

OPTIONS EVALUATION REPORT (OER)



Protection - Schweitzer SELxxx Condition

OER 000000001380 revision 2.0

Ellipse project no.: P0008033

TRIM file: [TRIM No]

Project reason: Capability - Asset Replacement for end of life condition

Project category: Prescribed - Asset Renewal Strategies

Approvals

Author	Hazem Khamis	A/ Secondary Systems and Communications Asset Manager
Endorsed	Adam Hoare	Senior Secondary Systems Analyst
	Azil Khan	Investment Strategy Manager
Approved	Lance Wee	M/Asset Strategy
Date submitted for approval	15 December 2016	

Change history

Revision	Date	Amendment
0	23 June 2016	Initial issue
1	31 October 2016	Update to 2016/17 dollars and SFAIRP/ALARP data
2	15 December 2016	Update to format

1. Need/opportunity

The assets raised within this Need will have exceeded their nominal average life by 2023. Manufacturer support for the majority of models is limited, meaning that repair and replacement facilities are expected to be unavailable by 2023. Spares currently held by TransGrid for this model are projected to be exhausted.

The use of duplicated protection schemes across all transmission lines and transformers are a continuing requirement of the Australian Energy Regulator (AER) as outlined in the National Electricity Rules (NER). These protection schemes are required into the foreseeable future.

2. Related Needs/opportunities

The following Needs address parts of the omitted relays covered by this Need:

- > Need ID 1180 – Wagga 330kV Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1186 – Murrumburrah Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1191 – Deniliquin Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1192 – Lower Tumut Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1193 – Broken Hill Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1194 – Tenterfield Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1196 – Coleambally Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1243 – Tamworth 330kV Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1244 – Wallerawang 330kV Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1246 – Panorama Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1247 – Muswellbrook Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1252 – Cowra Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1253 – Darlington Point Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1255 – Ingleburn Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1258 – Regentville Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1263 – Tuggerah Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1266 – Marulan Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1267 – Molong Secondary Systems Renewal
- > Need ID 1599 – Liverpool Secondary Systems Renewal

3. Options

All dollar values in this document are expressed in un-escalated 2016/17 dollars.

Base Case

The Base Case for this Need is to run these assets to failure. This approach does not address the increasing failure rates or the risk cost associated with the Need. At \$1.54m per annum, the risks are significant and foreseen to increase as the probability of failure of the assets will also likely increase. Key drivers for this risk cost are:

- > Consequence assumes black start for assets protecting primary plant at 330kV and above with “N-1” redundancy. The restoration time has been set as 8 hours with an assumed 1,296MW of load interrupted to mixed customers (residential, commercial, and agricultural) to model a number of potential network scenarios based on this consequence.
- > The population of this asset group is 20 units across all voltage levels and sites within the network.

Increasing the maintenance for the assets cannot reduce the probability of failure in order to reduce the risk cost.

Option A — Replacement of Individual Assets [OFR 1380A, OFS 1380A]

This option covers the replacement of assets in a “like for like” manner. This involves removing the panel and replacing it with a new relay panel utilising the same features currently in use. This option doesn’t include any upgrade of systems to maximise the utilisation of available technology.

Operating costs have been estimated at \$4.25k per annum for this option based on current maintenance plan settings.

Due to the “like for like” nature of this option, no benefit has been calculated in accordance with TransGrid’s Renewal and Maintenance Strategy for Secondary Systems Site Installations¹.

The expected total capital cost to replace all 41 assets identified under this Need is \$4.72m. This costing is estimated using TransGrid’s “Success” estimating system. This cost has been adjusted to \$2.33m for analysis in this OER to account for the reduction of 21 assets that will be replaced under Secondary Systems Renewal Needs or are utilised on negotiated services. This adjustment has been carried out using the unit costs provided in the Option Feasibility Study (OFS).

The residual risk associated with this option upon completion of the project amounts to \$0.24m per annum (base case risk cost = \$1.54m). The risk reduction is realised through the reduction in the probability of failure for all assets.

