that the Circuit Breaker is problematic |

7.1.5 Defects

The number of defects assigned against the Circuit Breaker can be a good indicator of its condition, for example a Circuit Breaker with lots of defects found within a small timeframe could be a problematic unit.

7.1.5.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-----------------------|-------|--|
| Defect Factor Default | 0.95 | If no defect has been recorded against the Circuit Breaker in the last 5 years, it is in better condition than anticipated |
| Default Defect Score | 1 | If the cumulative defect score cannot be determined, it is excluded from the HI determination |

7.1.5.2 Defect Score

The Cumulative Defect Score is determined by identifying all SAP SD records created in the last 5 years which correspond to the Circuit Breaker. The individual defect scores are summed together, with appropriate weighting based on the SD's priority and problem code.

The Defect Score was determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts and the Circuit Breaker SME, and is based on how the defect affects the condition of a Circuit Breaker.

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| VERMIN | 4 | 0.5 |
| WORN / ABRADED | 4 | 0.5 |
| MAL OPERATION | 4 | 0.5 |
| MISALIGNMENT | 4 | 0.5 |
| MAL OPERATION | 3 | 0.5 |
| MISALIGNMENT | 3 | 0.5 |
| OVERHEATING | 4 | 0.5 |
| PITTED CONTACTS | 4 | 0.5 |
| POLLUTION | 4 | 0.5 |
| RESISTANCE HIGH | 4 | 0.5 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 4 | 0.5 |
| SEIZED | 4 | 0.5 |
| TRACKING | 4 | 0.5 |
| TRIP FREE | 4 | 0.5 |
| INCORRECT OPERATION | 4 | 0.5 |
| LEAKING | 4 | 0.5 |
| LOW GAS PRESSURE | 4 | 0.5 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 4 | 0.5 |
| FAILURE | 4 | 0.5 |
| FIRE | 4 | 0.5 |
| FLASHED OVER | 4 | 0.5 |
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 4 | 0.5 |
| FAILURE | 3 | 0.5 |
| FIRE | 3 | 0.5 |
| FLASHED OVER | 3 | 0.5 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 3 | 0.5 |
| VERMIN | 3 | 0.5 |
| WORN / ABRADED | 3 | 0.5 |
| BROKEN | 4 | 0.5 |
| BURNT | 4 | 0.5 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 4 | 0.5 |
| CORRODED <50% | 4 | 0.5 |
| CORRODED >50% | 4 | 0.5 |
| CRACKED | 4 | 0.5 |
| DAMAGE | 4 | 0.5 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 4 | 0.5 |
| OVERHEATING | 3 | 0.5 |
| PITTED CONTACTS | 3 | 0.5 |
| POLLUTION | 3 | 0.5 |
| RESISTANCE HIGH | 3 | 0.5 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 3 | 0.5 |
| SEIZED | 3 | 0.5 |
| TRACKING | 3 | 0.5 |
| TRIP FREE | 3 | 0.5 |
| INCORRECT OPERATION | 3 | 0.5 |
| LEAKING | 3 | 0.5 |
| LOW GAS PRESSURE | 3 | 0.5 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 3 | 0.5 |
| BROKEN | 3 | 0.5 |
| BURNT | 3 | 0.5 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 3 | 0.5 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| CORRODED <50% | 3 | 0.5 |
| CORRODED >50% | 3 | 0.5 |
| CRACKED | 3 | 0.5 |
| DAMAGE | 3 | 0.5 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 3 | 0.5 |
| VERMIN | 2 | 1 |
| WORN / ABRADED | 2 | 1 |
| MAL OPERATION | 2 | 1 |
| MISALIGNMENT | 2 | 1 |
| FAILURE | 2 | 1 |
| FIRE | 2 | 1 |
| FLASHED OVER | 2 | 1 |
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 2 | 1 |
| OVERHEATING | 2 | 1 |
| PITTED CONTACTS | 2 | 1 |
| POLLUTION | 2 | 1 |
| RESISTANCE HIGH | 2 | 1 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 2 | 1 |
| SEIZED | 2 | 1 |
| TRACKING | 2 | 1 |
| TRIP FREE | 2 | 1 |
| INCORRECT OPERATION | 2 | 1 |
| LEAKING | 2 | 1 |
| LOW GAS PRESSURE | 2 | 1 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 2 | 1 |
| BROKEN | 2 | 1 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| BURNT | 2 | 1 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 2 | 1 |
| CORRODED <50% | 2 | 1 |
| CORRODED >50% | 2 | 1 |
| CRACKED | 2 | 1 |
| DAMAGE | 2 | 1 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 2 | 1 |
| VERMIN | 1 | 1.5 |
| WORN / ABRADED | 1 | 1.5 |
| MAL OPERATION | 1 | 1.5 |
| MISALIGNMENT | 1 | 1.5 |
| FAILURE | 1 | 1.5 |
| FIRE | 1 | 1.5 |
| FLASHED OVER | 1 | 1.5 |
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 1 | 1.5 |
| OVERHEATING | 1 | 1.5 |
| PITTED CONTACTS | 1 | 1.5 |
| POLLUTION | 1 | 1.5 |
| RESISTANCE HIGH | 1 | 1.5 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 1 | 1.5 |
| SEIZED | 1 | 1.5 |
| TRACKING | 1 | 1.5 |
| TRIP FREE | 1 | 1.5 |
| INCORRECT OPERATION | 1 | 1.5 |
| LEAKING | 1 | 1.5 |
| LOW GAS PRESSURE | 1 | 1.5 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 1 | 1.5 |
| BROKEN | 1 | 1.5 |
| BURNT | 1 | 1.5 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 1 | 1.5 |
| CORRODED <50% | 1 | 1.5 |
| CORRODED >50% | 1 | 1.5 |
| CRACKED | 1 | 1.5 |
| DAMAGE | 1 | 1.5 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 1 | 1.5 |
| ERODED | 1 | 1.5 |
| Maloperation | 1 | 1.5 |

7.1.5.3 Defect Factor

The Cumulative Defect Score is assigned a defect factor, which is used to determine if the Circuit Breaker is problematic. Factors and bands are based on EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Sum of Defect Scores Minimum | <= Sum of Defect Scores Maximum | SD Factor Justification | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | Some (not important) defects recorded |
| 0 | 1 | 1.05 | |
| 1 | 5 | 1.1 | |
| 5 | 10 | 1.15 | |
| 10 | 1,000.00 | 1.2 | Many small or some significant failures against asset, indicating the Circuit Breaker is problematic |

7.1.6 Condition

7.1.6.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|--|
| Condition Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| I2T Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI |
| DCR Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI |
| Timing Test Default factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI |
| Insulation Resistance Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI |
| Maintenance 1 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI |
| Maintenance 2 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI |
| Maintenance 3 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI |
| Maintenance 4 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI |
| Visual Inspection 1 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI |
| Visual Inspection 2 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI |
| I2T Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| DCR Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Timing Test Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Insulation Resistance default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Maintenance 1 Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|---|
| Maintenance 2 Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Maintenance 3 Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Maintenance 4 Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Visual Inspection 1 Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |

7.1.6.2 I2T Factor

SA Power Networks does not undertake I2T measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

7.1.6.3 DCR Factor

SA Power Networks does not undertake DCR measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

7.1.6.4 Timing Test Factor

SA Power Networks does not undertake Timing Test measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

7.1.6.5 Insulation Resistance Factor

SA Power Networks does not currently measure insulation resistance.

7.1.6.6 Maintenance 1 Factor

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

7.1.6.7 Maintenance 2 Factor

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

7.1.6.8 Maintenance 3 Factor

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

7.1.6.9 Maintenance 4 Factor

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

7.1.6.10 Visual Inspection 1 Factor

Visual measurements are recorded by inspectors into the PAT. The measurements are manually assigned a score between 1 and 4, which CBRM uses as part of determining HI.

| Visual Inspection 1 | Visual Inspection 1 Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 0.9 | No visual corrosion |
| 2 | 1 | Minor corrosion |
| 3 | 1.1 | Severe corrosion |
| 4 | 1.2 | Major corrosion |

7.1.6.11 Visual Inspection 2 Factor

Visual measurements are recorded by inspectors into the PAT. The measurements are manually assigned a score between 1 and 4, which CBRM uses as part of determining HI.

| Visual Inspection 2 | Visual Inspection 2 Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 0.9 | No visual corrosion |
| 2 | 1 | Minor corrosion |
| 3 | 1.1 | Severe corrosion |
| 4 | 1.2 | Major corrosion |

7.1.6.12 I2T Minimum HI

SA Power Networks does not undertake I2T measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

7.1.6.13 DCR Minimum HI

SA Power Networks does not undertake DCR measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

7.1.6.14 Timing Test Minimum HI

SA Power Networks does not undertake Timing Test measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

7.1.6.15 Insulation Resistance Minimum HI

SA Power Networks does not undertake Insulation Resistance measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

7.1.6.16 Maintenance 1 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

7.1.6.17 Maintenance 2 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

7.1.6.18 Maintenance 3 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

7.1.6.19 Maintenance 4 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

7.1.6.20 Visual Inspection 1 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

7.1.6.21 Visual Inspection 2 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

7.1.7 Overdue Maintenance

The HI of a Circuit Breaker degrades if it is not maintained within the specified interval. CBRM factors this by identifying if the last maintenance date exceeds maintenance requirements for the particular Circuit Breaker.

7.1.7.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---|
| Days Between Maintenance Default | 1643 | Maintenance manual specifies 4.5 year maintenance interval |
| Default Days Between Maintenance | 0 | If the last maintenance date cannot be determined, the conservative approach is used in which the unit is assigned to be the most recently maintained |

7.1.7.2 Days Between Maintenance

In accordance with SA Power Networks' operational requirements, the maintenance interval for all CBs is set to 1643 days (i.e. 4.5 years).

7.1.7.3 Overdue Maintenance Factor

The overdue maintenance factor is assigned based the number of days maintenance is late. The value and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Days Overdue Minimum | <= Days Overdue Overdue Jaximum Maintenance factor | | Justification |
|---------------------------|--|------|---------------------------|
| -1 | 183 | 1 | No Effect |
| 183 | 365 | 1.05 | 6 months - 1 year overdue |
| 365 | 730 | 1.1 | 1 to 2 years overdue |
| 730 | 100,000.00 | 1.15 | More than 2 years overdue |

7.1.8 Partial Discharge

7.1.8.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------------|-------|---|
| Offline Default | 1 | Used if offline testing results do not exist, has no effect on HI |
| Ultrasonic Factor | 1 | Used if ultrasonic factor cannot be determined, has no effect on HI |
| TEV Default Rating | | Blank rating assigned if TEV rating cannot be determined |
| Default TEV Factor | 1 | Used if TEV factor cannot be determined, has no effect on HI |

7.1.8.2 Ultrasonic Factor

The Ultrasonic Factor accounts for ultrasonic test results and modifies the Circuit Breaker's HI according to the Ultrasonic Activity. The factors were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Ultrasonic Activity | Ultrasonic Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| Amber | 1 | Sporadic ultrasonic activity when tested over last 5 years |
| Green | 0.9 | No detected ultrasonic activity in last 5 years |
| Red | 1.2 | Regular Ultrasonic activity when tested |

7.1.8.3 TEV Rating

The TEV rating is used to identify problematic units, it is determined from the TEV score. The score bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Tev Score Minimum | <= Tev Score Maximum | TEV Rating |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------|
| 0 | 15 | Green |
| 15 | 30 | Amber |
| 30 | 1,000.00 | Red |

7.1.8.4 TEV Factor

The TEV factor modifies the Circuit Breaker's HI according to the TEV Rating. The factors were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| TEV Rating | TEV Factor | Justification |
|------------|------------|--|
| Green | 0.9 | No activity or stable readings over last 5 years |
| Amber | 1 | No activity or fluctuating / inconclusive readings over last 5 years |
| Red | 1.15 | Regular activity when tested / trending upwards |

7.1.8.5 Offline PD Factor

There are no offline PD test results.

7.1.9 HI1

HI1 is primarily age driven however it does take into account the duty and location factor. After CBRM determines HI1, it applies condition information to determine the overall HI the asset stands at today.

7.1.9.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------------|-------------|---|
| Average Life Default | 65 | For all Circuit Breakers, the average life has been assigned the REPEX calibrated life of 65 years. |
| As New HI Default | 0.5 | HI of 0.5 indicates a brand new asset |
| HI1 Cap | 5.5 | HI of 5.5 indicates beginning of serious degradation. CBRM process caps the HI at this value if no condition information is available |
| Reliability Rating Default | 1 | Used if no reliability rating is available, and assigns the asset as having normal reliability |
| LogCalc | 2.397895273 | Model Constant set by EA Technology |

7.1.9.2 Average Life

For all Circuit Breakers, the average life has been assigned the REPEX calibrated life of 65 years.

7.1.9.3 As New HI

All Circuit Breakers are assigned an as new HI of 0.5, which indicates they're brand new.

7.1.10 Factor Value

7.1.10.1 Constants

| 7.1.10.1 Constants | | | |
|---|-------|--|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
| Reliability Rating Default | 1 | If the Circuit Breaker has no reliability rating assigned to it, the unit is assumed to have no common problems | |
| Reliability Factor Default | 1 | If a reliability factor cannot be assigned to the reliability rating, the unit is assumed to have no common problems | |
| Defect Factor Default | 0.95 | Default where no SAP defect recorded in last 5 years, indicates the Circuit Breaker is in better condition than anticipated | |
| Overall Defect/Fault Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |

7.1.10.2 Reliability Factor

The Reliability Factor identifies Circuit Breaker models with inherent design faults. The factors were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Reliability Rating | Reliability Factor | Justification |
|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| 1 | 0.9 | Operation & maintenance requirements normal, no common problems of type |
| 2 | 1 | Minor/occasional corrective maintenance required in comparison to above models |
| 3 | 1.15 | Significant additional corrective maintenance required in comparison to score 1's; Type has a history of high priority/forced outages to fix; numerous planned outage extensions required to correct problems (discovery of problems during maintenance); failure in service attributable to design |
| 4 | 1.3 | Repeat forced outages/failures of type; significant mechanical problems; maintenance not cost effective; difficult to bring up to spec (timing/travel etc) during maintenance without major work |

7.1.11 Failure Scenarios

Workshops undertaken with EA Technology CBRM Experts, The Circuit Breaker SME and Asset Strategy Engineers identified that distribution Circuit Breakers have the following failure scenarios:

- Minor: Substation inspections identify the Circuit Breaker requires minor repairs;
- Significant: The Circuit Breaker fails and requires major repairs for restoration;
- Major: The Circuit Breaker catastrophically fails and needs to be replaced;
- Replacement: The Circuit Breaker is replaced as it is in poor condition; and
- Fail to Trip: The Circuit Breaker fails to clear a fault resulting in an upstream protection trip.

| 7.1.11.1 Constants | | | |
|--|-------|---|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
| No. Failures: Condition Minor | 42 | Calculated from SAP - annual number of SAP SD Notifications created over last 5 years (excluding those closed (NOCO) without being issued to FS (ISFS) and notifications associated with other failure types. | |
| No. Failures: Condition Significant | 1.0 | Any disruptive failure is considered Significant or Major (includes forced interruptions & 'simple fix' failures that cause protection to operate i.e. low oil trips). Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures and (unplanned) condition replacement | |
| No. Failures: Condition Major | 1.4 | Any disruptive failure is considered Significant or Major (include forced interruptions & 'simple fix' failures that cause protection operate i.e. low oil trips). Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures and (unplanned) condition replacement | |
| No. Failures: Condition | 2.0 | Average number of condition replacements completed over the last 5 years. Excludes historical 'targeted intervention' & | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|---|-------|---|--|
| Replacement | | refurbishment program | |
| No. Failures: Condition Failure To Trip | 0.6 | Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures for the last 5 years. Failure to trip occurs when a breaker cannot clear a fault, causing upstream protection to activate | |
| No. Failures: Non- Condition Minor | 3 | Calculated from SAP - annual number of SAP SD Notifications created over last 5 years (excluding those closed (NOCO) without being issued to FS (ISFS) and notifications associated with other failure types. | |
| No. Failures: Non- Condition Significant | 0.6 | No data for last 5 years - Any disruptive failure is considered Significant or Major (includes forced interruptions & 'simple fix' failures that cause protection to operate i.e. low oil trips). Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures and (unplanned) condition replacement | |
| No. Failures: Non- Condition Major | 0.1 | Any disruptive failure is considered Significant or Major (includes forced interruptions & 'simple fix' failures that cause protection to operate i.e. low oil trips). Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures and (unplanned) condition replacement | |
| HI Lim: Condition Minor | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out PoF curve begins | |
| HI Lim: Condition Significant | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out PoF curve begins | |
| HI Lim: Condition Major | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out PoF curve begins | |
| HI Lim: Condition Failure to Trip | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out PoF curve begins | |
| HI Lim: Condition Replacement | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out PoF curve begins | |
| HI Avg: Condition Minor | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Significant | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Major | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition Failure to Trip | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| HI Avg: Condition | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|------------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| Replacement | | HI=4 for HI < HI Lim | |
| Cval: Condition Minor | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. | |
| Cval: - Condition Significant | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. | |
| Cval: Condition Major | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. | |
| Cval: Condition Failure to Trip | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. | |
| C Value: Condition Replacement | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. | |

7.1.12 Year 0 HI & PoF

| 7.1.12.1 Constants | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
| New Asset HI | 0.5 | Indicates a brand new asset |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Indicates a brand new asset |
| End of Life HI | 7 | CBRM process defines HI of 7 represents an asset at end of life |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used if HI cannot be determined |
| Substation HI Category Default | No Result | Used if HI cannot be determined |
| Maximum Y0 HI | 10 | CBRM caps today's HI to a value of 10 |
| Grouping Multiplier Default | 0 | Used if HI cannot be determined |

7.1.12.2 HI Category Y0

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

7.1.12.3 Weighted Health Index Y0

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

7.1.13 HI – Yn Health Index

HI – Yn represents the future forecasted condition of the asset at Year Yn.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-----------|--|
| Yn | 11 | 2014 to 2025 |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Represents a brand new asset |
| Maximum Yn HI | 15 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Ageing Reduction Factor Default | 1 | If an ageing reduction factor cannot be applied, its effect is excluded from the HI determination |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used for the case where HI cannot be determined |
| Ageing Constant from Y0 HI Maximum increase(multiplier) | 1.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Minimum Age for Recalculated B | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Ageing Constant Multiplier Cap | 1.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

7.1.13.2 Age Reduction Factor

The age reduction factor models the increased life expectancy as CBs age. The factors and HI bands were provided by the CBRM Architect and have been developed from EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Final Asset HI Y0 Minimum | Final Asset HI Y0 Maximum | Ageing Reduction Factor |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 5.5 | 1.5 |
| 5.5 | 10 | 1.5 |

7.1.13.3 Future HI Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

7.1.13.4 Weighted Health Index Yn

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

7.2 **RISK**

7.2.1 Interventions

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------------------|------------|---|
| Yn | 11 | 2014-2025 |
| Percentage Replacement | 0.35 | Percentage of Circuit Breaker population to be replaced annually so that a constant risk profile is maintained |
| % Replacement Ranking Column | Delta Risk | Prioritises based on difference in risk between existing asset and new asset |
| Replacement Default Cost | 550000 | Based on replacement of 25 indoor Circuit Breaker panels (Tea Tree Gully x 7, Ingle Farm x 9, Campbelltown x 9) |
| Refurbishment Default Cost | 25000 | Has no effect on results as refurbishment is determined external to CBRM. Refer to Section 7.2.1.8 |
| Average Life of New Asset | 65 | For all CBs, the average life has been assigned the REPEX calibrated life of 65 years. |
| As New Duty Factor | 1 | Normal duty factor is assigned to the replaced asset |

7.2.1.2 Future Year % Replacement HI Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

7.2.1.3 Future Year Target Intervention HI Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

7.2.1.4 Percentage Replacement Weighted Health Index

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

7.2.1.5 Target Replacement Weighted Health Index

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

7.2.1.6 Percentage Replacement Cost of Replacement

SA Power Networks' operational experience has found that HV distribution Circuit Breaker replacement costs do not vary with voltage, and therefore \$550,000 has been assigned as the replacement cost for all distribution Circuit Breakers.

LV Circuit Breakers have been excluded from the model as their replacement cost, risk level and total population is small in comparison to HV units.

The replacement cost was determined using costing records from recent replacement projects in which 25 indoor Circuit Breaker panels (Tea Tree Gully x 7, Ingle Farm x 9, Campbelltown x 9) were replaced.

7.2.1.7 Targeted Intervention Replacement Cost

Targeted intervention has not been used to justify replacement CAPEX.

