

Disclaimer

This presentation is based on *A Review of International Approaches to Regulated Rates of Return*, a paper prepared by The Brattle Group for the Australian Energy Regulator, dated June 2020 and published <a href="https://example.com/here-energy-learness-series-learness-

Agenda

- Comparability across jurisdictions
 - Do different regulators target the same thing when determining the authorized rate of return?
 - Do different regulatory frameworks create different risks, compensated in the rate of return?
- Observed differences and similarities
 - In terms of method
 - In terms of results
- Suggested best practice

Scope of our review

- We examined
 - Australia (Australian Energy Regulator, AER)
 - Netherlands (Authority for Consumers and Markets, ACM)
 - USA energy (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, FERC)
 - USA freight rail (Surface Transportation Board, STB)
 - Italy (Regulatory Authority for Energy, Networks and the Environment, ARERA)
 - New Zealand (Commerce Commission, NZCC)
 - Great Britain energy (Office of Gas and Electricity Markets, Ofgem)
 - Great Britain water (Water Services Regulation Authority, Ofwat)
- For each, we documented the rate of return methodology and the results from one recent rate of return decision





COMPARABILITY ACROSS JURISDICTIONS

Different "flavours" of the rate of return

- In some jurisdictions (eg, USA) all investor returns come from current earnings
 - Authorised return is equal to the (nominal) cost of capital
- In many other jurisdictions, the asset base is adjusted for inflation
 - Authorized return is a "real" cost of capital
- Some set the tax building block equal to the tax rate times all of the authorized rate of return
 - Authorized return is the after tax cost of capital ("ATWACC")
- Others provide a tax allowance on the equity return only
 - Authorized return is the "vanilla WACC"
- Others include tax within the rate of return ("pre-tax WACC")
- For the most part, we can convert between the "flavours"



COMPARABILITY ACROSS JURISDICTIONS

Risk differences due to regulation

- Do different regulatory frameworks create different risks which are compensated in the rate of return?
 - First, all regulators* (say that they) set the allowed return equal to the estimated cost of capital
 - There is no "wedge" or additional return
- Second, risk differences from incentive arrangements probably do not increase systematic risk
 - So do not increase the cost of capital
- Therefore, rate of return methodologies should be comparable

*Note: Ofgem has recently indicated that it will set the authorised return on equity *below* its estimate of the cost of equity, because of "expected outperformance" – ie, because it anticipates that the utilities will underspend relative to Ofgem's expenditure assumptions. This approach is controversial. (See reference materials for details.)



Methodologies

All use the CAPM

Different variants of CAPM Various cross-checks

Average of CAPM and DGM

<u>Differences of</u> mplementation

- Equity beta
- · Risk-free rate
 - MR

Gearing
Notional
(except FERC, STB)

Same/similar approach

Cost of debt

RFF plus risk premium Trailing average Embedded

Diverse approaches

Comparability of results

- Using the results published by each regulator, we expressed the authorised rate of return in "real vanilla WACC" terms where possible
- We have not adjusted for effects of when the decisions were taken
 - Most obviously impacts the risk-free rate
 - Debt and equity premiums can also be influenced by timing, but likely less so than risk-free rate

Equity and debt premiums

- We calculate equity and debt premiums as the difference between:
 - the authorised return on equity (or debt) and
 - the regulator's determination of the risk-free rate

		AER	ACM	FERC	STB	ARERA	NZCC	Ofgem	Ofwat
Decision year		2020	2016	2020	2018	2019	2019	2019	2019
Nominal risk-free rate	[1]	1.03%	1.28%	2.70%	3.02%		1.12%		
Real risk-free rate	[2]	-1.24%				1.89%		-0.75%	-1.39%
Equity premium	[3]	3.66%	3.74%	7.35%	10.84%	3.88%	4.75%	5.55%	5.58%
Debt premium	[4]	3.73%	0.76%		1.14%	0.50%	1.60%	2.68%	3.43%

Notes:

Please see Brattle paper for sources and calculations.

All figures relate to energy transport utilities except STB (rail) and Ofwat (water).



Equity beta and MRP

		AER	ACM	FERC	STB	ARERA	NZCC	Ofgem	Ofwat
Decision year		2020	2016	2020	2018	2019	2019	2019	2019
MRP	[1]	6.10%	5.05%	8.60%	6.91%	5.50%	7.29%	7.32%	7.89%
Equity beta	[2]	0.60	0.74	0.84	1.11	0.71	0.65	0.76	0.71

Notes:

Please see Brattle paper for sources and calculations.

Figures in italics are calculated by Brattle; other figures are reported in the regulator's decision.