The assets under investigation have been divided into two broad categories:

Assets protecting primary assets ≤220kV and ≤150MW

This configuration covers only replacing the assets protecting primary assets where the peak load at risk is less than 150MW and service voltage is less or equal to 220kV.

The expected capital cost to replace this category of assets is \$0.73m. This costing was estimated using the unit costs provided under OFS 1380A and applying them to those assets that would be replaced. These costs are broken down in Table 1.

Table 1 – Expected costs for replacing assets protecting primary assets ≤220kV and ≤150MW (\$ thousand)

Item	Unit Cost, Including Labour	Quantity	Total Cost
Line Protection ≤220kV, ≤150MW	94.0	5	470
Transformer Protection ≤220kV, ≤150MW	130	2	260

¹ Refer SSA Strategy - Renewal and Maintenance -Secondary Systems Site Installations

Item	Unit Cost, Including Labour	Quantity	Total Cost
Total estimated cost			730

The residual risk associated with this portion of assets upon completion of the project amounts to \$0.01m per annum (base case risk cost component = \$0.30m). The risk reduction is realised through the reduction in the probability of failure for the affected assets.

Assets protecting primary assets $\geq 330\text{kV}$

This configuration covers only replacing the assets classified as protecting primary assets operating at 330kV and above.

The expected capital cost to replace this category of assets is \$1.60m. This costing was estimated using the unit costs provided under OFS 1380A and applying them to those assets that would be replaced. These costs are broken down in Table 2.

Table 2 – Expected costs for replacing assets protecting primary assets $\geq 330\text{kV}$ (\$ thousand)

Item	Unit Cost, Including Labour	Quantity	Total Cost
Line Protection $\geq 330\text{kV}$	123	13	1,600
Total estimated cost			1,600

The residual risk associated with this portion of upon completion of the project amounts to \$0.21m per annum (base case risk cost component = \$1.33m). The risk reduction is realised through the reduction in the probability of failure for the affected assets.

4. Evaluation

Evaluation of the proposed options has been completed using the ALARP (As Low as Reasonably Practicable) regulatory requirements and economic considerations. The results of this evaluation are outlined below.

4.1 Commercial evaluation

The result of commercial evaluation for each of the technically feasible options is summarised in Table 3.

Table 3 – Commercial evaluation (\$ million)

Option	Description	Total capex	Annual opex	Annual post project risk cost	Economic NPV @10%	Financial NPV @10%	Rank
Base Case	Run-to-fail	N/A	0	2.34	N/A	N/A	2
A	Replace individual Assets	2.33	0	0.24	4.35	(1.08)	1
i)	Replace Assets $\leq 220\text{kV}$, $\leq 150\text{MW}$	0.73	0	0.01	0.81	(0.09)	-
ii)	Replace Assets $\geq 330\text{kV}$	1.60	0	0.21	4.06	(0.95)	-

The commercial evaluation is based on:

- > Economic life of the assets is assumed 15 years, hence this assessment period has been applied.

- > Write-offs have not been estimated.
- > Capital cost is not escalated and it does not include capitalised interest.

Sensitivities on economic Net Present Value (NPV) for the options with changing discount rates are shown in Table 4.

Table 4 – Discount rate sensitivities (\$ million)

Option	Description	Economic NPV @13%	Economic NPV @6.75%
A	Replace individual Assets	2.90	6.72
i)	Replace Assets ≤220kV, ≤150MW	0.50	1.32
ii)	Replace Assets ≥330kV	2.79	6.13

4.2 SFAIRP/ALARP evaluation

Options to reduce the network safety risk as per the risk treatment hierarchy have been considered in other lifecycle stages of the asset, and it has been determined that no reasonably practicable options exist to reduce the risk further than those capital investment options listed below.

Evaluation of the proposed options has been completed against the SFAIRP (So Far As Is Reasonably Practicable)/ALARP (As Low As Reasonably Practical) obligation, as required by the Electricity Supply (Safety and Network Management) Regulation 2014 and the Work Health and Safety Act 2011. The Key Hazardous Events and the disproportionality multipliers considered in the evaluation are as follows:

- > Conductor drop/structure failure - 6 times the bushfire risk, 6 times the safety risk and 10% of the reliability risk (applicable to safety)

The results of this evaluation are summarised in the tables below.