7.2.1.8 Targeted Intervention Refurbishment Cost

CBRM is used to determine Replacement CAPEX, refurbishment is determined external to CBRM and is justified within the Circuit Breaker Asset Management Plan.

7.2.1.9 New Asset

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------|-------|------------------------------|
| New Asset HI | 0.5 | Represents a brand new asset |

7.2.2 Criticality

Criticality is determined for each consequence category, it identifies the significance of a fault/failure, and is comprised of a number of weighting factors which represent relative severity.

7.2.2.1 Network Performance Criticality

Network Performance criticality represents significance of the penalty imposed on SA Power Networks when the asset causes an unplanned outage.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|---|
| Obsolescence Rating Default | 2 | Set to have no significance on risk if obsolescence rating cannot be determined |
| Spares/Obsolescence NP Factor Default | 1 | Set to have no significance on risk if obsolescence factor cannot be determined |
| Single Bus Section Factor | 1 | Conservative approach is to assume there are multiple bus sections |
| Major Customer Default Factor | 1 | If major customers cannot be determined, the conservative approach is used in which the feeder is assumed to only supply residential customers |
| SCADA Site Factor Default | 1 | Set to have no effect on risk if it cannot be determined that SCADA is installed in the substation |
| Number of Feeders Factor Default | 1 | No emphasis is placed on the number of feeder exists because customer numbers are used instead |
| NP Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Maximum Factor | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------|-------|--|
| | | Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

7.2.2.1.2 Spares/Obsolescence

Spares/Obsolescence places more Network Performance significance on the Circuit Breaker units that require spare parts which are difficult to source, and varies according to the unit's make and type.

| Spares/Obsolescence | Justification | |
|---------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Manufacturers current product line, ready whole/component spares are in stock | |
| 2 | Minimal spares but manufacturer/aftermarket parts are available. Catastrophic failure is covered by replacement with a modern equivalent. | |
| 3 | Spares are only available through retirement of (aged) in service units (of average/uncertain condition). | |
| 4 | Asset cannot easily be replaced with a modern equivalent, and no existing spares or vendor support is available. | |

7.2.2.1.3 Spares/Obsolescence Factor

The Spares/Obsolescence factor is assigned to the Spares/Obsolescence rating, it directly adjusts the Network Performance criticality. Factor values were established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Spares/Obsolescence | Spares/Obsolescence NP Factor |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 1.5 |
| 4 | 2 |

7.2.2.1.4 Single Bus Section Factor

Substations with single bus section will result in a full substation outage, and are assigned more significance than those with multiple bus sections.

7.2.2.1.5 Number of Feeders Factor

No emphasis is placed on the number of feeder exists because customer numbers are used instead.

7.2.2.1.6 Major Customer Factor

| Major Customer | Major Customer Factor | Justification |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|
| No | 1 | No effect |
| Yes | 1.5 | Reflects delays in restoration when coordinating switching with customer network |

7.2.2.1.7 SCADA Site Factor

Substations with SCADA have faster restoration times because the location and occurrence of faults is immediately identified.

| SCADA Site | SCADA Site Factor | Justification | |
|------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Yes | 0.5 | Relative speed of dispatch and directed fault response due to SCADA monitoring | |
| RTU | 0.5 | Relative speed of dispatch and directed fault response due to RTU monitoring | |
| TDU | 1.25 | Very basic indication | |
| Indicating | 1.5 | Basic indication only | |
| None | 2.5 | Slow speed of dispatch and lack of directed fault respons due to lack of SCADA monitoring | |
| No | 2.5 | Slow speed of dispatch and lack of directed fault response due to lack of SCADA monitoring | |

7.2.2.1 OPEX Criticality

OPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Operational Expenditure required to remediate it.

7.2.2.1.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|--|-------|--|--|
| Medium Factor Default | 1 | If the medium of the Circuit Breaker cannot be determined, it is set to have no significance on risk | |
| Opex Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| Opex Maximum Factor | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| Spares/Obsolescence OPEX Factor Default | 1 | If the Spares/Obsolescence factor cannot be determined it is set to have no significance on risk | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|---|
| Number of Feeders Factor Default | 1 | No emphasis is placed on the number of feeder exists because customer numbers are used |
| Substation Customer Type Factor (OPEX) Default | 1 | If the substation customer type cannot be determined the assumption is made that the unit supplies urban customers as this is the most common customer type. |

7.2.2.1.2 Medium Factor

The Medium factor emphasizes cleanup costs for spillages.

| Medium | OPEX Medium Factor | Justification |
|----------------|--------------------|---|
| Air | 1 | No clean up costs |
| Vacuum | 1 | No clean up costs |
| Small Bulk Oil | 1.5 | Additional work of oil handling/repair/cleanup |
| VACUUM/SF6 | 2 | Additional work of repair/cleanup |
| Bulk Oil | 3 | Additional work of oil handling/repair/cleanup |
| SF6 | 3 | Additional work of repair/cleanup |

7.2.2.1.3 Spares/Obsolescence Factor

Spares/Obsolescence places more OPEX significance on Circuit Breaker units requiring spare parts that are difficult to source.

| Spares/Obsolescence | Spares/Obsolescence OPEX Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | 0.5 | Modern Equipment - quicker restoration/repair |
| 2 | 1 | Average equipment/spares holdings |
| 3 | 2 | Reflects time spent looking/rebuilding spare parts |
| 4 | 3 | Reflects additional time spent looking/rebuilding spare parts |

7.2.2.1.4 Number of Feeders Factor

OPEX does not depend on total feeder exits, and as such the settings have been assigned to have no effect on risk.

| 7.2.2.1.5 | Substation Customer Type Factor | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| SCONRRR Category | Substation Customer Type Factor | Justification | |
| Urban | 1 | Base standard | |
| Rural Short | 1.2 | Additional travel times, LAFA, and remote allowances | |
| Rural Long | 1.5 | Additional travel times, LAFA, and remote allowances | |
| CBD | 2 | Movement, access, and switching requirements within CBD are difficult | |

7.2.2.1.5 Substation Customer Type Factor

7.2.2.2 CAPEX Criticality

CAPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Capital Expenditure required to remediate it.

| 7.2.2.2.1 Constants | | |
|---|-------|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
| Voltage Factor (CAPEX) Default | 1 | If the voltage cannot be determined it is set to have no significance on risk |
| Substation Customer Type Factor (CAPEX) Default | 1 | If the customer type cannot be determined it is set to have no significance on risk |
| Capex Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Capex Maximum Factor | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

7.2.2.2.1 *Constants*

7.2.2.2.2 Voltage Factor

SA Power Networks' operational experience has found that with the exception of LV Circuit Breakers, there is no voltage significance on CAPEX for HV Circuit Breakers.

| SCONRRR Category | Substation Customer Type Factor (CAPEX) | Justification | |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| Urban | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience | |
| Rural Short | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience | |
| Rural Long | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience | |
| CBD | 1.25 | Additional cost of suitable equipment for CBD | |

7.2.2.2.3 Substation Customer Type Factor

7.2.2.3 Environment Criticality

Environmental criticality represents the significance the asset has on the environment when an event occurs.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| Pre-Oil Containment System Factor Default | 1 | If it cannot be determined if oil containment is installed, the significance on environment is ignored |
| Env Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Env Maximum Factor | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Env Consequence: Default Medium Type | Oil | Most units are oil filled |

7.2.2.3.1 *Constants*

7.2.2.3.2 Environment Risk Assessment Factor

The environmental risk assessment is made outside of CBRM and varies asset to asset, it is used to identify the level of impact failure of the Circuit Breaker will have on the environment.

| Environmental Risk Assessment | Environment Risk Assessment Factor |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Low | 1 |
| Medium | 1.5 |
| High | 3 |

7.2.2.3.3 Medium Type

These calibration settings are used for reporting in the CBRM client, and have no significance on risk.

7.2.2.4 Safety Criticality

Safety criticality represents the significance the asset has on public and employee safety.

| 7.2.2.4.1 <i>Constants</i> | | |
|---|-------|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
| Bushing Insulation Type Default | 1 | If the bushing insulation type cannot be determined, its significance on safety is ignored |
| Internal Arc Rated Safety Factor Default | 2 | Assumes no containment unless specified |
| Medium Factor Default | 1 | If the type of medium cannot be determined, its significance on safety is ignored |
| Situation Factor Default | 1 | If the installation situation cannot be determined, its significance on safety is ignored |
| Safety Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Safety Maximum Factor | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

7.2.2.4.2 Internal Arc Rated Factor

Internal Arc Containment reduces a Circuit Breaker's significance on safety.

| Internal Arcing | Internal Arc Rated Safety Factor | Justification |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--|
| A-FLR/25kA/1s | 1 | The Circuit Breaker is fully fault contained |
| A-FL/15kA/1s | 1 | The Circuit Breaker is fully fault contained |
| Mixed | 1.5 | Some internal Fault containment installed |
| None | 2 | No internal Fault Containment installed |

7.2.2.4.3 Bushing Insulation Type Factor

Bushing Insulation Type factor places more safety emphasis on porcelain insulators as they shard on failure.

| | 7.2.2.4.4 | Medium Factor |
|--|-----------|----------------------|
|--|-----------|----------------------|

| Medium | Safety Medium Factor | Justification |
|----------------|----------------------|---|
| Air | 1 | Air medium has no safety impact |
| Vacuum | 1 | Vacuum medium has no safety impact |
| VACUUM/SF6 | 1.2 | Toxic by-products are released on failure |
| SF6 | 1.5 | Toxic by-products are released on failure |
| Small Bulk Oil | 2 | Fire risk if oil is ignited on failure |
| Bulk Oil | 3 | Fire risk if oil is ignited on failure |

7.2.2.4.5 Situation Factor

Due to inaccessibility to the public as well as the safety of substation design, the installation situation has no significance on safety.

7.2.3 Average Cost of a Fault

7.2.3.1 Network Performance

Network Performance consequences are the penalties imposed on SA Power Networks whenever an outage occurs. Distribution Circuit Breakers are arranged in radial configuration and are therefore non redundant.

If the Circuit Breaker fails, all of the feeders it protects will experience an outage, this means that the Network Performance consequences can be determined in accordance with the STPIS.

| 7.2.3.1.1 Constants by Customer Group | | | | | |
|---|-------|------------|--------------------|-------|---|
| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
| Value of CML | 13.51 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.66 | STPIS Rates as of 9 Sept 2013. Determined as SAIDI Rate / # Customers in SCONRRR |
| Value of Cl | 1235 | 86 | 67 | 55 | STPIS Rates as of 9 Sept 2013. Determined as SAIFI Rate / # Customers in SCONRRR |
| Avg. No. Cls Per Fault | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | All customers on the feeder experience an outage if a fault occurs |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Minor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A minor failure does not result in an outage is it is essentially a small defect |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition | 124 | 337 | 191 | 159 | Determined using records stored in the HV |

7.2.3.1.1 Constants by Customer Group

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|-----|------------|-------------|-------|--|
| Significant | | | | | database |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Major | 124 | 337 | 191 | 159 | Determined using records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A condition replacement is a planned outage, which does not result in STPIS penalties |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Condition Failure to Trip | 124 | 337 | 191 | 159 | Determined using records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Non- Condition Minor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Minor failure is essentially a small defect, the Circuit Breaker continues to operate in this circumstance so no outage will occur |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Non- Condition Significant | 124 | 337 | 191 | 159 | Determined using records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg Duration of Outage (mins): Non- Condition Major | 124 | 337 | 191 | 159 | Determined using records stored in the HV database |

7.2.3.1.2 Number of Customers Default

It is rare that default customer numbers need to be assigned, as most of the feeder customer numbers are known. The default values were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM experts, Circuit Breaker SME and Asset Strategy Engineers by categorising the customer numbers in region and voltage.

| Type of Site Used | Number of Customers Used | Justification | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| CBD | 500 | Assumes 500 Customers associated per bus section in an average CBD substation | | |
| Rural Long | 700 | Assumes 1400 Customers associated with an average 2 section Rural Long substation | | |
| Rural Short | 1350 | Assumes 2700 Customers associated with an average 2 section Rural Short substation | | |
| Urban | 2500 | Assumes 5000 Customers associated with an | | |

| Type of Site Used | Number of Customers Used | Justification |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | average Urban substation (2 sections) |

7.2.3.2 OPEX

OPEX consequences are the Operational Expenditure required in response to an event.

7.2.3.2.1 Situation Constants

| Setting Item | Indoor | Outdoor | Justification |
|--|--------|---------|---|
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Minor Failure | 2260 | 2260 | Average SAP SD Notification OPEX Orders for the last 5 years |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Significant Failure | 15000 | 10000 | Average of Significant SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Distribution Circuit Breakers for the last 5 years |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Major Failure | 50000 | 14000 | Average of Major SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Distribution Circuit Breakers for the last 5 years |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Failure to Trip Non- Condition Failure | 15000 | 15000 | Based on Parafield Gardens Fail To Trip event |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Replacement | 12000 | 12000 | Cost based on Compton St as an average operational cost of inspection/diagnostic testing to determine need for condition replacement. |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Minor Non-Condition Failure | 2260 | 2260 | Average SAP SD Notification OPEX Orders for the last 5 years |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Significant Non-Condition Failure | 15000 | 10000 | Average of Significant SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Distribution Circuit Breakers for the last 5 years |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Major Non-Condition Failure | 50000 | 14000 | Average of Major SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Distribution Circuit Breakers for the last 5 years |

7.2.3.2.2 Situation for Cost of Failure

These settings are used for reporting in the CBRM front end, and have no effect on results.

7.2.3.3 CAPEX

CAPEX consequences are the Capital Expenditure required in response to an event.

| 7.2.3.3.1 Situation Basea Constants | | | |
|---|--------|---------|---|
| Setting Item | Indoor | Outdoor | Justification |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Minor Failure | 0 | 0 | Minor repairs required, mainly maintenance involved |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Significant Failure | 0 | 0 | Minor repairs required, mainly maintenance involved |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Major Failure | 240000 | 350000 | Determined using historical costs. |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Failure to Trip | 0 | 0 | Minor repairs required, mainly maintenance involved |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Condition Replacement | 485000 | 350000 | Average cost of 11kV Circuit Breaker failure & replacement based on SA Power Networks' Operational Experience |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Minor Non-Condition Failure | 0 | 0 | Minor repairs involved, this is classed as OPEX |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Significant Non- Condition Failure | 0 | 0 | Significant repairs are involved, this is classed as OPEX |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Major Non-Condition Failure | 240000 | 350000 | Determined using historical costs. |

7.2.3.3.2 Situation Based Cost of Failure

These settings are used for reporting in the CBRM front end, and have no effect on results.

7.2.3.4 Safety

7.2.3.4.1 *Consequences*

The safety consequences are valued in monetary terms, and have been assessed by establishing a value of a safety event in terms of its adverse cost on society. CBRM uses the following consequences to determine safety risk:

- Minor The event leads to an individual requiring medical treatment only;
- Major The event incurs a lost time injury;
- Fatality The event causes death or permanent disability.

EA Technology established the values for the three consequence types through collaboration with the Network Asset Management Group from SA Power Networks, the process that was used is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

7.2.3.4.2 Average Consequences

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

7.2.3.5 Environment

7.2.3.5.1 *Consequences*

Five significant environmental consequences have been identified that could arise as a result of a network asset failure:

- Loss of oil;
- Emission of SF6 gas into the atmosphere;
- A significant fire with smoke pollution;
- The production of contaminated waste; and
- Major disturbance such as traffic congestion or noise.

CBRM assigns a monetary value to the environmental consequences based on trading values for carbon emissions. The overall process that was used to determine this is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

7.2.3.5.2 Failure Scenario Constants

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

8. SUBSTATION CIRCUIT BREAKERS – SUBTRANSMISSION

8.1 HEALTH INDEX

8.1.1 Location Factor

The Location factor models how the installation environment affects the Circuit Breaker's condition over time. For example, outdoor circuit breakers are more susceptible to atmospheric corrosion than those located indoors.

8.1.1.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|---------------------------|--|
| Pollution Factor Default | 1 | Used if the pollution factor cannot be determined, and is set to have no effect on HI |
| Corrosion Default Factor | 1 | Used if the corrosion factor cannot be determined, and is set to have no effect on HI |
| Location Factor Increment | 0.05 | Determined as satisfactory value in workshop with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers and Conductor SME |
| Situation Default Factor | 1 | Used if the situation factor cannot be determined, and is set to have no effect on HI |
| Minimum Location Factor | 0.9 | Determined as satisfactory value in workshop with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers and Conductor SME |
| Surge Arrestor Default Factor | 1 | To be assigned if surge arrestors are not installed. Determined as satisfactory value in workshop with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers and Conductor SME |
| Situation With Air Conditioning Flag | Indoor Air Conditioned | |

8.1.1.2 Pollution Factor

Pollution zones are manually assigned to each Circuit Breaker, this takes into account any corrosion the Circuit Breaker may experience due to its external environment. Factors were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Circuit Breaker SME, and Asset Strategy Engineers.

| Pollution | Pollution Factor | Justification |
|-----------|------------------|---|
| 1 | 0.9 | Extremely clean environment |
| 2 | 1 | Low pollution |
| 3 | 1.05 | Average - medium issues |
| 4 | 1.1 | Industrial pollution - requires regular washing |

8.1.1.3 Surge Arrestor Factor

There is a marginal benefit for Circuit Breakers with Surge Arrestors, the level of benefit was determined by the Circuit Breaker SME.

| Surge Arrestor | Surge Arrestor Factor |
|----------------|-----------------------|
| Yes | 0.95 |
| No | 1 |

8.1.1.4 Corrosion Factor

The corrosion factor models the effect that atmospheric corrosion has on the Circuit Breaker, it is assigned based on the Circuit Breaker's corrosion zone. Atmospheric corrosion is more severe for subtransmission Circuit Breaker units because they are located outdoors without an enclosure.

| Corrosion Zone | Corrosion Factor | Justification |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 0.95 | Low corrosion zone |
| 2 | 1 | Severe corrosion zone |
| 3 | 1.1 | Very severe corrosion zone |
| 4 | 1.2 | Extreme corrosion environment |

8.1.1.5 Situation Factor

CBs are installed in various situations, including air conditioned rooms and sheds. Factors have been assigned to model the situation's effect on the asset's life, and were determined by the Circuit Breaker SME in consultation with EA Technology CBRM Experts.

| Situation with Air Con | Situation Factor | Justification |
|------------------------|------------------|--|
| Outdoor Cubicle | 2 | Installed in sheet metal enclosure are very susceptible to environment |
| Outdoor | 1 | Standard installation |
| Indoor Air Conditioned | 0.25 | Constant environmental control |
| Indoor | 0.5 | Some shelter from the environment |
| Building - Restricted | 0.9 | Better than outdoor, worse than indoor/building |
| Building | 0.5 | Changed to match indoor |

8.1.2 Duty Factor

Duty factor models how hard an asset has worked in its operational life. Workshops between EA Technology CBRM experts, Circuit Breaker SME, and Asset Strategy Engineers determined that the prime indicators of Circuit Breaker duty are the number of faults it has experienced, the fault level (magnitude of electrical fault current,) and number of normal operations it has completed.