All figures relate to energy transport utilities except STB (rail) and Ofwat (water).

Suggested best practices

Make the cost of equity forward looking

- Many regulators use a purely backwards-looking MRP
 - Some incorporate or rely on forward-looking assessments
- A backwards-looking MRP may not reflect market conditions when
 - Real risk-free rate is negative
 - Market-wide DGM results not consistent with historical MRP
- Suggest
 - Incorporate forward-looking estimates into MRP (or risk-free rate)
 - "Incorporate" means give this evidence non-zero weight



Use multiple models for the cost of equity

- All regulators use the CAPM
- Many regulators only use the CAPM
 - Some give equal weight to the CAPM and the DGM
 - Some use the DGM as a cross-check
- Using a single model means throwing away information
 - DGM uses share prices and analyst dividend forecasts
 - CAPM uses covariance of share and market returns
- Suggest
 - Take account of more than one model
 - Put less than 100% weight on CAPM results



Updating the cost of equity

- In different jurisdictions, cost of equity is determined:
 - as part of the price control (usually runs for 5 years)
 - in a separate rate of return proceeding
 - with or without updating during the price control
- The parameters of the cost of equity are not constant over time and are not independent
 - Risk-free rate may be correlated with MRP
 - Macro events impact risk-free rate, MRP and equity beta
- Suggest
 - Adjusting revenues to reflect updated cost of equity more often than every five years, but
 - Update all cost of equity parameters together



Estimation window for equity beta

- Equity beta is estimated from a time series of share prices
 - Most regulators use 3 5 years of data
 - Some use both local and international data
- Equity beta can vary over time
 - For example, US utility betas seem to have increased over the first half of 2020 (see reference materials slide)
- Suggest
 - Use recent data
 - Use a five year window, or shorter (two or three years is a good default)



Reference materials

- Brattle's paper for the Australian Energy Regulator (on which this presentation is based)
 - A Review of International Approaches to Regulated Rates of Return, prepared by the Brattle Group for the Australian Energy Regulator, June 2020, here
- For recent developments in Great Britain, in particular proposals to set the authorised return on equity <u>below</u> the cost of equity
 - RIIO-2 Draft Determinations Finance Annex, Ofgem, 9 July 2020, here
- For an example of utility betas changing over time, see
 - Global Impacts and Implications of COVID-19 on Utility Finance, The Brattle Group, June 2020, p. 23, here

Glossary

- CAPM: Capital Asset Pricing Model, can be used to estimate the cost of equity by measuring "equity beta" (covariance of returns on a portfolio of utility shares with returns on the market as a whole)
- DGM: Dividend Growth Model, can be used to estimate MRP and the cost of equity using equity analyst dividend forecasts and current share prices (also known as the "DCF model")
- MRP: Market Risk Premium, defined as the expected additional return above the risk-free rate from investing in risky assets

PRESENTED BY

Toby Brown

Principal, Sydney +61.2.8123.0999 Toby.Brown@brattle.com



Dr. Toby Brown specializes in infrastructure access pricing, economic regulation, and the gas and electricity sectors. He heads Brattle's Sydney office.

Dr. Brown advises pipelines, utilities, energy market operators and regulators, and has particular expertise in the design of incentive mechanisms for the economic regulation of energy networks. He has provided expert advice in proceedings to modify the framework of utility regulation in Australia, New Zealand, the U.S. and Canada. He has advised in connection with disputes over pricing in electricity distribution, electricity transmission, gas distribution, natural gas and oil pipelines, and rail and water sectors.

In addition to his regulatory work, Dr. Brown has also provided asset valuations in commercial disputes and tax matters, and he has advised on the pricing of natural gas and LNG. He has submitted expert reports in regulatory proceedings in Australia, New Zealand, the U.S. and Canada, and in commercial litigation in Australia.

Prior to joining Brattle, Dr. Brown worked at the UK energy regulator, Ofgem. He holds a B.A. (Hons) and a D.Phil. in Chemistry from the University of Oxford.

The views expressed in this presentation are strictly those of the presenter(s) and do not necessarily state or reflect the views of The Brattle Group, Inc. or its clients.

PRESENTED BY

Bente Villadsen

Principal, Boston +1.617.9098137 Bente.Villadsen@brattle.com



Dr. Villadsen specializes in finance and accounting matters with an emphasis on the energy industry.

She is a frequent author and speaker on regulatory return and risk issues and has testified on rate of return and discount rate matters as well as accounting and damages in many jurisdictions including the FERC, US state and Canadian provincial settings, U.S. and international arbitrations, and the U.S. federal court.