Table 5 – Feasible options (\$ thousand)

Option	Description	CAPEX	Expected Life	Annualised CAPEX
Base	Run-to-fail	N/A	N/A	N/A
A	Replace individual Assets	2,330	15 years	160

Table 6 – Annual risk calculations (\$ thousand)

Option	Annual Residual Risk			Annual Risk Savings		
	Safety Risk	Reliability Risk	Bushfire Risk	Safety Risk	Reliability Risk	Bushfire Risk
Base	0	1,360	10	N/A	N/A	N/A
A	0	210	0	0	1,150	10

Table 7 – Reasonably practicable test (\$ thousand)

Option	Network Safety Risk Reduction ²	Annualised CAPEX	Reasonably practicable ³ ?
A	175	160	Yes

Option A is reasonably practical

4.3 Preferred option

The outcome of the SFAIRP/ALARP evaluation is that Option A is the preferred option as it is reasonably practicable and provides the greatest network safety risk reduction, and is therefore required to satisfy the organisation's SFAIRP/ALARP obligations.

The option to address the condition of the identified assets, Option A – Replacement of Individual Assets is the preferred option for the assets identified.

This option has been selected due to its technical viability and reduction in reliability risk. This option provides significant technical benefits and provides a positive NPV.

Refer to Attachment 1 for details of the assets to be replaced under this Need.

Capital and operating expenditure

There is negligible difference in predicted ongoing operational expenditure between the option and Base Case. Implementing Option A will reduce callouts to address defects and this benefit has been captured in the risk assessment.

Regulatory Investment Test

A Regulatory Investment Test for Transmission (RIT-T) is not required as this is an asset replacement project with no augmentation component.

5. Recommendation

It is recommended to proceed with the replacement of all 20 identified assets.

² The Network Safety Risk Reduction is calculated as 6 x Bushfire Risk Reduction + 3 x Safety Risk Reduction + 0.1 x Reliability Risk Reduction

³ Reasonably practicable is defined as whether the annualised CAPEX is less than the Network Safety Risk Reduction

Attachment 1 – Assets for replacement

A.1 Protection for $\leq 220\text{kV}$, $\leq 150\text{MW}$ assets

EQUIP_NO	EQUIP_CLASS	PLANT_NO	ITEM_NAME_1	EQUIP_LOCATION
000000048865	PT	NTPINVCRA122C1	9U2 MOREE 132KV FEEDER NO1 PROTECTION	INV
000000062822	PT	SWPYA2CRB206J1	7L4 LEETON 33KV FEEDER NO1 PROTECTION	YA2
000000062825	PT	SWPYA2CRB186M1	7L5 MURRAMI 33KV FEEDER NO1 PROTECTION	YA2
000000062831	PT	SWPYA2CRB244F1	841 NARRANDERA 66KV FDR NO1 PROTECTION	YA2
000000048927	PT	NTPKLCRA202A2	NO1 132/66/11KV TRANSFORMER NO2 PROT	KLK
000000049787	PT	NTPKS2CRB246X1	7R1 PRINCE STREET 33KV FEEDER NO1 PROT	KS2
000000082828	PT	NTPKLCRA242C2	NO3 132/66/11KV TRANSFORMER NO2 PROT	KLK