8.1.2.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|---|
| Duty Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Determined as satisfactory value from EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Fault Rating Factor Default | 1 | If the fault rating cannot be determined, it is excluded from the HI determination |
| Fault Operations Factor Default | 0.8 | Circuit Breakers that have no recorded fault operation in the last 5 years are in better condition than anticipated |
| Normal Operations Factor Default | 1 | If the number of normal operations cannot be determined, it is excluded from the HI determination |
| Maximum Operations Default | 20 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Number of Normal Operations Default | 2000 | If the maximum number of normal operations for the Circuit Breaker unit cannot be determined, the maximum normal operations for a Cap Bank Circuit Breaker is used |

8.1.2.2 Maximum Fault Operations

The maximum number of fault operations was determined by the Circuit Breaker SME in consultation with EA Technology CBRM experts, in which it was advised to use the average number of fault operations experienced by each function for the last 5 years.

| Function | Maximum Fault Ops |
|----------|-------------------|
| GEN | 1 |
| HVB | 1.1 |
| PTF | 1.1 |
| VCN | 1.3 |
| SEC | 2.3 |
| LVB | 3.3 |
| HVL | 3.5 |
| LVL | 6.1 |

8.1.2.3 Maximum Normal Operations

For most of the units, the maximum number of normal operations was determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts and the Circuit Breaker SME. Conservative limits are used based on oil and non-oil filled capacitor bank breakers.

| Unit Classification | Maximum Normal Ops |
|---------------------|--------------------|
| Oil Filled | 1000 |
| All Other Mediums | 2000 |

8.1.2.4 Fault Rating Factor

Fault Rating Factor values and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Fault Rating Percentage Minimum | <= Fault Rating Percentage Maximum | Fault Rating Factor | Justification |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 0 | 40 | 0.9 | Very low fault level |
| 40 | 60 | 0.95 | Light Fault Duty |
| 60 | 75 | 1 | No Effect |
| 75 | 90 | 1.1 | High fault duty |
| 90 | 1,000.00 | 1.25 | Nearing Circuit Breaker's rating |

8.1.2.5 Fault Operations Factor

Fault Operations Factor values and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > % Fault Ops Minimum | <= % Fault Ops Maximum | Fault Ops Factor | Justification |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---|
| -1 | 100 | 0.9 | 100% is average number of fault ops for a functional location |
| 100 | 200 | 1 | 1 - 2 times average fault duty |
| 200 | 400 | 1.1 | 2 - 4 times average fault duty |
| 400 | 600 | 1.15 | 4 - 6 time average fault duty |
| 600 | 2,000.00 | 1.2 | More than 6 times average fault duty |

8.1.2.6 Normal Operations Factor

Normal Operations Factor values and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > % Normal Ops Minimum | <= % Normal Ops Maximum | Normal Ops Factor | Justification |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---|
| -1 | 30 | 0.9 | Negligible Mechanical Wear |
| 30 | 60 | 0.95 | Low Mechanical Wear |
| 60 | 90 | 1 | Average Mechanical Wear |
| 90 | 150 | 1.1 | Possible Significant Mechanical Wear |
| 150 | 200,000.00 | 1 | Based on Circuit Breaker counter reading at inspection - not all are reliable indications of total Circuit Breaker operations |

8.1.3 Faults

The number of faults a Circuit Breaker has experienced can be used to determine its condition, for example a Circuit Breaker which has experienced many faults during its operational life can be considered problematic.

8.1.3.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------|-------|---|
| Fault Factor Default | 0.95 | No FM recorded in the last 5 years, indicates the asset is in better condition than anticipated |
| Default Fault Score | 1 | Used for the case where the cumulative fault score cannot be determined, and sets an average 'norm' effect towards the HI |

8.1.3.2 Fault Score

The Cumulative Fault Score is determined by identifying all SAP FM records created in the last 5 years which correspond to the Circuit Breaker. The individual fault scores are summed together, with appropriate weighting based on the FM's priority and problem code.

The Fault Score was determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts and the Circuit Breaker SME, and is based on how the fault affects the condition of a Circuit Breaker.

| Fault Problem code Text | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Fell Out | 1 | 0.5 |
| Vibration | 4 | 0.5 |
| Wear or Abrasion | 4 | 0.5 |
| Mechanical Overload | 4 | 0.5 |

| Fault Problem code Text | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Internal Fault | 4 | 0.5 |
| Corrosion | 4 | 0.5 |
| Design - Unsuitable or Incorrect | 4 | 0.5 |
| Electrical Overload | 4 | 0.5 |
| Failed to Operate | 4 | 0.5 |
| Fault Current - Unknown | 4 | 0.5 |
| Faulty Workmanship | 4 | 0.5 |
| Gasket Failure | 4 | 0.5 |
| High Resistance Joint | 4 | 0.5 |
| Age | 4 | 0.5 |
| Mechanical Overload | 3 | 0.5 |
| Internal Fault | 3 | 0.5 |
| Age | 3 | 0.5 |
| Vibration | 3 | 0.5 |
| Wear or Abrasion | 3 | 0.5 |
| Design - Unsuitable or Incorrect | 3 | 0.5 |
| Electrical Overload | 3 | 0.5 |
| Failed to Operate | 3 | 0.5 |
| Fault Current - Unknown | 3 | 0.5 |
| Faulty Workmanship | 3 | 0.5 |
| Gasket Failure | 3 | 0.5 |
| High Resistance Joint | 3 | 0.5 |
| Corrosion | 3 | 0.5 |
| Mechanical Overload | 2 | 1 |
| Internal Fault | 2 | 1 |
| Vibration | 2 | 1 |

| Fault Problem code Text | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|---|----------------|-------------|
| Wear or Abrasion | 2 | 1 |
| Design - Unsuitable or Incorrect | 2 | 1 |
| Electrical Overload | 2 | 1 |
| Failed to Operate | 2 | 1 |
| Fault Current - Unknown | 2 | 1 |
| Faulty Workmanship | 2 | 1 |
| Gasket Failure | 2 | 1 |
| High Resistance Joint | 2 | 1 |
| Corrosion | 2 | 1 |
| Age | 2 | 1 |
| Cable Insulation Breakdown | 1 | 1 |
| | 1 | 1 |
| Clearance Inadequate | 1 | 1 |
| Customer Protection Equipment Operated | 1 | 1 |
| INADEQUATE | 1 | 1 |
| FAILURE | 1 | 1 |
| Missing | 1 | 1 |
| Vandalism | 1 | 1 |
| Worn | 1 | 1.5 |
| Overheating | 1 | 1.5 |
| Oil - Low & or Leaking | 1 | 1.5 |
| Misaligned | 1 | 1.5 |
| Loose | 1 | 1.5 |
| LOW GAS PRESSURE | 1 | 1.5 |
| Internal Electrical Fault | 1 | 1.5 |
| Arcing | 1 | 1.5 |

| Fault Problem code Text | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Broken | 1 | 1.5 |
| Burnt | 1 | 1.5 |
| Corroded | 1 | 1.5 |
| Does Not Operate | 1 | 1.5 |
| Age | 1 | 1.5 |
| Internal Fault | 1 | 1.5 |
| Corrosion | 1 | 1.5 |
| Design - Unsuitable or Incorrect | 1 | 1.5 |
| Electrical Overload | 1 | 1.5 |
| Failed to Operate | 1 | 1.5 |
| Fault Current - Unknown | 1 | 1.5 |
| Faulty Workmanship | 1 | 1.5 |
| Gasket Failure | 1 | 1.5 |
| High Resistance Joint | 1 | 1.5 |
| Mechanical Overload | 1 | 1.5 |
| Vibration | 1 | 1.5 |

8.1.3.3 Fault Factor

The Cumulative Fault score is matched to a fault factor, which is used to determine if the Circuit Breaker is problematic. Factors are based on EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Sum of FMs Scores Minimum | <= Sum of FMs Scores Maximum | Fm Factor | Justification |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | Some (not important) defects recorded |
| 0 | 1 | 1.05 | |
| 1 | 5 | 1 | |
| 5 | 10 | 1.15 | |
| 10 | 1,000.00 | 1.2 | Many small or some significant failures against asset, indicating that the Circuit Breaker is |

| > Sum of FMs Scores Minimum | <= Sum of FMs Scores Maximum | Fm Factor | Justification |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| | | | problematic |

8.1.4 Defects

The number of defects assigned against the Circuit Breaker can be a good indicator of its condition, for example a Circuit Breaker with lots of defects found within a small timeframe could be a problematic unit.

8.1.4.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-----------------------|-------|--|
| Defect Factor Default | 0.95 | If no defect has been recorded against the Circuit Breaker in the last 5 years, it is in better condition than anticipated |
| Default Defect Score | 1 | If the cumulative defect score cannot be determined, the factor is set to the 'norm' so it has no effect on the HI |

8.1.4.2 Defect Score

The Cumulative Defect Score is determined by identifying all SAP SD records created in the last 5 years which correspond to the Circuit Breaker. The individual defect scores are summed together, with appropriate weighting based on the SD's priority and problem code.

The Defect Score was determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts and the Circuit Breaker SME, and is based on how the defect affects the condition of a Circuit Breaker.

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| VERMIN | 4 | 0.5 |
| WORN / ABRADED | 4 | 0.5 |
| MAL OPERATION | 4 | 0.5 |
| MISALIGNMENT | 4 | 0.5 |
| MAL OPERATION | 3 | 0.5 |
| MISALIGNMENT | 3 | 0.5 |
| OVERHEATING | 4 | 0.5 |
| PITTED CONTACTS | 4 | 0.5 |
| POLLUTION | 4 | 0.5 |
| RESISTANCE HIGH | 4 | 0.5 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 4 | 0.5 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| SEIZED | 4 | 0.5 |
| TRACKING | 4 | 0.5 |
| TRIP FREE | 4 | 0.5 |
| LEAKING | 4 | 0.5 |
| LOW GAS PRESSURE | 4 | 0.5 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 4 | 0.5 |
| FAILURE | 4 | 0.5 |
| FIRE | 4 | 0.5 |
| FLASHED OVER | 4 | 0.5 |
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 4 | 0.5 |
| FAILURE | 3 | 0.5 |
| FIRE | 3 | 0.5 |
| FLASHED OVER | 3 | 0.5 |
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 3 | 0.5 |
| VERMIN | 3 | 0.5 |
| WORN / ABRADED | 3 | 0.5 |
| BROKEN | 4 | 0.5 |
| BURNT | 4 | 0.5 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 4 | 0.5 |
| CORRODED <50% | 4 | 0.5 |
| CORRODED >50% | 4 | 0.5 |
| CRACKED | 4 | 0.5 |
| DAMAGE | 4 | 0.5 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 4 | 0.5 |
| OVERHEATING | 3 | 0.5 |
| PITTED CONTACTS | 3 | 0.5 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| POLLUTION | 3 | 0.5 |
| RESISTANCE HIGH | 3 | 0.5 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 3 | 0.5 |
| SEIZED | 3 | 0.5 |
| TRACKING | 3 | 0.5 |
| TRIP FREE | 3 | 0.5 |
| LEAKING | 3 | 0.5 |
| LOW GAS PRESSURE | 3 | 0.5 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 3 | 0.5 |
| BROKEN | 3 | 0.5 |
| BURNT | 3 | 0.5 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 3 | 0.5 |
| CORRODED <50% | 3 | 0.5 |
| CORRODED >50% | 3 | 0.5 |
| CRACKED | 3 | 0.5 |
| DAMAGE | 3 | 0.5 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 3 | 0.5 |
| VERMIN | 2 | 1 |
| WORN / ABRADED | 2 | 1 |
| MAL OPERATION | 2 | 1 |
| MISALIGNMENT | 2 | 1 |
| FAILURE | 2 | 1 |
| FIRE | 2 | 1 |
| FLASHED OVER | 2 | 1 |
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 2 | 1 |
| OVERHEATING | 2 | 1 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| PITTED CONTACTS | 2 | 1 |
| POLLUTION | 2 | 1 |
| RESISTANCE HIGH | 2 | 1 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 2 | 1 |
| SEIZED | 2 | 1 |
| TRACKING | 2 | 1 |
| TRIP FREE | 2 | 1 |
| LEAKING | 2 | 1 |
| LOW GAS PRESSURE | 2 | 1 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 2 | 1 |
| BROKEN | 2 | 1 |
| BURNT | 2 | 1 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 2 | 1 |
| CORRODED <50% | 2 | 1 |
| CORRODED >50% | 2 | 1 |
| CRACKED | 2 | 1 |
| DAMAGE | 2 | 1 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 2 | 1 |
| VERMIN | 1 | 1.5 |
| WORN / ABRADED | 1 | 1.5 |
| MAL OPERATION | 1 | 1.5 |
| MISALIGNMENT | 1 | 1.5 |
| FAILURE | 1 | 1.5 |
| FIRE | 1 | 1.5 |
| FLASHED OVER | 1 | 1.5 |
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 1 | 1.5 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| OVERHEATING | 1 | 1.5 |
| PITTED CONTACTS | 1 | 1.5 |
| POLLUTION | 1 | 1.5 |
| RESISTANCE HIGH | 1 | 1.5 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 1 | 1.5 |
| SEIZED | 1 | 1.5 |
| TRACKING | 1 | 1.5 |
| TRIP FREE | 1 | 1.5 |
| LEAKING | 1 | 1.5 |
| LOW GAS PRESSURE | 1 | 1.5 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 1 | 1.5 |
| BROKEN | 1 | 1.5 |
| BURNT | 1 | 1.5 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 1 | 1.5 |
| CORRODED <50% | 1 | 1.5 |
| CORRODED >50% | 1 | 1.5 |
| CRACKED | 1 | 1.5 |
| DAMAGE | 1 | 1.5 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 1 | 1.5 |
| Maloperation | 1 | 1.5 |

8.1.4.3 Defect Factor

The Cumulative Defect Score is assigned a defect factor, which is used to determine if the Circuit Breaker is problematic. Factors are based on EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Sum of Defect Scores Minimum | <= Sum of Defect Scores Maximum | SD Factor | Justification |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | Some (not important) defects recorded |
| 0 | 1 | 1.05 | |
| 1 | 5 | 1.1 | |
| 5 | 10 | 1.15 | |
| 10 | 1,000.00 | 1.2 | Many small or some significant failures against asset, indicating the Circuit Breaker is problematic |

8.1.5 Condition

8.1.5.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|---|-------|--|--|
| Condition Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| I2T Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI | |
| DCR Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI | |
| Timing Test Default factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI | |
| Insulation Resistance Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI | |
| Maintenance 1 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI | |
| Maintenance 2 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI | |
| Maintenance 3 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI | |
| Maintenance 4 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI | |
| Visual Inspection 1 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|--|
| Visual Inspection 2 Default Factor | 1 | If factor cannot be determined it has no effect on HI |
| I2T Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| DCR Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Insulation Resistance default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Maintenance 1 Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Maintenance 2 Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Maintenance 3 Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Maintenance 4 Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Visual Inspection 1 Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Visual Inspection 2 Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |
| Timing Test Default Minimum HI | 0.5 | If the Minimum HI cannot determined, the result has no effect on HI |

8.1.5.2 I2T Factor

SA Power Networks does not undertake I2T measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

8.1.5.3 DCR Factor

SA Power Networks does not undertake DCR measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

8.1.5.4 Timing Test Factor

SA Power Networks does not undertake Timing Test measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

8.1.5.5 Insulation Resistance Factor

SA Power Networks does not currently measure insulation resistance.

8.1.5.6 Maintenance 1 Factor

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

8.1.5.7 Maintenance 2 Factor

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

8.1.5.8 Maintenance 3 Factor

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

8.1.5.9 Maintenance 4 Factor

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

8.1.5.10 Visual Inspection 1 Factor

Visual measurements are recorded by inspectors into the PAT. The measurements are manually assigned a score between 1 and 4, which CBRM uses as part of determining HI.

| Visual Inspection 1 | Visual Inspection 1 Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 0.9 | No visual corrosion |
| 2 | 1 | minor corrosion |
| 3 | 1.1 | severe corrosion |
| 4 | 1.2 | major corrosion |

8.1.5.11 Visual Inspection 2 Factor

Visual measurements are recorded by inspectors into the PAT. The measurements are manually assigned a score between 1 and 4 which CBRM uses as part of determining the HI.

| Visual Inspection 2 | Visual Inspection 2 Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 0.9 | No visual corrosion |
| 2 | 1 | Minor corrosion |
| 3 | 1.1 | Severe corrosion |
| 4 | 1.2 | Major corrosion |

8.1.5.12 I2T Minimum HI

SA Power Networks does not undertake I2T measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

8.1.5.13 DCR Minimum HI

SA Power Networks does not undertake DCR measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

8.1.5.14 Timing Test Minimum HI

SA Power Networks does not undertake Timing Test measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

8.1.5.15 Insulation Resistance Minimum HI

SA Power Networks does not undertake Insulation Resistance measurements, this feature will be used in the future.

8.1.5.16 Maintenance 1 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

8.1.5.17 Maintenance 2 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

8.1.5.18 Maintenance 3 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

8.1.5.19 Maintenance 4 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

8.1.5.20 Visual Inspection 1 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

8.1.5.21 Visual Inspection 2 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

8.1.6 Overdue Maintenance

The HI of a Circuit Breaker degrades if it is not maintained within the specified interval. CBRM factors this by identifying if the last maintenance date exceeds maintenance requirements for the particular Circuit Breaker.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---|
| Days Between Maintenance Default | 1643 | Maintenance manual specifies 4.5 year maintenance interval |
| Default Days Between Maintenance | 0 | If the last maintenance date cannot be determined, the conservative approach is used in which the unit is assigned to be the most recently maintained |

8.1.6.1 Constants

8.1.6.2 Days Between Maintenance

In accordance with SA Power Networks' operational requirements, the maintenance interval for all Circuit Breakers is set to 1643 days (i.e. 4.5 years).

8.1.6.3 Overdue Maintenance Factor

The overdue maintenance factor depends on then number of days maintenance is late. The value and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Days Overdue Minimum | <= Days Overdue Maximum | Overdue Maintenance factor | Justification |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| -1 | 183 | 1 | No Effect |
| 183 | 365 | 1.05 | 6 months - 1 year overdue |

| > Days Overdue Minimum | <= Days Overdue Maximum | Overdue Maintenance factor | Justification |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 365 | 730 | 1.1 | 1 to 2 years overdue |
| 730 | 100,000.00 | 1.15 | More than 2 years overdue |

8.1.7 Partial Discharge

8.1.7.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------------|-------|---|
| Offline Default | 1 | Used if offline testing results do not exist, has no effect on HI |
| Ultrasonic Factor | 1 | Used if ultrasonic factor cannot be determined, has no effect on HI |
| TEV Default Rating | | Blank rating assigned if TEV rating cannot be determined |
| Default TEV Factor | 1 | Used if TEV factor cannot be determined, has no effect on HI |

8.1.7.2 Ultrasonic Factor

The Ultrasonic Factor accounts for ultrasonic test results, it modifies the Circuit Breaker's HI according to the Ultrasonic Activity. The factors were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Ultrasonic Activity | Ultrasonic Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| Amber | 1 | Sporadic ultrasonic activity when tested over last 5 years |
| Green | 0.9 | No detected ultrasonic activity in last 5 years |
| Red | 1.2 | Regular Ultrasonic activity when tested |

8.1.7.3 TEV Rating

The TEV rating is used to identify problematic units, it is determined from the TEV score. The score bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Tev Score Minimum | <= Tev Score Maximum | TEV Rating |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------|
| 0 | 15 | Green |
| 15 | 30 | Amber |
| 30 | 1,000.00 | Red |

8.1.7.4 TEV Factor

The TEV factor modifies the Circuit Breaker's HI according to the TEV Rating. The factors were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| TEV Rating | TEV Factor | Justification |
|------------|-------------------|--|
| Green | 0.9 | No activity or stable readings over last 5 years |
| Amber | 1 | No activity or fluctuating / inconclusive readings over last 5 years |
| Red | 1.15 | Regular activity when tested / trending upwards |

8.1.7.5 Offline PD Factor

There are no offline PD test results.

8.1.8 HI1

HI1 is primarily age driven however it does take into account the duty and location factor. After CBRM determines HI1, it applies condition information to determine the overall HI the asset stands at today.

| Setting Item Value | | Justification | |
|----------------------------|----------|---|--|
| Average Life Default | 65 | For all Circuit Breakers, the average life has been assigned the REPEX calibrated life of 65 years. | |
| As New HI Default | 0.5 | HI of 0.5 indicates a brand new asset | |
| HI1 Cap | 5.5 | HI of 5.5 indicates beginning of serious degradation. CBRM process caps the HI at this value if no condition information is available | |
| Reliability Rating Default | 1 | Used if no reliability cannot be determined, and assigns the asset as having normal reliability | |
| LogCalc | 2.397895 | Model Constant set by EA Technology | |

8.1.8.1 Constants

8.1.8.2 Average Life

For all Circuit Breakers, the average life has been assigned with the REPEX calibrated life of 65 years.

8.1.8.3 As New HI

All Circuit Breakers are assigned an as new HI of 0.5, indicating that they're brand new.