Her recent work has included the determination of the cost of capital for electric power, electric utilities, pipelines, and water companies in Australia, Canada, Mexico, the Netherlands, and the United States. She has evaluated the appropriate recovery or value of asset retirement obligations for pipelines and the effect of power purchase agreements on the risk of both the power producer and buyer. In addition, Dr. Villadsen has been deeply involved in analyzed credit and capital structure issues, risk management and the prudence hereof, as well the impact of regulatory initiatives such as energy efficiency and de-coupling on credit, cost of capital, and earnings.

Dr. Villadsen holds a Ph.D from Yale University with a concentration in accounting. Prior to joining The Brattle Group, she taught at University of Michigan, Iowa University and Washington University.

The views expressed in this presentation are strictly those of the presenter(s) and do not necessarily state or reflect the views of The Brattle Group, Inc. or its clients.

With thanks to our report co-authors

Dan Harris

Principal, Rome/London +39.06.48.888.146 +44.20.3829.23.40 Dan.Harris@brattle.com

Lucrezio Figurelli

Senior Associate, Rome +39.06.48.888.10 Lucrezio.Figurelli@brattle.com

Nguyet Nguyen

Senior Associate, Sydney +61.2.8123.0999 Nguyet.Nguyen@brattle.com

John Anthony

Associate, Washington +1.202.955.5050 John.Anthony@brattle.com Mr. Harris has more than fifteen years of experience as an expert in valuation, quantification of damages, and the economics of gas and electricity markets. He has been retained by major law firms and clients to testify on damages and quantum in international arbitration proceedings in a variety of forums. He has been recognized as one of the world's leading arbitration experts in Global Arbitration Review's Who's Who Legal: Arbitration 2018. In electricity and gas matters, Mr. Harris has been engaged by energy regulators, competition authorities, gas and electricity network companies, gas buyers and sellers, and electricity generators.

Dr. Figurelli has expertise in litigation, international arbitration, regulatory proceedings, and antitrust investigations. Since 2013 he has consulted on behalf of operators, regulators, and industry associations on numerous matters, including mergers and acquisitions between network operators, broadcast and cable television markets, wired/ wireless broadband, spectrum auctions, intellectual property, and copyright. Dr. Figurelli completed his Ph.D. in Economics at Boston College, where he also taught courses in microeconomics, macroeconomics, and econometrics.

Dr. Nguyen is a financial economist with experience in infrastructure valuation and securities pricing. She has developed models of asset valuation and cost of capital to analyze access prices for gas pipelines, gas processing facilities and rail networks. Dr. Nguyen has also conducted event studies of share price movements and trading models to estimate damages in connection with shareholder class actions.

John Anthony is an associate at The Brattle Group with expertise in finance, including the valuation of fixed income and credit securities. He has extensive experience in principal investment roles and has assisted testifying experts in various international arbitration and valuation cases. He has a Ph.D. in Finance from the University of Newcastle and has published research in The Journal of Fixed Income and Economics Letters.

The views expressed in this presentation are strictly those of the presenter(s) and do not necessarily state or reflect the views of The Brattle Group, Inc. or its clients.

The Brattle Group provides consulting and expert testimony in economics, finance, and regulation to corporations, law firms, and governments around the world. We aim for the highest level of client service and quality in our industry.

Our Services

Research and Consulting
Litigation and Support
Expert Testimony

Our People

Renowned Experts
Global Teams
Intellectual Rigor

Our Insights

Thoughtful Analysis
Exceptional Quality
Clear Communication

ENERGY & UTILITIES

- Competition & Market Manipulation
- Distributed Energy Resources
- Electric Transmission
- Electricity Market Modeling & Resource Planning

- Electrification & Growth Opportunities
- Energy Litigation
- Energy Storage
- Environmental Policy, Planning, & Compliance
- Finance and Ratemaking

- Gas/Electric Coordination
- Market Design
- Natural Gas & Petroleum
- Nuclear
- Renewable & Alternative Energy

LITIGATION

- Accounting
- Alternative Investments
- Analysis of Market Manipulation
- Antitrust/Competition
- Bankruptcy & Restructuring
- Big Data & Document Analytics

- Commercial Damages
- Environmental Litigation & Regulation
- Intellectual Property
- International Arbitration
- International Trade
- Labor & Employment

- Mergers & Acquisitions Litigation
- Product Liability
- Securities & Finance
- Tax Controversy & Transfer Pricing
- Valuation
- White Collar Investigations & Litigation

INDUSTRIES

- Electric Power
- Financial Institutions
- Infrastructure

- Natural Gas & Petroleum
- Pharmaceuticals & Medical Devices
- Telecommunications, Internet, & Media
- Transportation
- Water























Clarity in the face of complexity

That's the Power of Economics