A.2 Protection for $\geq 330\text{MW}$ assets

EQUIP_NO	EQUIP_CLASS	PLANT_NO	ITEM_NAME_1	EQUIP_LOCATION
000000007239	PT	CMPSE1CR1521P2	28 SYDNEY NORTH 330KV FDR NO2 PROTECTION	SE1
000000011231	PT	COPMTPCRA131G2	71 WALLERAWANG 330 - 330KV FDR NO2 PROT	MTP
000000020274	PT	NNPLD1CR2821AJ1	83 MUSWELLBROOK 330KV FDR NO1 PROTECTION	LD1
000000048669	PT	NTPAR1CRB451G2	85 TAMWORTH 330 - 330KV FEEDER NO2 PROT	AR1
000000048672	PT	NTPAR1CR0551P2	86 TAMWORTH 330 - 330KV FEEDER NO2 PROT	AR1
000000074796	PT	NTPAR1CR0491H2	8E DUMARESQ 330KV FDR NO2 PROTECTION	AR1
000000075735	PT	NTPDMQCRD021A12	8E ARMIDALE 330 - 330KV FEEDER NO2 PROT	DMQ
000000075737	PT	NTPDMQCRD051AB2	8C ARMIDALE 330 - 330KV FEEDER NO2 PROT	DMQ
000000075739	PT	NTPDMQCRD081B12	8M BULLI CREEK 330KV FDR NO2 PROTECTION	DMQ
000000075741	PT	NTPDMQCRD111BD2	8L BULLI CREEK 330KV FDR NO2 PROTECTION	DMQ

EQUIP_NO	EQUIP_CLASS	PLANT_NO	ITEM_NAME_1	EQUIP_LOCATION
000000076456	PT	NTPAR1CR0751Q2	8C DUMARESQ 330KV FDR NO2 PROTECTION	AR1
000000009925	PT	CMPSYSCR5141M1	78 INGLEBURN 330KV FEEDER NO1 PROTECTION	SYS
000000009928	PT	CMPSYSCR0091R1	76 WALLERAWANG 330 - 330KV FDR NO1 PROT	SYS

Attachment 2 – Commercial evaluation report

Option A NPV calculation

Project_Option Name			Option A - Individual Asset Replacements - All Assets		
1. Financial Evaluation (excludes VCR benefits)					
NPV @ standard discount rate	10.00%	-\$1.08m	NPV / Capital (Ratio)	-0.47	
NPV @ upper bound rate	13.00%	-\$1.13m	Pay Back Period (Yrs)	-0.01 Yrs	
NPV @ lower bound rate (WACC)	6.75%	-\$0.96m	IRR%	-0.76%	
2. Economic Evaluation (includes VCR benefits but excludes tax benefits from non-cash transactions, ENS penalty and overall tax cost)					
NPV @ standard discount rate	10.00%	\$4.35m	NPV / Capital (Ratio)	1.87	
NPV @ upper bound rate	13.00%	\$2.90m	Pay Back Period (Yrs)	1.80 Yrs	
NPV @ lower bound rate (WACC)	6.75%	\$6.72m	IRR%	30.09%	
Benefits					
Risk cost	As Is	To Be	Benefit	VCR Benefit	\$1.15m
Systems (reliability)	\$1.36m	\$0.21m	\$1.15m	ENS Penalty	\$0.00m
Financial	\$0.17m	\$0.03m	\$0.14m	All other risk benefits	\$0.15m
Operational/compliance	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	Total Risk benefits	\$1.30m
People (safety)	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	Benefits in the financial NPV*	\$0.15m
Environment	\$0.01m	\$0.00m	\$0.01m	*excludes VCR benefits	
Reputation	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	Benefits in the economic NPV**	\$1.30m
Total Risk benefits	\$1.54m	\$0.24m	\$1.30m	**excludes ENS penalty	
Cost savings and other benefits			\$0.00m		
Total Benefits			\$1.30m		
Other Financial Drivers					
Incremental opex cost pa (no depreciation)			-\$0.00m	Write-off cost	\$0.00m
Capital - initial \$m			-\$2.33m	Major Asset Life (Yrs)	15.00 Yrs
Residual Value - initial investment			\$0.00m	Re-investment capital	\$0.00m
Capitalisation period			5.00 Yrs	Start of the re-investment period	0.00 Yrs

Option A(i) NPV calculation

Project_Option Name

Option A - Individual Asset Replacements - Only Assets <=220

1. Financial Evaluation (excludes VCR benefits)

NPV @ standard discount rate	10.00%	-\$0.09m	NPV / Capital (Ratio)	-0.12
NPV @ upper bound rate	13.00%	-\$0.17m	Pay Back Period (Yrs)	0.08 Yrs
NPV @ lower bound rate (WACC)	6.75%	\$0.06m	IRR%	7.83%

