### Comments on the ACCC's Draft Decisions for TransGrid

Stephen Clark – Friday 18 June 2004



#### Focus of presentation

- No comment on whether the proposed revenue outcome is right or wrong
  - Must rely on expert advice and analysis
- Bigger picture issues
  - How is the regulatory framework being applied?
  - What are the implications?



# Is the regulatory framework settled?

- No!
- Draft Statement of Regulatory Principles May 1999
  - Coherent, logical and internally consistent
- Policy has "developed in the light of experience"
- But inconsistent approach
  - Equity raising costs
  - Future efficiency gains
  - Rewards for past efficiencies carryover mechanism not defined
  - Approach to capital expenditure and "clawback"



#### ...In the future

- In 2009 TransGrid, EA and Transend will all be reviewed at the same time
- BUT different frameworks will apply
  - TransGrid and EA ex ante cap as agreed
  - Transend ex post review or clawback?
  - Others a different "finalised" framework?
  - Other "policy developments" threat on debt raising costs and equity beta?
- Essential to explain decisions and manage transition to stable regulatory environment



### Specific concerns with TransGrid draft decision

- 2% efficiency factor on opex relies on precedent of aTransend review
  - But no basis for Transend decision
  - Inconsistent with earlier decisions
- Ex post review of capital expenditure imposes an arbitrary penalty of "disallowed return during construction"
  - Arbitrary penalties not consistent with good regulatory practice
  - ACCC should explain how the penalty has been calculated



## Implications of ex post review?

- MetroGrid ACCC allows only 84 cents in the \$
- Free lunch for customers?
  - No!
  - Encourages minimisation of capex not optimisation
  - Creates risk for other TNSPs which will discourage investment



#### **Concluding comments**

- Regulatory framework is far from settled
- Future looks confusing and risky
  - Action needed now to improve transparency and consistency
- Customers may support 84 cents in the \$ but need to think medium/long term