8.1.9 Factor Value 8.1.9.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|--|
| Reliability Rating Default | 1 | Used for the case where no reliability rating is assigned to the asset, and therefore assigns the asset with average reliability |
| Reliability Factor Default | 1 | Used for the case where the reliability rating cannot be mapped to a factor, and therefore assigns the asset with average reliability |
| Defect Factor Default | 0.95 | Default where no SAP defect recorded in last 5 years, indicates the Circuit Breaker is in better condition than anticipated |
| Overall Defect/Fault Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

8.1.9.2 Reliability Factor

The Reliability Factor identifies Circuit Breaker models with inherent design faults. The factors were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Reliability Rating | Reliability Factor | Justification |
|--------------------|--------------------|---|
| 1 | 0.9 | Operation & maintenance requirements normal, no common problems of type. |
| 2 | 1 | Minor/occasional corrective maintenance required in comparison to above models. |
| 3 | 1.15 | Significant additional corrective maintenance required in comparison to score 1's; Type has a history of high priority/forced outages to fix; numerous planned outage extensions required to correct problems (discovery of problems during maintenance); failure in service attributable to design. |
| 4 | 1.3 | Repeat forced outages/failures of type; significant mechanical problems; maintenance not cost effective; difficult to bring up to spec (timing/travel etc) during maintenance without major work. |

8.1.10 Failure Scenarios

Workshops undertaken with EA Technology CBRM Experts, The Circuit Breaker SME and Asset Strategy Engineers identified that distribution Circuit Breakers have the following failure scenarios:

- Minor: Substation inspections identify the Circuit Breaker requires minor repairs;
- Significant: The Circuit Breaker fails and requires major repairs for restoration;
- Major: The Circuit Breaker catastrophically fails and needs to be replaced;

- Replacement: The Circuit Breaker is replaced as it is in poor condition; and
- Fail to Trip: The Circuit Breaker fails to clear a fault resulting in an upstream protection trip.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| No. Failures: Condition Minor | 92 | Annual number of SAP SD Notifications created over last 5 years (excluding those closed (NOCO) without being issued to FS (ISFS) and notifications associated with other failure types. |
| No. Failures: Condition Significant | 0.3 | Any disruptive failure is considered Significant or Major (includes forced interruptions & 'simple fix' failures that cause protection to operate i.e. low oil trips). Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures and (unplanned) condition replacement |
| No. Failures: Condition Major | 0.2 | Any disruptive failure is considered Significant or Major (includes forced interruptions & 'simple fix' failures that cause protection to operate i.e. low oil trips). Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures and (unplanned) condition replacement |
| No. Failures: Condition Failure To Trip | 0.2 | Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures within the last 5 years. Failure to trip occurs when an the breaker cannot clear a fault, causing upstream protection to activate |
| No. Failures: Condition Replacement | 1.4 | Based on average number of condition replacements completed for the last 5 years, excluding historical 'targeted intervention' & refurbishment program |
| No. Failures: Non-Condition Minor | 5 | Annual number of SD Notifications created over last 5 years (excluding those closed (NOCO) without being issued to FS (ISFS) and notifications associated with other failure types. |
| No. Failures: Non-Condition Significant | 0.1 | No data for last 5 years - Any disruptive failure is considered Significant or Major (includes forced interruptions & 'simple fix' failures that cause protection to operate i.e. low oil trips). Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures and (unplanned) condition replacement |
| No. Failures: Non-Condition Major | 0.3 | Any disruptive failure is considered Significant or Major (includes forced interruptions & 'simple fix' failures that cause protection to operate i.e. low oil trips). Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures and (unplanned) |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------------------|-------|--|
| | | condition replacement |
| HILim: Condition Minor | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out begins |
| HILim: Condition Significant | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out begins |
| HILim: Condition Major | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out begins |
| HILim: Condition Failure to Trip | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out begins |
| HI Lim: Condition Replacement | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out begins |
| HIAvg: Condition Minor | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim |
| HIAvg: Condition Significant | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim |
| HIAvg: Condition Major | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim |
| HIAvg: Condition Failure to Trip | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim |
| HI Avg: Condition Replacement | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim |
| Cval: Condition Minor | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| Cval: - Condition Significant | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| Cval: Condition Major | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| Cval: Condition Failure to Trip | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| C Value: Condition Replacement | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |

8.1.11 Year 0 HI & PoF 8.1.11.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---|
| New Asset HI | 0.5 | Indicates a brand new asset |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Indicates a brand new asset |
| End of Life HI | 7 | CBRM process defines HI of 7 represents an asset at end of life |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used if HI cannot be determined |
| Substation HI Category Default | No Result | Used if HI cannot be determined |
| Maximum Y0 HI | 10 | CBRM caps today's HI to a value of 10 |
| Grouping Multiplier Default | 0 | Used if HI cannot be determined |

8.1.11.2 HI Category Y0

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

8.1.11.3 Weighted Health Index YO

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

8.1.12 HI – Yn Health Index

HI – Yn represents the future forecasted condition of the asset at Year Yn.

8.1.12.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-----------|--|
| Yn | 11 | 2014 to 2025 |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Represents a brand new asset |
| Maximum Yn Hl | 15 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Ageing Reduction Factor Default | 1 | If an ageing reduction factor cannot be applied, it is excluded from the determination of the HI |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used for the case where HI cannot be determined |
| Ageing Constant from Y0 HI Maximum increase(multiplier) | 1.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--|
| Minimum Age for Recalculated B | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Ageing Constant Multiplier Cap | 1.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

8.1.12.2 Ageing Reduction Factor

The age reduction factor models the increased life expectancy as Circuit Breakers age. The factors and HI bands were provided by the CBRM Architect and have been developed from EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Final Asset HI Y0 Minimum | Final Asset HI Y0 Maximum | Ageing Reduction Factor |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 5.5 | 1.5 |
| 5.5 | 10 | 1.5 |

8.1.12.3 Future HI Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

8.1.12.4 Weighted Health Index Yn

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

8.2 RISK

8.2.1 Interventions

8.2.1.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|------------------------------|------------|--|
| Yn | 11 | 2014-2025 |
| Percentage Replacement | 0 | No intervention |
| % Replacement Ranking Column | Delta Risk | Prioritises based on difference in risk between existing asset and new asset |
| Replacement Default Cost | 500000 | If the replacement cost cannot be determined, the conservative approach is to use 33kV costs. |
| Refurbishment Default Cost | 500000 | Refurbishment program for subtransmission Circuit Breakers is determined external to CBRM, and is |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------------|-------|---|
| | | documented in the Circuit Breaker Asset Management Plan |
| Average Life of New Asset | 65 | For all Circuit Breakers, the average life has been assigned the REPEX calibrated life of 65 years. |
| As New Duty Factor | 1 | Normal duty factor is assigned to the replaced asset |

8.2.1.2 Yn Percentage Replacement HI Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

8.2.1.3 Yn Target Intervention Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

8.2.1.4 Percentage Replacement Group Multiplier

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

| Primary Voltage | % Replacement Program Costs | Justification |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 33000 | 500000 | This is the average replacement cost of all 33kV Circuit Breaker & disconnect replacements (average of 3 disconnects per Circuit Breaker), within the last 5 years |
| 6600 | 550000 | Refer to Section 7.2.1.6 |
| 7600 | 550000 | Refer to Section 7.2.1.6 |
| 11000 | 550000 | Refer to Section 7.2.1.6 |
| 66000 | 600000 | This is the average replacement cost of all 66kV Circuit Breaker & disconnect replacements (average of 1.8 disconnects per Circuit Breaker), for the last 5 years |
| 132000 | 750000 | Assumed to be 125% of the unit cost of a 66kV Circuit Breaker replacement. It is important to note that there is only one 132kV Circuit Breaker in service |

8.2.1.5 Percentage Replacement Cost of Replacement

| 8.2.1.6 Targeted Intervention Replacement Cost | | |
|--|--|---|
| Primary Voltage | Target Intervention Replacement Costs | Justification |
| 33000 | 500000 | This is the average replacement cost of all 33kV Circuit Breaker & disconnect replacements (average of 3 disconnects per Circuit Breaker), for the last 5 years |
| 6600 | 550000 | Refer to Section 7.2.1.6 |
| 7600 | 550000 | Refer to Section 7.2.1.6 |
| 11000 | 550000 | Refer to Section 7.2.1.6 |
| 66000 | 600000 | This is the average replacement cost of all 66kV Circuit Breaker & disconnect replacements (average of 1.8 disconnects per Circuit Breaker), for the years last 5 years |
| 132000 | 750000 | Assumed to be 125% of the unit cost of a 66kV Circuit Breaker replacement. It is important to note that there is only one 132kV Circuit Breaker in service |

8.2.1.6 Targeted Intervention Replacement Cost

8.2.1.7 Targeted Intervention Refurbishment Cost

SA Power Networks determines its refurbishment program external to CBRM, and has documented this in the Circuit Breaker Asset Management Plan.

8.2.2 Criticality

Criticality is determined for each consequence category, it identifies the significance of a fault/failure, and is comprised of a number of weighting factors which represent relative severity.

8.2.2.1 Network Performance

Network Performance criticality represents significance of the penalty imposed on SA Power Networks when the asset causes an unplanned outage.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| Obsolescence Rating Default | 2 | Set to have no significance on risk if obsolescence rating cannot be determined |
| Spares/Obsolescence NP Factor Default | 1 | Set to have no significance on risk if obsolescence factor cannot be determined |
| Single Bus Section Factor | 1 | Conservative approach is to assume there are multiple bus sections |
| Major Customer Default Factor | 1 | If major customers cannot be determined, the conservative approach is used in which the feeder is assumed to supply no major customers |

8.2.2.1.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------------|-------|---|
| SCADA Site Factor Default | 1 | Set to have no effect on risk if it cannot be determined that SCADA is installed in the substation |
| NP Function Factor Default | 1 | No emphasis is placed on the number of feeder exists because customer numbers are used instead |
| NP Minimum Factor | 0.1 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Maximum Factor | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

8.2.2.1.2 Spares/Obsolescence

Spares/Obsolescence places more Network Performance significance on the Circuit Breaker units that require spare parts which are difficult to source, and varies in accordance with the unit's make and type.

| Spares/Obsolescence | Justification | |
|---------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Manufacturers current product line, ready whole/component spares are in stock | |
| 2 | Minimal spares but manufacturer/aftermarket parts are available. Catastrophic failure is covered by replacement with a modern equivalent. | |
| 3 | Spares are only available through retirement of (aged) in service units (of average/uncertain condition). | |
| 4 | Asset cannot easily be replaced with a modern equivalent, and no existing spares or vendor support is available. | |

8.2.2.1.3 Spares/Obsolescence Factor

The Spares/Obsolescence factor is assigned to the Spares/Obsolescence rating, the factor directly adjusts the Network Performance criticality. Factor values were established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide

| Spares/Obsolescence | Spares/Obsolescence NP Factor |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 1.5 |
| 4 | 2 |

8.2.2.1.4 Single Bus Section Factor

Substations with a single bus section will result in a full substation outage, and are assigned more significance than those with multiple bus sections.

| 8.2.2.1.5 | Major Customer Factor |
|-----------|-----------------------|
|-----------|-----------------------|

| Major Customer | Major Customer Factor | Justification |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|
| No | 1 | No major customers impacts, set to have no effect |
| Yes | 1.5 | Reflects delays in restoration when coordinating switching with customer network |

8.2.2.1.6 *No. TX Factor*

Substations with more entry Transformers have more inherent redundancy, this allows for more fault bypass options, which means that all assets on the site have less network performance significance. The factors were determined by EA Technology through their experience with implementing CBRM with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Number of TXs | No. Transformers NP Factor | Justification |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 6 | 0.7 | More redundancy on site |
| 5 | 0.75 | More redundancy on site |
| 4 | 0.8 | More redundancy on site |
| 3 | 0.9 | More redundancy on site |
| 2 | 1 | Average redundancy on site |
| 1 | 1.5 | likely no simple bypass |
| 0 | 1.25 | Ease of restoration given number of other transformers at site |

8.2.2.1.7 Load at Risk Factor

Load at risk is used to directly calculate the Network Performance Consequence, and therefore no extra significance to risk is used here.

8.2.2.1.8 SCADA Site Factor

Substations with SCADA installed have faster restoration times because the location and occurrence of faults is immediately identified.

| SCADA Site | SCADA Site Factor | Justification |
|------------|-------------------|--|
| Yes | 0.5 | Relative speed of dispatch and directed fault response due to SCADA monitoring |
| RTU | 0.5 | Relative speed of dispatch and directed fault response due to RTU monitoring |
| TDU | 1.25 | Very basic indication |
| Indicating | 1.5 | Basic indication only |
| None | 2.5 | Slow speed of dispatch and lack of directed fault response due to lack of SCADA monitoring |
| No | 2.5 | Slow speed of dispatch and lack of directed fault response due to lack of SCADA monitoring |

8.2.2.1.9 Function Factor

Section and Capacitor Circuit Breakers can be isolated without load transfers, and therefore have been set to have less significance on Network Performance Risk. The remaining Circuit Breaker functions have no significance on Network Performance Risk.

8.2.2.2 OPEX

OPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Operational Expenditure required to remediate it.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|---|
| Medium Factor Default | 1 | If the medium of the Circuit Breaker cannot be determined, it is set to have no significance on risk |
| Spares/Obsolescence OPEX Factor Default | 1 | If the Spares/Obsolescence factor cannot be determined it is set to have no significance on risk |
| OPEX Function Factor Default | 1 | If the function of the Circuit Breaker cannot be determined, it is set to have no significance on risk |
| Substation Customer Type Factor (OPEX) Default | 1 | If the substation customer type cannot be determined the assumption is made that the unit supplies urban customers as this is the most common customer type. |
| OPEX Major Customer Factor Default | 1 | If Major customers cannot be allocated to the |

8.2.2.2.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | | Justification |
|---------------------|-----|--|
| | | Circuit Breaker, then the assumption is made that the unit does not supply major customers |
| Opex Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Opex Maximum Factor | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

8.2.2.2.2 Medium Factor

The Medium factor emphasizes cleanup costs for spillages.

| Medium | OPEX Medium Factor | Justification |
|----------------|--------------------|--|
| Air | 1 | No clean up costs |
| Vacuum | 1 | No clean up costs |
| MIN OIL | 1.5 | Additional work of oil handling/repair/cleanup |
| Small Bulk Oil | 1.5 | Additional work of oil handling/repair/cleanup |
| VACUUM/SF6 | 2 | Additional work of repair/cleanup |
| Bulk Oil | 3 | Additional work of repair/cleanup |
| SF6 | 3 | Additional work of repair/cleanup |
| GIS | 6 | Additional work of repair/cleanup |

8.2.2.2.3 Spares/Obsolescence Factor

Spares/Obsolescence places more OPEX significance on Circuit Breaker units requiring spare parts which are difficult to source.

| Spares/Obsolescence | Spares/Obsolescence OPEX Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | 0.5 | Modern Equipment - quicker restoration/repair |
| 2 | 1 | Average equipment/spares holdings |
| 3 | 2 | Reflects time spent looking/rebuilding spare parts |
| 4 | 3 | Reflects additional time spent looking/rebuilding spare parts |

| Type of Site Used | Substation Customer Type Factor | Justification |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Urban | 1 | Base standard |
| Rural Short | 1.2 | Additional travel times, LAFA, and remote allowances |
| Rural Long | 1.5 | Additional travel times, LAFA, and remote allowances |
| CBD | 2 | Movement, access, and switching requirements within CBD is difficult |

8.2.2.2.4 Substation Customer Type Factor

8.2.2.3 CAPEX

CAPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Capital Expenditure required to remediate it.

| 8.2.2.3.1 Constants | | |
|---|-------|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
| Voltage Factor (CAPEX) Default | 1 | If the voltage cannot be determined it is set to have no significance on risk |
| Substation Customer Type Factor (CAPEX) Default | 1 | If the customer type cannot be determined, URBAN is assigned as the majority of customers are located in this SCONRRR |
| Capex Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Capex Maximum Factor | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| | | · |

8.2.2.3.2 Voltage Factor

SA Power Networks' operational experience has found that there is a voltage significance on CAPEX for Subtransmission Circuit Breakers. The level of significance was determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM experts and the Circuit Breaker SME.

| Primary Voltage | Voltage Factor (CAPEX) |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| 33000 | 1 |
| 66000 | 1.25 |
| 132000 | 1.5 |

| Type of Site Used | Substation Customer Type Factor (CAPEX) | Justification | | |
|-------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Rural Long | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience | | |
| Urban | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience | | |
| Rural Short | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience | | |
| CBD | 1.25 | Additional cost of suitable equipment in CBD | | |

8.2.2.3.3 Substation Customer Type Factor

8.2.2.4 Environment

Environmental criticality represents the significance the asset has on the environment when an event occurs.

| 8.2.2.4.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| Pre-Oil Containment System Factor Default | 1 | If it cannot be determined if oil containment is installed, the significance on environment is ignored |
| Env Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Env Maximum Factor | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Env Consequence: Default Medium Type | Oil | Most units are oil filled |

8.2.2.4.2 Environmental Risk Assessment Factor

The environmental risk assessment is made outside of CBRM and varies asset to asset, it is used to identify the environmental impact if the Circuit Breaker fails.

| Environmental Risk Assessment | Environment Risk Assessment Factor |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Low | 1 |
| Medium | 1.5 |
| High | 3 |

8.2.2.4.3 Medium Type

These calibration settings are used for reporting in the CBRM client, and have no significance on risk.

8.2.2.5 Safety

Safety criticality represents the significance the asset has on public and employee safety.

| 8.2.2.5.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|---|
| Bushing Insulation Type Default | 1 | If the bushing insulation type cannot be determined, its significance on safety is ignored |
| Internal Arc Rated Safety Factor Default | 1 | Refer to Section 8.2.2.5.2 |
| Medium Factor Default | 1 | If the medium type cannot be determined, it is conservatively assigned as air |
| Situation Factor Default | 1 | Refer to Section 8.2.2.5.5 |
| Safety Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Safety Maximum Factor | 10 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

8.2.2.5.2 Internal Arc Rated Factor

Subtransmission CBs are self contained units, and as such do not require the installation of Internal Arc Containment. These settings have been assigned to have no significance on Safety Risk.

8.2.2.5.3 **Bushing Insulation Type Factor**

Bushing Insulation Type factor places more safety emphasis on porcelain insulators as they shard on failure.

| 8.2.2.5.4 Medium Factor | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Medium | Safety Medium Factor | Justification |
| Air | 1 | Air medium has no safety impact |
| Vacuum | 1 | Vacuum medium has no safety impact |
| VACUUM/SF6 | 1.2 | Toxic by-products are released on failure |
| SF6 | 1.5 | Toxic by-products are released on failure |
| Small Bulk Oil | 2 | Fire risk if oil is ignited on failure |

0 2 2 5 4 Madium Eactor

| Medium | Safety Medium Factor | Justification |
|----------|----------------------|--|
| MIN OIL | 2 | Fire risk if oil is ignited on failure |
| GIS | 3 | Hazardous decomposition products released on failure |
| Bulk Oil | 3 | Fire risk if oil is ignited on failure |

8.2.2.5.5 Situation Factor

Due to inaccessibility to the public as well as the inherent safety of substation design, the installation situation has no significance on safety.

8.2.3 Average Cost of a Fault

8.2.3.1 Network Performance

Network Performance consequences are the penalties imposed on SA Power Networks whenever an outage occurs. It is important to note the majority of subtransmission Circuit Breakers protect redundant lines, which means that a failure does not typically result in an outage. If a section of redundant line fails, its load is no longer redundant and is classed as load put at additional risk. CBRM uses a direct calculation of the load put at additional risk and converts it to a dollar value using VCR.

A LAFF is then applied to the load at additional risk. The LAFF is a factor which uses a cubic relationship to quantify the additional risk when the load is above firm capacity of the network, it is calibrated to offset the Risk Factor (described in Section 8.2.3.1.4) with a value representing 1 for fully redundant and 20 for non redundant.

Network Performance consequences for radial (non redundant) subtransmission Circuit Breakers is determined using VCR because the outage will have SAIDI exceeding the Major Event threshold therefore having no STPIS penalty imposed.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------------------|-----------|---|
| Section Addition | 1 | Section breaker adds an extra section to the bus it protects |
| Section Tag | SEC | All Bus Circuit Breakers are assigned as Section Breakers |
| Function Default | Feeder | If the function of the Circuit Breaker cannot be determined, it is assumed to be Feeder Exit, as this is common the network |
| Default Asset Redundancy | Redundant | If it cannot be determined that the Circuit Breaker protects radial or redundant lines, redundancy is assumed as the consequences are more conservative. |
| KVALNP | 1 | Gives a 20:1 relationship between LAFF and % load above firm capacity |

8.2.3.1.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------------|--|
| CVALNP | 0.037 | Gives a 20:1 relationship between LAFF and % load above firm capacity. |
| Load Lost/Add. Risk Default | 5 | Sets a default value of 5MVA of load lost where actual load at additional risk is unknown. This value was determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts, Asset Strategy Engineers, and Substation Planning |
| LAFF Value Default for Non- Redundant Assets | 1 | Conservative approach is used in which assets with unknown LAFF are assumed to be fully redundant |
| Type of Site Default | Rural Short | If the type of substation cannot be determined, rural short is assumed. This accounts for both the majority of urban feeders as well as rural feeders supplying high loads to urban areas |

8.2.3.1.2 VCR Constants

| 8.2.3.1.2 VCR Constants | CDD | Dural Laura | Dunel Chant | 1 July aug | luctification |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---|
| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
| VCR (\$) Redundant Assets | 99243 | 49711 | 49711 | 49711 | As Per AER STPIS Report |
| Power Factor Redundant Assets | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Recommended by the Manager Network Planning |
| Load Factor Redundant Assets | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| VCR (\$) Non Redundant Assets | 99243 | 49711 | 49711 | 49711 | As Per AER STPIS Report |
| Load Factor Non Redundant Assets | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--------------------------------------|-----|------------|-------------|-------|--|
| | | | | | with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Power Factor Non Redundant Assets | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Recommended by the Manager Network Planning |

8.2.3.1.3 Non Redundant Constants

The duration of non redundant outages has been derived using records in the HV database. Since outages of subtransmission lines typically result in SAIDI above the Major Event threshold there are cases where no reliable records exist, and therefore the following assumptions needed to be made:

- Assume condition and non-condition failures are restored in the same timeframe;
- Assume significant and major failures are restored in the same timeframe.