2. Economic Evaluation (includes VCR benefits but excludes tax benefits from non-cash transactions, ENS penalty and overall tax cost)

NPV @ standard discount rate	10.00%	\$0.81m	NPV / Capital (Ratio)	1.11
NPV @ upper bound rate	13.00%	\$0.50m	Pay Back Period (Yrs)	2.53 Yrs
NPV @ lower bound rate (WACC)	6.75%	\$1.32m	IRR%	23.70%

Benefits

Risk cost	As Is	To Be	Benefit	VCR Benefit	\$0.19m
Systems (reliability)	\$0.20m	\$0.01m	\$0.19m	ENS Penalty	\$0.00m
Financial	\$0.10m	\$0.00m	\$0.10m	All other risk benefits	\$0.10m
Operational/compliance	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	Total Risk benefits	\$0.29m
People (safety)	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	Benefits in the financial NPV*	\$0.10m
Environment	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	*excludes VCR benefits	
Reputation	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	Benefits in the economic NPV**	\$0.29m
Total Risk benefits	\$0.30m	\$0.01m	\$0.29m	**excludes ENS penalty	
Cost savings and other benefits			\$0.00m		
Total Benefits			\$0.29m		

Other Financial Drivers

Incremental opex cost pa (no depreciation)	-\$0.00m	Write-off cost	\$0.00m
Capital - initial \$m	-\$0.73m	Major Asset Life (Yrs)	15.00 Yrs
Residual Value - initial investment	\$0.00m	Re-investment capital	\$0.00m
Capitalisation period	5.00 Yrs	Start of the re-investment period	0.00 Yrs

Option A(ii) NPV calculation

Project_Option Name			Option A - Individual Asset Replacements - Only Assets >=330		
1. Financial Evaluation (excludes VCR benefits)					
NPV @ standard discount rate	10.00%	-\$0.95m	NPV / Capital (Ratio)	-0.59	
NPV @ upper bound rate	13.00%	-\$0.93m	Pay Back Period (Yrs)	-0.06 Yrs	
NPV @ lower bound rate (WACC)	6.75%	-\$0.95m	IRR%	-6.09%	
2. Economic Evaluation (includes VCR benefits but excludes tax benefits from non-cash transactions, ENS penalty and overall tax cost)					
NPV @ standard discount rate	10.00%	\$4.06m	NPV / Capital (Ratio)	2.54	
NPV @ upper bound rate	13.00%	\$2.79m	Pay Back Period (Yrs)	1.43 Yrs	
NPV @ lower bound rate (WACC)	6.75%	\$6.13m	IRR%	34.79%	
Benefits					
Risk cost	As Is	To Be	Benefit	VCR Benefit	\$1.06m
Systems (reliability)	\$1.26m	\$0.20m	\$1.06m	ENS Penalty	\$0.00m
Financial	\$0.06m	\$0.01m	\$0.05m	All other risk benefits	\$0.06m
Operational/compliance	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	Total Risk benefits	\$1.12m
People (safety)	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	\$0.00m		
Environment	\$0.01m	\$0.00m	\$0.01m	Benefits in the financial NPV*	\$0.06m
Reputation	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	\$0.00m	*excludes VCR benefits	
Total Risk benefits	\$1.33m	\$0.21m	\$1.12m		
Cost savings and other benefits			\$0.00m	Benefits in the economic NPV**	\$1.12m
Total Benefits			\$1.12m	**excludes ENS penalty	
Other Financial Drivers					
Incremental opex cost pa (no depreciation)			-\$0.00m	Write-off cost	\$0.00m
Capital - initial \$m			-\$1.60m	Major Asset Life (Yrs)	15.00 Yrs
Residual Value - initial investment			\$0.00m	Re-investment capital	\$0.00m
Capitalisation period			5.00 Yrs	Start of the re-investment period	0.00 Yrs