The assumptions were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM experts, Circuit Breaker SME, and Asset Strategy Engineers.

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|---|
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Minor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No outage occurs here as this is a defect which is repaired while the unit is still in service |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Significant | 1.6 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 2 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Major | 1.6 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 2 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Failure to Trip | 1.6 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 2 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This is a planned outage, and as such does not result in a STPIS penalty |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non-Condition Minor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No outage occurs here as this is a defect which is repaired while the unit is still in service |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non-Condition Significant | 1.6 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 2 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|---|
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non-Condition Major | 1.6 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 2 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Failure to Trip | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Replacement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Failure to Trip | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| | | | | | redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Replacement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |

8.2.3.1.4 *Redundant Constants*

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|------|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Minor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No outage occurs here as this is a defect which is repaired while the unit is still in service |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Significant | 1.6 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 2 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Major | 1.6 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 2 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Failure to Trip | 1.6 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 2 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Replacement | 1.6 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 2 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Non-Condition Minor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No outage occurs here as this is a defect which is repaired while the unit is still in service |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Non-Condition Significant | 1.25 | 4 | 3.5 | 2 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|------|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Non-Condition Major | 1.25 | 4 | 3.5 | 2 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Failure to Trip | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Replacement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Minor | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Significant | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|------|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Major | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Failure to Trip | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Replacement | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Minor | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Significant | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Major | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

8.2.3.2 OPEX

OPEX consequences are the Operational Expenditure required in response to an event.

| Setting Item | Indoor | Outdoor | Justification | | | |
|--|--------|---------|---|--|--|--|
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Minor Failure | 2590 | 2590 | Average SAP SD Notification OPEX Orders created for the last 5 years | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Significant Failure | 35000 | 15000 | Average of Significant Failure SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Subtransmission Circuit Breakers for the last 5 years | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Major Failure | 60000 | 60000 | Average of Major Failure SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Subtransmission Circuit Breakers for the last 5 years | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Failure to Trip Non- Condition Failure | 12000 | 12000 | Average cost of Willunga (\$11k) & Pt Stanvac (\$13k) Fail To Trip events | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Replacement | 15000 | 20000 | Cost assumed similar to indoor distribution Circuit Breakers, based on similar failure modes & CM techniques. Additional amount represents greater CM testing to confirm condition | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Minor Non-Condition Failure | 2590 | 2590 | Average SAP SD Notification OPEX Orders for the last 5 years | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Significant Non-Condition Failure | 35000 | 15000 | Average of Significant SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Subtransmission Circuit Breakers created within the last 5 years | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Major Non-Condition Failure | 60000 | 60000 | Average of Major SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Subtransmission Circuit Breakers created within the last 5 years | | | |

8.2.3.2.1 *Situation Constants*

8.2.3.2.2 Situation for Cost of Failure

These settings are used for reporting in the CBRM front end, and have no effect on results.

8.2.3.3 CAPEX

CAPEX consequences are the Capital Expenditure required in response to an event.

8.2.3.3.1 Situation Based Constants

| 8.2.3.3.1 Situation Based Constants | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|---|--|
| Setting Item | Indoor | Outdoor | Justification | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Minor Failure | 0 | 0 | Minor repairs involved, this is classed as OPEX | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Failure to Trip | 0 | 0 | Minor repairs involved, this is classed as OPEX | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Minor Non-Condition Failure | 0 | 0 | All costs are OPEX | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Major Failure | 310000 | 235000 | Determined using historical costs. | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Condition Replacement | 615000 | 235000 | Determined using historical costs. | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Major Non-Condition Failure | 615000 | 235000 | Determined using historical costs. | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Significant Failure | 0 | 0 | Significant repairs are involved, this is classed as OPEX | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Significant Non-Condition Failure | 0 | 0 | Significant repairs are involved, this is classed as OPEX | |

8.2.3.3.2 Situation For Cost of Failure

These settings are used for reporting in the CBRM front end, and have no effect on results.

8.2.3.4 SAFETY

8.2.3.4.1 *Consequences*

The safety consequences are valued in monetary terms, and have been assessed by establishing a value of a safety event in terms of its adverse cost on society. CBRM uses the following consequences to determine safety risk:

- Minor The event leads to an individual requiring medical treatment only;
- Major The event incurs a lost time injury;
- Fatality The event causes death or permanent disability.

EA Technology established the values for the three consequence types through collaboration with the Network Asset Management Group from SA Power Networks, the process that was used is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

8.2.3.4.2 Average Consequences

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

8.2.3.5 Environment

8.2.3.5.1 *Consequences*

Five significant environmental consequences have been identified that could arise as a result of a network asset failure:

- Loss of oil;
- Emission of SF6 gas into the atmosphere;
- A significant fire with smoke pollution;
- The production of contaminated waste; and
- Major disturbance such as traffic congestion or noise.

CBRM assigns a monetary value to the environmental consequences based on trading values for carbon emissions. The overall process that was used to determine this is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

8.2.3.5.2 Failure Scenario Constants

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

9. SUBSTATION TRANSFORMERS

9.1 HEALTH INDEX

There are Substation Transformers which use a Tap Changer. The Tap Changer contains oil which can contaminate the main Transformer tank, leading to spurious condition monitoring results. Contrary to this statement, the condition of the Tap Changer can also be a good indicator of the Transformer HI. CBRM determines the HI of both the Tap Changer and Transformer, and combines both HIs to give an overall determination of the entire unit's HI.

9.1.1 Tap Changer

9.1.1.1 Defect Factor

The number of defects identified on the Tap Changer is a good indicator of its condition. The Defect Factor is determined from a cumulative score of SAP SD notifications assigned to the corresponding Tap Changer over the last 5 years.

| 9.1.1.1 | .1 | Constants |
|---------|----|-----------|
| | | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|--|
| Defect Factor Default | 0.95 | If no defects have been identified in the last 5 years, the Tap Changer is in better condition than anticipated |
| Overall Defect/Fault Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

9.1.1.1.2 Defect Factor

The defect factor and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Sum of Tapchanger Defects Scores Minimum | <= Sum of Tapchanger Defects Scores Maximum | Tapchanger Defect Factor | Justification |
|---|--|--------------------------------|--|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | Shows small amount of insignificant defects, no effect on HI |
| 0 | 2 | 1.05 | More insignificant defects |
| 2 | 7 | 1.1 | Significant amount of defects |
| 7 | 15 | 1.15 | Major defects |
| 15 | 100 | 1.25 | Many major defects recorded against the Tap Changer, indicating the it is problematic |

9.1.1.2 Fault Factor

The number of faults experienced by the Tap Changer is a good indicator of its condition, and is therefore used to determine its HI. The Fault Factor is determined from a cumulative score of SAP FM notifications assigned to the corresponding Tap Changer over the last 5 years.

| 9.1.1.2.1 Constants | | | | |
|---|-------|--|--|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | |
| Fault Factor Default | 1 | No fault recorded in last 5 years, set to have no effect on HI | | |
| Overall Defect/Fault Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | | |

01121 **~**

9.1.1.2.2 Fault Factor

The Fault Factor and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Sum of Tapchanger Faults Minimum | <= Sum of Tapchanger Faults Maximum | Tapchanger Fault Factor | Justification |
|--|---|-------------------------------|--|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | Shows small amount of insignificant breakdowns |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | More insignificant breakdowns |
| 1 | 5 | 1.05 | Significant amount of breakdowns |
| 5 | 10 | 1.1 | Major breakdowns |
| 10 | 100 | 1.15 | Many major breakdowns, as the Tap Changer is problematic |

9.1.1.3 Condition

9.1.1.3.1 Constants Value Setting Item Justification Satisfactory default established through EA **Condition Factor Multiplier** 0.05 Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide Sets Maintenance Factor to 1 for no overall effect TC Maintenance 1 Default Factor 1 on HI when no factor can be calculated Sets Maintenance Factor to 1 for no overall effect TC Maintenance 2 Default Factor 1 on HI when no factor can be calculated Sets Maintenance Factor to 1 for no overall effect TC Maintenance 3 Default Factor 1 on HI when no factor can be calculated Sets Maintenance Factor to 1 for no overall effect TC Maintenance 4 Default Factor 1 on HI when no factor can be calculated TC Visual Inspection 1 Default Factor 1 Sets Visual Inspection Factor to 1 for no overall

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|---|
| | | effect on HI when no factor can be calculated |
| TC Visual Inspection 2 Default Factor | 1 | Sets Visual Inspection Factor to 1 for no overall effect on HI when no factor can be calculated |
| TC Maintenance 1 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum calculated Maintenance Factor to 0.5, if no value is assigned |
| TC Maintenance 2 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum calculated Maintenance Factor to 0.5, if no value is assigned |
| TC Maintenance 3 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum calculated Maintenance Factor to 0.5, if no value is assigned |
| TC Maintenance 4 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum calculated Maintenance Factor to 0.5, if no value is assigned |
| TC Visual Inspection 1 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum calculated Visual Inspection Factor to 0.5, if no value is assigned |
| TC Visual Inspection 2 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum calculated Visual Inspection Factor to 0.5, if no value is assigned |

9.1.1.3.2 Maintenance 1

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.1.3.3 Maintenance 2

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.1.3.4 Maintenance 3

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.1.3.5 Maintenance 4

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.1.3.6 Visual Inspection 1

Not used, as for most cases the Tap Changer is enclosed within the Transformer.

9.1.1.3.7 Visual Inspection 2

Not used, as for most cases the Tap Changer is enclosed within the Transformer.

9.1.1.3.8 TC Maintenance 1 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.1.3.9 TC Maintenance 2 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.1.3.10 TC Maintenance 3 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.1.3.11 TC Maintenance 4 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.1.3.12 TC Visual Inspection 1 Minimum HI

Not used, as for most cases the Tap Changer is enclosed within the Transformer.

9.1.1.3.13 TC Visual Inspection 2 Minimum HI

Not used, as for most cases the Tap Changer is enclosed within the Transformer.

9.1.1.4 Duty

Duty factor models how hard an asset has worked in its operational life. Workshops between EA Technology CBRM experts, Transformer SME, and Asset Strategy Engineers determined that the prime indicator of Tap Changer duty is the number of operations it has completed.

9.1.1.4.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------------------------|-------|--|
| Tapchanger Duty Factor Default | | Sets Factor to 1 (ie average number of operations) if the duty factor cannot be determined |

9.1.1.4.2 Tapchanger Tap Duty Factor

The duty factor and operation bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > TC Taps Per Year Minimum | <= TC Taps Per Year Maximum | Tapchanger Duty Factor | Justification |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 0 | 1,000.00 | 0.9 | Infrequent operation |
| 1,000.00 | 3,000.00 | 1 | Average number of operations |
| 3,000.00 | 6,000.00 | 1.15 | Moderate number of operations |
| 6,000.00 | 10,000.00 | 1.25 | High Number of operations |
| 10,000.00 | 10,000,000.00 | 1 | Possible incorrect measurement. Default to Average |

9.1.1.5 Ops Since Last Maintenance

The HI of a Tap Changer degrades if it is not maintained within the manufacturer specified number of operations. CBRM factors this by identifying if the number of operations since last maintenance exceeds the amount recommended by the manufacturer.

9.1.1.5.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | |
|---|-------|--|--|--|
| Ops Between Maintenance Default | 50000 | If the recommended number of operations between maintenance cannot be determined, the Reinhausen manufacturer recommendation is used | | |
| Ops Since Maintenance Factor Default | 1 | Sets factor to 1 for no overall effect on HI when the duty factor cannot be calculated | | |

9.1.1.5.2 *Operations Between Maintenance*

The number of operations between maintenance has been assigned for each make and type, and is identical to those used by SA Power Networks.

9.1.1.5.3 Ops Since Maintenance Factor

The ops since maintenance factor and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Ops Since Last Maintenance % Minimum | <= Ops Since Last Maintenance % Maximum | Ops Since Last Maintenance Factor |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| 0 | 90 | 0.5 |
| 90 | 100 | 3 |
| 100 | 10,000.00 | 7 |

9.1.1.6 End of Life Ops 9.1.1.6.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--|
| Max. Number of Operations Default | 300000 | Refer to Section 9.1.1.6.2 | |
| Ops End of Life Min HI Default | 0.5 | Refer to Section 9.1.1.6.2 | |

9.1.1.6.2 Max Number of Operations

The proportion of maximum number of operations experienced by the Tap Changer can be used to determine the 'at best' HI. Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience and advice from the Transformer SME it was decided not to use this feature.

9.1.1.7 Overdue Maintenance

Apart from exceeding the maximum allowed operations between maintenance, time scheduled maintenance can also degrade the Tap Changer HI.

9.1.1.7.1 *Constants*

| Silini Constants | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
| Days Between Maintenance Default | 2190 | 6 years nominal in accordance with the maintenance manual | |
| Default Days Between Maintenance | 0 | Default to recently maintained Tap Changer | |
| Overdue Maintenance Factor Default | 1 | Exclude from HI determination if the date when Tap Changer was last maintained is unknown | |

9.1.1.7.2 Days Between Maintenance

For each make and type, the days between maintenance has been set to 2190 (ie 6 years) as this is in accordance with the maintenance manual as well as SA Power Networks' current practice.

9.1.1.7.3 Overdue Maintenance Factor

The overdue maintenance factor and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Days Overdue Minimum | <= Days Overdue Maximum | Overdue Maintenance factor | Justification |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | Maintenance is completed within the set interval |
| 0 | 30 | 1.05 | 6 months - 1 year overdue |
| 30 | 90 | 1.1 | 1 to 2 years overdue |
| 90 | 100,000.00 | 1.3 | More than 2 years overdue |

9.1.1.8 HI1

HI1 is primarily age driven however it does take into account the duty and location factor. After CBRM determines HI1, it applies condition information to determine the overall HI the asset stands at today.

| 9.1.1.8.1 Constants | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|---|--|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | |
| НІ1 Сар | 5.5 | HI of 5.5 indicates beginning of serious degradation. CBRM process caps the HI at this value if no condition information is available | | |
| Tapchanger Average Life Default | 65 | The average life has been assigned the REPEX calibrated life of 65 years | | |
| Tapchanger As New Age Default | 0.5 | HI of 0.5 indicates a brand new asset | | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | |
|--------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| LogCalc | 2.397895273 | Model Constant set by EA Technology | | |

9.1.1.8.2 Tapchanger Average Life

All Tap Changers have been assigned the REPEX calibrated life of 65 years.

9.1.1.8.3 Tapchanger As New HI

All Tap Changers are assigned an as new HI of 0.5, as this indicates that they're brand new.

9.1.1.9 Factor Value

The Factor Value is a HI modifier which uses results obtained from TASA tests, and known inherent design faults.

9.1.1.9.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------------|-------|---|
| Reliability Rating Default | 2 | If no reliability rating is assigned to the Tap Changer, average reliability is assumed |
| TASA Factor Default | 1 | If no TASA results have been recorded, it is excluded from HI determination |

9.1.1.9.2 Tapchanger Reliability Rating

The Reliability Rating identifies Tap Changer models with inherent design flaws, and is assigned to each unit on a scale between 1 and 4, in accordance with SA Power Networks' operational experience.

9.1.1.9.3 Tapchanger Reliability Factor

The factors were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide. They convert the Reliability Rating to a HI modifier.

| Tapchanger Reliability Rating | Tapchanger Reliability Factor |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 0.9 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 1.1 |
| 4 | 1.3 |

9.1.1.9.4 *TASA Factor*

The factors were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide. They convert the TASA score to a HI modifier.

| Latest TASA Score | TASA Factor |
|-------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 0.9 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 1.2 |
| 4 | 1.5 |
| Potential 4* | 1.5 |
| 4* | 2 |

9.1.1.10 Health Index

The Tap Changer HI is a combination of its age, location, duty, reliability, and condition measurements.

9.1.1.10.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-------------------------|-------|--|
| Factor Value Multiplier | 0.05 | Established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide. They convert the TASA score to a HI modifier. |
| Tapchanger Maximum HI | 10 | Established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide. They convert the TASA score to a HI modifier. |

9.1.1.10.2 *Minimum Health Index*

The Transformer SME decided not to use this feature as an unreliable Tap Changer is not necessarily in poor condition.

9.1.2 Transformer

The Transformer HI is determined from the following interim HIs:

- HI2 Determined by HI1 with the following condition based measurements:
 - Tank Reliability: Captures operator knowledge with respect to Transformer reliability;
 - o Bushing Reliability: Captures operator knowledge with respect to bushing types;
 - Overall Defect Fault Factor: Captures operational history of the Transformer by combining separate weighted sums of the defects and faults recorded against the Transformer in SAP;
 - Fault Damage: Allows for the Transformer to be tagged as having experienced above average fault events, and is only used when no other fault data is available;
 - Future Maintenance/Visual Inspection Placeholders.

- HI2a Determined from Dissolved Gas Analysis (DGA) test results. CBRM finds the most recent result, and combines this with a history factor representing the trend from previous results. The trend is used to estimate if DGA is accelerating, stable or falling. CBRM also uses a flag to indicate likely contamination between the Transformer and Tap Changer so that HI2a is capped if the flag is set.
- HI2b Determined using oil condition information. Ideal information used to determine this HI is the moisture content, acidity and breakdown strength.
- HI2c Determined from FFA value. FFA represents the mechanical strength of the paper used to insulate the windings within the transformer. CBRM uses an empirical mathematical relationship to determine this HI, which is calibrated to give a value of 7 for a FFA value of 5ppm indicating that the paper has very little remaining strength and is at risk of failure during operation.

Year 0 HI is determined by combining the highest interim HI with a modifying factor. Further details on how the modifying factor is determined are documented in Sections 9.1.2.10.4, 9.1.2.10.5, and 9.1.2.10.6.

9.1.2.1 Defect Factor

The number of defects identified on the Transformer is a good indicator of its condition. CBRM counts the number of defects assigned over the last 5 years, and converts it to a factor which modifies the HI accordingly.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | |
|---|-------|--|--|--|
| Defect Factor Default | 1 | If no defects have been identified in the last 5 years, they have no effect on HI determination | | |
| Overall Defect/Fault Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | | |

9.1.2.1.1 *Constants*

9.1.2.1.2 Defect Factor

The Defect Factor is determined from a cumulative score of SAP SD notifications assigned to the corresponding Transformer over the last 5 years.

| > Sum of Defect Scores Minimum | <= Sum of Defect Scores Maximum | SD Factor | Justification |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|---|
| -1 | 0 | 1.00 | Shows small amount of insignificant defects, no effect on HI |
| 0 | 2 | 1.05 | more insignificant defects |
| 2 | 7 | 1.10 | significant amount of defects |
| 7 | 15 | 1.15 | major defects |
| 15 | 100 | 1.20 | Many major defects recorded against, indicating the unit is problematic |

9.1.2.2 Fault Factor

The number of faults experienced by the Transformer is a good indicator of its condition. The Fault Factor is determined from a cumulative score of SAP FM notifications assigned to the corresponding Transformer over the last 5 years, and modifies the HI accordingly.

9.1.2.2.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|--|
| Fault Factor Default | 1 | No fault recorded in last 5 years, set to have no effect on HI |
| Overall Defect/Fault Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

9.1.2.2.2 Fault Factor

The fault factor and bands were established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Sum of FMs Scores Minimum | <= Sum of FMs Scores Maximum | Fm Factor | Justification |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---|
| -1 | 0 | 1 | Shows small amount of insignificant breakdowns |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | More insignificant breakdowns |
| 1 | 5 | 1.05 | Significant amount of breakdowns |
| 5 | 10 | 1.1 | Major breakdowns |
| 10 | 100 | 1.15 | Many major breakdowns, as the TC is problematic |

9.1.2.3 Oil Test

Oil test results are a good indicator of Transformer condition, and are used to determine the interim HI2b.

| 9.1.2.3.1 <i>Constants</i> | | |
|---|-------|---|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
| Moisture Default | 0 | Ignores measurement point if no condition data is available |
| Breakdown Strength Default | 0 | Ignores measurement point if no condition data is available |
| Acidity Default | 0 | Ignores measurement point if no condition data is available |
| Moisture Condition Index Multiplier | 80 | Relative weighting multiplier established through past CBRM / analytical experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|---|
| BD Strength Condition Index Multiplier | 80 | Relative weighting multiplier established through past CBRM / analytical experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Acidity Condition Index Multiplier | 125 | Relative weighting multiplier established through past CBRM / analytical experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Oil Condition Classification Default | 1 | Relative weighting multiplier established through past CBRM / analytical experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

9.1.2.3.2 Temperature Band

Temperature band depends on the minimum and maximum recorded operating temperatures of the Transformer within the last 5 years. The banding ranges have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.2.3.3 Moisture Condition State

The Moisture Condition State depends on moisture measurements from oil samples taken over the last 5 years. The banding ranges have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.2.3.4 BD Strength Condition State

The Breakdown Strength Condition State depends on tests undertaken from oil samples taken over the last 5 years. The banding ranges have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.2.3.5 Acidity Condition State

The Acidity Condition State depends on acidity measurements from oil samples taken over the last 5 years. The banding ranges have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.2.3.6 Oil Condition Classification

The Oil Condition Classification depends on the overall test score assigned to measurements from oil samples taken over the last 5 years. The banding ranges have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.2.4 DGA Test

Dissolved Gasses within Transformer oil are a good indicator of Transformer condition, and are used to determine the interim HI2a.

| 9.1.2.4.1 Cons | stants | |
|---|--------|---|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
| Hydrogen Default | 0 | Ignores measurement point if no condition data is available |
| Methane Default | 0 | Ignores measurement point if no condition data is available |
| Ethylene Default | 0 | Ignores measurement point if no condition data is available |
| Ethane Default | 0 | Ignores measurement point if no condition data is available |
| Acetylene Default | 0 | Ignores measurement point if no condition data is available |
| Hydrogen Condition Index Multiplier | 50 | Relative weighting multiplier established through past CBRM / analytical experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Methane Condition Index Multiplier | 30 | Relative weighting multiplier established through past CBRM / analytical experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Ethylene Condition Index Multiplier | 30 | Relative weighting multiplier established through past CBRM / analytical experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Ethane Condition Index Multiplier | 30 | Relative weighting multiplier established through past CBRM / analytical experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Acetylene Condition Index Multiplier | 120 | Relative weighting multiplier established through past CBRM / analytical experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Include Based on Sample Point Default | Yes | Set to include results in calculations where sample point description is not recorded |
| DGA Test Yrs Valid | 10 | Recommendation by EA Technology CBRM Experts |
| DGA Test Period in Days | 365 | Recommendation by EA Technology CBRM Experts |

9.1.2.4.2 Hydrogen Condition State

The Hydrogen Condition State depends on the minimum and maximum recorded hydrogen gas levels in the Transformer oil for the last 5 years. The banding ranges have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.2.4.3 *Methane Condition State*

The Methane Condition State depends on the minimum and maximum recorded methane gas levels in the Transformer oil for the last 5 years. The banding ranges have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.2.4.4 Ethylene Condition State

The Ethylene Condition State depends on the minimum and maximum recorded ethylene gas levels in the Transformer oil for the last 5 years. The banding ranges have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.2.4.5 Ethane Condition State

The Ethane Condition State depends on the minimum and maximum recorded ethane gas levels in the Transformer oil for the last 5 years. The banding ranges have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.2.4.6 Acetylene Condition State

The Acetylene Condition State depends on the minimum and maximum recorded acetylene gas levels in the Transformer oil for the last 5 years. The banding ranges have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.2.4.7 Sample Point Inclusion

Sample Point Inclusions are flag settings used to set the sample points which are routine diagnostics.

9.1.2.5 Oil Test Results

9.1.2.5.1 *Constants*

| 9.1.2.5.1 Constants | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
| DGA Divider | 220 | Relative weighting divider from CBRM expert system for DGA analysis | |
| DGA Diagnostic Limit | 3 | Appropriate limit established through CBRM expert system for DGA analysis | |
| DGA History Factor Default | 1 | Sets history factor multiplier for no effect where history factors cannot be calculated | |
| Maximum DGA HI(a) | 10 | Appropriate limit established through CBRM expert system for DGA analysis | |
| DGA Min HI | 0 | Appropriate limit established through CBRM expert system for DGA analysis | |
| History Factor HI Limit | 3 | Appropriate limit established through CBRM expert system for DGA analysis | |
| Oil Condition Divider | 275 | Relative weighting divider from CBRM expert system for DGA analysis | |
| Oil Condition HI Minimum | 0 | Appropriate limit established from CBRM expert system for DGA analysis | |
| Oil Condition HI Maximum | 3 | Appropriate limit established from CBRM expert system for DGA analysis | |
| FFA HI Maximum | 10 | Appropriate limit established from CBRM expert system for DGA analysis | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--|
| FFA HI Minimum | 0 | Appropriate limit established from CBRM expert system for DGA analysis |
| PD Limit | 10 | Appropriate limit established from CBRM expert system for DGA analysis |
| Tapchanger Contamination Limit | 2 | Appropriate limit established from CBRM expert system for DGA analysis |
| Diagnostic Detection Flag | Y | Set to enable display of diagnostic flags based on CIGRE WG 15.01 DGA interpretation publication |
| Thermal Limit | 1 | Appropriate limit established from CBRM expert system for DGA analysis |
| Acetylene Limit | 30 | Appropriate limit established from CBRM expert system for DGA analysis |
| Arcing Limit | 1 | Appropriate limit established from CBRM expert system for DGA analysis |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Represents HI of a brand new Transformer |

9.1.2.5.2 Rate of DGA Change

The CBRM Rate of DGA Change is used to smooth HI results so that a trend in condition can be determined. The banding ranges and factors have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.2.5.3 DGA History Factor

The DGA History factor puts hysteresis into the DGA measurements so that the HI results can be smoothed. The banding ranges and factors have been established from EA Technology's Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| 9.1.2.6.1 Constants | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
| Condition Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established from EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| TX Maintenance 1 Default Factor | 1 | Sets Maintenance Factor to 1 for no overall effect on HI when no factor can be calculated | |
| TX Maintenance 2 Default Factor | 1 | Sets Maintenance Factor to 1 for no overall effect on HI when no factor can be calculated | |
| TX Maintenance 3 | 1 | Sets Maintenance Factor to 1 for no overall effect on HI when no | |

9.1.2.6 Condition

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|---|-------|---|--|
| Default Factor | | factor can be calculated | |
| TX Maintenance 4 Default Factor | 1 | Sets Maintenance Factor to 1 for no overall effect on HI when no factor can be calculated | |
| TX Visual Inspection 1 Default Factor | 1 | Sets Maintenance Factor to 1 for no overall effect on HI when no factor can be calculated | |
| TX Visual Inspection 2 Default Factor | 1 | Sets Maintenance Factor to 1 for no overall effect on HI when no factor can be calculated | |
| TX Maintenance 1 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum HI to 0.5, if no value is assigned | |
| TX Maintenance 2 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum HI to 0.5, if no value is assigned | |
| TX Maintenance 3 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum HI to 0.5, if no value is assigned | |
| TX Maintenance 4 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum HI to 0.5, if no value is assigned | |
| TX Visual Inspection 1 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum HI to 0.5, if no value is assigned | |
| TX Visual Inspection 2 Default Minimum | 0.5 | Sets minimum HI to 0.5, if no value is assigned | |

9.1.2.6.2 Maintenance 1

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.2.6.3 Maintenance 2

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.2.6.4 Maintenance 3

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.2.6.5 Maintenance 4

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.2.6.6 Visual Inspection 1

Visual measurements are recorded by inspectors into the PAT. The measurements are manually assigned a score between 1 and 4, which CBRM uses as part of determining HI.

| Visual Inspection 1 | Visual Inspection 1 Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 0.9 | No visual corrosion |
| 2 | 1 | minor corrosion |
| 3 | 1.1 | severe corrosion |
| 4 | 1.2 | major corrosion |

9.1.2.6.7 Visual Inspection 2

Visual measurements are recorded by inspectors into the PAT. The measurements are manually assigned a score between 1 and 4, which CBRM uses as part of determining HI.

| Visual Inspection 1 | Visual Inspection 1 Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 0.9 | No visual corrosion |
| 2 | 1 | minor corrosion |
| 3 | 1.1 | severe corrosion |
| 4 | 1.2 | major corrosion |

9.1.2.6.8 TX Maintenance 1 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.2.6.9 TX Maintenance 2 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.2.6.10 TX Maintenance 3 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.2.6.11 TX Maintenance 4 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.2.6.12 TX Visual Inspection 1 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.2.6.13 TX Visual Inspection 2 Minimum HI

This feature is to be used for future condition measurements.

9.1.2.7 Duty

Duty factor models how hard an asset has worked in its operational life. Workshops between EA Technology CBRM experts, Transformer SME, and Asset Strategy Engineers determined that the prime indicator of Transformer duty is the level of electrical loading in both annual peak and normal conditions.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| MVA Divisor | 1000 | Factor to convert kVA data as supplied to MVA for calculation purposes | |
| Size of Asset Default | Medium Sets default asset size to Medium for calculation purposes where this cannot be determined from available data | | |
| Percentage Load Factor Default | 1Sets factor default to 1 for no effect on HI where this cannot be determined from available data | | |
| Load Ratio Factor Default | 1 | Sets factor default to 1 for no effect on HI where this cannot be determined from available data | |
| Situation Duty Factor Default | 1 | Sets factor default to 1 for no effect on HI where this cannot be determined from available data | |

9.1.2.7.2 *Size of Asset*

The Size of Asset categorises each Transformer within the following bands: Small, Medium and Large. The bands are aligned to SA Power Networks' Transformer Classification based on MVA.

9.1.2.7.3 Percent Load Factor

The percentage load factor is determined based on the Transformer loading relative to its nameplate rating. The bands and factors are satisfactory defaults based on EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > % Loading Minimum | <= % Loading Maximum | Percentage Load Factor |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| 0 | 25.00 | 0.9 |
| 25 | 50.00 | 0.95 |
| 50 | 75.00 | 1 |
| 75 | 100.00 | 1.05 |
| 100 | 130.00 | 1.1 |
| 130 | 100,000.00 | 1 |

9.1.2.7.4 Load Ratio Factor

CBRM identifies the load ratio of the Transformer's substation and assigns an appropriate factor based on satisfactory defaults identified from EA Technology's experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Load Ratio Minimum | <= Load Ratio Maximum | Load Ratio Factor | Justification |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|
| 0 | 0.30 | 0.9 | Lightly loaded (lower 30% of subs) |
| 0.3 | 0.40 | 1 | 0.35 is average substation (middle 50% of subs) |
| 0.4 | 0.45 | 1.05 | Moderately loaded |
| 0.45 | 10.00 | 1.1 | Heavy/constant load (top 10%) |

9.1.2.7.5 Situation Factor

The Transformer SME identified that the installation location has no effect on the Transformer duty, and therefore this functionality is not used.

9.1.2.8 HI1

HI1 is primarily age driven, however it takes into account the duty and location factor. After CBRM determines HI1, it applies condition information to determine the overall HI the asset stands at today.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | | |
|----------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Average Life Default | 65 | Typical average life of a power transformer based on SA Power Networks' operating experience | | |
| As New HI Default | 0.5 | Represents the HI of a brand new Transformer | | |
| НІ1 Сар | 5.5 | Satisfactory default based on EA Technology's CBRM experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide | | |
| LogCalc | 2.397895273 | Model Constant set by EA Technology | | |

9.1.2.8.1 *Constants*

9.1.2.8.2 Average Life

The average life of the majority of Transformers has been aligned to SA Power Networks' operating experience of 65 years, with the exception of the following units that have thin radiator fins welded onto their main tank causing premature corrosion.

| TX Manufacturer | TX Spec | Average Life |
|-----------------|---------|--------------|
| АВВ | E1164 | 50 |
| АВВ | QT757 | 50 |
| ABB | E1164a | 50 |
| ABB | QT299 | 50 |
| WILSON | QT670 | 50 |

9.1.2.8.3 As New HI

The as new HI for every Transformer Make and Manufacturer is set to 0.5 to indicate the unit is brand new.

9.1.2.9 Factor Value

The Factor Value is a HI modifier which accounts for inherent design flaws, and operational temperature.

| 9.1.2.9.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|--|
| Reliability Factor Default | 1 | If the Transformer reliability cannot be determined it is assigned with average reliability |
| Bushing Reliability Factor Default1If the bushing reliability cannot be determined with average reliability | | If the bushing reliability cannot be determined it is assigned with average reliability |
| Max. Winding Temp Factor Default | 1 | If the maximum winding temperature cannot be determined it is excluded from the HI determination |
| Fault Damage Factor Default 1 | | Fault damage not used |
| Factor Value Multiplier 0.05 | | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

9.1.2.9.2 TX Reliability Rating

The following units have known design flaws which are factored into their reliability rating.

| TX Manufacturer | TX Spec | Reliability Rating | Justification |
|------------------|---------|-----------------------|--|
| TYREE | E288a | 3 | Weak winding clamping that makes the units more likely to fail during through faults |
| АВВ | E1164a | 3 | Weak winding clamping that makes the units more likely to fail during through faults |
| TYREE | E465a | 4 | Generic winding design weakness |
| ENGLISH ELECTRIC | E391a | 4 | SRBP bushings prone to catastrophic failure |
| ENGLISH ELECTRIC | E129a | 4 | SRBP bushings prone to catastrophic failure |
| ENGLISH ELECTRIC | E158a | 4 | SRBP bushings prone to catastrophic failure |
| ENGLISH ELECTRIC | 318a | 4 | SRBP bushings prone to catastrophic failure |
| TYREE | QT1332 | 4 | Poorly manufactured unit |

9.1.2.9.3 TX Reliability Factor

The reliability factor is assigned based on the units reliability rating, it has been determined from EA Technology's satisfactory experience with over 30 electric utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Reliability Rating | Reliability Factor | Justification |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 0.95 | No Issues |
| 2 | 1 | Some Issues |
| 3 | 1.15 | Regular Issues |
| 4 | 1.25 | Significant Issues |

9.1.2.9.4 Bushing Reliability Rating

This feature is not used as bushings are not populated in SAP.

9.1.2.9.5 Bushing Reliability Factor

This feature is not used as bushings are not populated in SAP.

9.1.2.9.6 Max Winding Temp Factor

The maximum winding temperature is a good indicator of the Transformer's loading during summer peak conditions. The banding and factors have been determined from EA Technology's past CBRM experience with 30 over electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > Maximum Winding Temperature Minimum | <= Maximum Winding Temperature Maximum | Winding Temperature Factor | Justification |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
| 0 | 80.00 | 0.9 | Low loading on Transformer |
| 80 | 110.00 | 0.95 | WTI temp in normal range to alarm |
| 110 | 130.00 | 1 | WTI in alarm range |
| 130 | 150.00 | 1.15 | Active monitoring to Trip |
| 150 | 100,000.00 | 1 | Reading accuracy questionable over 150 deg C |

9.1.2.9.7 Fault Damage Factor

This functionality is not used because the Transformer SME advised that the protection schemas prevent the unit from experiencing high fault currents.

9.1.2.10 Health Index

| 9.1.2.10.1 | Constants | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|---------------|
| Setting Item | | Value | Justification |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|--|
| Tap Contamination Default | No | In most cases the Transformer and Tap Changer do not contaminate |
| Use Tapchanger Contaminations | Сар | DGA is used for contaminated transformers, HI is capped |
| Contaminated DGA HI(2a) Cap | 4 | HI cap for contaminated Transformer set to 4, as contamination gives artificially high DGA HI |
| 2nd Value % Default | 1 | If the second HI value cannot be determined it is excluded from the HI determination |
| Max of Populated HI2a, HI2b,HI2c default | 1 | The Transformer SME decided not to use a combination factor for the maximum of HI2a, HI2b, HI2c |
| Number of populated HI's default | 0 | Sets default number of populated HIs to zero for calculation purposes when HI2a, HI2b, HI2c all cannot be calculated |
| Maximum Combined HI | 10 | Satisfactory default established by EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established by EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

9.1.2.10.2 TC Manufacturer/Type Contamination

Contamination of oils within the Tap Changer and Transformer affects the DGA and oil test results, for example if the Tap Changer oil indicates that the Tap Changer is in poor condition and has contaminated the Transformer oil, the Transformer oil can also indicate the Transformer is in poor condition even though this may not be the actual case. Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience, the following Tap Changers are prone to contaminate with the Transformer.

| Tapchanger Manufacturer | Tapchanger Type |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| ELIN | SRKO |
| FULLER | F313.33/200 |
| FULLER | F317.33/200 |
| REINHAUSEN | C111200 |
| REINHAUSEN | D111400 |
| ASSOCIATED TAP CHANGER LTD | F317.33/300 |

9.1.2.10.3 TX Manufacturer/Type Contamination

Contamination of oils within the Transformer and Tap Changer affects the DGA and oil test results, for example if the Transformer oil indicates that the Transformer is in poor condition and has

contaminated the Tap Changer oil, the Tap Changer oil can also indicate the Tap Changer is in poor condition even though this may not be the actual case. Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience, the following Transformer are prone to contaminate with the Tap Changer

| TX Manufacturer | TX Spec |
|-----------------|---------|
| WILSON | E163 |
| TYREE | E297 |
| TYREE | E360 |
| TYREE | E465 |
| ELIN | E65 |
| TYREE | E99 |
| TYREE | E465a |
| АВВ | E1163a |

9.1.2.10.4 Factor for Other Values < 1.0

These are settings used to determine the modifying factor when the interim HIs (a, b, and c) are less than 1 and less than HI2, they have been assigned based on EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide. Further details on the interim HIs can be found in Section 9.1.2.

9.1.2.10.5 2nd Value Factor

These are settings used to determine the modifying factor when one or more interim HIs (a, b, and c) are greater than HI2, they have been assigned based on EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide. Further details on the interim HIs can be found in Section 9.1.2.

9.1.2.10.6 Factor for Other Values > 1.0

These are settings used to determine the modifying factor when the interim HIs (a, b, and c) are greater than 1 and less than HI2, they have been assigned based on EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide. Further details on the interim HIs can be found in Section 9.1.2.

9.1.3 Location Factor

9.1.3.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|-------------------------------|-------|---|--|
| Surge Arrestor Default Factor | 1 | Conservative assumption that surge arrestors are installed | |
| Pollution Factor Default | 1 | If the pollution factor cannot be determined it is excluded from the HI | |
| Corrosion Default Factor | 1 | If the corrosion factor cannot be determined it is excluded from the HI | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification | |
|---------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Situation Default Factor | 1 | If the situation factor cannot be determined it is excluded from the HI | |
| Minimum Location Factor | 0.9 | Satisfactory default established from EA Technology's past experience with over 30 utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |
| Location Factor Increment | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established from EA Technology's past experience with over 30 utilities in 10 countries worldwide | |

9.1.3.2 Surge Arrestor Factor

A Transformer is more likely to be in better condition if a Surge Arrestor is present, settings have been assigned to reflect this.

9.1.3.3 Pollution Factor

The pollution factor models the effect industrial pollution has on Transformers, the values have been determined based on EA Technology's past experience with over 30 utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Pollution | Pollution Factor | Justification |
|-----------|------------------|---|
| 1 | 0.9 | Extremely clean environment |
| 2 | 1 | Low pollution |
| 3 | 1.05 | Average - medium issues |
| 4 | 1.1 | industrial pollution - requires insulator washing etc |

9.1.3.4 Corrosion Factor

The corrosion factor models the effect atmospheric corrosion has on Transformers, the values have been determined based on EA Technology's past experience with over 30 utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Corrosion Zone | Corrosion Factor | Justification |
|----------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | 0.9 | Low corrosion zone |
| 2 | 1 | Severe corrosion zone |
| 3 | 1.1 | Very severe corrosion zone |
| 4 | 1.2 | Extreme corrosion area |

9.1.3.5 Situation Factor

The Transformer's installation environment can affect its expected operating life, for example those located indoors last significantly longer than those located outdoors. The factors have been

determined based on SA Power Network's operational experience by the Transformer SME in consultation with EA Technology CBRM experts.

| Installation Environment | Situation Factor | Justification |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--|
| Indoor | 0.5 | Out of the environment, covered, adequate cooling etc - expect better physical condition |
| Indoor 1 | 0.5 | Out of the environment, covered, adequate cooling etc - expect better physical condition |
| Building | 0.5 | Out of the environment, covered, adequate cooling etc - expect better physical condition |
| Padmount | 0.8 | Outdoor, compact, partially enclosed |
| Building - Restricted | 0.9 | Less efficient cooling, more pollution build-up than ideal indoor environment |
| Partially Enclosed | 0.95 | Less efficient cooling, more pollution build-up |
| Pole Top | 1 | Same as outdoor |
| Outdoor | 1 | Base standard installation |
| Outdoor Cubicle | 1 | Not relevant to Transformers |

9.1.4 Defect Data

The number of defects assigned against the Transformer can be a good indicator of its condition, for example a Transformer with lots of defects found within a small timeframe could be a problematic unit.

9.1.4.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------|-------|---|
| Defect Score Default | 1 | If the defect score cannot be determined it is excluded from the HI |

9.1.4.2 Defect Score

The Cumulative Defect Score is determined by identifying all SAP SD records created in the last 5 years which correspond to the Transformer. The individual defect scores are summed together, with appropriate weighting based on the SAP SD's priority and problem code. The overall score is converted to a HI modifying factor by matching it to the bands outlined in Section 9.1.2.1.2.

The Defect Score was determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts and the Transformer SME, and is based on how the defect affects the condition of a Transformer.

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| WORN / ABRADED | 4 | 0.5 |
| TRACKING | 4 | 0.5 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 4 | 0.5 |
| SEIZED | 4 | 0.5 |
| OUT OF STEP | 4 | 0.5 |
| POLLUTION | 4 | 0.5 |
| OPEN CIRCUIT | 4 | 0.5 |
| INCORRECT OPERATION | 4 | 0.5 |
| LEAKING | 4 | 0.5 |
| LOW FLUID LEVEL | 4 | 0.5 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 4 | 0.5 |
| LOW VOLTS | 4 | 0.5 |
| MAL OPERATION | 4 | 0.5 |
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 4 | 0.5 |
| TRACKING | 3 | 0.5 |
| FAILURE | 4 | 0.5 |
| FLASHED OVER | 4 | 0.5 |
| GASING | 4 | 0.5 |
| EARTH LEAKAGE | 4 | 0.5 |
| ERODED | 4 | 0.5 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 4 | 0.5 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 3 | 0.5 |
| SEIZED | 3 | 0.5 |
| OUT OF STEP | 3 | 0.5 |
| POLLUTION | 3 | 0.5 |
| WORN / ABRADED | 3 | 0.5 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| BROKEN | 4 | 0.5 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 4 | 0.5 |
| CORRODED <50% | 4 | 0.5 |
| CORRODED >50% | 4 | 0.5 |
| CRACKED | 4 | 0.5 |
| DAMAGE | 4 | 0.5 |
| OPEN CIRCUIT | 3 | 0.5 |
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 3 | 0.5 |
| INCORRECT OPERATION | 3 | 0.5 |
| LEAKING | 3 | 0.5 |
| LOW FLUID LEVEL | 3 | 0.5 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 3 | 0.5 |
| LOW VOLTS | 3 | 0.5 |
| MAL OPERATION | 3 | 0.5 |
| EARTH LEAKAGE | 3 | 0.5 |
| ERODED | 3 | 0.5 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 3 | 0.5 |
| FAILED CHECK LIST INSPECTION | 3 | 0.5 |
| FAILURE | 3 | 0.5 |
| FLASHED OVER | 3 | 0.5 |
| GASING | 3 | 0.5 |
| BROKEN | 3 | 0.5 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 3 | 0.5 |
| CORRODED <50% | 3 | 0.5 |
| CORRODED >50% | 3 | 0.5 |
| CRACKED | 3 | 0.5 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| DAMAGE | 3 | 0.5 |
| FAILED CHECK LIST INSPECTION | 2 | 0.5 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 2 | 0.5 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 1 | 0.5 |
| FAILED CHECK LIST INSPECTION | 1 | 1 |
| CORRODED <50% | 2 | 1 |
| CORRODED >50% | 2 | 1 |
| CRACKED | 2 | 1 |
| DAMAGE | 2 | 1 |
| BROKEN | 2 | 1 |
| FAILURE | 2 | 1 |
| FLASHED OVER | 2 | 1 |
| GASING | 2 | 1 |
| EARTH LEAKAGE | 2 | 1 |
| ERODED | 2 | 1 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 2 | 1 |
| OUT OF STEP | 2 | 1 |
| POLLUTION | 2 | 1 |
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 2 | 1 |
| OPEN CIRCUIT | 2 | 1 |
| INCORRECT OPERATION | 2 | 1 |
| LEAKING | 2 | 1 |
| LOW FLUID LEVEL | 2 | 1 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 2 | 1 |
| LOW VOLTS | 2 | 1 |
| MAL OPERATION | 2 | 1 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| TRACKING | 2 | 1 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 2 | 1 |
| SEIZED | 2 | 1 |
| WORN / ABRADED | 2 | 1 |
| VEGETATION | 2 | 1 |
| VERMIN | 1 | 1.5 |
| Does not maintain correct voltage | 1 | 1.5 |
| BROKEN | 1 | 1.5 |
| WORN / ABRADED | 1 | 1.5 |
| FAILURE | 1 | 1.5 |
| FLASHED OVER | 1 | 1.5 |
| GASING | 1 | 1.5 |
| OPEN CIRCUIT | 1 | 1.5 |
| TRACKING | 1 | 1.5 |
| RESISTANCE LOW | 1 | 1.5 |
| SEIZED | 1 | 1.5 |
| OUT OF STEP | 1 | 1.5 |
| POLLUTION | 1 | 1.5 |
| HIGH THERMAL IMAGE | 1 | 1.5 |
| CORRODED <50% | 1 | 1.5 |
| CORRODED >50% | 1 | 1.5 |
| CRACKED | 1 | 1.5 |
| DAMAGE | 1 | 1.5 |
| EARTH LEAKAGE | 1 | 1.5 |
| ERODED | 1 | 1.5 |
| EXCESSIVE NOISE | 1 | 1.5 |

| Defect Problem Code | Defect Priority | Defect Score |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| INCORRECT OPERATION | 1 | 1.5 |
| LEAKING | 1 | 1.5 |
| LOW FLUID LEVEL | 1 | 1.5 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 1 | 1.5 |
| LOW VOLTS | 1 | 1.5 |
| MAL OPERATION | 1 | 1.5 |
| FAILURE | Z | 2 |

9.1.5 Fault Data

The number of faults a Transformer has experienced can be used to determine its condition, for example a Transformer which has experienced many faults during its operational life can be considered problematic.

9.1.5.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------|-------|---|
| Fault Score Default | 1 | If the fault score cannot be determined, it is discounted |

9.1.5.2 Fault Score

The Cumulative Fault Score is determined by identifying all SAP FM records created in the last 5 years which correspond to the Transformer. The individual fault scores are summed together, with appropriate weighting based on the SAP FM's priority and problem code. The overall score is converted into a HI modifying factor by matching it to the bands outlined in Section 9.1.2.2.

The Fault Scores were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM Experts and the Transformer SME, they're based on how each fault effects the condition of a Transformer.

| Fault Cause Code | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Water in Oil | 4 | 0.5 |
| WTI Alarm | 4 | 0.5 |
| Oil Pump Failure | 4 | 0.5 |
| OLTC Faulty | 4 | 0.5 |
| OLTC not Tapping | 4 | 0.5 |
| OLTC tripping | 4 | 0.5 |
| OPEN CIRCUIT | 4 | 0.5 |

| Fault Cause Code | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| TF failure | 4 | 0.5 |
| TF Faulty | 4 | 0.5 |
| TF Isolated | 4 | 0.5 |
| TF Replacement | 4 | 0.5 |
| Gassing | 4 | 0.5 |
| High Load | 4 | 0.5 |
| High Load Alarm | 4 | 0.5 |
| Investigation | 4 | 0.5 |
| leaking | 4 | 0.5 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 4 | 0.5 |
| Water in Oil | 3 | 0.5 |
| WTI Alarm | 3 | 0.5 |
| Arcing | 4 | 0.5 |
| Buchholz Alarm | 4 | 0.5 |
| Cooler Failure | 4 | 0.5 |
| Current High Alarm | 4 | 0.5 |
| Damaged Bushings | 4 | 0.5 |
| Earthing | 4 | 0.5 |
| Earthing Replaced | 4 | 0.5 |
| Fan Failure | 4 | 0.5 |
| TF failure | 3 | 0.5 |
| TF Faulty | 3 | 0.5 |
| TF Isolated | 3 | 0.5 |
| TF Replacement | 3 | 0.5 |
| Oil Pump Failure | 3 | 0.5 |
| OLTC Faulty | 3 | 0.5 |

| Fault Cause Code | Fault Priority | Fault Score | |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| OLTC not Tapping | 3 | 0.5 | |
| OLTC tripping | 3 | 0.5 | |
| OPEN CIRCUIT | 3 | 0.5 | |
| Gassing | 3 | 0.5 | |
| High Load | 3 | 0.5 | |
| High Load Alarm | 3 | 0.5 | |
| Investigation | 3 | 0.5 | |
| leaking | 3 | 0.5 | |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 3 | 0.5 | |
| Arcing | 3 | 0.5 | |
| Buchholz Alarm | 3 | 0.5 | |
| Cooler Failure | 3 | 0.5 | |
| Current High Alarm | 3 | 0.5 | |
| Damaged Bushings | 3 | 0.5 | |
| Earthing | 3 | 0.5 | |
| Earthing Replaced | 3 | 0.5 | |
| Fan Failure | 3 | 0.5 | |
| Water in Oil | 2 | 1 | |
| WTI Alarm | 2 | 1 | |
| Oil Pump Failure | 2 | 1 | |
| OLTC Faulty | 2 | 1 | |
| OLTC not Tapping | 2 | 1 | |
| OLTC tripping | 2 | 1 | |
| OPEN CIRCUIT | 2 | 1 | |
| TF failure | 2 | 1 | |
| TF Faulty | 2 | 1 | |

| Fault Cause Code | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| TF Isolated | 2 | 1 |
| TF Replacement | 2 | 1 |
| Gassing | 2 | 1 |
| High Load | 2 | 1 |
| High Load Alarm | 2 | 1 |
| Investigation | 2 | 1 |
| leaking | 2 | 1 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 2 | 1 |
| Arcing | 2 | 1 |
| Buchholz Alarm | 2 | 1 |
| Cooler Failure | 2 | 1 |
| Current High Alarm | 2 | 1 |
| Damaged Bushings | 2 | 1 |
| Earthing | 2 | 1 |
| Earthing Replaced | 2 | 1 |
| Fan Failure | 2 | 1 |
| | 1 | 1 |
| MAL OPERATION | 2 | 1 |
| NO AC SUPPLY | 1 | 1 |
| Annealing | 1 | 1 |
| DC Supply failure | 1 | 1 |
| CONTAMINATED OIL | 1 | 1 |
| FLASHED OVER | 1 | 1 |
| Misaligned | 1 | 1 |
| INCORRECT OPERATION | 1 | 1 |
| Insulation Breakdown - Cable | 1 | 1 |

| Fault Cause Code | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|---|----------------|-------------|
| FAILURE | 1 | 1 |
| DAMAGE | 1 | 1 |
| Leaning | 1 | 1 |
| Insulation Flashover | 1 | 1 |
| Loose | 1 | 1 |
| SUPPLY INTERRUPTION - 5 - WIDESPREAD | 1 | 1 |
| Vermin / Pests in ETSA Equipment | 1 | 1 |
| OPERATION AT SUBSTATION | 1 | 1 |
| Gas - Low & or Leaking | 1 | 1 |
| Isolate Customers equipment | 1 | 1 |
| Seized | 1 | 2 |
| Oil - Contaminated | 1 | 2 |
| Oil - Low & or Leaking | 1 | 2 |
| Overheating | 1 | 2 |
| Internal Electrical Fault | 1 | 2 |
| Fluid Level High | 1 | 2 |
| Footing / Trench Erosion | 1 | 2 |
| High Resistance Joint | 1 | 2 |
| Broken | 1 | 2 |
| Burnt | 1 | 2 |
| Clashing | 1 | 2 |
| Corroded | 1 | 2 |
| Does Not Operate | 1 | 2 |
| TF failure | 1 | 2 |
| TF Faulty | 1 | 2 |

| Fault Cause Code | Fault Priority | Fault Score |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| TF Isolated | 1 | 2 |
| TF Replacement | 1 | 2 |
| Oil Pump Failure | 1 | 2 |
| OLTC Faulty | 1 | 2 |
| OLTC not Tapping | 1 | 2 |
| OLTC tripping | 1 | 2 |
| OPEN CIRCUIT | 1 | 2 |
| Gassing | 1 | 2 |
| High Load | 1 | 2 |
| High Load Alarm | 1 | 2 |
| Investigation | 1 | 2 |
| leaking | 1 | 2 |
| LOW OIL LEVEL | 1 | 2 |
| Arcing | 1 | 2 |
| Buchholz Alarm | 1 | 2 |
| Cooler Failure | 1 | 2 |
| Current High Alarm | 1 | 2 |
| Damaged Bushings | 1 | 2 |
| Earthing | 1 | 2 |
| Earthing Replaced | 1 | 2 |
| Fan Failure | 1 | 2 |
| Water in Oil | 1 | 2 |
| WTI Alarm | 1 | 2 |

9.1.6 Condition Connection Factor

The connection type can affect the Transformer condition, for example oil boxes can leak causing oil to seep into the main unit leading to deterioration.

9.1.6.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| Primary Connection Condition Rating Default | 2 | If no primary condition rating is available, it is excluded from HI determination |
| Secondary Connection Condition Rating Default | 2 | If no secondary condition rating is available, it is excluded from HI determination |
| Primary Factor Condition Default | 1 | If the primary condition factor cannot be determined, it is excluded from HI determination |
| Secondary Factor Condition Default | 1 | If the secondary condition factor cannot be determined, it is excluded from HI determination |
| Connection Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through past CBRM experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

9.1.6.2 Primary Connection Rating

| Primary Connection A | Condition Primary Connection A Rating | Justification |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| Open Bushing | 1 | No issues |
| Compound Box | 1 | No issues |
| Oil box/plug connection | 2 | Oil leaks can seep into and deteriorate DBE connection |
| Plug | 2 | Oil leaks can seep into and deteriorate DBE connection |
| Air box | 3 | Leak, Moisture ingress, oil leaks into compound, difficult to work on. |
| Compound / Oil Box | 3 | Leak, Moisture ingress, oil leaks into compound, difficult to work on. |

9.1.6.3 Secondary Connection Rating

| Secondary Connection | Condition Secondary Connection Rating | Justification |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| Open Bushing | 1 | No issues |
| Air box | 1 | No issues |
| Oil box/plug connection | 2 | Oil leaks can seep into and deteriorate DBE connection |
| Plug | 2 | Oil leaks can seep into and deteriorate DBE |

| Secondary Connection | Condition Secondary Connection Rating | Justification |
|----------------------|--|--|
| | | connection |
| Compound Box | 3 | Leak, Moisture ingress, oil leaks into compound, difficult to work on. |
| Compound / Oil Box | 3 | Leak, Moisture ingress, oil leaks into compound, difficult to work on. |

9.1.6.4 Primary Connection Factor

The primary connection factors assign a HI modifier to the primary connection rating, they are satisfactory defaults established from EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.6.5 Secondary Connection Factor

The secondary connections factor assign a HI modifier to the secondary connection rating, they are satisfactory defaults established from EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.7 Non-Condition Connection Factor

The non condition connection factor models connection types that attract wildlife who can cause faults through the Transformer.

| 5.1.7.1 Constants | | |
|---|-------|---|
| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
| Primary Connection Non- Condition Rating Default | 1 | If no primary condition rating is available, it is excluded from the HI determination |
| Secondary Connection Non-Condition Rating Default | 1 | If no secondary condition rating is available, it is excluded from the HI determination |
| Primary Factor Non- Condition Default | 1 | If the primary condition factor cannot be determined, it is excluded from the HI determination |
| Secondary Factor Non- Condition Default | 1 | If the secondary condition factor cannot be determined, it is excluded from the HI determination |
| Connection Factor Multiplier | 0.05 | Satisfactory default established through past CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

9.1.7.1 Constants

9.1.7.2 Primary Connection Rating

Transformer using open bushings on the primary connection can be in poorer condition as they attract wildlife. The primary connection rating is assigned to reflect this.

9.1.7.3 Secondary Connection Rating

Transformer using open bushings on the secondary connection can be in poorer condition as they attract wildlife. The secondary connection rating is assigned to reflect this.

9.1.7.4 Primary Connection Factor

The primary connection factors assign a HI modifier to the connection rating, they are satisfactory defaults established from EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.7.5 Secondary Connection Factor

The primary connection factors assign a HI modifier to the connection rating, they are satisfactory defaults established from EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

9.1.8 Year0 HI and PoF

9.1.8.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------|-----------|---|
| New Asset HI | 0.5 | HI of 0.5 indicates a brand new asset |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | HI of 0.5 indicates a brand new asset |
| End of Life HI | 7 | CBRM process defines HI of 7 represents an asset at end of life |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Assigned if the HI cannot be determined |

9.1.8.2 TX/TC Combined Value Factor

These settings are used for the combination of the Transformer and Tap Changer HIs. The final HI is determined as the maximum of the Transformer and Tap Changer HIs adjusted by the combined value factor. The combined value factors and bands are based on EA Technology's past CBRM experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| > TX/TC Comp HI Percentage Minimum | <= TX/TC Comp HI Percentage Maximum | TX/TC Comp Value Factor |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 0 | 49.99 | 1 |
| 49.99 | 69.99 | 1 |
| 69.99 | 84.99 | 1.05 |
| 84.99 | 100 | 1.1 |

9.1.8.3 HI Category YO

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

9.1.8.4 HI Category YO Multiplier

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

9.1.9 Future Health Index

9.1.9.1 Constants

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-----------|---|
| Yn | 11 | 2014-2025 |
| Ageing Constant from Y0 HI Maximum increase(multiplier) | 2 | Satisfactory default established from past CBRM Experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Minimum Health Index | 0.5 | CBRM process defines HI of 0.5 represents a brand new asset. |
| Maximum Yn Hl | 15 | Satisfactory default established from past CBRM Experience with 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Ageing Reduction Factor Default | 1 | If the ageing reduction factor cannot be determined, it is excluded from the HI |
| HI Category Default | No Result | Used if HI cannot be determined |
| Ageing Constant Multiplier Cap | 1.2 | Satisfactory default established from past CBRM Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide fault established through past CBRM experience |
| Minimum age for recalculated B | 10 | Satisfactory default established from past CBRM Experience over with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide fault established through past CBRM experience |

9.1.9.2 Ageing Reduction Factor

The age reduction factor models the increased life expectancy as Transformers age. The factors and HI bands were provided by the CBRM Architect and have been developed from EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide.

| Final Asset HI Y0 Minimum | Final Asset HI Y0 Maximum | Ageing Reduction Factor |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 5.5 | 1.5 |
| 5.5 | 10 | 1.5 |

9.1.9.3 Future HI Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

9.1.9.4 Future HI Category Multiplier

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

9.1.9.5 Transformer Size PoF Factor

Transformer PoF does not depend on its size, settings are assigned to have no effect on the result.

9.1.10 Failure Scenarios

9.1.10.1 Constants

Workshops undertaken with EA Technology CBRM Experts, The Transformer SME and Asset Strategy Engineers identified that distribution CBs have the following failure scenarios:

- Minor: Substation inspections identify the Transformer requires minor repairs;
- Significant: The Transformer fails and requires major repairs for restoration;
- Major: The Transformer catastrophically fails and needs to be replaced; and
- Replacement: The Transformer is replaced as it is in poor condition.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|--|
| No. Failures: Condition Minor | 346 | SAP SD notifications recorded within the last 5 years. Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures, and are allocated based on consequence of failure not nature of plant failure |
| No. Failures: Condition Significant | 3.7 | Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures, and are allocated based on consequence of failure not nature of plant failure. Transformer is repaired on site |
| No. Failures: Condition Major | 2 | Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures, and are allocated based on consequence of failure not nature of plant failure. Transformer needs to be replaced |
| No. Failures: Condition Replacement | 2.8 | Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures excluding historical targeted programs |
| No. Failures: Non-Condition Minor | 39 | SAP SD notifications recorded within the last 5 years. Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures, and are allocated based on consequence of failure not nature of plant failure |
| No. Failures: Non-Condition Significant | 1.7 | Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures, and are allocated based on consequence of failure not nature of plant failure. Transformer is repaired on site |
| No. Failures: Non-Condition Major | 0.3 | Statistics are gathered from records of in-service failures, and are allocated based on consequence of failure not nature of plant failure. Transformer needs to be replaced |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--|
| HILim: Condition Minor | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out phase begins |
| HILim: Condition Significant | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out phase begins |
| HILim: Condition Major | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out phase begins |
| HI Lim: Condition Replacement | 4 | Industry standard setting for HI where wear out phase begins |
| HIAvg: Condition Minor | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim |
| HIAvg: Condition Significant | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim |
| HIAvg: Condition Major | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim |
| HI Avg: Condition Replacement | 4 | Standard CBRM setting to maintain constant PoF equivalent to HI=4 for HI < HI Lim |
| Cval: Condition Minor | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| Cval: - Condition Significant | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| Cval: Condition Major | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |
| C Value: Condition Replacement | 1.335 | Standard CBRM setting to set PoF at HI = 10 to be 10x greater than PoF at HI = 4. |

9.2 RISK

9.2.1 Interventions

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---------------------------------|------------|---|
| Yn | 11 | 2014-2025 |
| Percentage Replacement | 0 | Targeted Intervention is used as unplanned replacements skew the risk profile |
| % Replacement Ranking Column | Delta Risk | Prioritises based on difference in risk between existing asset and new asset |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|----------------------------|---------|--|
| Replacement Default Cost | 1170000 | Weighted average of 12.5MVA Transformer replacement cost for the last 5 years |
| Refurbishment Default Cost | 1170000 | Refurbishments are modelled external to CBRM based on condition assessment, and are documented in the Substation Transformers Asset Management Plan |
| Average Life of New Asset | 65 | The average life has been assigned the REPEX calibrated life of 65 years |
| As New Duty Factor | 1 | Normal duty factor is assigned to the replaced asset |

9.2.1.2 Yn Percentage Replacement HI Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

9.2.1.3 Yn Target Intervention HI Category

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

9.2.1.4 Yn Percentage Replacement HI Category Multiplier

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

9.2.1.5 Yn Target Intervention HI Category Multiplier

The settings are used for reporting within CBRM, they are default values assigned by EA Technology, and have no effect on results.

| Size of Asset Copy | % Replacement Program Costs | Justification |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Small | 260000 | This is the average replacement cost of all small Transformers for the last 5 years |
| Medium | 1170000 | Weighted average of 12.5MVA Transformer replacement cost for the last 5 years |
| Large | 1640000 | This is the average replacement cost of all large Transformers for the last 5 years |

9.2.1.6 Percentage Replacement Cost of Replacement

9.2.1.7 Targeted Intervention Replacement Cost

Targeted intervention replacement costs are identical those listed in Section 9.2.1.6. Targeted intervention has been used to develop a replacement program that maintains the risk profile rather than the percentage replacement program because the program needs to include units replaced on poor condition.

9.2.1.8 Targeted Intervention Refurbishment Cost

Refurbishments are modelled external to CBRM based on condition assessment, and are documented in the Substation Transformers Asset Management Plan.

9.2.1.9 New Asset

9.2.1.9.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------|-------|---------------------------------------|
| New Asset HI | 0.5 | HI of 0.5 indicates a brand new asset |

9.2.2 Criticality

Criticality is determined for each consequence category, it identifies the significance of a fault/failure, and is comprised of a number of weighting factors which represent relative severity.

9.2.2.1 Network Performance

Network Performance criticality represents significance of the penalty imposed on SA Power Networks when the asset causes an unplanned outage.

9.2.2.1.1 *Constants*

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------|---|
| NP Obsolescence Factor Default | 2 | If the Spares/Obsolescence factor cannot be determined it is set to have no significance on risk |
| Customer Type NP Factor | 1 | Network Performance by SCONRRR category captured by average restoration times |
| NP Situation Default | 1 | If it cannot be determined that the Transformer is indoor/outdoor, outdoor is used as it represents most situations |
| Secondary Voltage NP Default Factor | 1 | For the case where the secondary voltage is not defined, the most common case is used |
| No. TXs NP Default Factor | 1 | If the number of Transformers on site cannot be determined, a single unit is assumed |
| NP Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Maximum Factor | 5 | Set to give average importance on Network Performance |
| Tapchanger Spares and Obs. Default | 1 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP TX Obsolescence Default | 2 | Refer to Section 0 |

9.2.2.1.2 Transformer Obsolescence

This feature is not used because the transformer tanks are non consumable parts.

9.2.2.1.3 Tapchanger Obsolescence

For each make and type, an obsolescence rating has been assigned by matching the following criteria with SA Power Networks' operational experience.

| TC Obsolescence | Justification | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| 1 | Manufacturer's current product line. SAPN stocks of ready whole/component spares | |
| 2 | Some issues (but spares generally available) | |
| 3 | Spares only available through retirement of (aged) in service units (of average/uncertain condition). | |
| 4 | Assets can not easily be replaced with modern equivalent & no existing spares or vendor support. | |

9.2.2.1.4 Obsolescence Factor

The obsolescence factor is assigned to the Tap Changer obsolescence, it places more network performance significance on Tap Changers which are difficult to replace (ie a modern unit may need to be installed on failure). The factors were assigned in workshops with EA Technology CBRM experts, Transformer SME and Asset Strategy Engineers.

| TC Obsolescence | NP Obsolescence Factor |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 1.5 |
| 4 | 2 |

9.2.2.1.5 Customer Type Factor

The Transformer SME decided that this feature is not to be used because Network Performance by SCONRRR category is captured by average restoration times.

9.2.2.1.6 Situation Factor

The situation factor places twice as much significance on restoring indoor Transformers, as they are approximately twice more difficult to restore than outdoor units.

9.2.2.1.7 Secondary Voltage Factor

The secondary voltage factor places more significance on less common Transformer configurations, as they take longer to restore.

| Secondary Voltage | NP Secondary Voltage Factor | Justification |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 11000 | 1 | No Effect |
| 240 | 1 | No Effect |
| 433 | 1 | No Effect |
| 3300 | 1 | No Effect |
| 33000 | 1 | No Effect |
| 66000 | 1 | No Effect |
| 33000/11000 | 2 | Transformer with dual secondary voltages (in service tertiary) - restoration required at each voltage level |
| 33000/7600 | 2 | Transformer with dual secondary voltages (in service tertiary) - restoration required at each voltage level |
| 7600 | 2 | Non-standard distribution voltage/network |
| 6600 | 3 | Dedicated distribution substation |

9.2.2.1.8 No. Transformers Factor

Substations with multiple Transformers take longer to restore than those with a single unit, and therefore have more significance to network performance risk. The levels of significance were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM experts, and the Transformer SME.

| Number of TXs | No. Transformers NP Factor | Justification | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 5 | 0.5 | Substations with 5 Transformers | |
| 6 | 0.5 | Substations with 6 Transformers | |
| 4 | 0.6 | Substations with 4 Transformers | |
| 3 | 0.8 | Greater load redundancy - less switching/restoration efforts required | |
| 2 | 0.9 | Possible Transformer redundancy (for part of the year) | |
| 1 | 1 | Single Transformer Site restoration considered reference - more Transformers = easier to restore from Transformer failure than single unit | |
| 0 | 1 | Ease of restoration given number of other Transformers at site | |

9.2.2.2 OPEX

OPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Operational Expenditure required to remediate it.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--|
| Obsolescence Opex Default Factor | 1 | If the Spares/Obsolescence factor cannot be determined it is set to have no significance on risk |
| Customer Type Opex Default Factor | 1 | If the customer type cannot be determined, urban is assigned as it is more common |
| Opex Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Opex Maximum Factor | 5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

9.2.2.2.2 Obsolescence Factor

The Obsolescence Factor reflects additional time spent looking/rebuilding spare parts, the levels of significance were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM experts and the Transformer SME. As previously mentioned in Section 9.2.2.1.3 Transformer obsolescence is not used, and therefore this criticality is only dependent on Tap Changer obsolescence, which is outlined in Section 9.2.2.1.3

| TC Obsolescence | Opex Obsolescence Factor |
|-----------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 3 |

9.2.2.2.3 *Customer Type Factor*

| SCONRRR Category | Customer Type OPEX Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Urban | 1 | Set to have no effect based on SA Power Networks experience |
| Rural Short | 1.2 | Additional travel times, LAFA, and remote allowances |

| SCONRRR Category | Customer Type OPEX Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Rural Long | 1.5 | Additional travel times, LAFA, and remote allowances |
| CBD | 2 | Movement, access, and switching requirements within CBD are difficult |

9.2.2.3 CAPEX

CAPEX criticality represents the significance an event has on the Capital Expenditure required to remediate it.

| 9.2.2.3.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------------------------|-------|--|
| Situation Capex Default Factor | 1 | If the situation cannot be determined, indoor is assigned as it is more common |
| Capex Minimum Factor | 0.5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Capex Maximum Factor | 5 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

9.2.2.3.2 Situation Factor

Based on SA Power Networks' operational experience, indoor equipment requires 20% more CAPEX, and is therefore given 20% more significance.

9.2.2.4 Environment

Environmental criticality is used to factor adverse effects Transformer failure has on the environment.

| 9.2.2.4.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| | |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|---|-------|--|
| Asset Size Environment Default Factor | 1 | If the asset size cannot be determined, it is set to have no significance on the environment |
| Pre Oil Containment Environment Default Factor | 1 | If the presence of pre oil containment cannot be determined it is set to have no significance on the environment |
| Environment Medium Default Factor | 1 | If the medium cannot be determined, oil is assigned as it is the most common type |
| Bushing Construction Type Factor Default | 1 | If the bushing type cannot be determined, it is set to have no effect on the environment |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--------------------|-------|--|
| Env Minimum Factor | 1 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Env Maximum Factor | 10 | Set to give environment a high relative importance |

9.2.2.4.2 Environmental Risk Assessment Factor

The environmental risk assessment is made outside of CBRM and varies asset to asset, it is used to identify the environmental impact if the Transformer fails.

| Environmental Risk Assessment | Environmental Risk Assessment Factor |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Low | 0.5 |
| Medium | 1 |
| High | 2 |

9.2.2.4.3 Pre-Oil Containment Factor

This factor is used to give 3x more environmental significance if no oil containment system is installed, as determined in workshops undertaken with EA Technology CBRM experts and the Transformer SME.

9.2.2.4.4 Medium Factor

| Medium | Environment Medium Factor | Justification |
|--------|---------------------------|--|
| DRY | 0.75 | Dry Medium Transformers will not damage the environment, their significance is set below the norm because most Transformers are oil filled |
| OIL | 1 | More likely to damage environment |

9.2.2.4.5 Size of Transformer Factor

Larger Transformers spill/leak more of their insulation medium into the environment, and are therefore more expensive to clean up.

| Size of Asset Copy | Size of Asset Environment Factor | Justification |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Small | 1 | Low oil volume |
| Medium | 2 | Moderate oil volume |
| Large | 3 | High oil volume |

9.2.2.4.6 Bushing Construction Type Factor

Transformers that use oil filled bushings have been set to have more significance on environmental risk as failure will require oil cleanup.

9.2.2.5 Safety

Safety criticality represents the significance the asset has on public and employee safety.

| 9.2.2.5.1 | Constants |
|-----------|-----------|
| J.Z.Z.J.I | constants |

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---|
| Situation Safety Default Factor | 1 | If the situation cannot be determined, outdoor is assigned as it is more common |
| Customer Type Safety Default Factor | 1 | If the customer type cannot be determined, it is set to have no effect on safety risk |
| Medium Safety Default Factor | 1 | If the medium cannot be determined oil is used as it is more common |
| Safety Minimum Factor | 1 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Safety Maximum Factor | 10 | Set to give safety a high relative importance |

9.2.2.5.2 Situation Factor

Indoor Transformers have been assigned to have more significance on safety because of the risk of uncontained fire/explosion.

| 9.2.2.5.3 | Customer Type Factor |
|-----------|----------------------|
| 5.2.2.5.5 | customer ryperactor |

| SCONRRR Category | Customer Type Safety Factor | Justification |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Rural Long | 0.5 | Based on site location, remote sites have a lower probability of public exposure |
| Rural Short | 1 | Based on EA Technology's past CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide, Rural Short is assigned to have normal safety consequences |
| Urban | 1.5 | More likely to have an event in a built up area |
| CBD | 2 | Most likely to have an event in a heavily built up area |

9.2.2.5.4 *Medium Factor*

Dry medium Transformers have been assigned below average safety significance because their medium is not flammable.

9.2.3 Average Cost of a Fault

9.2.3.1 Network Performance

Network Performance consequences are the penalties imposed on SA Power Networks whenever an outage occurs. It is important to note that SA Power Networks' operational experience has found that most Transformer failures incur a SAIDI that exceeds Major Event threshold, and as such there is no STPIS penalty. The only possible method for modelling Network Performance risk is to identify the adverse affect the failure has on the state economy, which is achieved by directly calculating the load put at additional risk and converting it to a dollar value using VCR.

A LAFF is then applied to the load at additional risk. The LAFF is a factor which uses a cubic relationship to quantify the additional risk when the load is above firm capacity of the network, it is calibrated to offset the Risk Factor (described in Section 9.2.3.1.4) with a value representing 1 for fully redundant and 20 for non redundant.

| Setting Item | Value | Justification |
|--|-------------|--|
| CVALNP | 0.037 | Gives a 20:1 relationship between LAFF and % load above firm capacity. |
| KVALNP | 1 | Gives a 20:1 relationship between LAFF and % load above firm capacity. |
| Urban Lookup | Rural Short | If the type of substation cannot be determined, rural short is assumed. This accounts for both the majority of urban feeders as well as rural feeders supplying high loads to urban areas |
| Default Asset Redundancy | Redundant | If it cannot be determined that the Transformer supplies radial or redundant lines, redundancy is assumed as the consequences are more conservative |
| LAFF Value Default for Non-Redundant Assets | 1 | Conservative approach is to assume full redundancy if LAFF cannot be determined for non-redundant asset |

9.2.3.1.1 *Constants*

| 9.2.3.1.2 | VCR Constants |
|-----------|---------------|
| 5.2.0.2.2 | |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|------------|--------------------|-------|---|--|--|--|
| VCR (\$) Redundant Assets | 99243 | 49711 | 49711 | 49711 | As Per AER STPIS Report | | | |
| Power Factor Redundant Assets | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Recommended by the Manager Network Planning | | | |
| Load Factor Redundant Assets | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide | | | |
| VCR (\$) Non Redundant Assets | 99243 | 49711 | 49711 | 49711 | As per AER STPIS Report | | | |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--------------------------------------|-----|------------|-------------|-------|---|
| Load Factor Non Redundant Assets | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | Satisfactory default established through EA Technology's CBRM experience with over 30 Electrical Utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| Power Factor Non Redundant Assets | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Recommended by the Manager Network Planning |

9.2.3.1.3 Non-Redundant Constants

The duration of non redundant outages has been derived using records in the HV database. Since outages of subtransmission transformers typically result in SAIDI above the major event threshold there are cases where no reliable records exist, and therefore the following assumptions needed to be made:

- Assume condition and non-condition failures are restored in the same timeframe;
- Assume significant and major failures are restored in the same timeframe.

The assumptions were determined in workshops with EA Technology CBRM experts, Transformer SME, and Asset Strategy Engineers.

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|---|
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Minor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No outage occurs here as this is a defect which is repaired while the unit is still in service |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Significant | 2.3 | 5 | 4 | 2.6 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Major | 2.3 | 5 | 4 | 2.6 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Replacement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non- Condition Minor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No outage occurs here as this is a defect which is repaired while the unit is still in service |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non- Condition Significant | 2.3 | 5 | 4 | 2.6 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|------|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| | | | | | |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Non- Condition Major | 2.3 | 5 | 4 | 2.6 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Replacement | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | Non redundant asset has LAFF of 20, so overall factor becomes 1 to represent redundancy |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Condition | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|-----|---------------|----------------|-------|--|
| Replacement | | | | | redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Non Redundant Non-Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100% of load is lost when a fault occurs on a non redundant line |

9.2.3.1.4 *Redundant Constants*

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|--|-----|---------------|-------------|-------|---|
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Minor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No outage occurs here as this is a defect which is repaired while the unit is still in service |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Significant | 2.3 | 5 | 4 | 2.6 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Major | 2.3 | 5 | 4 | 2.6 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Condition Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A planned outage is made to replace on condition |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Non-Condition Minor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No outage occurs here as this is a defect which is repaired while the unit is still in service |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant Non-Condition Significant | 2.3 | 5 | 4 | 2.6 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Redundant | 2.3 | 5 | 4 | 2.6 | Determined from records stored in the HV database |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|------|---------------|-------------|-------|--|
| Non-Condition Major | | | | | |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Restoration Time (hrs): Non Redundant Condition Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | A planned outage is made to replace on condition |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Minor | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Significant | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Non-Condition Major | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Minor | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Significant | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA |

| Setting Item | CBD | Rural Long | Rural Short | Urban | Justification |
|---|------|---------------|-------------|-------|--|
| | | | | | Technology's CBRM Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Condition Major | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Repair/Replace Time Factor: Redundant Condition Replacement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Entire restoration time is to undertake repair or replacement |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Minor | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Significant | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |
| NP Avg. Risk Factor: Redundant Non- Condition Major | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | For redundant assets (load at risk = 1/20 th load lost). This is based on EA Technology's CBRM Experience with over 30 electrical utilities in 10 countries worldwide |

9.2.3.1.5 Maximum Substation Load Default

No default values have been assigned.

9.2.3.2 OPEX

| 9.2.3.2.1 <i>Constants</i> | |
|----------------------------|--|
|----------------------------|--|

| 5.2.5.2.1 Constants | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|--------|-------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Setting Item | Large | Medium | Small | Justification | | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Minor Failure | 1955 | 3210 | 2650 | Average SAP SD Notification OPEX Orders for the last 5 years | | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Significant Failure | 18000 | 18000 | 12000 | Average of Significant Failure SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Transformers for the last 5 years | | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Major Failure | 30000 | 30000 | 17000 | Average of Major Failure SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Transformers for the last 5 years | | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequence: Condition Replacement | 23000 | 23000 | 27000 | Average of Condition replacements for the last 5 years. Large & medium assumed equal. | | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Minor Non- Condition Failure | 1955 | 3210 | 2650 | Average SAP SD Notification OPEX Orders for the last 5 years | | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Significant Non- Condition Failure | 18000 | 18000 | 12000 | Average of Significant Failure SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Transformers for the last 5 years | | | | |
| Opex Avg. Consequences: Major Non- Condition Failure | 30000 | 30000 | 17000 | Average of Major Failure SAP FM Notification OPEX Orders recorded against Transformers for the last 5 years | | | | |

9.2.3.3 CAPEX

| 9.2.3.3.1 Constants | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|--------|-------|---|--|--|--|
| Setting Item | Large | Medium | Small | Justification | | | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Minor Failure | 0 | 0 | 0 | Minor Failures captured entirely by OPEX. | | | |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Significant Failure | 5000 | 5000 | 0 | Average CAPEX spend for Significant failures in Medium and Large Transformers for the last 5 years, determined from SAP FM Notifications. Cost of significant failure for small Transformer is entirely OPEX | | | |

| Setting Item | Large | Medium | Small | Justification |
|---|---------|---------|--------|---|
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Major Failure | 1640000 | 1170000 | 260000 | Average of Planned/unplanned installation cost for the last 5 years |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Condition Replacement | 1640000 | 1170000 | 260000 | Average of Planned/unplanned installation cost for the last 5 years |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Minor Non- Condition Failure | 0 | 0 | 0 | Minor Failures captured entirely by OPEX. |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Significant Non- Condition Failure | 5000 | 5000 | 0 | Average CAPEX for Significant failures in Medium and Large Transformers for the last 5 years, determined from SAP FM Notifications. Cost of significant failure for small Transformer is entirely OPEX |
| Capex Avg. Consequence: Major Non- Condition Failure | 1640000 | 1170000 | 260000 | Average of Planned/unplanned installation cost for the last 5 years |

9.2.3.4 Safety

9.2.3.4.1 *Consequences*

The safety consequences are valued in monetary terms, and have been assessed by establishing a value of a safety event in terms of its adverse cost on society. CBRM uses the following consequences to determine safety risk:

- Minor The event leads to an individual requiring medical treatment only;
- Major The event incurs a lost time injury;
- Fatality The event causes death or permanent disability.

EA Technology established the values for the three consequence types through collaboration with the Network Asset Management Group from SA Power Networks, the process that was used is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

9.2.3.4.1 *Average Consequences*

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

9.2.3.5 Environment

9.2.3.5.1 Consequences

Five significant environmental consequences have been identified that could arise as a result of a network asset failure:

- Loss of oil;
- Emission of SF6 gas into the atmosphere;

- A significant fire with smoke pollution;
- The production of contaminated waste; and
- Major disturbance such as traffic congestion or noise.

CBRM assigns a monetary value to the environmental consequences based on trading values for carbon emissions. The overall process that was used to determine this is outlined in Section 2 of Attachment A.

9.2.3.5.2 Failure Scenario Constants

The average safety consequences look at the frequency of all the events across all models, their determination is outlined in Section 3 of Attachment A.

A. CBRM Information Source Clarification Questions

Insert EA Technology Response PDF document here

